

DM872

Math Optimization at Work

Presolving Techniques in Linear Programming

Enhancing Solver Efficiency through Problem Simplification

Marco Chiarandini

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science
University of Southern Denmark

1. Introduction

2. Techniques

1. Introduction

2. Techniques

Definition: **Presolving** refers to the preprocessing phase in linear programming where the problem is analyzed and simplified before applying optimization algorithms.

Purpose: To reduce problem size, eliminate redundancies, and improve solver efficiency.

Primal Reductions are solely based on reasoning applied to the feasible region, while **dual reductions** consider the objective function.

Side effects: asking for dual values, solution removed

Objectives of Presolving

- Simplification: Reduce the number of variables and constraints
- Detection: Identify infeasibility or unboundedness early
- Enhancement: Improve numerical stability and solution accuracy

Outline

Introduction
Techniques

1. Introduction

2. Techniques

- **Eliminating Redundant Constraints:** Removing constraints that do not affect the feasible region
- **Variable Fixing:** Assigning fixed values to certain variables based on constraint analysis
- **Constraint Aggregation:** Combining multiple constraints into a single constraint to simplify the problem
- **Coefficient Reduction:** Simplifying coefficients to improve numerical stability

- **Probing**: Testing variable assignments to deduce further reductions.
- **Dominated Columns/Rows Removal**: Eliminating variables or constraints that are dominated by others.
- **Constraint Sparsification**: Reducing the density of constraints to simplify the problem structure.

Common Presolving Techniques: Examples

Consider $S = \{\mathbf{x} : a_0 x_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j \leq b, l_j \leq x_j \leq u_j, j = 0..n\}$

- Bounds on variables.

If $a_0 > 0$ then:

$$x_0 \leq \left(b - \sum_{j:a_j>0} a_j l_j - \sum_{j:a_j<0} a_j u_j \right) / a_0$$

and if $a_0 < 0$ then

$$x_0 \geq \left(b - \sum_{j:a_j>0} a_j l_j - \sum_{j:a_j<0} a_j u_j \right) / a_0$$

- Redundancy. The constraint $\sum_{j=0}^n a_j x_j \leq b$ is redundant if

$$\sum_{j:a_j>0} a_j u_j + \sum_{j:a_j<0} a_j l_j \leq b$$

- Infeasibility: $S = \emptyset$ if (swapping lower and upper bounds from previous case)

$$\sum_{j:a_j>0} a_j l_j + \sum_{j:a_j<0} a_j u_j > b$$

- Variable fixing. For a max problem in the form

$$\max\{\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{u}\}$$

if $\forall i = 1..m : a_{ij} \geq 0, c_j < 0$ then fix $x_j = l_j$

if $\forall i = 1..m : a_{ij} < 0, c_j > 0$ then fix $x_j = u_j$

- Integer variables:

$$\lceil l_j \rceil \leq x_j \leq \lfloor u_j \rfloor$$

Example

$$\max 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3$$

$$R1 : 5x_1 - 2x_2 + 8x_3 \leq 15$$

$$R2 : 8x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3 \geq 9$$

$$R3 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 6$$

$$0 \leq x_1 \leq 3$$

$$0 \leq x_2 \leq 1$$

$$x_3 \geq 1$$

$$R1 : 5x_1 \leq 15 + 2x_2 - 8x_3 \leq 15 + 2 \cdot \overset{u_2}{1} - 8 \cdot \overset{l_3}{1} = 9$$

$$\rightsquigarrow x_1 \leq 9/5$$

$$8x_3 \leq 15 + 2x_2 - 5x_1 \leq 15 + 2 \cdot 1 - 5 \cdot 0 = 17$$

$$\rightsquigarrow x_3 \leq 17/8$$

$$2x_2 \geq 5x_1 + 8x_3 - 15 \geq 5 \cdot 0 + 8 \cdot 1 = -7$$

$$\rightsquigarrow x_2 \geq -7/2, x_2 \geq 0$$

$$R2 : 8x_1 \geq 9 - 3x_2 + x_3 \geq 9 - 3 + 1 = 7$$

$$\rightsquigarrow x_1 \geq 7/8$$

$$R1 : 8x_3 \geq 15 + 2x_2 - 5x_1 \leq 15 + 2 - 5 \cdot 7/8 = 101/8$$

$$\rightsquigarrow x_3 \leq 101/64$$

$$R3 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 9/5 + 1 + 101/64 < 6 \quad \text{Hence R3 is redundant}$$

Example

$$\begin{aligned} \max & 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \\ \text{R1 : } & 5x_1 - 2x_2 + 8x_3 \leq 15 \\ \text{R2 : } & 8x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3 \geq 9 \\ & 7/8 \leq x_1 \leq 9/5 \\ & 0 \leq x_2 \leq 1 \\ & 1 \leq x_3 \leq 101/64 \end{aligned}$$

Increasing x_2 makes constraints satisfied $\rightsquigarrow x_2 = 1$

Decreasing x_3 makes constraints satisfied $\rightsquigarrow x_3 = 1$

We are left with:

$$\max\{2x_1 : 7/8 \leq x_1 \leq 9/5\}$$

Set some binary variable tentatively to zero or one and derive further or stronger inequalities or better bounds

- x_k binary variable, x_j arbitrary variable with bounds $\ell_j \leq x_j \leq u_j$
- ℓ_j^0 and u_j^0 bounds of x_j deduced from setting $x_k := 0$
 ℓ_j^1 and u_j^1 bounds of x_j deduced from setting $x_k := 1$
- the following observations can be made:
 1. If setting $x_k = 0$ leads to an infeasible problem, we can fix $x_k := 1$. Conversely, if $x_k = 1$ is infeasible, we can fix $x_k := 0$.
 2. If $\ell_j^0 = u_j^0$ and $\ell_j^1 = u_j^1$, x_j can be substituted as $x_j := \ell_j^0 + (\ell_j^1 - \ell_j^0) \cdot x_k$. Note that for $\ell_j^0 = \ell_j^1$ this means to fix $x_j := \ell_j^0$.
 3. We can deduce valid global bounds of x_j by $\ell_j := \min\{\ell_j^0, \ell_j^1\}$ and $u_j := \min\{u_j^0, u_j^1\}$
 4. otherwise we store valid implications on the bounds of x_j depending on the value of x_k
$$\begin{array}{ll} x_k = 0 \implies x_j \geq \ell_j^0 & x_k = 1 \implies x_j \geq \ell_j^1 \\ x_k = 0 \implies x_j \leq u_j^0 & x_k = 1 \implies x_j \leq u_j^1 \end{array}$$

Presolving for Set Covering/Partitioning

1. if $\mathbf{e}_i^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ then the i th row can never be satisfied

$$[0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \ \dots \ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. if $\mathbf{e}_i^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{e}_k$ then $x_k = 1$ in every feasible solution

$$[0 \ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0] \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ 0 \ \dots \ 1 \ \dots \ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In SPP can remove all rows t with $a_{tk} = 1$ and set $x_j = 0$ (ie, remove cols) for all cols that cover t

3. if $\mathbf{e}_t^T A \geq \mathbf{e}_p^T A$ then we can remove row t , row p dominates row t (by covering p we cover t)

$$\begin{bmatrix} t & & 1 & 1 & & 1 \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ p & & 1 & & & 1 \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

In SPP we can remove all
cols j : $a_{tj} = 1, a_{pj} = 0$

4. if $\sum_{j \in S} A e_j = A e_k$ and $\sum_{j \in S} c_j \leq c_k$ then we can cover the rows by $A e_k$ more cheaply with S and set $x_k = 0$
(Note, we cannot remove S if $\sum_{j \in S} c_j \geq c_k$)

$$\begin{bmatrix} & 1 & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \\ 1 & & & & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- MIP challenge 2024 on Presolving Reductions <https://github.com/dominiqs81/MIPcc24>
- Papilo, parallel presolving <https://github.com/dominiqs81/MIPcc24>

1. Introduction

2. Techniques