### DM545/DM871 Linear and Integer Programming

Lecture 5
Duality

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

### Outline

1. Derivation and Motivation

2. Theory

### Outline

1. Derivation and Motivation

2. Theor

3

### **Dual Problem**

Dual variables **y** in one-to-one correspondence with the constraints:

### Primal problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathsf{max} & z = \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} \\
A \mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{x} \ge 0
\end{array}$$

#### **Dual Problem:**

$$\min_{A^T \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{c} \\
\mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

## **Bounding approach**

$$\begin{array}{c} z^* = \max \, 4x_1 + \, x_2 \, + 3x_3 \\ x_1 \, + 4x_2 & \leq 1 \\ 3x_1 + \, x_2 \, + \, x_3 \, \leq 3 \\ x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

a feasible solution is a lower bound but how good? By tentatives:

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (1, 0, 0) \rightsquigarrow z^* \ge 4$$
  
 $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (0, 0, 3) \rightsquigarrow z^* \ge 9$ 

What about upper bounds?

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 \cdot (& x_1 + 4x_2 &) & \leq 2 \cdot 1 \\
+ 3 \cdot (3x_1 + x_2 + x_3) & \leq 3 \cdot 3 \\
\hline
4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 & \leq & 11x_1 + 11x_2 + 3x_3 \leq & 11
\end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} & \leq & \mathbf{y}^T A \mathbf{x} & \leq \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b}$$

Hence  $z^* \leq 11$ . Is this the best upper bound we can find?

multipliers  $y_1, y_2 \ge 0$  that preserve sign of inequality

$$\begin{array}{cccc} y_1 \cdot (& x_1 + 4x_2 & ) & \leq & y_1(1) \\ \underline{y_2 \cdot (& 3x_1 + x_2 + & x_3)} & \leq & y_2(3) \\ \hline (y_1 + 3y_2)x_1 + (4y_1 + y_2)x_2 + y_2x_3 \leq y_1 + 3y_2 \end{array}$$

#### Coefficients

$$y_1 + 3y_2 \ge 4$$
  
 $4y_1 + y_2 \ge 1$   
 $y_2 \ge 3$ 

 $z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \le (y_1 + 3y_2)x_1 + (4y_1 + y_2)x_2 + y_2x_3 \le y_1 + 3y_2$  then to attain the best upper bound:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \min & y_1 & + 3y_2 \\ & y_1 & + 3y_2 \geq 4 \\ & 4y_1 + & y_2 \geq 1 \\ & & y_2 \geq 3 \\ & & y_1, y_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

## Multipliers Approach

Working columnwise, since at optimum  $\bar{c}_k \leq 0$  for all k = 1, ..., n + m:

(since from the last row  $-z = -\pi \mathbf{b}$  and we want to maximize z then we would  $\min(-z) = \min(-\pi \mathbf{b})$  or equivalently  $\max \pi \mathbf{b}$ )

$$\max x_1b_1 + \pi_2b_2 \dots + \pi_mb_m$$

$$\pi_1a_{11} + \pi_2a_{21} \dots + \pi_ma_{m1} \le -c_1$$

$$\vdots \quad \ddots \qquad \vdots$$

$$\pi_1a_{1n} + \pi_2a_{2n} \dots + \pi_ma_{mn} \le -c_n$$

$$\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m \le 0$$

$$y = -\pi$$

$$\min_{A^T \mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{c} \\
\mathbf{y} \ge \mathbf{0}$$

## Example

$$\begin{array}{ll} \max 6x_1 + \ 8x_2 \\ 5x_1 + 10x_2 \leq 60 \\ 4x_1 + \ 4x_2 \leq 40 \\ x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{cases} 5\pi_1 \ + \ 4\pi_2 \ + 6\pi_3 \leq 0 \\ 10\pi_1 \ + \ 4\pi_2 \ + 8\pi_3 \leq 0 \\ 1\pi_1 \ + \ 0\pi_2 \ + 0\pi_3 \leq 0 \\ 0\pi_1 \ + \ 1\pi_2 \ + 0\pi_3 \leq 0 \\ 0\pi_1 \ + \ 0\pi_2 \ + 1\pi_3 = 1 \\ 60\pi_1 \ + \ 40\pi_2 \end{cases}$$

$$y_1 = -\pi_1 \ge 0 y_2 = -\pi_2 \ge 0$$

...

# **Duality Recipe**

|                    | Primal linear program                        | Dual linear program                                |
|--------------------|--|--|
| Variables          | $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$                       | $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$                             |
| Matrix             | A  | $A^T$  |
| Right-hand side    | b  | $\mathbf{c}$                                       |
| Objective function | $\max \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}$               | $\min \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$                     |
| Constraints        | $i$ th constraint has $\leq$ $\geq$ $=$      | $y_i \ge 0$<br>$y_i \le 0$<br>$y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ |
|                    | $x_j \ge 0$ $x_j \le 0$ $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$ | $j$ th constraint has $\geq$ $\leq$ $=$            |

### Outline

1. Derivation and Motivation

2. Theory

## **Symmetry**

### The dual of the dual is the primal:

### Primal problem:

$$\max \quad z = c^T x$$
$$Ax \le b$$
$$x \ge 0$$

## Let's put the dual in the standard form

### Dual problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\min & b^T y & \equiv -\max - b^T y \\
-A^T y & \leq -c \\
y & \geq 0
\end{array}$$

#### Dual Problem:

$$\min_{A^T y \ge c} w = b^T y \\
y \ge 0$$

#### Dual of Dual:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
-\min & -c^T x \\
-Ax & \geq & -b \\
x & \geq & 0
\end{array}$$

## Weak Duality Theorem

As we saw the dual produces upper bounds. This is true in general:

Theorem (Weak Duality Theorem)

Given:

(P) 
$$\max\{\mathbf{c}^T\mathbf{x} \mid A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}\}\$$
  
(D)  $\min\{\mathbf{b}^T\mathbf{y} \mid A^T\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}\}\$ 

for any feasible solution x of (P) and any feasible solution y of (D):

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Proof:

From (D) 
$$c_j \leq \sum_{i=1}^m y_i a_{ij} \ \forall j$$
 and from (P)  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} x_i \leq b_i \ \forall i$ 

From (D)  $y_i \ge 0$  and from (P)  $x_i \ge 0$ 

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{j} x_{j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i} a_{ij} \right) x_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_{i} \right) y_{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i} y_{i}$$

## Strong Duality Theorem

Due to Von Neumann and Dantzig 1947 and Gale, Kuhn and Tucker 1951.

### Theorem (Strong Duality Theorem)

Given:

(P) 
$$\max\{c^T x \mid Ax \le b, x \ge 0\}$$
  
(D)  $\min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \ge c, y \ge 0\}$ 

exactly one of the following occurs:

- 1. (P) and (D) are both infeasible
- 2. (P) is unbounded and (D) is infeasible
- 3. (P) is infeasible and (D) is unbounded
- 4. (P) has feasible solution, then let an optimal be:  $\mathbf{x}^* = [x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*]$  (D) has feasible solution, then let an optimal be:  $\mathbf{y}^* = [y_1^*, \dots, y_m^*]$ , then:

$$\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}^*$$

#### Proof:

- all other combinations of 3 possibilities (Optimal, Infeasible, Unbounded) for (P) and 3 for (D) are ruled out by weak duality theorem.
- we use the simplex method. (Other proofs independent of the simplex method exist, eg, Farkas Lemma and convex polyhedral analysis)
- The last row of the final tableau will give us

$$z = z^* + \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} \bar{c}_k x_k = z^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{c}_j x_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \bar{c}_{n+i} x_{n+i}$$

$$= z^* + \bar{c}_B x_B + \bar{c}_N x_N$$
(\*)

In addition,  $z^* = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j^*$  ( $c_j$ , original values) because optimal value

- We define  $y_i^* = -\overline{c}_{n+i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$
- We claim that  $(y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_m^*)$  is a dual feasible solution satisfying  $c^T x^* = b^T y^*$ .

• Let's verify the claim:

We substitute in (\*): i)  $z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$ ; ii)  $\bar{c}_{n+i} = -y_i^*$ ; and iii)  $x_{n+i} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j$  for i = 1, 2, ..., m (n + i are the slack variables)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j = z^* + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{c}_j x_j - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i^* \left( b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \right)$$
$$= \left( z^* - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_i^* b_i \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \bar{c}_j + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} y_i^* \right) x_j$$

This must hold for every  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  hence:

$$z^* = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i^*$$
  $\Longrightarrow y^*$  satisfies  $c^T x^* = b^T y^*$   $c_j = \bar{c}_j + \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i^*, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ 

Since  $\bar{c}_k \leq 0$  for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n + m$ :

$$ar{c}_j \leq 0 \rightsquigarrow \qquad c_j - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^* a_{ij} \leq 0 \rightsquigarrow \qquad \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^* a_{ij} \geq c_j \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$
 $ar{c}_{n+i} \leq 0 \rightsquigarrow \qquad y_i^* = -ar{c}_{n+i} \geq 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ 

 $\implies y^*$  is also dual feasible solution

# Complementary Slackness Theorem

#### Theorem (Complementary Slackness)

A feasible solution  $x^*$  for (P)

A feasible solution  $y^*$  for (D)

Necessary and sufficient conditions for optimality of both:

$$\left(c_j-\sum_{i=1}^m y_i^*a_{ij}\right)x_j^*=0,\quad j=1,\ldots,n$$

If 
$$x_j^* \neq 0$$
 then  $\sum y_i^* a_{ij} = c_j$  (no surplus) If  $\sum y_i^* a_{ij} > c_j$  then  $x_j^* = 0$ 

Proof:

$$z^* = \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^* \le \mathbf{y}^* A \mathbf{x}^* \le \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}^* = w^*$$

Hence from strong duality theorem:

$$\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{y}^* A \mathbf{x}^* = 0$$

In scalars

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( c_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} a_{ij} \right) \underbrace{x_{j}^{*}}_{\geq 0} = 0$$

Hence each term must be = 0

Proof in scalar form:

$$c_j x_j^* \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i^*\right) x_j^* \quad j=1,2,\ldots,n \quad \text{from feasibility in D}$$
 
$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j^*\right) y_i^* \leq b_i y_i^* \quad i=1,2,\ldots,m \quad \text{from feasibility in P}$$

Summing in *j* and in *i*:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j^* \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{ij} y_i^* \right) x_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j^* \right) y_i^* \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i y_i^*$$

For the strong duality theorem the left hand side is equal to the right hand side and hence all inequalities become equalities.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( c_{j} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{*} a_{ij} \right) \underbrace{x_{j}^{*}}_{\geq 0} = 0$$

### **Duality - Summary**

- Derivation:
  - Economic interpretation
  - Bounding Approach
  - Multiplier Approach
  - Recipe
  - Lagrangian Multipliers Approach (next time)
- Theory:
  - Symmetry
  - Weak Duality Theorem
  - Strong Duality Theorem
  - Complementary Slackness Theorem