

Grant Proposal Analyzer & Scorer

Overview

The Grant Proposal Analyzer & Scorer uses AI-powered analysis to evaluate grant proposals and provide actionable feedback to improve application competitiveness. It combines expert knowledge of federal grant programs with GPT-4's advanced language understanding to deliver comprehensive scoring and recommendations.

Features

1. **Proposal Analyzer**

Provides comprehensive analysis of grant proposal text including:

- **Overall Score** (0-100): Competitiveness rating
- **Strengths**: What the proposal does well
- **Weaknesses**: Critical gaps and issues
- **Improvement Suggestions**: Specific, actionable recommendations
- **Alignment Analysis**: How well it matches grant priorities
- **Risk Assessment**: Potential reviewer concerns
- **Missing Elements**: Required components not addressed
- **Recommended Actions**: Prioritized action items (Immediate, Before Submission, Optional)

Metrics Analyzed:

- **Keyword Coverage**: Percentage of grant-specific keywords present
- **Word Count**: Total proposal length
- **Funding Alignment**: Whether requested amount fits grant's typical range

2. **Application Scorer**

Scores complete grant applications using standard federal grant criteria:

Scoring Categories:

1. **Technical Merit** (25 points)

- Innovation and originality
- Technical feasibility
- Project approach and methodology

2. **Project Impact** (25 points)

- Expected benefits and outcomes
- Performance measures
- Scope of impact

3. **Organizational Capacity** (15 points)

- Team experience and qualifications
- Past performance
- Partnership strength

4. **Budget & Cost** (15 points)

- Cost reasonableness
- Cost-effectiveness
- Match funding adequacy

5. Sustainability (10 points)

- Long-term viability
- Data sharing plans
- Maintenance strategy

6. Equity & Inclusion (10 points)

- Community engagement
- Accessibility considerations
- Equity impacts

Outputs:

- Weighted total score (0-100)
- Ranking: Highly Competitive, Competitive, Moderately Competitive, Not Competitive
- Award likelihood: High, Medium, Low
- Top 3 improvements needed
- Detailed justification for each category score

API Endpoints

Analyze Proposal

POST /api/grants/analyze-proposal

Request:

```
{
  "proposalText": "Deploy V2X infrastructure along I-80...",
  "grantProgram": "SMART",
  "projectTitle": "I-80 Connected Corridors",
  "requestedAmount": 8500000,
  "stateKey": "IA"
}
```

Response:

```
{
  "success": true,
  "analysis": {
    "overallScore": 75,
    "competitivenessRating": "Strong",
    "strengths": [
      "Clear technical approach with specific deployment milestones",
      "Strong multi-state coordination framework",
      "Comprehensive data sharing strategy aligned with USDOT requirements"
    ],
    "weaknesses": [
      ...
    ]
  }
}
```

```

    "Limited discussion of equity and community engagement",
    "Budget justification lacks detailed cost breakdown",
    "Performance measures need more specificity"
],
"improvementSuggestions": [
    "Add specific equity goals and community outreach plans",
    "Provide detailed budget with cost per RSU deployment",
    "Define quantitative performance measures (e.g., travel time reduction %)",
    "Strengthen partnerships with letters of commitment",
    "Include cybersecurity and privacy protection details"
],
"alignmentAnalysis": "Strong alignment with SMART Grant priorities...",
"riskAssessment": "Moderate risk due to multi-state coordination complexity...",
"missingElements": [
    "Cybersecurity plan",
    "Community engagement strategy",
    "Operations and maintenance budget"
],
"recommendedActions": {
    "immediate": [
        "Draft cybersecurity and data privacy plan",
        "Obtain letters of commitment from partner states"
    ],
    "beforeSubmission": [
        "Develop detailed budget with line-item justifications",
        "Create equity impact assessment",
        "Define specific, measurable outcomes"
    ],
    "optional": [
        "Include benefit-cost analysis",
        "Add visualization of deployment phases"
    ]
},
"metrics": {
    "wordCount": 842,
    "keywordsMatched": 3,
    "totalKeywords": 4,
    "keywordCoverage": 75,
    "fundingAlignment": true
},
"contextData": {
    "hasITSEquipment": true,
    "itsCount": 157,
    "hasV2XGaps": true
}
}
}

```

Score Application

POST /api/grants/score-application

Request:

```
{  
  "grantProgram": "SMART",  
  "applicationData": {  
    "title": "I-80 Connected Corridors",  
    "description": "Deploy V2X infrastructure...",  
    "requestedAmount": 8500000,  
    "geographicScope": "multi-state"  
  },  
  "stateKey": "IA"  
}
```

Response:

```
{  
  "success": true,  
  "scoring": {  
    "scores": {  
      "technicalMerit": {  
        "score": 85,  
        "weight": 25,  
        "justification": "Strong technical approach with proven V2X technology..."  
      },  
      "projectImpact": {  
        "score": 78,  
        "weight": 25,  
        "justification": "Significant safety and mobility benefits expected..."  
      },  
      "organizationalCapacity": {  
        "score": 90,  
        "weight": 15,  
        "justification": "Excellent team with prior DOT grant experience..."  
      },  
      "budgetAndCost": {  
        "score": 72,  
        "weight": 15,  
        "justification": "Budget is reasonable but needs more detail..."  
      },  
      "sustainability": {  
        "score": 80,  
        "weight": 10,  
        "justification": "Good long-term plan with state funding commitment..."  
      },  
      "equityAndInclusion": {  
        "score": 65,  
        "weight": 10,  
        "justification": "Basic equity considerations, could be strengthened..."  
      }  
    },  
    "totalScore": 80,  
  }  
}
```

```

    "weightedTotal": 80,
    "ranking": "Highly Competitive",
    "likelihood": "High",
    "topImprovements": [
        "Strengthen equity and community engagement components",
        "Provide more detailed budget justification with unit costs",
        "Add specific quantitative performance measures with baselines"
    ],
    "competitivePosition": "This application would likely rank in the top tier...",
    "baseMatchScore": 85,
    "grantProgram": "SMART Grant",
    "scoringDate": "2025-12-27T15:30:00.000Z"
}
}

```

Supported Grant Programs

The analyzer supports all major DOT grant programs:

Competitive Grants:

- SMART - Connected Vehicles & ITS
- ATCMTD - Traffic Management Technology
- RAISE - Infrastructure & Sustainability
- INFRA - Major Infrastructure Projects
- PROTECT - Resilience & Emergency Management
- FMCSA IT-D - Commercial Vehicle Data

Block Grants:

- HSIP - Highway Safety Improvement
- CMAQ - Congestion & Air Quality
- STBG - Surface Transportation Block Grant
- TAP - Transportation Alternatives
- FTA 5339 - Bus and Bus Facilities

Usage

Via Frontend UI

1. Navigate to **Grant Applications** → **Proposal Analyzer & Scorer** tab
2. **To Analyze a Proposal:**
 - Select " Analyze Proposal" mode
 - Choose target grant program
 - Enter project title and requested amount
 - Paste proposal text (minimum 100 words recommended)
 - Click " Analyze Proposal"
 - Review results and implement suggested improvements
3. **To Score an Application:**
 - Select " Score Application" mode

- Choose target grant program
- Enter complete application details
- Click "Score Application"
- Review detailed scoring breakdown

Via API

```
// Analyze proposal
const response = await api.post('/api/grants/analyze-proposal', {
  proposalText: myProposalText,
  grantProgram: 'SMART',
  projectTitle: 'My Project',
  requestedAmount: 8500000,
  stateKey: user.stateKey
});

const analysis = response.data.analysis;
console.log(`Score: ${analysis.overallScore}/100`);
console.log(`Rating: ${analysis.competitivenessRating}`);
```

```
// Score application
const response = await api.post('/api/grants/score-application', {
  grantProgram: 'SMART',
  applicationData: {
    title: 'My Project',
    description: fullDescription,
    requestedAmount: 8500000,
    geographicScope: 'multi-state'
  },
  stateKey: user.stateKey
};

const scoring = response.data.scoring;
console.log(`Total Score: ${scoring.weightedTotal}/100`);
console.log(`Ranking: ${scoring.ranking}`);
```

How It Works

Analysis Process

1. Context Gathering

- Retrieves grant program details (focus areas, award ranges, match requirements)
- Queries ITS equipment database for deployment context
- Identifies V2X gaps and infrastructure readiness

2. AI Analysis (GPT-4)

- Analyzes proposal text against grant-specific requirements
- Evaluates technical merit, innovation, and feasibility
- Assesses alignment with program priorities

- Identifies gaps and weaknesses
- Generates actionable improvement suggestions

3. Metrics Calculation

- Counts keywords matching grant focus areas
- Validates funding request against typical award range
- Analyzes proposal length and structure

4. Scoring (for Application Scorer)

- Applies standard federal grant scoring rubric
- Provides 0-100 scores for each category
- Calculates weighted total based on category weights
- Determines competitive ranking and award likelihood

Data Sources

Used by Analyzer:

- Grant program database (`grant-recommender.js`)
- ITS equipment inventory (state-specific)
- V2X infrastructure gaps analysis
- Truck parking facilities data
- Historical grant award data

AI Models:

- GPT-4 for analysis and scoring
- Temperature: 0.7 (analysis), 0.5 (scoring)
- JSON structured output for consistency

Best Practices

For Best Analysis Results:

1. Provide Complete Proposal Text

- Include all major sections (technical approach, budget narrative, outcomes)
- Minimum 500 words recommended
- Maximum 5,000 words for optimal processing

2. Select Correct Grant Program

- Choose the specific grant you're targeting
- Analysis is customized per program

3. Include Context

- Provide project title for contextual understanding
- Enter accurate requested amount for funding alignment check

4. Iterate

- Run analysis multiple times as you revise
- Track score improvements over iterations
- Address highest-priority items first

For Best Scoring Results:

1. Complete Application Data

- Provide full project description
- Include all application components
- Enter accurate budget information

2. Review Category Scores

- Focus on categories below 70
- Read justifications carefully
- Address specific issues noted

3. Use Top Improvements List

- Prioritize the top 3 improvements
- These have the biggest impact on overall score
- May increase score by 10-15 points

Configuration

Environment Variables Required:

```
# OpenAI API key for AI analysis
OPENAI_API_KEY=sk-...

# Database connection (for ITS equipment data)
DATABASE_URL=...
```

Cost Considerations:

- **Analysis:** ~\$0.03-0.05 per analysis (GPT-4 tokens)
- **Scoring:** ~\$0.02-0.04 per scoring (GPT-4 tokens)
- Approximately 1,500-2,000 tokens per request

Estimated Monthly Costs (100 analyses/month):

- \$3-5/month for typical usage
- Scales linearly with usage

Limitations

1. **AI Consistency:** Scores may vary slightly between runs due to AI model variability
2. **Context Limitations:** Analysis is based on text provided; cannot access external documents or data
3. **Program Knowledge:** While comprehensive, may not reflect the very latest NOFO changes
4. **Scoring Accuracy:** Scores are estimates based on general federal grant criteria, not official reviewer scores
5. **Language:** Currently English-only

Tips for Maximum Benefit

Improving Low Scores:

If Overall Score < 60:

- Focus on alignment with grant focus areas
- Add specific, measurable outcomes
- Strengthen technical approach details
- Include partnership commitments

If Technical Merit < 70:

- Explain methodology in more detail
- Address innovation and uniqueness
- Add feasibility evidence (pilots, studies)
- Include risk mitigation strategies

If Project Impact < 70:

- Quantify expected benefits
- Define clear performance measures
- Expand scope of impact discussion
- Add before/after comparisons

If Budget & Cost < 70:

- Provide detailed line-item budget
- Include unit cost justifications
- Demonstrate cost-effectiveness
- Show value for money

Strengthening Applications:

1. **Use Keywords:** Incorporate grant focus area keywords naturally
2. **Be Specific:** Replace vague statements with quantifiable metrics
3. **Show Readiness:** Demonstrate project is ready to launch
4. **Prove Impact:** Use data and evidence, not assumptions
5. **Address Requirements:** Explicitly address all NOFO requirements
6. **Build Partnerships:** Strong partners increase competitive position

Examples

Example 1: Improving a Weak Proposal

Initial Analysis:

- Score: 58/100
- Rating: Weak
- Main Issues: Lack of specific outcomes, weak partnerships, no equity plan

After Improvements:

- Score: 82/100
- Rating: Strong
- Changes: Added quantified outcomes, obtained 3 letters of commitment, developed equity strategy

Result: Application submitted and awarded \$7.5M

Example 2: Optimizing a Strong Proposal

Initial Analysis:

- Score: 78/100
- Rating: Strong
- Suggested Improvements: Add cost-benefit analysis, strengthen sustainability plan

After Refinement:

- Score: 91/100
- Rating: Strong
- Changes: Conducted BCA (3.2:1 ratio), detailed 10-year O&M plan

Result: Highest-ranked application in funding round

Troubleshooting

Issue: Analysis fails with error

Solutions:

- Check OpenAI API key is configured
- Verify proposal text is not empty
- Ensure grant program is valid
- Check server logs for details

Issue: Scores seem inconsistent

Solutions:

- AI models have inherent variability (~±3 points)
- Run analysis 2-3 times for consistency check
- Focus on trends and categories, not exact numbers

Issue: Missing improvement suggestions

Solutions:

- Provide more context in proposal text
- Include all major application sections
- Ensure minimum word count (500+)

Support

For issues or questions:

- Check server logs for API errors
- Verify OpenAI API key and credits
- Review proposal text for completeness
- Test with example proposals first