DM545/DM871 Linear and Integer Programming

Lecture 7 Revised Simplex Method

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science University of Southern Denmark

Outline

1. Revised Simplex Method

2. Efficiency Issues

Motivation

Complexity of single pivot operation in standard simplex:

- entering variable O(n)
- leaving variable O(m)
- updating the tableau O(mn)

Problems with this:

- Time: we are doing operations that are not actually needed
 Space: we need to store the whole tableau: O(mn) floating point numbers
- Most problems have sparse matrices (many zeros) sparse matrices are typically handled efficiently the standard simplex has the 'Fill in' effect: sparse matrices are lost
- accumulation of Floating Point Errors over the iterations

Outline

1. Revised Simplex Method

2. Efficiency Issue

4

Revised Simplex Method

Several ways to improve wrt pitfalls in the previous slide, requires matrix description of the simplex.

$$\max \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j x_j$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \le b_i \ i = 1..m$$

$$x_j \ge 0 \ j = 1..n$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \max c^{\mathcal{T}} x & \max\{c^{\mathcal{T}} x \mid Ax = b, x \geq 0\} \\ & Ax = b & \\ & x \geq 0 & \\ & A \in \Re^{m \times (n+m)} & \\ & c \in \Re^{(n+m)}, b \in \Re^m, x \in \Re^{n+m} & \end{aligned}$$

At each iteration the simplex moves from a basic feasible solution to another.

For each basic feasible solution:


•
$$B = \{1 \dots m\}$$
 basis

•
$$N = \{m+1...m+n\}$$

•
$$A_B = [a_1 \dots a_m]$$
 basis matrix

$$\bullet \ A_N = [a_{m+1} \dots a_{m+n}]$$

•
$$x_N = 0$$



$$Ax = A_Nx_N + A_Bx_B = b$$

 $A_Bx_B = b - A_Nx_N$

Basic feasible solution \iff A_B is non-singular

$$x_B = A_B^{-1}b - A_B^{-1}A_Nx_N$$

6

for the objective function:

$$z = c^T x = c_B^T x_B + c_N^T x_N$$

Substituting for x_B from above:

$$z = c_B^T (A_B^{-1} b - A_B^{-1} A_N x_N) + c_N^T x_N =$$

= $c_B^T A_B^{-1} b + (c_N^T - c_B^T A_B^{-1} A_N) x_N$

Collecting together:

$$x_B = A_B^{-1}b - A_B^{-1}A_Nx_N$$

 $z = c_B^T A_B^{-1}b + (c_N^T - c_B^T \underbrace{A_B^{-1}A_N})x_N$

In tableau form, for a basic feasible solution corresponding to B we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{B}^{-1}A_{N} & I & 0 & A_{B}^{-1}b \\ \hline c_{N}^{T} - c_{B}^{T}A_{B}^{-1}A_{N} & 0 & 1 & -c_{B}^{T}A_{B}^{-1}b \end{bmatrix}$$

We do not need to compute all elements of \bar{A}

Example

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \max & x_1 + x_2 \\ -x_1 + x_2 \leq 1 \\ x_1 & \leq 3 \\ & x_2 \leq 2 \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Initial tableau

$$\begin{vmatrix} x1 & x2 & x3 & x4 & x5 & -z & b \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ -0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 &$$

After two iterations

$$\begin{vmatrix} x1 & x2 & x3 & x4 & x5 & -z & b \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ -0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix}$$

Basic variables x_1, x_2, x_4 . Non basic: x_3, x_5 . From the initial tableau:

$$A_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad A_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad x_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} \\ x_{2} \\ x_{4} \end{bmatrix} \quad x_{N} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{3} \\ x_{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 $c_N^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

• Entering variable:

in std. we look at tableau, in revised we need to compute: $c_N^T - c_B^T A_B^{-1} A_N$

- 1. find $y^T = c_B^T A_B^{-1}$ (by solving $y^T A_B = c_B^T$, the latter can be done more efficiently)
- 2. calculate $c_N^T y^T A_N$

9

Step 1:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(Note that they can be computed individually: $c_j - y^T a_j > 0$) Let's take the first we encounter x_3

$$y^T A_B = c_B^T$$

$$c_B^T A_B^{-1} = y^T$$

$$c_N^T - y^T A_N$$

• Leaving variable

we increase variable by largest feasible amount θ

R1:
$$x_1 - x_3 + x_5 = 1$$
 $x_1 = 1 + x_3 \ge 0$
R2: $x_2 + 0x_3 + x_5 = 2$ $x_2 = 2 \ge 0$
R3: $-x_3 + x_4 - x_5 = 2$ $x_4 = 2 - x_3 \ge 0$

$$x_B = x_B^* - A_B^{-1} A_N x_N$$
$$x_B = x_B^* - d\theta$$

d is the column of $A_B^{-1}A_N$ that corresponds to the entering variable, ie, $d=A_B^{-1}a$ where a is the entering column

3. Find θ such that x_B stays positive: Find $d = A_B^{-1}a$ (by solving $A_Bd = a$)

Step 3:

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ d_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies d = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \implies x_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \theta \ge 0$$

$$2-\theta \geq 0 \implies \theta \leq 2 \rightsquigarrow x_4$$
 leaves

• So far we have done computations, but now we save the pivoting update. The update of A_B is done by replacing the leaving column by the entering column

$$x_{B}^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} - d_{1}\theta \\ x_{2} - d_{2}\theta \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad A_{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Many implementations depending on how $y^T A_B = c_B^T$ and $A_B d = a$ are solved. They are in fact solved from scratch.
- many operations saved especially if many variables!
- special ways to call the matrix A from memory
- better control over numerical issues since A_B^{-1} can be recomputed.

Outline

1. Revised Simplex Method

2. Efficiency Issues

Solving the two Systems of Equations

 $A_{\rm B} {\rm x} = {\rm b}$ solved without computing $A_{\rm B}^{-1}$ (costly and likely to introduce numerical inaccuracy)

Recall how the inverse is computed:

For a 2×2 matrix

the matrix inverse is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{bmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}^{T} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

For a 3×3 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

the matrix inverse is

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{|A|} \begin{bmatrix} +\begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{vmatrix} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \\ -\begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{13} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{vmatrix} \\ + \begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

Eta Factorization of the Basis

Let $B := A_B$, kth iteration B_k be the matrix with col p differing from B_{k-1} Column p is the a column appearing in B_{k-1} d = a solved at 3) Hence:

$$B_{\nu} = B_{\nu-1} E_{\nu}$$

 E_k is the eta matrix differing from id. matrix in only one column

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

No matter how we solve $y^T B_{k-1} = c_B^T$ and $B_{k-1} d = a$, their update always relays on $B_k = B_{k-1} E_k$ with E_k available.

Plus when initial basis by slack variable $B_0 = I$ and $B_1 = E_1, B_2 = E_1 E_2 \cdots$:

$$B_k = E_1 E_2 \dots E_k$$
 eta factorization

$$(((((y^{T}E_{1})E_{2})E_{3})\cdots)E_{k} = c_{B}^{T}, \qquad u^{T}E_{4} = c_{B}^{T}, \ v^{T}E_{3} = u^{T}, \ w^{T}E_{2} = v^{T}, \ y^{T}E_{1} = w^{T}$$
$$(E_{1}(E_{2}\cdots E_{k}d)) = a, \qquad E_{1}u = a, \ E_{2}v = u, \ E_{3}w = v, \ E_{4}d = w$$

Exercise

Solve the systems $y^T E_1 E_2 E_3 E_4 = [1\ 2\ 3]$ and $E_1 E_2 E_3 E_4 d = [1\ 2\ 3]^T$ with

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad E_4 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We use backward transformation and solve the sequence of linear systems:

$$u^{T}E_{4} = [1 \ 2 \ 3], \quad v^{T}E_{3} = u^{T}, \quad w^{T}E_{2} = v^{T}, \quad y^{T}E_{1} = w^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{u}^{T} \begin{vmatrix} -0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = [1, 2, 3]$$

Since the eta matrices have always one 1 in two columns then the solution can be read up easily. From the third column we find $u_3 = 3$. From the second column, we find $u_2 = 2$. Substituting in the first column, we find $-0.5u_1 + 3 * 2 + 1 * 3 = 1$, which yields $u_1 = 18$. The next syestem is:

$$v^T \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = [18, 2, 3]$$

From the first column we get $v_1 = 18$, from the second column $v_2 = 2$ from the last column $v_3 = 3/24$. The next:

$$\mathbf{w}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = [18, 2, 3/24]$$

- Solving $y^T B_k = c_B^T$ also called backward transformation (BTRAN)
- Solving $B_k d = a$ also called forward transformation (FTRAN)

- E_i matrices can be stored by only storing the column and the position
- If sparse columns then can be stored in compact mode, ie only nonzero values and their indices

More on LP

- Tableau method is unstable: computational errors may accumulate. Revised method has a natural control mechanism: we can recompute A_B^{-1} at any time
- Commercial and freeware solvers differ from the way the systems $y^T A_B = c_B^T$ and $A_B d = a$ are resolved

Efficient Implementations

- Dual simplex with steepest descent (largest increase)
- Linear Algebra:
 - Dynamic LU-factorization using Markowitz threshold pivoting (Suhl and Suhl, 1990)
 - sparse linear systems: Typically these systems take as input a vector with a very small number of nonzero entries and output a vector with only a few additional nonzeros.
- Presolve, ie problem reductions: removal of redundant constraints, fixed variables, and other extraneous model elements.
- dealing with degeneracy, stalling (long sequences of degenerate pivots), and cycling:
 - bound-shifting (Paula Harris, 1974)
 - Hybrid Pricing (variable selection): start with partial pricing, then switch to devex (approximate steepest-edge, Harris, 1974)
- A model that might have taken a year to solve 10 years ago can now solve in less than 30 seconds (Bixby, 2002).