Introduction to the EGRETci package

By Robert M. Hirsch, Stacey A. Archfield, and Laura A. De Cicco March 9, 2015

Contents

1 li	Introduction to EGRET Confidence Intervals					
2 E	GRETci Workflow	5				
3 F	listograms	7				
4 C	Confidence Bands	11				
Figu	ıres					
Figure	1 Concentration histogram	8				
Figure						
Figure	3 Combo example	10				
Figure	4 plotConcHistBoot	13				
Figure	5 plotFluxHistBoot	14				
Tabl	es					
Table 1						
Table 2	? This table defines the contents of the caseSet Up data frame	4				

1 Introduction to EGRET Confidence Intervals

This package EGRETci is an early test version of the package that will encompass the various approaches to uncertainty estimation associated with trend analysis for the EGRET package. The various functions included here are all discussed in the draft manuscript 'A bootstrap method for estimating uncertainty of water quality trends' by Hirsch, Archfield, and De Cicco (draft version of March 5, 2015). The present version of the EGRETci package is designed for interactive use only, but later versions will describe how batch workflows can be created. Also, the current version can only do analysis of trends for water years, but later versions will allow it to evaluate any group of months (i.e. any 'Period of Analysis' to use the term in the EGRET package). The EGRETci package is designed to carry out three specific types of tasks.

- 1) Evaluate a trend over a specific span of years and produce a variety of tabular results. This is done with a short workflow involving four functions: trendSetUp, setPA, setForBoot, and wBT. The results come both in the form of a) console output, which shows the bootstrap replicate process as it is underway and provides text output of results, and b) a list of outputs called eBoot. The contents of eBoot are described below.
- 2) Prepare histograms of values for the trend magnitudes, expressed in percent, for flow-normalized concentration and flow-normalized flux. This is done with the function plotHistogramTrend. It depends on outputs contained in eBoot.
- 3) Create confidence bands around the computed trends in flow-normalized concentration and flow-normalized flux. This is done using a single interactive function called xxxxx and then, using the output from that function running two functions that produce the confidence band graphics for concentration and flux respectively (plotConcHistBoot, and plotFluxHistBoot).

Table 1. This table defines the contents of the eBoot list

Data Frame	Column	Definition							
bootOut	rejectC	Reject Ho, (no trend in concentration), TRUE or FALSE							
	pValC	p-value for no trend in concentration							
	estC	standard WRTDS estimate of change from starting year to ending year in mg/L							
	lowC	Lower confidence limit (90%) on concentration trend							
	upC	Upper confidence limit (90%) on concentration trend							
	lowC50	Lower confidence limit (50%) on concentration trend							
	upC50	Upper confidence limit (50%) on concentration trend							
	lowC95	Lower confidence limit (95%) on concentration trend							
	upC95	Upper confidence limit (95%) on concentration trend							
	likeCUp	Likelihood that trend in concentration is upwards							
	likeCDown	Likelihood that trend in concentration is downwards							
	rejectF	Reject Ho, (no trend in flux), TRUE or FALSE							
	pValF	p-value for no trend in flux							
	estF	standard WRTDS estimate of change from starting year to ending year, in 10 ⁶ kg/yr							
	lowF	Lower confidence limit (90%) on flux trend							
	upF	Upper confidence limit (90%) on flux trend							
	lowF50	Lower confidence limit (50%) on flux trend							
	upF50	Upper confidence limit (50%) on flux trend							
	lowF95	Lower confidence limit (95%) on flux trend							
	upF95	Upper confidence limit (95%) on flux trend							
	likeFUp	Likelihood that trend in flux is upwards							
	likeFDown	Likelihood that trend in flux is downwards							
	baseConc	The estimated mean flow-normalized concentration in starting year, in mg/L							
	baseFlux	The estimated mean flow-normalized flux in starting year, in 10 ⁶ kg/yr							
	iBoot	The actual number of bootstrap replicates used							
wordsOut		a vector of four character variables (self explanatory)							
xConc		a vector of length iBoot, of the change in flow normalized concentration computed by each boot strap replicate (mg/L)							
xFlux		a vector of length iBoot, of the change in flow normalized flux computed by each bootstrap replicate (10^6 kg/yr)							

There is also a data frame called <code>caseSetUp</code>, which contains a number of important parameters that define the way that the test was implemented. They are presented here.

Table 2. This table defines the contents of the <code>caseSetUp</code> data frame

Column	Definition
year1	the water year that is the start of the trend period (an integer)
yearData1	the water year that is the start of the data set (an integer)
year2	the water year that is the end of the trend period (an integer)
yearData2	the water year that is the end of the data set (an integer)
numSamples	number of samples in eList\$Sample
nBoot	maximum number of replicates (called Mmax in paper)
bootBreak	minimum number of replicates (called Mmin in paper)
blockLength	length of blocks for bootstrap (called B in the paper)
confStop	1 - alphap, the width of the confidence interval used in adaptive stopping rule (default alphap=0.3 so conf-Stop=0.7)

2 EGRETci Workflow

The output in output.txt is:

```
Choptank River Inorganic nitrogen (nitrate and nitrite)

Bootstrap process, for change from Water Year 1985 to Water Year 2005
data set runs from WaterYear 1980 to Water Year 2011
Bootstrap block length in days 200
bootBreak is 9 confStop is 0.7

WRTDS estimated concentration change is 0.328 mg/L
WRTDS estimated flux change is 0.03148 10^6 kg/yr
value is bootstrap replicate result (deltack or deltafk in paper)
nPos is cumulative number of positive trends
post_p is posterior mean estimate of probability of a positive trend
Lower and Upper are estimates of the 90% CI values for magnitude of trend
```

rep	ep Concentration				Flux						
	value	nPos	post_p	Lower	Upper		value	nPos	post_p	Lower	Upper
1	0.267	1	0.75	0.267	0.267		0.02585	1	0.75	0.02585	0.02585
2	0.295	2	0.833	0.267	0.295		0.02635	2	0.833	0.02585	0.02635
3	0.318	3	0.875	0.267	0.318		0.03256	3	0.875	0.02585	0.03256
4	0.233	4	0.9	0.233	0.318		0.02718	4	0.9	0.02585	0.03256
5	0.373	5	0.917	0.233	0.373		0.03897	5	0.917	0.02585	0.03897
6	0.309	6	0.929	0.233	0.373		0.03404	6	0.929	0.02585	0.03897
7	0.354	7	0.938	0.233	0.373		0.03824	7	0.938	0.02585	0.03897
8	0.293	8	0.944	0.233	0.373		0.02632	8	0.944	0.02585	0.03897
9	0.415	9	0.95	0.233	0.415		0.04439	9	0.95	0.02585	0.04439
10	0.421	10	0.955	0.233	0.421		0.04115	10	0.955	0.02585	0.04439
11	0.374	11	0.958	0.233	0.421		0.03796	11	0.958	0.02585	0.04439
12	0.362	12	0.962	0.233	0.421		0.03708	12	0.962	0.02585	0.04439
13	0.309	13	0.964	0.233	0.421		0.03073	13	0.964	0.02585	0.04439
14	0.353	14	0.967	0.233	0.421		0.03364	14	0.967	0.02585	0.04439
15	0.18	15	0.969	0.18	0.421		0.01796	15	0.969	0.01796	0.04439
16	0.312	16	0.971	0.18	0.421		0.02388	16	0.971	0.01796	0.04439
17	0.401	17	0.972	0.18	0.421		0.04159	17	0.972	0.01796	0.04439
18	0.34	18	0.974	0.18	0.421		0.03359	18	0.974	0.01796	0.04439

```
19 0.285 19 0.975 0.18 0.421 | 0.03073 19 0.975 0.01796 0.04439 20 0.291 20 0.976 0.183 0.421 | 0.02888 20 0.976 0.01826 0.04425
```

Should we reject Ho that Flow Normalized Concentration Trend = 0 ? Reject Ho

best estimate is 0.328 mg/L

Lower and Upper 90% CIs 0.183 0.421

also 95% CIs 0.180 0.421

and 50% CIs 0.292 0.370

approximate two-sided p-value for Conc 0.048

 \star Note p-value should be considered to be < stated value

Likelihood that Flow Normalized Concentration is trending up = 0.976 is trending down = 0.0238

Should we reject Ho that Flow Normalized Flux Trend = 0 ? Reject Ho

best estimate is 0.03148 10^6 kg/year

Lower and Upper 90% CIs 0.0183 0.0442

also 95% CIs 0.0180 0.0444

and 50% CIs 0.0266 0.0382

approximate two-sided p-value for Flux 0.048

* Note p-value should be considered to be < stated value

Likelihood that Flow Normalized Flux is trending up = 0.976 is trending down = 0.0238

Upward trend in concentration is highly likely

Upward trend in flux is highly likely

Downward trend in concentration is highly unlikely

Downward trend in flux is highly unlikely

3 Histograms

These functions plot histograms of all of the trend slopes from the full set of replicates created by wBT. These slopes are stored in the eBoot list. They can be plotted as an individual histogram for trends in Flow Normalized Concentration and Flow Normalized Flux, or they can be combined using the script shown below in Figure 3:




Figure 1. Concentration histogram

Histogram of trend in Inorganic nitrogen (nitrate and nitrite) Flux Normalized Concentration: 1980 to 2005 Choptank River




Figure 2. Flux histogram




Figure 3. Combo example

Use the par function to set up both functions to plot side-by-side:

4 Confidence Bands

There are two versions of the workflow for confidence bands. The first is very simple, but results in the use of a very large amount of computer time (easily an hour). It is best done in the Terminal or some other window, rather than in the console, because it will make it impossible for other work in R to take place if it is done in the console. The second way it can be done is using parallel computing in R. A script for that purpose is provided. It does require three extra packages be installed (foreach, doParallel, and iterators). It is also best to run this in the terminal, because if it is run in the console, no other work can be done while it is running. Regardless of the way that the computations are done, they will result in the creation of a small data frame called CIAnnualResults. Once that data frame exists, the graphics can be produced using the functions plotConcHistBoot and plotFluxHistBoot. In either case they only require two arguments (eList and CIAnnualResults). However, the user can specify a number of other arguments. These other arguments are the same ones used in the plotConcHist and plotFluxHist functions in the base EGRET package.

Base-R workflow for confidence bands on model results:

```
library(EGRET)
library(EGRETci)

eList <- Choptank_eList

CIAnnualResults <- ciCalculations(eList)

save(CIAnnualResults, file="CIAnnualResults.RData")</pre>
```

Taking advantage of the foreach package to do parallel computing:

```
library(foreach)
library(doParallel)
library(iterators)
library (EGRET)
library (EGRETci)
eList <- Choptank_eList
nBoot <- 100
blockLength <- 200
coreOut <- 2 #Number of cores to leave out of processing tasks
widthCI <- 90
ciLower < (50-(widthCI/2))/100
ciUpper <- (50+(widthCI/2))/100</pre>
probs <- c(ciLower, ciUpper)</pre>
nCores <- detectCores() - coreOut</pre>
cl <- makeCluster(nCores)</pre>
registerDoParallel(cl)
repAnnualResults <- foreach(n = 1:nBoot,.packages=c('EGRETci')) %dopar% {
   annualResults <- bootAnnual(eList, blockLength)</pre>
```

```
stopCluster(cl)

# save(repAnnualResults, file="repAnnualResults.RData")

CIAnnualResults <- ciBands(eList, repAnnualResults, probs)
save(CIAnnualResults, file="CIAnnualResults.RData")
</pre>
```

The following concentration and flux plots can then be generated from the CIAnnualResults data.

```
#Load package data:
eList <- Choptank_eList
CIAnnualResults <- Choptank_CIAnnualResults

plotConcHistBoot(eList, CIAnnualResults)

plotFluxHistBoot(eList, CIAnnualResults)</pre>
```




Figure 4. plotConcHistBoot




Figure 5. plotFluxHistBoot