ACME-SF

G1.007

Chartering Report

14 February 2024

Cover

Repository: https://github.com/Pablo-Caballero-Maria/Acme-One-24.1.0-C1.07

Student #1

ID: 31878881F
UVUS: pabcabmar3
Name: Caballero   María,   Pablo
Roles: manager, developer

Student #2

ID Number:49034820Q
UVUS: mararnmon
Name: Arnáiz Montero, Marco Antonio
Roles: developer, operator

Student #3

ID Number: 77865211E
UVUS: alfalolan
Name: Alonso Lanzarán, Alfonso Luis
Roles: developer, tester

Student #4

ID Number: 53932912M
UVUS: albsanmim
Name: Sánchez Mimbrero, Alberto
Roles: developer

Student #5

ID Number: 48123111G
UVUS: juagarcar4
Name: Garcia Carballo, Juan
Roles: developer

Table of contents

Executive summary

This document is a chartering report for the first delivery of the project "Acme-SF-D01", for the subject "Design and Testing 2". Its purpose is to conform a statement that is well understood by all the members of the group, and that specifies with detail what will be considered as a "good" or a "bad" performance. What is more, we as a group, will define measures to reward or admonish that behavior.

Revision table

Number	Date(dd/mm/yyyy)	Description

1.0	14/02/2024	Document done in its entirety, reviewed by peers. No major errors were found.

Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide a detailed chartering report of the functional requirements of the first delivery of the project "Acme-SF-D01", for the subject "Design and Testing 2". More specifically, this document conforms to the mandatory requirements sections of the group, inside the "Managerial requirements" subsection. It includes a link to the GitHub repository, a list of the members of the group (including their emails), the current date in which this document was made, a table of contents (specifying the number of page), this executive summary, a revision table (specifying the versions of this document), the introduction, the content of the chartering report, the conclusions, and the bibliography.

Contents

Summary:

The members of the group knew each other beforehand, having been in the same class in other subjects. We weighed whether there was a balance between technical proficiency in the area of web applications development, and interpersonal skills, including willingness to excel and leadership within a group.

Contact data:

Marco Antonio Arnáiz Montero, mararnmon@alum.us.es

Pablo Caballero María, pabcabmar3@alum.us.es

Alfonso Luis Alonso Lanzarán, alfalolan@alum.us.es

Juan García Carballo, juagarcar4@alum.us.es

Alberto Sánchez Mimbrero, albsanmim@alum.us.es

Commitment statement:

We, the undersigned members of the group C1.007, affirm our commitment to collaborative success in the "Acme-SF" project. Having thoroughly reviewed the syllabus, especially the evaluation and grading procedures, we pledge to work cohesively as a group, collaborating with the rest of the group, and dedicate ourselves to achieving success. We understand the responsibilities and implications of this affirmation, and conform to the consequences of it.

We hereby compromise to reach a minimum mark of a 5 in this subject, although we will try to reach the highest grade possible in each deliverable.

Signed,

Pablo Caballero María

Marco Antonio Arnáiz Montero

Alfonso Luis Alonso Lanzarán

Juan García Carballo

Alberto Sánchez Mimbrero

Performance indicator:

We define 2 performance indicators in order to assess the work performed by each member of the group. In first place, and according to the SCRUM dashboard from GitHub, each member has a set of tasks assigned. Therefore, in each deliverable there will be a numeric measure that indicates the number of tasks to do, and the number of tasks that were done. For the mandatory requirements, "performing well" will imply having fulfilled a 100% of these, while for the supplementary requirements, it will mean fulfilling at least 75% of them. Otherwise, we will consider that the member that didn't meet that is "performing bad".

Statement of reward, admonish and fire:

When one member of the group performs especially good, he will have priority to choose his role in the next delivery. On top of that, we will acknowledge his achievement verbally in front of the rest of the group and the lecturer too.

When one member of the group performs especially badly, the measures that will be taken will be the following: in first place, the manager, after pondering the aforementioned performance indicators, will privately discuss with the member the matter to address. Was the behavior of the said member to be repeated, the manager will have to expose this member in front of the rest of the group. What is more, the member will have to write a report indicating what difficulties he is finding in the subject, and how he intends to overcome them. At the third time, the members of the group will vote in order to decide whether to fire the one who is performing badly. Afterwards, in case of being fired, he is free to decide if he wants to continue working alone or leave the subject for the first call.

Conclusions

After writing the present chartering report, each member is now fully aware of their responsibility, as well as the consequences that their work has on the rest of the group. In addition, they know and agree to the measures that will be taken if he performs above or below the average.

Bibliography

Intentionally blank