University of Sevilla

Higher Technical School of Computer Engineering
D01 – Analysis Report

Degree in Computer Engineering - Software Engineering

Desing and Testing II

Course 2024 – 2025

Date	Version
20/02/2025	v1.0

Practice Group: C1.040	
Members	**Email**
Isabel Sánchez Castro	isasancas@alum.us.es
Javier Aponte Pozón	javapopoz@alum.us.es
José María Portela Huerta	josporhue@alum.us.es
Paula María Suárez Linares	pausualin@alum.us.es
Julia Virginia Ángeles Burgos	julangbur@alum.us.es

Repository: https://github.com/DP2-2025-C1-040/Acme-ANS

Table of contents

Revision Table

Date	Version	Description	Delivery
20/02/2025	V1.0		D01

Executive summary

This report assesses whether any assigned requirements required further analysis or adjustments during development. Upon reviewing the tasks, it was concluded that all requirements were well-defined and could be implemented without additional evaluation.

No ambiguities, conflicts, or unforeseen challenges were emerged, and the provided guidelines were sufficient for direct execution. Consequently, no modifications or lecturer validation were needed. This document confirms that the implementation proceeded smoothly and that the development environment is fully prepared for subsequent work.

Executive summary

Introduction

This document presents an analysis of the development process, focusing on tasks that required further investigation due to unexpected issues or ambiguities. The goal of this report is to highlight any requirements that posed challenges, describe the analysis performed, and document the decisions made to resolve them.

A structured approach was followed to identify and address potential obstacles, ensuring that all requirements were implemented correctly. This report includes a detailed examination of the issues encountered and the solutions applied. The final section summarizes the overall findings and conclusions.

Contents

After thoroughly reviewing the assigned requirements and executing the necessary implementations, it was determined that **no tasks required further analysis**. The provided guidelines were clear, and the implementation process proceeded as expected without any ambiguities, unexpected issues, or conflicting requirements.

As a result, no additional decisions or adjustments were needed, and there are no specific cases that require lecturer validation.

Conclusions

The analysis process confirmed that the provided guidelines were clear and sufficient for completing the assigned tasks. No requirement posed significant challenges that warranted additional discussion or validation. The minor technical issues that arose were handled using standard solutions, ensuring a smooth setup process.

Since no substantial ambiguities or conflicts were encountered, no deeper analysis was necessary. The development environment is now fully operational, and the implementation process can proceed as planned.

Bibliography

Intentionally blank.