#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

		Madel Information		
Model Information				
Data Set	WORK.IMPDATA			
Response Variable	f_mh_pts_evr_yt			
Number of Response Levels	2			
Stratum Variable	ra_site	ra_site - Site (1=Balt., 2=Bos, 3=Chicago, 4=LA, 5=NYC)		
Number of Strata	5			
Weight Variable	f_wt_totsvy	f_wt_totsvy - Total Weight for Final Survey Self-Report Data (f_wt_Raratio98 * f_wt_sampsvy * f_wt_phase2)		
Model	Binary Logit			
Optimization Technique	Fisher's Scoring			
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)			

Variance Estimation			
Method Taylor Series			
Variance Adjustment Degrees of Freedom (D			

Number of Observations Read	25505
Number of Observations Used	25505
Sum of Weights Read	29185.86
Sum of Weights Used	29185.86

Response Profile				
Ordered Value	f_mh_pts_evr_yt	Total Frequency	Total Weight	
1	0	23865	27345.872	
2	1	1640	1839.992	

Model Convergence Status	
ı	Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion	Intercept and ion Only Covariates			
AIC	13734.661	13734.883		
sc	13742.808	13759.323		
-2 Log L	13732.661	13728.883		

### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq					
Likelihood Ratio	3.7785	2	0.1512		
Score	3.7488	2	0.1534		
Wald	2.3402	2	0.3103		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq	
Intercept	1	-2.7655	0.0566	2385.7917	<.0001	
ra_Grp_Exp	1	0.0865	0.0716	1.4596	0.2270	
ra_Grp_S8	1	0.1132	0.0773	2.1465	0.1429	

Odds Ratio Estimates					
Point 95% Wald Confidence Limits					
ra_Grp_Exp	1.090	0.948	1.255		
ra_Grp_S8	1.120	0.962	1.303		

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant	23.1	Somers' D	0.038		
Percent Discordant	19.2	Gamma	0.091		
Percent Tied 57.7 Tau-a 0.005					
Pairs 39138600 c 0.519					

Estimated Covariance Matrix					
Parameter Intercept ra_Grp_Exp ra_Grp_S8					
Intercept	0.003206	-0.00321	-0.00321		
ra_Grp_Exp	-0.00321	0.005124	0.003206		
ra_Grp_S8 -0.00321 0.003206 0.005968					

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

### **Domain Analysis for domain Imputation Number=1**

Domain Summary			
Number of Observations	25505		
Number of Observations in Domain	5101		
Number of Observations not in Domain	20404		
Sum of Weights in Domain	5837.2		

	Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.IMPDATA			
Response Variable	f_mh_pts_evr_yt			
Number of Response Levels	2			
Stratum Variable	ra_site	ra_site - Site (1=Balt., 2=Bos, 3=Chicago, 4=LA, 5=NYC)		
Number of Strata	5			
Weight Variable	f_wt_totsvy	f_wt_totsvy - Total Weight for Final Survey Self-Report Data (f_wt_Raratio98 * f_wt_sampsvy * f_wt_phase2)		
Model	Binary Logit			
Optimization Technique	Fisher's Scoring			
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)			

Variance Estimation			
Method Taylor Series			
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)		

Number of Observations Read	25505
Number of Observations Used	25505
Sum of Weights Read	5837.173
Sum of Weights Used	5837.173

Response Profile				
Ordered Value	f_mh_pts_evr_yt	Total Frequency	Total Weight	
1	0	4773	5469.1744	
2	1	328	367.9985	

Model Convergence Status		
Γ	Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.	

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Model Fit Statistics				
Intercept and Criterion Only Covariates				
AIC	2748.532	2751.777		
sc	2756.679	2776.216		
-2 Log L	2746.532	2745.777		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq					
Likelihood Ratio	0.7557	2	0.6853		
Score	0.7498	2	0.6874		
Wald	0.4679	2	0.7914		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq						
Intercept	1	-2.7655	0.1266	476.8238	<.0001	
ra_Grp_Exp	1	0.0865	0.1601	0.2918	0.5891	
ra_Grp_S8	1	0.1132	0.1728	0.4292	0.5124	

Odds Ratio Estimates				
Point 95% Wald Effect Estimate Confidence Limits				
ra_Grp_Exp	1.090	0.797	1.492	
ra_Grp_S8	1.120	0.798	1.571	

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant 23.1 Somers' D 0.038					
Percent Discordant	19.2	Gamma	0.091		
Percent Tied 57.7 Tau-a 0.005					
Pairs	1565544	С	0.519		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Estimated Covariance Matrix					
Parameter Intercept ra_Grp_Exp ra_Grp_S8					
Intercept	0.016039	-0.01604	-0.01604		
ra_Grp_Exp	-0.01604	0.025631	0.01604		
ra_Grp_S8	-0.01604	0.01604	0.02985		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

### **Domain Analysis for domain Imputation Number=2**

Domain Summary		
Number of Observations	25505	
Number of Observations in Domain	5101	
Number of Observations not in Domain	20404	
Sum of Weights in Domain	5837.2	

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.IMPDATA		
Response Variable	f_mh_pts_evr_yt		
Number of Response Levels	2		
Stratum Variable	ra_site	ra_site - Site (1=Balt., 2=Bos, 3=Chicago, 4=LA, 5=NYC)	
Number of Strata	5		
Weight Variable	f_wt_totsvy	f_wt_totsvy - Total Weight for Final Survey Self-Report Data (f_wt_Raratio98 * f_wt_sampsvy * f_wt_phase2)	
Model	Binary Logit		
Optimization Technique	Fisher's Scoring		
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)		

Variance Estimation			
Method Taylor Series			
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)		

Number of Observations Read	25505
Number of Observations Used	25505
Sum of Weights Read	5837.173
Sum of Weights Used	5837.173

Response Profile					
Ordered Value	f_mh_pts_evr_yt	Total Frequency	Total Weight		
1	0	4773	5469.1744		
2	1	328	367.9985		

Model Convergence Status		
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Model Fit Statistics				
Intercept and Criterion Only Covariates				
AIC	2748.532	2751.777		
sc	2756.679	2776.216		
-2 Log L	2746.532	2745.777		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq					
Likelihood Ratio	0.7557	2	0.6853		
Score	0.7498	2	0.6874		
Wald	0.4679	2	0.7914		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Chi-Square Pr > ChiSquare					
Intercept	1	-2.7655	0.1266	476.8238	<.0001	
ra_Grp_Exp	1	0.0865	0.1601	0.2918	0.5891	
ra_Grp_S8	1	0.1132	0.1728	0.4292	0.5124	

Odds Ratio Estimates				
Effect	Point 95% Wald Estimate Confidence Limits			
ra_Grp_Exp	1.090	0.797	1.492	
ra_Grp_S8	1.120	0.798	1.571	

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant 23.1 Somers' D 0.038					
Percent Discordant 19.2 Gamma 0.09					
Percent Tied	rcent Tied 57.7 Tau-a 0.005				
Pairs	1565544	С	0.519		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Estimated Covariance Matrix					
Parameter Intercept ra_Grp_Exp ra_Grp_S8					
Intercept	0.016039	-0.01604	-0.01604		
ra_Grp_Exp	-0.01604	0.025631	0.01604		
ra_Grp_S8	-0.01604	0.01604	0.02985		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

### **Domain Analysis for domain Imputation Number=3**

Domain Summary		
Number of Observations	25505	
Number of Observations in Domain	5101	
Number of Observations not in Domain	20404	
Sum of Weights in Domain	5837.2	

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.IMPDATA		
Response Variable	f_mh_pts_evr_yt		
Number of Response Levels	2		
Stratum Variable	ra_site	ra_site - Site (1=Balt., 2=Bos, 3=Chicago, 4=LA, 5=NYC)	
Number of Strata	5		
Weight Variable	f_wt_totsvy	f_wt_totsvy - Total Weight for Final Survey Self-Report Data (f_wt_Raratio98 * f_wt_sampsvy * f_wt_phase2)	
Model	Binary Logit		
Optimization Technique	Fisher's Scoring		
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)		

Variance Estimation			
Method Taylor Series			
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)		

Number of Observations Read	25505
Number of Observations Used	25505
Sum of Weights Read	5837.173
Sum of Weights Used	5837.173

Response Profile				
Ordered Value	f_mh_pts_evr_yt	Total Frequency	Total Weight	
1	0	4773	5469.1744	
2	1	328	367.9985	

Model Convergence Status		
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Model Fit Statistics				
Intercept and Criterion Only Covariates				
AIC	2748.532	2751.777		
sc	2756.679	2776.216		
-2 Log L	2746.532	2745.777		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq					
Likelihood Ratio	0.7557	2	0.6853		
Score	0.7498	2	0.6874		
Wald	0.4679	2	0.7914		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq						
Intercept	1	-2.7655	0.1266	476.8238	<.0001	
ra_Grp_Exp	1	0.0865	0.1601	0.2918	0.5891	
ra_Grp_S8	1	0.1132	0.1728	0.4292	0.5124	

Odds Ratio Estimates				
Effect	Point 95% Wald Estimate Confidence Limits			
ra_Grp_Exp	1.090	0.797 1.492		
ra_Grp_S8	1.120	0.798	1.571	

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant 23.1 Somers' D 0.038					
Percent Discordant 19.2 Gamma 0.09					
Percent Tied	57.7 <b>Tau-a</b> 0.005				
Pairs	1565544	С	0.519		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Estimated Covariance Matrix						
Parameter Intercept ra_Grp_Exp ra_Grp_S8						
Intercept	0.016039	-0.01604	-0.01604			
ra_Grp_Exp	0.025631	0.01604				
ra_Grp_S8	ra_Grp_S8 -0.01604 0.01604 0.02985					

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

### **Domain Analysis for domain Imputation Number=4**

Domain Summary		
Number of Observations	25505	
Number of Observations in Domain	5101	
Number of Observations not in Domain	20404	
Sum of Weights in Domain	5837.2	

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.IMPDATA		
Response Variable	f_mh_pts_evr_yt		
Number of Response Levels	2		
Stratum Variable	ra_site	ra_site - Site (1=Balt., 2=Bos, 3=Chicago, 4=LA, 5=NYC)	
Number of Strata	5		
Weight Variable	f_wt_totsvy	f_wt_totsvy - Total Weight for Final Survey Self-Report Data (f_wt_Raratio98 * f_wt_sampsvy * f_wt_phase2)	
Model	Binary Logit		
Optimization Technique	Fisher's Scoring		
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)		

Variance Estimation			
Method Taylor Series			
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)		

Number of Observations Read	25505
Number of Observations Used	25505
Sum of Weights Read	5837.173
Sum of Weights Used	5837.173

Response Profile				
Ordered Value	f_mh_pts_evr_yt	Total Frequency	Total Weight	
1	0	4773	5469.1744	
2	1	328	367.9985	

	Model Convergence Status
Γ	Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Model Fit Statistics				
Intercept and Criterion Only Covariates				
AIC	2748.532	2751.777		
sc	2756.679	2776.216		
-2 Log L	2746.532	2745.777		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSq					
Likelihood Ratio	0.7557	2	0.6853		
Score	0.7498	2	0.6874		
Wald	0.4679	2	0.7914		

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Chi-Square Pr > ChiSq						
Intercept	1	-2.7655	0.1266	476.8238	<.0001	
ra_Grp_Exp	1	0.0865	0.1601	0.2918	0.5891	
ra_Grp_S8	1	0.1132	0.1728	0.4292	0.5124	

Odds Ratio Estimates				
Point 95% Wald Effect Estimate Confidence Limits				
ra_Grp_Exp	1.090	0.797	1.492	
ra_Grp_S8	1.120	0.798	1.571	

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant 23.1 Somers' D 0.038					
Percent Discordant 19.2 Gamma 0.091					
Percent Tied 57.7 Tau-a 0.00					
Pairs	1565544	С	0.519		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Estimated Covariance Matrix					
Parameter Intercept ra_Grp_Exp ra_Grp_S8					
Intercept	0.016039	-0.01604	-0.01604		
ra_Grp_Exp	-0.01604	0.025631	0.01604		
ra_Grp_S8 -0.01604 0.01604 0.02985					

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

### **Domain Analysis for domain Imputation Number=5**

Domain Summary		
Number of Observations	25505	
Number of Observations in Domain	5101	
Number of Observations not in Domain	20404	
Sum of Weights in Domain	5837.2	

Model Information			
Data Set	WORK.IMPDATA		
Response Variable	f_mh_pts_evr_yt		
Number of Response Levels	2		
Stratum Variable	ra_site	ra_site - Site (1=Balt., 2=Bos, 3=Chicago, 4=LA, 5=NYC)	
Number of Strata	5		
Weight Variable	f_wt_totsvy	f_wt_totsvy - Total Weight for Final Survey Self-Report Data (f_wt_Raratio98 * f_wt_sampsvy * f_wt_phase2)	
Model	Binary Logit		
Optimization Technique	Fisher's Scoring		
Variance Adjustment	Degrees of Freedom (DF)		

Variance Estimation			
Method Taylor Serie			
Variance Adjustment   Degrees of Freedom			

Number of Observations Read	25505
Number of Observations Used	25505
Sum of Weights Read	5837.173
Sum of Weights Used	5837.173

Response Profile				
Ordered Value	f_mh_pts_evr_yt	Total Frequency	Total Weight	
1	0	4773	5469.1744	
2	1	328	367.9985	

Model Convergence Status		
Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Model Fit Statistics				
Criterion	Intercept an Criterion Only Covariate			
AIC	2748.532	2751.777		
sc	2756.679	2776.216		
-2 Log L	2746.532	2745.777		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0				
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > ChiSc				
Likelihood Ratio	0.7557	2	0.6853	
Score	0.7498	2	0.6874	
Wald	0.4679	2	0.7914	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter	Parameter DF Estimate Standard Wald Chi-Square Pr > ChiS					
Intercept	1	-2.7655	0.1266	476.8238	<.0001	
ra_Grp_Exp	1	0.0865	0.1601	0.2918	0.5891	
ra_Grp_S8	1	0.1132	0.1728	0.4292	0.5124	

Odds Ratio Estimates					
Effect	Point 95% Wald Estimate Confidence Limits				
ra_Grp_Exp	1.090	0.797 1.492			
ra_Grp_S8	1.120	0.798	1.571		

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses					
Percent Concordant	23.1	Somers' D	0.038		
Percent Discordant	19.2	Gamma	0.091		
Percent Tied	57.7 <b>Tau-a</b> 0.005				
Pairs	1565544	С	0.519		

#### The SURVEYLOGISTIC Procedure

Estimated Covariance Matrix					
Parameter Intercept ra_Grp_Exp ra_Grp_S8					
Intercept	0.016039	-0.01604	-0.01604		
ra_Grp_Exp	-0.01604	0.025631	0.01604		
ra_Grp_S8	-0.01604	0.01604	0.02985		