

HW # 9

①

Problem 1

for the case of 2-DEG with no external magnetic field and no Dresselhaus interaction.

the expression for v_n^{\pm} is going to be modified

$$\tan 2\theta_n = \frac{g/2 \mu_B B_z - \eta k_z + v k_n}{g/2 \mu_B B_n + \eta k_n - v k_z}$$

In the absence of ext B and Dresselhaus interaction,

$$\tan 2\theta_n = \frac{-k_z}{k_n}, \quad \sin(2\theta_n) = \frac{-k_z}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \cos(2\theta_n) = \frac{k_n}{k}$$

$$\text{In that case } v_n^{\pm} = \frac{\hbar k_n}{m^*} \pm \frac{\eta}{\hbar} \frac{k_n}{k}$$

$$\text{and } v_z^{\pm} = \frac{\hbar k_z}{m^*} \pm \frac{\eta}{\hbar} \frac{k_z}{k}$$

$$(v^{\pm})^2 = (v_n^{\pm})^2 + (v_z^{\pm})^2 = \left(\frac{\hbar k}{m^*} \pm \frac{\eta}{\hbar} \right)^2.$$

$$v^{\pm} = \pm \left(\frac{\hbar k}{m^*} \pm \frac{\eta}{\hbar} \right)$$

$$\frac{v_n^{\pm}}{v^{\pm}} = \frac{\frac{\hbar k_n}{m^*} + \frac{\eta}{\hbar} \frac{k_n}{k}}{\pm \left(\frac{\hbar k}{m^*} \pm \frac{\eta}{\hbar} \right)} = \frac{k_n \left(\frac{\hbar}{m^*} + \frac{\eta}{\hbar k} \right)}{\pm k \left(\frac{\hbar}{m^*} + \frac{\eta}{\hbar} \right)}$$

$$\therefore \frac{v_n^{\pm}}{v^{\pm}} = \frac{k_n}{k}$$

Problem 2

We need to show that $\frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} = -eE_x$ is correct even in the presence of spin-orbit interaction.

According to the Ehrenfest theorem,

$$\frac{d\langle A \rangle}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [A, H] \rangle$$

The derivative of the expectation of the position operator along x axis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [x, H] \rangle = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [x, \frac{p^2}{2m}] \rangle + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [x, V(x)] \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [x, -\frac{a}{\hbar} E_{2.2} [\sigma \times p]] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$[x, p^2] = 2i\hbar p$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hence the first commutator } \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [x, \frac{p^2}{2m}] \rangle &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [x, p^2] \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle \frac{2i\hbar p}{2m} \rangle = \frac{\langle p \rangle}{m} \end{aligned}$$

The second commutator $\langle [x, V(x)] \rangle = 0$ because $V(x)$ does not depend on x .

The third commutator is $[x, -\frac{a}{\hbar} E_{2.2} [\sigma \times p]]$

$$= -\frac{a}{\hbar} E_{2.2} \langle [x, [\sigma \times p]] \rangle = -\frac{a}{\hbar} E_{2.2} \langle [x, i(\sigma_y p_z - \sigma_z p_y)] \rangle$$

$$= \frac{a}{\hbar} E_{2.2} i (\langle [x, \sigma_y p_z] \rangle - \langle [x, \sigma_z p_y] \rangle)$$

$$= \frac{ia}{\hbar} E_{2.2} (\langle [x, \sigma_y] \rangle \langle p_z \rangle - \langle [x, \sigma_z] \rangle \langle p_y \rangle)$$

for a spin unpolarized system, $\langle [x, \sigma_y] \rangle = 0$, $\langle [x, \sigma_z] \rangle = 0$
because $\langle [x, \sigma_y] \rangle = \langle \psi | x \sigma_y | \psi \rangle = \text{Tr}(f x \sigma_y) = 0$

(3)

$$\therefore \frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} = \frac{\langle p \rangle}{m}$$

$$\text{hence } \frac{d\langle x \rangle}{dt} = \frac{dp_x}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [p_x, H] \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [p_x, V(r)] \rangle + \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [p_x, -\frac{q}{\hbar} E_z [\sigma \times p]] \rangle$$

where

$$[p_x, V(r)] = -\hbar \frac{\partial V(r)}{\partial x}$$

So we can find $\langle [p_x, V(r)] \rangle = -\hbar \left\langle \frac{\partial V(r)}{\partial x} \right\rangle = -eE_x$

$\rightarrow \textcircled{1}$

For the next part

$$V_x = \frac{p_x}{m}$$

$$\therefore \frac{d\langle V_x \rangle}{dt} = \frac{dV_x}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{p_x}{m} \right) = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [p_x, H] \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{i\hbar} \langle [p_x, H] \rangle = \frac{1}{m} (-eE_x) \text{ from } \textcircled{1}$$