AI ASSISTED CODING **NAME: DONTHI MEGHANA** HALL TICKET NUM:2403A510D9 **ASSIGNMENT:10.3** BATCH:05 TASK1# PROMPT: I have a Python script with syntax, indentation, and variable errors. Please identify and fix them # buggy_code_task1.py def add_numbers(a, b) result = a + breturn reslt print(add_numbers(10 20)) CODE: ass10.3.py > ... def add_numbers(a, b): result = a + breturn result 1 print(add_numbers(10, 20)) 5 **OUTPUT:** PS C:\Users\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab> **OBSERVATION:** ☐ Missing Colon in Function Definition: Original: def add_numbers(a, b) Issue: Python function definitions require a colon (:) at the end of the def line to indicate the start of the function's code block. Fix: def add numbers(a, b): ☐ Incorrect Indentation: Original: The lines result = a + b and return reslt were not

properly indented under the function definition.

- Issue: Python uses indentation to define code blocks. All statements within a function must be indented consistently.
- Fix: The lines result = a + b and return result have been indented to align correctly with the function definition.

Task 2

PROMPT:

I have a Python script that finds duplicate numbers in a list, but the logic is inefficient because it uses nested loops. Please optimize the code so that it still produces the correct result but runs more efficiently. CODE:

```
ass10.3.py > ...

def find_duplicates(nums):
    seen = set()

duplicates = set()

for num in nums:

if num in seen:

duplicates.add(num)

else:
    seen.add(num)

return list(duplicates)

numbers = [1, 2, 3, 2, 4, 5, 1, 6, 1, 2]

print(find_duplicates(numbers))
```

OUTPUT:

```
priya/Desktop/ai lab/ass10.3.py"
[1, 2]
PS C:\Users\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab>
```

OBSERVATION:

 $\ \square$ The original code used two nested loops (O(n²) time complexity) to compare every element with every other element.

- \Box In the optimized code, we use two sets (seen and duplicates) to track numbers efficiently.
 - seen keeps track of elements we've already encountered.
 - If a number is already in seen, it gets added to duplicates.
- $\ \square$ This reduces the time complexity to O(n) and makes the solution much faster for large input lists.
- \Box The output remains the same:

Task 3

PROMPT:

I have a Python script that calculates the factorial of a number, but the code is messy and not PEP 8–compliant. Please refactor it into a clean, well-structured version with:

- Proper indentation and formatting.
- A meaningful function name (calculate_factorial).
- Clear variable naming.
- A docstring explaining the function.

CODE:

```
def calculate_factorial(n):
    """
    Calculate the factorial of a given number.

Args:
    n (int): A non-negative integer.

Returns:
    int: The factorial of the input number.

"""

result = 1
for i in range(1, n + 1):
    result *= i
    return result

print(calculate_factorial(5))
```

OUTPUT:

priya/Desl 120	s\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab> & "C:/Users/keerthi priya/AppData/Local/Microsoft/WindowsApps/python3.11.exe" "C: top/ai lab/ass10.3.py" s\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab>
OBS	ERVATION:
	unction name changed from $c \rightarrow calculate_factorial$ for clarity.
	ariable x renamed to result, making the purpose more descriptive.
	EP 8 formatting applied: proper indentation, spaces around ators, blank lines for readability.
-	ocstring added to explain parameters, return type, and purpose.
	poop logic preserved but made more readable with result *= i.
Task	4:
PRO	MPT:
I hav	e a Python script that fetches user data from a SQLite database.
The o	current code is unsafe because it uses string formatting in SQL
queri	es, which makes it vulnerable to SQL injection. Please:
•	Use parameterized queries (? placeholders) instead of string
	concatenation.
•	Add try-except blocks to handle database errors gracefully.
•	Include input validation before executing the query.
	Refactor the code to follow clean practices.
•	reflector the code to follow clean practices.

```
def get_user_data(user_id):
           conn = sqlite3.connect("users.db")
           query = "SELECT * FROM users WHERE id = ?;"
          cursor.execute(query, (user_id,))
          result = cursor.fetchall()
           print(f"Database error: {e}")
           if conn:
    def main():
        user_input = input("Enter user ID: ").strip()
        if not user_input.isdigit():
           print("Invalid input. Please enter a numeric user ID.")
        user_id = int(user_input)
        data = get_user_data(user_id)
                                                                 Ln 47, Col 1 Spaces: 4 UT
 ♦ ASS10.3.4.PY > ...
         def main():
              user_id = int(user_input)
              data = get_user_data(user_id)
              if data:
                   print("User Data:", data)
              else:
                    print("No user found with that ID.")
         if __name__ == "__main__":
              main()
  47
OUTPUT:
```

```
PS C:\Users\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab> & "C:\Users\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab\ & \Users\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab\ & \Users\
```

OBSERVATION:

- ☐ Exception Handling:
 - Added try–except to catch sqlite3.Error.
 - Ensures the program doesn't crash on DB errors.
- ☐ Input Validation:
 - Checked user_input.isdigit() before converting to integer.
 - Prevents invalid input like "abc" from reaching the query.
- ☐ Resource Management:
 - Used finally to close the DB connection safely.

```
Task 5: Automated Code Review Report Generation
Task: Generate a review report for this messy code.
# buggy_code_task5.py

def calc(x,y,z):
    if z=="add":
        return x+y
    elif z=="sub": return x-y
    elif z=="mul":
        return x*y
    elif z=="div":
        return x/y
    else: print("wrong")

print(calc(10,5,"add"))
print(calc(10,0,"div"))
```

Expected Output:

AI-generated review report should mention:

- Missing docstrings
- o Inconsistent formatting (indentation, inline return)
- o Missing error handling for division by zero
- o Non-descriptive function/variable names
- o Suggestions for readability and PEP 8 compliance

PROMPT:

I have a Python script that performs basic arithmetic operations, but it is messy and not PEP 8–compliant. Please generate a review report identifying issues such as:

- Missing docstrings.
- Inconsistent formatting and indentation.
- Inline return statements without readability.
- Missing error handling (division by zero).
- Non-descriptive function and variable names.
- Suggestions for improving readability and PEP 8 compliance.

After that, provide a refactored version of the code.

CODE:

OUTPUT:

```
None
PS C:\Users\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab> & "C:\Users\keerthi priya/AppData\Local/Microsoft\windowsApps/python3.11.exe" "c:\Users\keerthi priya/AppData\Local/Microsoft\windowsApps/python3.11.exe" "c:\Users\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab> & "C:\Users\keerthi priya\Desktop\ai lab>
```

OBSERVATION:

Issues in Original Code:

- 1. **Missing docstrings** The function has no explanation of purpose, arguments, or return values.
- 2. **Inconsistent formatting** Mixed inline and block returns (elif z=="sub": return x-y).
- 3. **Division by zero** No error handling, which can cause runtime exceptions.
- 4. **Non-descriptive names** Function calc and parameter z are not descriptive; replaced with calculate and operation.
- 5. **PEP 8 Violations** Missing spaces after commas, no blank lines between function and calls, inconsistent indentation.