

Isolate Browser Session Tabs

Daniel Lammens

March 5th, 2022

1 Background

1.1 Literature Review

Starting this task, I wanted to find prior examples of tab session isolation extensions. By doing so I could better understand the core problem of isolating tabs, and see how others had attempted to solve it. I found several examples, including Mozilla's Multi-Account Containers, the open-source Temporary Containers, and the Chrome extension Session Box. All worked off the logic of separating tabs into memory-groupings, where local storage, session storage, and cookies would all be separated based on which container the user was currently operating in. There was some difference between them, but I was told to focus on a Chrome-specific implementation, so I examined the Chrome extensions more intently. But I still didn't really understand *how* they were doing this, so I had to do some more digging.

1.2 Storage Research

After doing some brushing up on Authorization, I knew that no matter the authorization method (traditional cookie vs token-based), the important piece of authorization was always going to be stored in the cookies. So the key issue of this task was separating cookies by which tab they originated from. I had explored some other options: storing the authorization token in sessionStorage, modifying the URL header independently for each tab, or changing the file Chrome uses to save its cookies into a folder, with independent files for each tab, but as I delved further, these seemed prone to issues and I scrapped them in favor of the popular container solution.

2 Finding Answers

Knowing that I wanted to separate cookie storage, I delved into the source code of one of the open-source options, Temporary Containers, to check how they did it. The documentation wasn't excellent, so it took a bit of digging to understand the core functionality of the container system. As I understood it, the system relies upon matching two properties: the tab's extended `cookieStoreID` property, and the cookie's `storeID` property. For a Chrome solution, this requires the use of the `chrome.cookies` API. In the `chrome.cookies` API, you can see the `Cookie Type`, which has the additional property `storeID`, the ID of the `Cookie Store` to which this `Cookie` belongs. This attribute separates this API's cookies from normal `document.cookie`, which does not contain this property. You can also see the `CookieStore Type`, which contains two properties, `id` (see above), and `tabIds`, an array of all of the browser tabs that share that cookie store.

2.1 Cookie Stores

So now I had the answer, I should create a new cookie store for each container; whether that be for each tab or a list of 'profiles'. But I didn't know how to create a new cookie store. The `Type 'Cookie Store'` and the method `getAllCookieStores()` were listed in the documentation, but there wasn't an equivalent to `cookie.set()` for adding cookie stores. In the documentation, Chrome says "An incognito mode window, for instance, uses a separate cookie store from a non-incognito window.", so I went looking for how Incognito creates its store. This turned out to be a goose chase, as documentation was unhelpful, and questions were more geared to the functionality of Incognito than its implementation. Some answers referenced the potential solution I had alluded to earlier, that Incognito separated its cookies by creating a separate file location with which to save its cookies (and deleting said file upon close), but some answers refuted this as its implementation, and with no good official documentation, I could not find the true answer. I decided that, for the purposes of this write-up, I would assume that there is a way to create a `Cookie Store` in local storage, which I will treat as

```
new CookieStore(id, tabIds)
```

3 Implementation

After having done this research, I set about writing some pieces of code that I thought would be critical to a system of Isolated Browser Tabs. I made them as functional as possible, but in some places I had to give assumptions for parts that would not be efficient to code, given the time constraint. I have noted these in comments.

```
import {v4 as uuidv4} from 'uuid';

/**
 * When a new tab is created, create a new CookieStore, and assign
 * that tab
 * the new Cookie Store's ID in its .cookieStoreID property.
 * Could be modified to group tabs in Cookie Stores based on
 * container.
 */
chrome.tabs.onCreated.addListener(
  function(tab){
    const newStore = new CookieStore(uuidv4(), [tab.id])
    tab.cookieStoreID = newStore.id
  }
);

// The following functions are based on parts of the Temporary
// Containers extension

/**
 * Take the list of cookies coming from this domain, and add the .
 * storeId
 * property, giving it the storeId of the tab from which it was sent
 *
 * @param {Tab} tab - The tab from which this cookie is being sent
 */
async function setAndAdd(tab){
  // thisDomainCookies is an assumed array of cookies that match
  // this domain
  for(let cookie of thisDomainCookies) {
    /* Create a cookie from the original cookie data, but
```

```

        with the added storeId property that matches this tab */
const newCookie = {
    domain: cookie.domain,
    expirationDate: cookie.expirationDate,
    httpOnly: cookie.httpOnly,
    name: cookie.name,
    path: cookie.path,
    secure: cookie.secure ,
    url: cookie.url,
    value: cookie.value,
    sameSite: cookie.sameSite,
    storeId: tab.cookieStoreId,
};
    await chrome.cookies.set(newCookie);
}
}

/**
 * Check whether the given cookie exists within the given tab's
 * Cookie Store
 *
 * @param {Cookie} cookie
 * @param {chrome.webRequest._OnBeforeSendHeadersDetails} request -
 * Server requesting cookies
 * @param {Tab} tab
 * @returns Details of cookie in this tab's cookie store, with
 * @param cookie's name.
 * If no such cookie found, returns null.
 */
async function checkCookie(cookie, request, tab){
    const cookieAllowed = await chrome.cookies.get({
        name: cookie.name,
        url: request.url,
        storeId: tab.cookieStoreId,
    });
    return cookieAllowed;
}

```

```

/**
 * Add cookies from given tab to Map that may be turned into a
 * cookie header for given request
 *
 * @param {chrome.webRequest._OnBeforeSendHeadersDetails} request -
 *   Server requesting cookies
 * @param {Tab} tab - Tab checking which cookies exist in its Cookie
 *   Store
 * @returns Map of cookies that are allowed to be sent to the server
 *   who gave the request
 */
async function addRequestCookies(request, tab){
  const newCookiesHeader = new Map();
  // allCookies is an assumed array of all cookies
  for(let cookie of allCookies) {
    let allowedCookie = checkCookie(cookie, request, tab);
    if(allowedCookie){
      newCookiesHeader.set(allowedCookie.name, allowedCookie.
        value);
    }
  }
  return newCookiesHeader;
}

```

Note that this implementation is only possible as Chrome extensions may use the `chrome.tabs`, `chrome.cookies`, and `chrome.webRequest` web APIs.

3.1 JSON Files

package.json

```

{
  "name": "Tab Task",
  "description": "",
  "authors": "Daniel Lammens",
  "version": "1.0.0",
  "main": "background.js",
  "dependencies": {

```

```
    "uuid": "8.3.2"}  
}
```

manifest.json

```
{  
  "name": "Tab Task",  
  "manifest_version": 3,  
  "version": "1.0",  
  "permissions": ["cookies", "tabs", "webRequest"],  
  "host_permissions": ["<all_urls>"],  
  "background": {  
    "scripts": ["background.js"]  
  }  
}
```

4 Conclusion

This was an interesting task. I had to learn more about extensions and their privileges, try a bunch of solutions that lead to dead ends, and discover that some things I was trying to implement from scratch were already part of native chrome WebAPIs. I'm glad that they were, though, I think this task would've been improbable within the time frame without the cookies and tabs APIs. There was a lot of documentation and stack-overflow searching that is not apparent in this write-up, as much of it lead to unhelpful places, but that is a part of development. There are definitely a lot of things that I didn't figure out, including how to actually create a new Cookie Store "Incognito-style", but given the scope of this task, I'm sure I'll be able to find those out in the future. As well, as I was told to focus on a Chrome-specific solution, I developed in that direction, and it would take time to make any of these bits of code compatible with other browsers. However, I think the idea behind the solution is a fruitful one, and given more person-hours, I believe it could be a valuable extension, as seen by the fully-functional extensions mentioned in my Literature Review.