

# UltraInsight: Analyzing Paces, Ages, and Trends in Ultramarathon Finishers

## ULTRAINSIGHT ‘ 24

Claire Lueking  
Applied Computer Science  
University of Colorado Boulder  
Boulder, CO USA  
[claire.lueking@colorado.edu](mailto:claire.lueking@colorado.edu)

### 1 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The knowledge gained through the mining of this dataset could help ultramarathoners plan their races through pacing strategies. Additionally, this information could be used in recognizing which countries and athletes are at the top of the field based on metrics and race statistics. Thirdly, the information could be used to determine which countries are more popular for ultramarathons and how the trend of increasing or decreasing finishers could be related to ultramarathon participation.

Through analysis of the dataset I hope to find out what are the average paces sustained, per race distance, for ultramarathon finishers. Additionally, I hope to find what the typical ages are of ultramarathon finishers that finish in the top 20% of the field per race distance. Lastly, I hope to find out which year(s) had the most ultramarathon finishers with paces in the top 20% of the field per race distance.

### 2 LITERATURE SURVEY

Prior work done on the subject of ultramarathons includes a study of master's athletes being examined for peak age and performance trends via pace and other measures for 24 hour ultramarathons in a 13 year study [1]. Another study focused on successful finishers of ultramarathons by assessing them for performance in more than 2000 100 kilometer races over 59 years to find out running speed and finisher age trends throughout the years. This study was worldwide [2]. A third study

was done that analyzed pacing strategies of male elite and age groups ultramarathon racers to find trends related to age and race distance [3].

### 3 PROPOSED WORK

The dataset will be reduced to the past ten years of racing included in the dataset, from 2012 to 2022. An age column will be added in order to describe the numeric age of the participants to make it easier for analysis. Timed races will be removed in favor of set distance races for simplified analysis. The distances will be converted to kilometers if they are in miles in order to match the majority of races, which are in kilometers.

In terms of data cleaning, entries that have blank attribute cells will be removed. Information will be verified by manually looking up random samples of race results. If there are a large number of discrepancies, each race will be evaluated individually for accuracy in reporting, though the dataset is anticipated to be accurate based on preliminary searches already.

As for deriving data, I plan to use birth years and race years to calculate the age of an athlete for the specific race by subtracting the birth year from the race year. For average pace per race distance, I plan to find the mean(  $\bar{x}$  )

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_i}{N} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_N}{N} \quad (1)$$

for the paces [4]. In order to find the top 20% of the field per race distance, I plan to use correlation ( $\rho$ ) [4].

$$r_{A,B} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - \bar{A})(b_i - \bar{B})}{n\sigma_A\sigma_B} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i b_i) - n\bar{A}\bar{B}}{n\sigma_A\sigma_B}, \quad (2)$$

These measures will be useful in comparing athletes and finding out key points of information related to the attributes and their calculations. I also intend to use standard deviation ( $\sigma$ )

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^2}{N}} \quad (3)$$

to find outliers within the data as well [4].

For the project design I plan to follow the milestones outlined in section 7 in order to code and analyze the dataset. The coding format will be organized according to the data preprocessing and cleaning needs, the order of questions that need to be answered, and residual work to make the results more clear before moving onto visualizations. For the report design, it will be organized in the ACM SIG format (as this paper is organized in it as well) and the specifications outlined by the project.

For evaluation, I plan to use the evaluation methods as outlined in section 5 of this paper in order to verify and cross reference information and results. These results will be compared to established results from the literature review, section 2, studies.

#### 4 DATASET

The dataset is a collection over seven million race records of ultramarathon finishers from

1798 and 2022. Ultramarathons are any race distance over 42.195 kilometers, ranging from 50 kilometers to over 320 kilometers. The data came from public websites with the race results. To make the dataset more private regarding athlete names, the names were removed and an athlete identification attribute was made. In addition to that attribute, the attributes of year of event, event date(s), event name, event distance, event number of finishers, athlete performance, athlete club, athlete country, athlete year of birth, athlete gender, athlete age category, and athlete average speed are included within the dataset. The dataset URL is here:

<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/aiaiaidavid/the-big-dataset-of-ultra-marathon-running> [5].

#### 5 EVALUATION METHODS

To evaluate the findings, the results will be compared to the results of the studies mentioned in the literature review. To compare the paces, an absolute and percent difference formula will be used. This can verify if paces are approximately around where they should be for the top twenty percent of the field. An example of this would be finding the average pack for the 50km distance for 18-22 year-olds in the dataset and comparing it with the similar age range pace in the studies mentioned in section 2's literature review.

To compare the years or age ranges, we will look at how they overlap with the established studies. This can help verify if the approximate ages and years match up with the average ages of the ultramarathoners who compete and finish the races as well as the year(s) of racing for finding the top 20% of paces. An example of the age range verification would be calculating the age range that has the top 20% of paces for a certain distance, and comparing it with the previously mentioned studies' results. If there is some overlap, it is a good conclusion that the age range could be modified slightly. However, if there is not much overlap, the data might need

to be looked at or recalculated again. An example of the year verification would be to look at who finished in the top 20% of paces in a particular year and compare that with the previous studies' results to see if there is overlap in agreement.

Additionally, the plausibility of the results will be cross referenced with outside race results and other studies if more verification is needed. All calculations done with equations, such as those that will be listed in the tools section, will be verified at least three times to make sure that the answer is stable and not changing each time.

## 6 TOOLS

A tool that will be used is Microsoft Excel for file reading and basic data cleaning. The class textbook will also be used for equations such as the ones for mean ( $\mu$ ), standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ), and correlation ( $\rho$ ) for statistics and comparison between attributes (labeled as Equations 1,2, and 3 above).

Additionally, the Python programming language within VS Code to make visualizations and calculate statistics. Python packages such as numpy, pandas, and matplotlib will be used to aid this process. Lastly, the equations from the book will be used in conjunction with coding to help guide aspects of the analysis.

## 7 MILESTONES

The data preprocessing will be done by March 30, 2024. As for analysis of the data, that will be done by April 10, 2024. For creating visualizations, those will be done by April 20, 2024. The progress report will be done by the due date of April 22, 2024. The final report will be done by April 30, 2024 and the presentation will be done by the due date of May 2, 2024.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ZINGG, M., RÜST, C.A., LEPERS, R., ROSEMAN, T., AND KNECHTLE, B. 2013. Master runners dominate 24-H ultramarathons worldwide-A retrospective data analysis from 1998 to 2011 - extreme physiology & medicine. *BioMed Central*. <https://extremephysiolmed.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/2046-7648-2-21>.
- [2] STÖHR, A., NIKOLAIDIS, P.T., VILLIGER, E., ET AL. 2021. An Analysis of Participation and Performance of 2067 100-km Ultra-Marathons Worldwide. *National Library of Medicine*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7825131/>.
- [3] KNECHTLE, B., ROSEMAN, T., ZINGG, M.A., STIEFEL, M., AND RÜST, C.A. 2015. Pacing strategy in male elite and age group 100 km ultra-marathoners. *National Library of Medicine*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4376307/>.
- [4] HAN, J., KAMBER, M., AND PEI, J. 2011. *Data Mining: Concepts and techniques 3rd edition*. Elsevier Science, San Diego, CA, USA.
- [5] DAVID. 2023. The big dataset of ultra-marathon Running. *Kaggle*. <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/aiaiaidavid/the-big-dataset-of-ultra-marathon-running>.