

Table I: Average Run Time of  $DPPSort_{qsort}$  and  $DPPSort_{STL}$  at  $N = 200 \times 10^6$  (Uint64 Random data)

Algorithms	Run Time (sec)					
$qsort$	26.06					
$STLSort$	16.06					
	$c=N/2$	$c=N/4$	$c=N/8$	$c=N/16$	$c=N/32$	$c=N/64$
$DPPSort_{qsort}$	4.49	4.26	4.14	4.04	<b>3.97</b>	3.98
$DPPSort_{STL}$	2.98	<b>2.87</b>	<b>2.87</b>	2.93	3.02	3.05

Table II: Average Run Time (Uint64 data) of each distribution at  $c = N/8$  of  $DPPSort_{qsort}$ ,  $DPPSort_{STL}$ ,  $STLSort$  and  $qsort$

Algorithms	Distributions	Run Time (sec)		
		$N=50 \times 10^6$	$N=100 \times 10^6$	$N=200 \times 10^6$
$DPPSort_{STL}$	Random	0.66	1.37	2.87
	Reversed	<b>0.55</b>	<b>1.14</b>	2.50
	Nearly Sorted	0.56	1.15	<b>2.44</b>
	Few Unique	<b>0.55</b>	<b>1.14</b>	2.45
$DPPSort_{qsort}$	Random	0.91	1.93	4.14
	Reversed	<b>0.52</b>	<b>1.13</b>	<b>2.41</b>
	Nearly Sorted	0.73	1.54	3.30
	Few Unique	0.69	1.51	3.17
$STLSort$	Random	3.84	7.76	16.06
	Reversed	<b>0.56</b>	<b>1.15</b>	<b>2.40</b>
	Nearly Sorted	3.09	6.49	13.50
	Few Unique	1.54	3.13	6.45
$qsort$	Random	6.03	12.53	26.06
	Reversed	<b>1.72</b>	<b>3.57</b>	<b>7.46</b>
	Nearly Sorted	3.51	7.28	15.10
	Few Unique	3.97	8.15	16.76

Table III: Average Speedup of  $DPPSort_{qsort}$  and  $DPPSort_{STL}$  versus  $c$  (Uint32 Random)

Algorithms	$N(10^6)$	$c$					
		$N/2$	$N/4$	$N/8$	$N/16$	$N/32$	$N/64$
$DPPSort_{qsort}$	50	6.49	7.01	7.65	8.04	8.34	<b>8.59</b>
	100	6.35	7.05	7.54	7.92	8.14	<b>8.38</b>
	200	6.22	6.86	7.58	7.90	8.05	<b>8.26</b>
$DPPSort_{STL}$	50	5.22	5.78	6.10	6.33	<b>6.36</b>	6.33
	100	5.37	6.00	6.22	6.36	<b>6.47</b>	6.41
	200	5.46	6.12	6.25	6.33	<b>6.47</b>	6.33

Table IV: Average Speedup of  $DPPSort_{qsort}$  and  $DPPSort_{STL}$  versus  $c$  (Uint64 Random)

Algorithms	$N(10^6)$	$c$					
		$N/2$	$N/4$	$N/8$	$N/16$	$N/32$	$N/64$
$DPPSort_{qsort}$	50	6.02	6.41	6.64	6.76	<b>6.82</b>	6.78
	100	5.81	6.25	6.48	6.55	6.66	<b>6.69</b>
	200	5.80	6.12	6.29	6.44	<b>6.56</b>	6.55
$DPPSort_{STL}$	50	5.65	5.59	5.84	<b>5.88</b>	5.54	5.45
	100	5.49	<b>5.69</b>	<b>5.69</b>	5.61	5.50	5.33
	200	5.39	<b>5.60</b>	<b>5.60</b>	5.47	5.32	5.26

Table V: Comparison of Speedup per core and thread of Parallel Sorting Algorithms (DF: Deque-Free)

Algorithms	Speedup	core	thread	Speedup/core	Speedup/thread
<i>DPPSort<sub>qsort</sub></i>	6.82	4	8	<b>1.71</b>	<b>0.85</b>
<i>DPPSort<sub>STL</sub></i>	5.88	4	8	1.47	0.74
<i>DF IntroSort</i> [8]	8.1	16	16	0.51	0.51
<i>MultiSort</i> [9]	13.6	32	32	0.43	0.43
<i>psort</i> [11, 12]	11.00	24	24	0.46	0.46
<i>Introqsort</i> [13]	1.47	2	2	0.74	0.74
<i>PPMQSort</i> [16]	12.29	8	16	1.54	0.77
<i>HDPSort</i> [17]	2.49	4	8	0.62	0.31

Table VI: Perf results of  $DPPSort_{qsort}$  and  $DPPSort_{STL}$  at  $N = 200 \times 10^6$  (Uint32) and  $c = N/8$

Distributions	Algorithms	Run Time (sec)	cache misses	branch load misses
Random	$DPPSort_{STL}$	2.35	$3.81 \times 10^8$	$2.43 \times 10^9$
	$DPPSort_{qsort}$	3.64	$5.61 \times 10^8$	$2.52 \times 10^9$
	$STLSort$	14.68	$2.26 \times 10^8$	$2.50 \times 10^9$
	$qsort$	27.57	$4.43 \times 10^8$	$2.75 \times 10^9$
Reversed	$DPPSort_{STL}$	1.99	$4.85 \times 10^8$	$4.99 \times 10^8$
	$DPPSort_{qsort}$	1.53	$4.77 \times 10^8$	$3.18 \times 10^7$
	$STLSort$	2.18	$1.65 \times 10^8$	$2.35 \times 10^7$
	$qsort$	6.61	$3.35 \times 10^8$	$1.02 \times 10^7$
Nearly Sorted	$DPPSort_{STL}$	1.81	$3.56 \times 10^8$	$1.63 \times 10^9$
	$DPPSort_{qsort}$	2.65	$5.47 \times 10^8$	$1.24 \times 10^9$
	$STLSort$	12.14	$2.24 \times 10^8$	$1.90 \times 10^9$
	$qsort$	17.17	$3.17 \times 10^8$	$1.20 \times 10^9$
Few Unique	$DPPSort_{STL}$	1.47	$3.72 \times 10^8$	$7.13 \times 10^8$
	$DPPSort_{qsort}$	2.68	$5.24 \times 10^8$	$9.88 \times 10^8$
	$STLSort$	5.58	$2.05 \times 10^8$	$7.01 \times 10^8$
	$qsort$	17.69	$4.35 \times 10^8$	$9.88 \times 10^8$

Table VII: Perf results of  $DPPSort_{qsort}$  and  $DPPSort_{STL}$  at  $N = 200 \times 10^6$  (Uint64) and  $c = N/8$

Distributions	Algorithms	Run Time (sec)	cache misses	branch load misses
Random	$DPPSort_{STL}$	2.87	$8.04 \times 10^8$	$2.28 \times 10^9$
	$DPPSort_{qsort}$	4.14	$1.20 \times 10^9$	$2.49 \times 10^9$
	$STLSort$	16.06	$4.65 \times 10^8$	$2.25 \times 10^9$
	$qsort$	26.06	$9.58 \times 10^8$	$2.73 \times 10^9$
Reversed	$DPPSort_{STL}$	2.50	$1.02 \times 10^9$	$4.71 \times 10^8$
	$DPPSort_{qsort}$	2.41	$9.84 \times 10^8$	$3.81 \times 10^7$
	$STLSort$	2.39	$3.04 \times 10^8$	$1.87 \times 10^7$
	$qsort$	7.46	$7.27 \times 10^8$	$1.03 \times 10^7$
Nearly Sorted	$DPPSort_{STL}$	2.44	$7.92 \times 10^8$	$1.50 \times 10^9$
	$DPPSort_{qsort}$	3.30	$1.17 \times 10^9$	$1.24 \times 10^9$
	$STLSort$	13.50	$4.74 \times 10^8$	$1.81 \times 10^9$
	$qsort$	15.10	$6.85 \times 10^8$	$1.24 \times 10^9$
Few Unique	$DPPSort_{STL}$	2.45	$7.53 \times 10^8$	$6.75 \times 10^8$
	$DPPSort_{qsort}$	3.17	$1.08 \times 10^9$	$8.94 \times 10^8$
	$STLSort$	6.45	$4.58 \times 10^8$	$6.69 \times 10^8$
	$qsort$	16.76	$9.36 \times 10^8$	$1.00 \times 10^9$

Table VIII: Run time and standard deviation (STD) of our proposed vs *Hoare's partitioning algorithm* at  $N = 200 \times 10^6$  (Uint32)

$c$	Proposed (sec)	<i>Hoare's</i> (sec)	Proposed STD	<i>Hoare's</i> STD
$N/2$	3.15	<b>3.14</b>	0.1662	<b>0.1367</b>
$N/4$	2.57	<b>2.51</b>	0.0997	<b>0.0869</b>
$N/8$	<b>2.24</b>	2.28	0.0970	<b>0.0770</b>
$N/16$	<b>2.14</b>	<b>2.14</b>	<b>0.0591</b>	0.0658
$N/32$	<b>2.14</b>	<b>2.14</b>	<b>0.0687</b>	0.0891
$N/64$	<b>2.10</b>	2.15	<b>0.0649</b>	0.0796