BreedR Overview

Facundo Muñoz

2015-09-11 breedR version: 0.10.13

Contents

Intro	1
Functionality	3
Inference	3
Linear Mixed Models with unstructured random effects	3
Additive Genetic Effect	9
Spatial autocorrelation	12
Competition	25
Generic component	29
Prediction	30
Some more features	32

Intro

What is breedR

- R-package implementing statistical models specifically suited for forest genetic resources analysts.
- Ultimately Mixed Models, but not necessarily easy to implement and use
- ${\bf breedR}$ acts as an ${\bf interface}$ which provides the means to:
 - 1. Combine any number of these models as components of a larger model
 - 2. Compute automatically incidence and covariance matrices from a few input parameters
 - 3. **Fit** the model
 - 4. Plot data and results, and perform model diagnostics

Installation

- Project web page http://famuvie.github.io/breedR/
 - download .zip or .tar.gz source files
 - install.packages('famuvie-breedR-*', type = 'source', repos = NULL)
- GitHub dev-site https://github.com/famuvie/breedR
 - if(!require(devtools)) install.packages('devtools')
 - devtools::install_github('famuvie/breedR')
- CRAN not there yet... but soon
 - install.packages('breedR')

Where to find help

- Package's help: help(package = breedR)
 - Help pages
 - Code demos demo(topic, package = 'breedR') (omit topic for a list)
 - Vignettes (none yet)
- Wiki pages
 - Guides, tutorials, FAQ
- Mailing list http://groups.google.com/group/breedr
 - Questions and debates about usage and interface
- Issues page
 - Bug reports
 - Feature requests

License



- breedR is FOSS. Licensed GPL-3
 - RShowDoc('LICENSE', package = 'breedR')
- You can use and distribute breedR for any purpose
- You can modify it to suit your needs
 - we encourage to!
 - please consider contributing your improvements
 - you can **distribute** your modified version under the GPL
- However, breedR makes (intensive) use of the BLUPF90 suite of Fortran programs, which are not free

Roadmap | Future developments

- Bayesian inference
- Multi-trait support
- \bullet Genotype×Environment interaction
- Support for longitudinal data

Functionality

Inference

Frequentist

- Currently, only **frequentist inference** is supported via REML estimation of variance components.
- The function remlf90(), provides an interface to both REMLF90 and AIREMLF90 functions in the BLUPF90 suite of Fortran programs.
- Type ?remlf90 for details on the syntax

Bayesian

- It's on the roadmap for the next year
- Will use a gibbs sampler from BLUPF90, and possibly also INLA
- The interface will change a bit, separating the model specification from the fit

Linear Mixed Models with unstructured random effects

Example dataset

self	dad	mum	gen	gg	bl	phe_X	Х	У
69	0	64	1	14	13	15.756	0	0
70	0	41	1	4	13	11.141	3	0
71	0	56	1	14	13	19.258	6	0
72	0	55	1	14	13	4.775	9	0
73	0	22	1	8	13	19.099	12	0
74	0	50	1	14	13	19.258	15	0

```
## Eucalyptus Globulus dataset
## Thanks to Eduardo Cappa and Pablo Pathauer
## Variables:
## self = id of the tree
## dad = id of sire or 0 if unknown
## mum = id of dam or 0 if unknown
```

```
## gen = generation (there is only 1)
## gg
      = genetic group
## bl
       = block
## phe_X= observed phenotype
## x, y = coordinates (in m)
## 'data.frame':
                   1021 obs. of 9 variables:
## $ self : int 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 ...
## $ dad : int 000000004 ...
## $ mum : int 64 41 56 55 22 50 67 59 49 8 ...
   $ gen : Factor w/ 1 level "1": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
         : Factor w/ 14 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 14 4 14 14 8 14 14 14 14 11 ...
         : Factor w/ 15 levels "1","2","3","4",...: 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 19 9 ...
## $ bl
## $ phe_X: num 15.76 11.14 19.26 4.78 19.1 ...
          : int 0 3 6 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 ...
## $ x
## $ v
          : int 0000000000...
```

A simple Provenance Test

Specify the genetic group gg as an unstructured random effect using the standard formulas in R

phe_X =
$$\mu + Zgg + \varepsilon$$

 $gg \sim N(0, \sigma_{gg}^2)$
 $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$

```
## No specification of initial variances.
## Using default value of 1 for all variance components.
## See ?breedR.getOption.
```

Initial variances specification

To avoid the notification, initial values for *all* the variance components must be made explicit using the argument var.ini:

Although in most cases the results will not change at all, we encourage to give explicit initial values for variance components. Specially when some estimate can be artifact. This is also useful for checking sensitivity to initial values.

Exploring the results


```
summary(res)
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by AI-REMLF90 ver. 1.110
##
      Data: globulus
##
     AIC BIC logLik
    5864 5874 -2930
##
##
## Parameters of special components:
##
##
## Variance components:
##
            Estimated variances
                          2.857 1.3584
## gg
## Residual
                         17.695 0.7888
##
## Fixed effects:
##
              value
                       s.e.
## Intercept 14.799 0.4911
   • Note that AI-REML has been used by default.
   • You can also specify method = 'em'.
   • Learn about the difference.
```

Further *extractor* functions

```
fixef(res)
## $Intercept
##
        value
                   s.e.
## 1 14.79913 0.4910935
ranef(res)
## $gg
##
           value
                      s.e.
## 1 -1.1113032 0.6582248
## 2 -0.5850024 0.8241564
      1.2381745 0.6017960
## 3
## 4 -2.5360696 0.7047334
## 5
      1.0223495 0.6298412
## 6 -2.7605972 1.0884708
## 7
     -0.5691185 0.9776415
## 8
     0.8700427 0.5933967
      1.5572487 0.6381501
## 10 -1.4262293 0.9961142
## 11 1.7715259 0.6527005
## 12 1.8079965 0.8241564
## 13 1.0604399 0.9776415
## 14 -0.3394576 0.5380187
```

Further extractor functions

```
qplot(
    fitted(res),
    globulus$phe_X) +
geom_abline(int = 0,
    sl = 1,
    col = 'darkgrey')
```



```
str(resid(res))

## Named num [1:1021] 1.3 -1.12 4.8 -9.68 3.43 ...

## - attr(*, "names")= chr [1:1021] "1" "2" "3" "4" ...

extractAIC(res)

## [1] 5863.716

logLik(res)

## 'log Lik.' -2929.858 (df=2)
```

Hierarchical and Factorial models

- In globulus, the family (mum) is nested within the provenance (gg)
- This is a matter of codification:

Nested factors

gg	mun
A	1
A	2
В	β
В	4

Crossed factors

gg	mum
Α	1
A	2
В	1
В	2

• Otherwise, in both cases we specify the same thing

```
random = ~ gg + factor(mum) # note that mum is numeric
```

• Furthermore, this approach can handle unbalanced and mixed designs

Interactions

• Standard R notation:

```
random = ~ gg * factor(mum)
```

- Not available yet (feature request?)
- Workaround: build the interaction variable manually
- Example: gg and block are crossed factors

Exercise | Hierarchical and Factorial models

- 1. Use remlf90() and the globulus dataset to fit
 - a hierarchical model using mum within gg
 - a factorial model using gg and bl
- 2. Explore the results with summary()
 - is the family (mum) effect relevant?
 - is there any evidence of interaction between gg and bl?

Hierarchical and Factorial models #1 | Fitting models

Hierarchical and Factorial models #2 | Hierarchical model

- The family effect is not very **important**, in terms of explained variance
- However, the model is a bit better with it (AIC, logLik)

```
summary(res)
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by AI-REMLF90 ver. 1.110
##
     Data: globulus
##
    AIC BIC logLik
  5864 5874 -2930
##
## Parameters of special components:
##
##
## Variance components:
##
           Estimated variances S.E.
## gg
                        2.857 1.3584
## Residual
                       17.695 0.7888
##
## Fixed effects:
             value
## Intercept 14.799 0.4911
summary(res.h)
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by AI-REMLF90 ver. 1.110
     Data: globulus
    AIC BIC logLik
  5857 5872 -2926
```

```
##
##
## Parameters of special components:
##
##
## Variance components:
              Estimated variances
                           0.8955 0.4177
## factor(mum)
                           2.0540 1.1706
## gg
## Residual
                         17.0770 0.7819
## Fixed effects:
             value
                    s.e.
## Intercept 14.973 0.4702
```


Hierarchical and Factorial models #3 | Factorial model

- Looks like the interaction between **block** and **provenance** is negligible
- (apart from the fact that it makes no sense at all, and shuld not have been even considered in the first place)
- compare with the model without interaction

```
summary(res.f)
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by AI-REMLF90 ver. 1.110
##
      Data: globulus.f
     AIC BIC logLik
##
   5752 5772 -2872
##
##
## Parameters of special components:
##
##
## Variance components:
##
            Estimated variances
                                  S.E.
## gg
                        3.10970 1.4329
## bl
                        2.57280 1.0606
## gg_bl
                        0.02912 0.2713
## Residual
                       15.19800 0.7159
##
## Fixed effects:
##
              value s.e.
## Intercept 14.764 0.653
## result without interaction
res.f0 <- remlf90(fixed = phe_X ~ 1,
                  random = ~gg + bl,
                  data = globulus)
paste('AIC:', round(extractAIC(res.f0)),
      'logLik:', round(logLik(res.f0)))
```

[1] "AIC: 5750 logLik: -2872"

Additive Genetic Effect



What is an additive genetic effect

- Random effect at individual level
- Based on a **pedigree**
- BLUP of Breeding Values from own and relatives' phenotypes
- Represents the additive component of the genetic value
- More general:
 - family effect is a particular case
 - accounts for more than one generation
 - mixed relationships
- More flexible: allows to select individuals within families

Specifying a *pedigree*

- A 3-columndata.frame or matrix with the codes for each individual and its parents
- A family effect is easily translated into a pedigree:
 - use the **family code** as the identification of a fictitious **mother**
 - use 0 or NA as codes for the unknown fathers

self	dad	mum
69	0	64
70	0	41
71	0	56
72	0	55
73	0	22
74	0	50

Fitting an animal model


Animal model: results

```
summary(res.animal)
```

```
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by AI-REMLF90 ver. 1.110
##
      Data: globulus
##
     AIC BIC logLik
##
    5857 5872 -2926
##
## Parameters of special components:
##
##
## Variance components:
##
           Estimated variances S.E.
                          2.356 1.249
## gg
## genetic
                          3.632 1.649
## Residual
                         14.271 1.561
## Fixed effects:
              value s.e.
## Intercept 14.797 0.47
```

- gg explains almost the same amount of phenotypic variability
- The (additive) genetic effect explains part of the formerly residual variance

Extracting Predicted Breeding Values



Handling pedigrees

- The pedigree needs to meet certain conditions
- If it does not, \mathbf{breedR} automatically completes, recodes and sorts
- If recoding is necessary, breedR issues a warning because you need to be careful when retrieving results
- $\bullet\,$ See this guide for more details

Spatial autocorrelation



What is spatial autocorrelation


- The ${\bf residuals}$ of any LMM must be ${\bf noise}$

- However, most times there are environmental factors that affect the response
- This causes that observations that are close to each other **tend** to be more similar that observations that are far away
- This is called spatial autocorrelation
- It may affect both the estimations and their accuracy
- This is why experiments are randomized into spatial blocks

Diagnosing spatial autocorrelation | residuals spatial plot

- You can plot() the spatial arrangement of various model components (e.g. residuals)
- Look like **independent** gaussian observations (i.e. noise)?
- Do you see any **signal** in the background?


```
## Since coordinates have not
## been passed before they
## must be provided explicitly.
coordinates(res.animal) <-
    globulus[, c('x', 'y')]
plot(res.animal, 'resid')</pre>
```



Diagnosing spatial autocorrelation | variograms of residuals

• Plot the variogram of residuals with variogram()

variogram(res.animal)





Interpreting the variograms

• Isotropic variogram:

$$\gamma(h) = \frac{1}{2}V[Z(\mathbf{u}) - Z(\mathbf{v})], \quad \text{dist}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = h$$

The **empirical** isotropic variogram is built by aggregating **all the pairs** of points separated by h, **no matter** the direction.







Interpreting the variograms

• Row/Column variogram:

$$\gamma(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}V[Z(\mathbf{u}) - Z(\mathbf{v})], \quad \text{dist}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (x, y)$$

The **empirical** row/col variogram is built by aggregating **all the pairs** of points separated by exactly x rows and y columns.






Interpreting the variograms

• Anisotropic variogram:

$$\gamma(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}V[Z(\mathbf{u}) - Z(\mathbf{v})], \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} \pm \mathbf{x}$$

The **empirical** anisotropic variogram is built by aggregating **all the pairs** of points **in the same direction** separated by $|\mathbf{x}|$.



Accounting for spatial autocorrelation

- Include an explicit $\mathbf{spatial}$ \mathbf{effect} in the model

- I.e., a **random effect** with a specific covariance structure that reflects the spatial relationship between individuals
- The **block** effect, is a very particular case:
 - It is designed from the beginning, possibly using prior knowledge
 - Introduces **independent** effects between blocks
 - Most neighbours are within the same block (i.e. share the same effect)

The blocks model

- The blocks spatial model is equivalent to random = ~ bl, but:
 - specifying coord is convenient for plotting (remember?)
 - blocks behaves as expected, even if bl is not a factor


Animal-spatial model: results

```
summary(res.blk)
```

```
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by AI-REMLF90 ver. 1.110
     Data: globulus
##
    AIC BIC logLik
## 5734 5753 -2863
##
## Parameters of special components:
## spatial: n.blocks: 15
##
## Variance components:
##
           Estimated variances S.E.
## gg
                         2.385 1.274
                         5.275 1.836
## genetic
## spatial
                        2.650 1.081
## Residual
                        10.279 1.601
##
## Fixed effects:
             value s.e.
## Intercept 14.762 0.6342
```

• Now the additive-genetic variance increased! (3.6 before)

Variogram of residuals



• There seems to remain some intra-block spatial autocorrelation

B-Splines model


- A continuous and smooth spatial surface built from a linear combination of basis functions
- The coefficients are modelled as a random effect

Autoregressive model


• A separable kronecker product of First order Autoregressive processes on the rows and the colums

Change in model residuals

• We preserve the scale by using compare.plots()




Comparison of spatial components




Prediction of the spatial effect in unobserved locations

- The type fullspatial fills the holes (when possible)
- See ?plot.remlf90



Spatial parameters | Number of knots of a splines model

- The smoothness of the spatial surface can be controlled modifying the number of base functions
- This is, directly determined by the number of knots (nok) in each dimension
- n.knots can be used as an additional argument in the spatial effect as a numeric vector of size 2.
- Otherwise, is determined by the function given in breedR.getOption('splines.nok')



Spatial parameters | Autoregressive parameters of a AR model

- Analogously, the *patchiness* of the AR effects can be controlled by the autoregressive parameter for each dimension
- rho can be given as an additional argument in the spatial effect as a numeric vector of size 2
- By default, breedR runs all the combinations in the grid produced by the values from breedR.getOption('ar.eval') and returns the one with largest likelihood
- It returns also the full table of combinations and likelihoods in res\$rho

Exercise | Tuning spatial parameters

- Tuning parameters:
 - model splines: n.knots
 - model AR: rho
- 1. Increase the number of knots in the splines model and see if it improves the fit
- 2. Visualize the log-likelihood of the fitted AR models
- 3. Refine the grid around the most likely values, and refit using rho = rho.grid, where

- What are now the most likely parameters?


Spatial #1 | B-splines model with increased nok

• nok were (6, 6) by default (see summary())

```
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by REMLF90 ver. 1.78
##
      Data: globulus
             BIC logLik
##
     AIC
## 5685 unknown -2838
## Parameters of special components:
## spatial: n.knots: 12 12
##
## Variance components:
##
           Estimated variances
## gg
                          2.568
                          4.500
## genetic
## spatial
                          4.200
## Residual
                         10.070
##
## Fixed effects:
##
              value
## Intercept 14.479 0.9163
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by REMLF90 ver. 1.78
##
      Data: globulus
             BIC logLik
##
     AIC
  5681 unknown -2836
##
## Parameters of special components:
## spatial: n.knots: 15 15
## Variance components:
##
           Estimated variances
                          2.510
## gg
## genetic
                          4.651
## spatial
                          3.490
## Residual
                          9.552
##
## Fixed effects:
##
              value
## Intercept 14.611 0.6947
```

Spatial #2 | Visualize log-likelihoods

```
qplot(rho_r, rho_c,
    fill = loglik,
    geom = 'tile',
    data = res.ar1$rho)
```



rho_r	${\rm rho}_{\rm c}$	loglik
-0.8	-0.8	-2925.664
-0.2	-0.8	-2925.665
0.2	-0.8	-2925.656
0.8	-0.8	-2925.636
-0.8	-0.2	-2925.661
-0.2	-0.2	-2925.655
0.2	-0.2	-2925.023
0.8	-0.2	-2876.893
-0.8	0.2	-2925.656
-0.2	0.2	-2925.647
0.2	0.2	-2871.693
0.8	0.2	-2849.814
-0.8	0.8	-2925.646
-0.2	0.8	-2890.606
0.2	0.8	-2860.981
0.8	0.8	-2828.017


Spatial #3 | Refine grid

```
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by AI-REMLF90 ver. 1.110
## Data: globulus
## AIC BIC logLik
```

```
## 5603 5617 -2798
##
## Parameters of special components:
## spatial: rho: 0.8666667 0.7833333
## Variance components:
## Estimated variances S.E.
                       5.090 1.715
## genetic
## spatial
                        4.984 1.053
                       7.583 1.499
## Residual
##
## Fixed effects:
       value s.e.
## gg.1 13.351 0.7195
## gg.2 14.331 0.9112
## gg.3 15.945 0.7698
## gg.4 11.585 0.9394
## gg.5 15.913 0.8200
## gg.6 9.593 1.6964
## gg.7 13.761 1.5681
## gg.8 15.521 0.7486
## gg.9 16.302 0.8260
## gg.10 12.684 1.1531
## gg.11 16.459 0.9849
## gg.12 16.801 1.1412
## gg.13 15.783 1.5665
## gg.14 14.211 0.6486
```

Competition

Theoretical model



- Each individual have two (unknown) Breeding Values (BV)
- The direct BV affects its **own** phenotype, while the competition BV affects its **neghbours**' (as the King moves)
- The effect of the neighbouring competition BVs is given by their sum weighted by $1/d^{\alpha}$, where α is a tuning parameter called decay
- Each set of BVs is modelled as a zero-mean random effect with structure matrix given by the **pedigree** and independent variances σ_a^2 and σ_c^2
- Both random effects are modelled jointly with **correlation** ρ

Permanent Environmental Effect (pec)

- Optional effect with environmental (rather than genetic) basis
- Modelled as an individual **independent** random effect that affects **neighbouring** trees in the same (weighted) way

Simulation of data

breedR implements a convenient dataset simulator which keeps a similar syntax.

• See ?simulation for details on the syntax


```
# Simulation parameters
grid.size <- c(x=20, y=25) # cols/rows
coord <- expand.grid(sapply(grid.size,</pre>
Nobs <- prod(grid.size)</pre>
Nparents \leftarrow c(mum = 20, dad = 20)
sigma2_a <- 2 # direct add-gen var
sigma2_c <- 1 # compet add-gen var
       <- -.7 # gen corr dire-comp
sigma2_s <- 1  # spatial variance</pre>
sigma2_p <- .5 # pec variance
sigma2 <- .5 # residual variance
S <- matrix(c(sigma2_a,</pre>
              rho*sqrt(sigma2_a*sigma2_c),
              rho*sqrt(sigma2_a*sigma2_c),
              sigma2 c),
            2, 2)
set.seed(12345)
simdat <-
  breedR.sample.phenotype(
    fixed = c(beta = 10),
    genetic = list(model = 'competition',
                   Nparents = Nparents,
                    sigma2_a = S,
                    check.factorial=FALSE,
                   pec = sigma2_p),
    spatial = list(model = 'AR',
                    grid.size = grid.size,
                   rho = c(.3, .8),
                    sigma2_s = sigma2_s),
    residual.variance = sigma2
## Remove founders
dat <- subset(simdat,</pre>
              !(is.na(simdat$sire)
                & is.na(simdat$dam)))
```

Fitting a competition model

```
## user system elapsed
## 127.212 0.265 127.483
```

True vs. estimated parameters

	True	Estimated
direct	2.0	2.50
compet.	1.0	1.03
correl.	-0.7	-0.76
spatial	1.0	1.24
pec	0.5	0.20
residual	0.5	0.17




Exercise | Competition models

- 1. Plot the true vs predicted:
 - direct and competition Breeding Values
 - spatial effects
 - pec effects
- 2. Plot the residuals and their variogram
 - Do you think the residuals are independent?
 - How would you improve the analysis?


Competition #1 | True vs. predicted components


```
## compute the predicted effects for the observations
## by matrix multiplication of the incidence matrix and the BLUPs
pred <- list()</pre>
Zd <- model.matrix(res.comp)$'genetic_direct'</pre>
pred$direct <- Zd %*% ranef(res.comp)$'genetic_direct'</pre>
## Watch out! for the competition effects you need to use the incidence
## matrix of the direct genetic effect, to get their own value.
## Otherwise, you get the predicted effect of the neighbours on each individual.
pred$comp <- Zd %*% ranef(res.comp)$'genetic_competition'</pre>
pred$pec <- as.vector(model.matrix(res.comp)$pec %*% ranef(res.comp)$pec)</pre>
comp.pred <-</pre>
 rbind(
    data.frame(
      Component = 'direct BV',
      True = dat$BV1,
      Predicted = pred$direct),
    data.frame(
      Component = 'competition BV',
      True = dat$BV2,
      Predicted = pred$comp),
    data.frame(
      Component = 'pec',
      True
                = dat$pec,
      Predicted = pred$pec))
ggplot(comp.pred,
       aes(True, Predicted)) +
  geom_point() +
  geom_abline(int = 0, sl = 1,
              col = 'darkgray') +
  facet_grid(~ Component)
```



The predition of the Permanent Environmental Competition effect is not precisely great...

Competition #2 | Map of residuals and their variogram





Generic component

This additional component allows to introduce a random effect ψ with **arbitrary** incidence and covariance matrices Z and Σ :

$$y = \mu + X\beta + Z\psi + \varepsilon$$
$$\psi \sim N(0, \sigma_{\psi}^{2} \Sigma_{\psi})$$
$$\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2})$$

```
summary(res.blg)
```

```
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial effects fit by AI-REMLF90 ver. 1.110
## Data: globulus
## AIC BIC logLik
## 5691 5701 -2844
##
## Parameters of special components:
```

```
##
##
## Variance components:
##
          Estimated variances
                                  S.E.
## block
                         2.592 1.0640
## Residual
                         15.208 0.6824
## Fixed effects:
##
         value
                 s.e.
## gg.1 13.534 0.6222
## gg.2 14.030 0.8464
        16.106 0.5513
## gg.3
## gg.4 11.854 0.6824
## gg.5 15.883 0.5862
## gg.6 10.220 1.3040
## gg.7
        13.995 1.0893
## gg.8 15.728 0.5409
## gg.9 16.478 0.5969
## gg.10 12.843 1.1225
## gg.11 16.744 0.6151
## gg.12 17.002 0.8464
## gg.13 16.297 1.0893
## gg.14 14.429 0.4730
```

Prediction

Predicting values for unobserved trees

- You can predict the Breeding Value of an unmeasured tree
- Or the expected phenotype of a death tree (or an hypothetical scenario)
- Information is gathered from the covariates, the spatial structure and the pedigree
- Simply include the individual in the dataset with the response set as NA

Leave-one-out cross-validation

- Re-fit the simulated competition data with one measurement removed
- Afterwards, compare the predicted values for the unmeasured individuals with their true simulated values

	True	Pred.loo
direct BV	-1.48	0.11
competition BV	0.46	0.36
exp. phenotype	6.80	9.90
3	0	

Exercise | Cross validation

- 1. Extend the last table to include the predicted value when the phenotype is measured
- 2. Remove 1/10th of the phenotypes randomly, and predict their expected phenotype
 - Have the parameter estimations changed too much?
- 3. Compute the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of Prediction with respect to the true values

Cross-validation #1 | Include prediction with full data

	True	Pred.full	Pred.loo
direct BV	-1.48	-1.30	0.11
competition BV exp. phenotype	$0.46 \\ 6.80$	$0.99 \\ 7.29$	0.36 9.90

Cross-validation #2 | Perform cross-validation on 1/10th of the observations

	Fully.estimated	CV.estimated
direct	2.50	2.69
compet.	1.03	0.86
correl.	-0.76	-0.80
spatial	1.24	1.03
pec	0.20	0.51
residual	0.17	0.14

Cross-validation #3 | MSE of Prediction

```
true.exp.cv <- with(dat[rm.idx, ], phenotype - resid)
round(sqrt(mean((fitted(res.comp.cv)[rm.idx] - true.exp.cv)^2)), 2)</pre>
```

[1] 1.5

Some more features

Metagene interface

- We have used simulated data from the metagene software
- If you simulate data, import the results with read.metagene()
- Use several common methods with a metagene object:

```
- summary(), plot(), as.data.frame()
```

- Plus some more specific metagene functions:
 - b.values(), get.ntraits(), ngenerations(), nindividuals(), get.pedigree()
- And specific functions about spatial arrangement:
 - coordinates() extract coordinates
 - sim.spatial() simulates some spatial autocorrelation

Simulation framework

- The function breedR.sample.phenotype() simulates datasets from all the model structures available in breedR
- Limitation: only one generation, with random matings of founders
- See ?simulation for details

Remote computation

If you have access to a Linux server through SSH, you can perform computations remotely

- Take advantage of more **memory** or **faster** processors
- Parallelize jobs
- Free local resources while fitting models
- See ?remote for details

Package options

- breedR features a list of configurable options
- Use breedR.setOption(...) for changing an option during the current sesion
- Set options permanently in the file \$HOME/.breedRrc
- see ?breedR.option for details

breedR.getOption()

```
## $ar.eval
## [1] -0.8 -0.2 0.2 0.8
## $breedR.bin
## [1] "/home/facu/Work/Proyectos/2013.T4F/src/breedR/inst/bin/linux"
##
## $splines.nok
## determine.n.knots
## $default.initial.variance
## [1] 1
## $col.seq
## [1] "#034E7B" "#FDAE6B"
##
## $col.div
## [1] "#3A3A98FF" "#832424FF"
##
## $cygwin
## [1] "C:/cygwin"
##
## $cygwin.home
## [1] "/home/facu"
## $ssh.auth.sock
## [1] "/tmp/ssh-auth-sock-facu"
##
## $remote.host
## [1] "eldorado"
##
## $remote.user
## [1] "fmunoz"
## $remote.port
## [1] 22
##
## $remote.bin
## [1] "/home/fmunoz/R/x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu-library/3.0/breedR/bin/linux"
## $ssh.options
## [1] "-x -o BatchMode=yes -o TCPKeepAlive=yes -e none"
```