An example of the STIE single-cell level deconvolution and clustering

```
Shijia Zhu (shijia.zhu@UTSouthwestern.edu)
```

2023-02-26

STIE aligns the spatial transcriptome data to the matched histology image-based nucleus segmentation, thereby enabling the real single-cell level and whole-slide scale deconvolution, convolution and clustering for both low- and high-resolution spots. Here, we used the 10X CytAssist mouse brain hippocampus as an example to demonstrate the STIE deconvolution and clustering at the single-cell level with and without cell-type signature. The raw data can be found from the 10X public database: section 1 and section 2.

Please refer to the wiki¹ and the nucleus segmentation² for the full tutorial. Contents:

STIE dependent packages

- STIE input
- Single-cell level deconvolution in Spatial transcriptomics
- Single-cell level clustering in Spatial transcriptomics • Grid search for \(\lambda\) and nuclear morphological features

Here, we only import the dependent packages for STIE deconvolution and clustering, but did not import the

1. Load STIE dependent tools and R packages

other packages for reading raw data, plotting image, and examing cell-cell interaction. #### for the STIE package

```
library("STIE")
#### for the quadratic programming
library("quadprog")
#> Warning: package 'quadprog' was built under R version 3.5.2
#### for manipulating ST gene expression
# library("Seurat")
#### for manipulating images
# library("magick", "EBImage")
#### for spatially resolved cell-cell interaction
# library("CellChat", "NMF", "ggalluvial")
```

STIE takes the follows as input:

2. load the ST data for the 10X CytAssist mouse brain hippocampus FFPE

• nuclear coordinates and nuclear morphology the spatial coordinates and morphological features of

- spot-level gene expression the gene expression on spots • cell-type transcriptomic signature the cell-type transcriptomic signature derived from scRNA-seq
- STIE.dir = system.file(package = "STIE")

```
nn = load(
paste0(STIE.dir,"/data/MouseBrainHippocampus_10xV2ChemistryCytAssistFFPE_section1n2.RData") )
nn
#> [1] "ST_expr"
                       "spot_coordinates"
                                       "cell_contour"
#> [4] "morphology_fts"
                       "cells_on_spot"
                                         "ST_expr_s2"
#> [7] "spot_coordinates_s2" "cell_contour_s2" "morphology_fts_s2"
#> [10] "cells_on_spot_s2"
                       "Signature"
# spot coordiantes
head(spot_coordinates,3)
               barcode tissue row col imagerow imagecol pixel_x pixel_y
#> 1493 CACTCAATAAGCCGAA-1 1 23 41 12469 21474 21474 12469
# spot-level gene expression
head(ST_expr[,1:10],3)
                 Xkr4 Rp1 Sox17 Lypla1 Tcea1 Rgs20 Atp6v1h Oprk1 Npbwr1
#> CTGGTGATCGCCGTAG-1 5 0 0 3 12 0
                                                11
#> CACTCAATAAGCCGAA-1 3 0 0 5 9 0 8
#> GCTATGCGTGAACGGT-1 0 0 0 0 5 1 12 0 0
                 Rb1cc1
#> CTGGTGATCGCCGTAG-1
#> CACTCAATAAGCCGAA-1
#> GCTATGCGTGAACGGT-1
# nuclear coordinates and nuclear morphology
head(morphology_fts,3)
#> cell_id X.1 Area Mean StdDev Mode Min Max
                                         X Y XM
       #> 2
        2  2 0.151 205.810 20.052 215 96 235 13637.18 14721.14 17.048 28.339
    3  3  0.107  193.578  33.204  202  44  246  14836.67  13422.50  29.543  14.809
                 BY Width Height Major Minor Angle Circ. Feret IntDen
#> 1 1.637 19.760 17.250 0.542 0.510 0.535 0.499 153.749 0.984 0.548 40.286
#> 2 1.395 16.812 28.125 0.469 0.427 0.466 0.412 156.331 0.975 0.473 31.064
#> 3 1.167 29.354 14.625 0.375 0.365 0.383 0.355 23.470 0.985 0.388 20.647
#> Median Skew Kurt X.Area RawIntDen Ch FeretX FeretY FeretAngle MinFeret
#> 1 199 -1.878 5.794 100 371272 1 1901.50 1665.13 140.889
#> 2 211 -2.126 5.999 100 286282 1 1618.11 2707.54 146.240 0.410
    202 -2.176 5.641
                           190287 1 2820.28 1432.34
#> 3
                     100
                                                   39.136
      AR Round Solidity Eccentricity pixel_x pixel_y size
                                                        shape
#> 1 1.073 0.932 1.003 0.3606266 13923.68 13680.93 -7.229743 -1.430093
#> 2 1.132 0.883
              1.001 0.4672596 13637.18 14721.14 -4.870871 -1.125815
#> 3 1.077 0.928
              angle
#> 1 -1.568037
#> 2 -1.677228
#> 3 1.602321
# cell-type transcriptomic signature
head(Signature,3)
   CA1 CA2 CA3 DG GABAergic Glia
#> Grm2 1.96 0.18 0.30 6.39 0.48 0.64
#> St3gal1 0.81 0.18 0.13 2.98 0.53 0.24
#> C1ql3 2.05 0.00 0.44 6.66 0.22 0.90
```

for EM algorithm iteration.

run STIE deconvolution

library(EBImage) # library(magick)

cell_types = result_deconv\$cell_types

4. STIE clustering at single-cell level

choose Kmeans (k=5) on spot-level gene expression

 $pc = prcomp(ST_expr) x[,1:10]$

cluster = kmeans(pc,5)\$cluster

subset the cell contour

plot_spot=F, plot_cell=T)

searching range

set.seed(1234)

3. STIE deconvolution at single-cell level

Users could define the morphological features based on specific prior knowledge #### In this example, we use "shape" as the morphological feature features = c("shape")

deconvolution by setting known_signature=TRUE and known_cell_types=FALSE. We set lambda=0 and steps=30

Load mouse brain hippocampus scRNA-seq-derived cell type transcriptomic signatures. We run STIE

```
system.time( result_deconv <- STIE(ST_expr, Signature, cells_on_spot, features,</pre>
                                      lambda=0, steps=30, known_signature=TRUE,
 known_cell_types=FALSE))
 #> user system elapsed
 #> 13.349 0.223 13.585
 names(result_deconv)
 #> [1] "lambda"
                                            "sigma"
                                                             "PE_on_spot"
 #> [5] "PM_on_cell" "PME_uni_cell" "cell_types"
                                                             "uni_cell_types"
                          "cells_on_spot"
 #> [9] "Signature"
We use the plot_sub_image() function to overlay the single cells along with their cell types onto the image.
Please run ?plot_sub_image to check the useful visualization parameters.
The high-res images are downloaded from the 10X public database:
CytAssist FFPE Mouse Brain Rep1 tissue image.tif for section 1 and
CytAssist FFPE Mouse Brain Rep2 tissue image.tif for section 2.
```

```
#### read image
# image_path = 'CytAssist_FFPE_Mouse_Brain_Rep1_tissue_image.tif'
# im <- image_read(image_path)[1]</pre>
#### the STIE-obtained cell types
```

```
#### subset the cell contour
# contour = cell_contour[ match(names(cell_types), names(cell_contour)) ]
#### plot the single cells along with their cell types onto the image
# colors = c("magenta", "blue", "green", "black", "orange")
# plot spot coordinates
# plot_sub_image(im=im, w=9000, h=5000, xoff=15500, yoff=11500, x_scale=0.2,
spot_coordinates=spot_coordinates, contour=contour, cell_types=cell_types, color_use=colors,
plot_spot=T, plot_cell=F)
              10X Visium CytAssist Spots
              on the H&E image (section1)
                                                    STIE deconvolution (section1)
                                                                                  CA1
                                                                                 CA2
                                                                                  CA3
                                                                                  DG
```

the same initial cluster, and the initial value of cluster signature was set to be the average gene expression of spots belonging to the cluster. In each iteration, the cluster signature was re-estimated in the M-step, and the cluster of each single cell was re-assigned in the E-step. In the following example, we take the spot-level cluster at k=5 as the initial value and run STIE with by setting known_signature and known_cell_types as FALSE.

the spot-level clustering, e.g., K-means, Louvain clustering, or SpaGCN, the cells within the spot are assigned

Given no cell type transcriptomic signature, STIE can perform cell type clustering at the single-cell level, and

meanwhile, estimate the gene expression signature for clusters. The initial values of clusters are first given using

STIE deconvolution on the mouse brain hippocampus

cluster = data.frame(Barcode=names(cluster), Cluster=cluster) cluster = cluster[match(as.character(spot_coordinates\$barcode), as.character(cluster\$Barcode)),] head(cluster) Barcode Cluster #> CTGGTGATCGCCGTAG-1 CTGGTGATCGCCGTAG-1 #> CACTCAATAAGCCGAA-1 CACTCAATAAGCCGAA-1 #> GCTATGCGTGAACGGT-1 GCTATGCGTGAACGGT-1

```
#> CAGTTGCTCACGTGTC-1 CAGTTGCTCACGTGTC-1
 #> TCAGTATGTAGGACAA-1 TCAGTATGTAGGACAA-1
 #> ACCAAGTGATGGTGAG-1 ACCAAGTGATGGTGAG-1
 #### take the cluster average gene expression as the initial value of the cluster signature
 ST_expr_ini = ST_expr[ match(as.character(cluster[,1]), rownames(ST_expr)), ]
 Signature_ini = t(apply(ST_expr_ini, 2, function(x) tapply(x,cluster[,2],mean) ))
 #### run STIE using "Signature_ini" as an initial value and iteratively refine "Signature"
 #### by setting both "known_signature=FALSE" and "known_cell_types=FALSE"
 system.time( result_cluster <- STIE(ST_expr, Signature_ini, cells_on_spot, features,</pre>
 lambda=0, steps=30,
                                     known_signature=FALSE, known_cell_types=FALSE))
       user system elapsed
 #> 69.035 5.611 74.712
We use the following codes to visualize the results of K-means and STIE clustering.
 #### plot the Kmeans clustering at the spot level
 # colors2 = c("green", "black", "magenta", "orange", "blue")
 # spot_cols = colors2[ cluster$Cluster ]
 # plot_sub_image(im=im, w=9000, h=5000, xoff=15500, yoff=11500, x_scale=0.2,
 spot_coordinates=spot_coordinates, plot_spot=T, plot_cell=F, spot_cols=spot_cols, fill_spot=T
 #### plot the STIE clustering at the single-cell level
 #### the STIE-obtained cell types
 # cell_types = result_cluster$cell_types
```

```
Cluster
                                                                   STIE clustering
                            K-means
                                                                                            3
                               STIE clustering on the mouse brain hippocampus
5. Grid search for \lambda and nuclear morphological features
The hyperparameter \lambda balances the information from gene expression and morphological features.

    Given the predefined morphological features, we select \( \lambda \) by evaluating the balance between two criteria:

      RMSE of the spatial gene expression fitting and log-likelihood of the morphological feature fitting.
    • To select the morphological features, under a predefined \(\lambda\), we rank the nuclear morphological features
```

spot_coordinates=spot_coordinates, contour=contour2, cell_types=cell_types, color_use=colors2,

based on the RMSE by running STIE on each feature individually. Next, based on their ranking, we used a greedy strategy to gradually add more features to the model. Like the selection of λ , the best morphological features are selected by evaluating the gene expression and morphological fittings simultaneously.

contour2 = cell_contour[match(names(cell_types), names(cell_contour))]

plot_sub_image(im=im, w=9000, h=5000, xoff=15500, yoff=11500, x_scale=0.2,

plot the single cells along with their cell types onto the image

 To select the best combination of morphological features and λ, we investigated the morphological features over different λ . In the real datasets, we extracted multiple morphological features for the nuclei. These features are found to be

highly correlated, which is consistent with their definition and mathematical calculation. We focused on two large categories: size (Area, Major, Minor, Width, Height, Feret, and Perimeter) and shape (Round and Circular). To reduce the redundancy and improve efficiency, we performed PCA for each category and took the 1st PC as the surrogate of each category. We select the best combination of the morphological feature and λ simultaneously via the grid search, which is implemented using the R function STIE_search(). In the mouse brain hippocampus deconvolution and clustering, the feature 'shape' was ranked before 'size', and 'shape' gives the lower RMSE and higher

lambdas $\leftarrow c(0,1e1,1e2,1e3,1e4,1e5,1e6)$ # set RMSE as the criterion to rank the morphological features # grid search for STIE deconvolution # paths <- STIE_search(ST_expr, Signature, cells_on_spot, steps=30, known_signature=TRUE,

morphological likelihood at small λ , so we used 'shape' as the morphological feature and $\lambda=0$ (red triangle).

```
known_cell_types=FALSE, lambdas=lambdas, criterion = "rmse" )
# names(paths)
# names(paths[["0"]])
# paths[["0"]]$ordered_features
                            RMSE_Expr
                                                  logLik_Morph
                                                                          shape
                                                                          shape+size
                                                                          la=1000
                                                                          la=10000
```

STIE search for the deconvolution

la=1e+05 la=1e+06