

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342126762>

# 2D mapping using omni-directional mobile robot equipped with LiDAR

Article in TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Computing Electronics and Control) · June 2020

DOI: 10.12928/telkomnika.v18i3.14872

CITATIONS

13

READS

1,123

3 authors, including:



**Muhammad Rivai**

Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember

196 PUBLICATIONS 964 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



**Dony Hutabarat**

Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember

4 PUBLICATIONS 48 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



Fuzzy logic [View project](#)



Rancang Bangun Sensor Node pada Wireless Sensor Network Menggunakan Deret Sensor Gas dan Jaringan Syaraf Tiruan untuk Mendeteksi Kebakaran Hutan [View project](#)

## 2D mapping using omni-directional mobile robot equipped with LiDAR

Muhammad Rivai, Dony Hutabarat, Zishwa Muhammad Jauhar Nafis

Department of Electrical Engineering, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Indonesia

### Article Info

#### Article history:

Received Jul 30, 2019

Revised Jan 21, 2020

Accepted Feb 23, 2020

#### Keywords:

2D mapping

LiDAR

Omni-directional mobile robot

SLAM

### ABSTRACT

A room map in a robot environment is needed because it can facilitate localization, automatic navigation, and also object searching. In addition, when a room is difficult to reach, maps can provide information that is helpful to humans. In this study, an omni-directional mobile robot equipped with a LiDAR sensor has been developed for 2D mapping a room. The YDLiDAR X4 sensor is used as an indoor scanner. Raspberry Pi 3 B single board computer (SBC) is used to access LiDAR data and then send it to a computer wirelessly for processing into a map. This computer and SBC are integrated in robot operating system (ROS). The movement of the robot can use manual control or automatic navigation to explore the room. The Hector SLAM algorithm determines the position of the robot based on scan matching of the LiDAR data. The LiDAR data will be used to determine the obstacles encountered by the robot. These obstacles will be represented in occupancy grid mapping. The experimental results show that the robot is able to follow the wall using PID control. The robot can move automatically to construct maps of the actual room with an error rate of 4.59%.

*This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](#) license.*



### Corresponding Author:

Muhammad Rivai,

Department of Electrical Engineering,

Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember,

Kampus ITS, Sukolilo, Surabaya 60111, Indonesia.

Email: muhammad\_rivai@ee.its.ac.id

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Environmental maps are needed in various applications including car navigation, obstacle avoidance for robots, search and rescue, and surveillance tasks, both in outdoor and indoor areas. Mapping information in a room or building is very useful both for robot in carrying out their duties or for humans who want to know a room that is difficult to reach [1, 2]. With the mapping of a room, information about the environment is obtained [3, 4]. Object tracking in buildings is needed for public safety and commercial interests [5]. Several studies have been conducted to avoid collisions, especially for dynamic obstacles [6], which is very useful for unknown robot environments [7, 8]. For the security aspect of human-robot interaction, it is necessary to have an accurate and fast obstacle avoidance method as a basic requirement for intelligent robots. Therefore, the establishment of an efficient, precise and inexpensive mapping technology is increasingly needed [9].

Currently the development of sensor technology is quite rapid. The implementation of the light-detection-and-ranging (LiDAR) sensor on the robotic navigation system has a great influence on predicting the surrounding environment in real-time [10, 11]. Compared with radar and sonar, LiDAR technology has very high accuracy, especially for short distance measurements in indoor mapping [12]. LiDAR is a distance measuring technology by reflecting laser light from an object, uses a single and coherent light on a particular

spectrum and frequency through electromagnetic radiation. The beam has a small divergence and high intensity to be able to reach long distances object. This distance measurement method is divided into two categories, namely time of flight (ToF) and triangulation. The working principle of ToF LiDAR is to emit a laser beam to the object and then the reflection of the beam will be captured by the receiver. Travel time since the light is emitted until it is received back will be processed into distance data. The counter will measure the time between the laser pulses that arrive at the target and return to the receiver [13]. Whereas in the triangulation method, the distance to the object is directly proportional to the angle of the reflected light. The camera can estimate the actual distance using the concept of a triangle equation.

The robot operating system (ROS) is open source so it is possible to process LiDAR data so that it can produce better and more accurate mapping visualizations. Thus, this robot is expected to be able to provide information about the map of the room in real-time. Mobile robot can be used as a sensor node and moves to map the desired area [14]. Autonomous vehicle navigation has become an important research fields in a variety of applications including navigation, localization, and mapping [15, 16]. The intelligent mobile robots require accurate maps to navigate their environment. Simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) has become the most priority as a mapping method [17]. This method overcomes the problem of constructing maps in unknown environments [18, 19]. SLAM has become an essential technology in the field of robotics, automation and computer vision. Among the various sensor modalities, cameras are low cost and provide rich visual and environmental information, which has growth in the future [20]. SLAM is the challenge of placing a robot at an unknown environment, then using the onboard sensors, the robot constructs a map of the surroundings and utilize this map to know robot's position [21].

This algorithm can be developed for mapping the agricultural environment using both a camera and a laser scanner [22, 23]. There are many ways to represent a 2D environment, in which the occupancy grid is the most relevant method for representing topological maps, line maps, and landmark based maps [24]. Occupancy grid uses probability values to make more detailed map representations. Each cell in the occupancy grid has a value that represents the probability of the cell's occupancy. A value close to 1 represents a high probability that there is an obstacle. Meanwhile, a value close to 0 indicates the probability that cell is not occupied and is free of obstacles. Accurate object modeling is an interesting challenge in remote sensing regarding with the LiDAR point cloud. This approach can produce accurate building models quickly compared to traditional methods [25, 26]. LiDAR-based SLAM combines positioning and mapping involving multiple consecutive scan point frames or scan matching [9]. Localization using scan matching generally involves the iterative closest point (ICP) algorithm. The ICP is a method used to minimize the difference between two-point cloud. The method is based on point cloud segmentation used to reduce processing time followed by grouping. This algorithm is often used to reconstruct the surface of the scan results for robot navigation purposes.

Raspberry Pi 3 Model B is the third generation of the Raspberry Pi product. With small dimensions and high computing capability, this development board is widely used in single-board computer (SBC) based robots. The operating system of the Raspberry Pi 3 Model B is booted through a micro SD card and runs with various operating systems, such as Ubuntu, Windows 10 IoT, Raspbian Stretch, Noob and others. This SBC has a Broadcom BCM2837 system, an ARM Cortex-A53 64-bit Quad Core Processor with a speed of 1.2 GHz. The Raspberry Pi 3 model B has 40 I/O pins, 1 GB of RAM, 4 USB ports, 802.11 wireless LAN, bluetooth low energy 4.1, an HDMI connector and a 3.5 mm audio connector [27]. The Raspberry Pi is designed like a SBC module so that it can be called a mini computer. To be accessible, the Raspberry Pi must be connected to other necessary peripherals such as a monitor screen (via HDMI) and input/output devices such as a keyboard and mouse [28].

## 2. RESEARCH METHOD

Figure 1 shows the scheme of the overall system used in the experiment and block diagram of the robotic system. The YDLiDAR X4 sensor is used as an indoor 2D scanner. The working principle of this sensor uses the triangulation method in determining the distance of the object. The LiDAR uses a laser that meets FDA class 1 standards. This sensor is equipped with a dc motor so that it can perform 360° scanning. The results of the scan are angular values along with the distance of the object.

The SBC of Raspberry Pi 3 B is used to access the LiDAR sensor. The LiDAR data is then sent to the computer wirelessly to be processed into a map. This computer and SBC are integrated in the ROS. Distance data collection from LiDAR measurements will be compared with the actual distance to find out its accuracy. The movement of the robot can use manual control or automatic navigation to explore the room. The commands for the robot movement come from SBC via the Arduino Nano microcontroller. The LiDAR data will be processed on the scan matching to obtain transformation of the position and the rotation without wheel odometry data. This Scan Matching result is an estimate of the position and orientation of the robot. Probability calculations are then needed to determine the value of each grid.




Figure 1. (a) Scheme of the robotic system, (b) Block diagram of the robotic system

The robot is designed using 3 omni-wheels and 12V DC motors which each wheel is separated by 120 degrees, shown in Figure 2. The motor speed is controlled using a proportional-integral-derivative (PID) method to follow the wall. The wall following method has recently become an interesting topic which can help navigate robots in a messy or disorderly environment [29, 30]. The robot travels along contour of the object with a certain distance. This strategy can be very helpful when a robot is stuck in a deadlock [31]. The output of a control signal is a pulse width modulation (PWM) signal. To generate a PWM signal, the AnalogWrite() function is used on the Arduino Nano microcontroller.

The Hector SLAM algorithm determines the position of the robot based on Scan Matching. The Hector SLAM algorithm uses the Gaussian-Newton minimization method which is considered to replace the Newton method. The LiDAR data will be used to determine the obstacles encountered by the robot. These obstacles will be represented in Occupancy Grid mapping. In Hector SLAM, to determine occupancy in the grid, log-odds probabilities that follow the rules of probability of Bayes are used. Bayes probability is a recursive probability calculation that involves the value of the previous calculation. If  $p(m_{x,y})$  is the probability of grid occupancy at the coordinates  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $p(z)$  is the probability of measuring LiDAR [22], then the probability equation based on Bayes is:

$$p(m_{x,y}|z) = \frac{p(z|m_{x,y})p(m_{x,y})}{p(z)} \quad (1)$$

Bayesian equations for the probability of grid occupancy when the presence or absence of obstacles can be expressed as:

$$p(m_{x,y} = 1|z) = \frac{p(z|m_{x,y}=1)p(m_{x,y}=1)}{p(z)} \quad (2)$$

$$p(m_{x,y} = 0|z) = \frac{p(z|m_{x,y}=0)p(m_{x,y}=0)}{p(z)} \quad (3)$$

To make the equation of log-odds probability, the odd formula is used first to calculate the ratio of the probability of the map:

$$odd = \frac{P \text{ occurred}}{P \text{ not occurred}} \quad (4)$$

$$odd = \frac{p(m_{x,y}=1|z)}{p(m_{x,y}=0|z)} \quad (5)$$

From (2), (3) and (5), we get the following equation:

$$\log odd = \log \frac{p(m_{x,y} = 1|z)}{p(m_{x,y} = 0|z)} = \log \frac{p(z|m_{x,y} = 1)p(m_{x,y}=1)}{p(z|m_{x,y} = 0)p(m_{x,y}=0)} = \log \frac{p(z|m_{x,y} = 1)}{p(z|m_{x,y} = 0)} + \log \frac{p(m_{x,y}=1)}{p(m_{x,y}=0)} \quad (6)$$

$$\log odd^+ = \log odd \text{ measurement} + \log odd^- \quad (7)$$

If the position of the robot is  $\psi = (x, y, \theta)$  then to get the coordinates of the obstacles at distance  $d$  are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{object} \\ y_{object} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$




Figure 2. (a) Design of the omni-wheel motor drivers, (b) Wall-following robot, (c) Block diagram of the PID control

### 3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

#### 3.1. The YDLiDAR X4 sensor measurement

In this experiment, a range of LiDAR sensor was measured. Table 1 shows the results of distance measurements by the LiDAR with a range between 0.5-12.5 m where the average error rate is 1.1%. This indicates that the sensor can be used to map a room. The LiDAR cannot measure distances greater than 10.5 m.

#### 3.2. Wall-following robot

In this experiment, the response of the robot was measured to maintain the distance to the wall using PID control. The PID parameters are obtained by manual tuning method where the value of  $k_p$  is 20,  $k_i$  is 0, and  $k_d$  is 1000. Figure 3 shows that the movement of the robot in following the wall is quite good even though there is a steady state error. The purpose of this control is to keep the robot in the LiDAR measurement range and to minimize changes in the movement of the robot. Large changes in movement will result errors in mapping.

Table 1. Distance measurement by the LiDAR

Actual distance (m)	Measured distance (m)	Error (%)
0.5	0.510	2
1.5	1.518	1.2
2.5	2.509	0.36
3.5	3.517	0.48
4.5	4.531	0.68
5.5	5.525	0.45
6.5	6.561	0.93
7.5	7.606	1.4
8.5	8.600	1.17
9.5	9.679	1.88
10.5	10.662	1.54
11.5	0	-
12.5	0	-
Average error		1.1




Figure 3. Response of wall-following robot using PID control

### 3.3. Robot position using scan matching

The Hector SLAM method is used to determine the position of the robot without the wheel odometry but instead uses scan matching. In this experiment, a comparison was made between the actual robot position and the results of Scan Matching. This experiment is carried out by running the robot with the keyboard control as far as the specified distance, as shown in Figure 4. Based on Table 2, the average errors in the x-axis and y-axis are 2.69%, and 5.11%, respectively, (or the total error rate of 3.9%). Because the position of Hector SLAM involves the Scan Matching method, the error from the LiDAR sensor will affect the position of the robot.




Figure 4. (a) Measurement of position data, (b) Occupancy grid testing

Table 2. Position of the robot using scan matching

Actual position (m)		Measured position (m)		Error (%)	
X	Y	X	Y	X	Y
0.25	0.25	0.26	0.23	4	8
0.50	0.50	0.52	0.42	4	16
0.75	0.75	0.76	0.73	1.3	2.6
1.00	1.00	0.98	0.96	2	4
1.25	1.25	1.23	1.23	1.6	1.6
1.50	1.50	1.42	1.45	5.3	3.3
1.75	1.75	1.71	1.70	2.2	2.8
2.00	2.00	1.98	1.95	1	2.5
Average error				2.69	5.11

### 3.4. Room mapping

The SLAM algorithm is used to construct unknown environment map while simultaneously tracking the location of the robot within it. Therefore, it is necessary to use ground truth to verify the estimation results [32]. In this experiment, we use a model room that has a size of 2.4 m x 2.4 m and then carried out a trial in a real room with a size of 12 m x 8.4 m, as the ground truths. Room mapping can be carried out by running the mobile robot wirelessly via a keyboard on a laptop (manual mode). This mapping is also carried out by running the mobile robot automatically to explore the room by following the wall (automatic mode).

The LiDAR data is then sent to the computer to be processed and displayed using the rviz tools. All data collected during mapping this room is stored in a rosbag. In the first experiment, the robot is run automatically in tracing the entire room according to the design in Figure 5. Table 3 show an average error rate of 5.32%. The last experiment was carried out in a real room, as shown in Figure 6. It shows that the movement of the robot manually and automatically has measurement error rate of 4.00%, and 4.59%, respectively. This indicates that the omni-directional mobile robot equipped with LiDAR is able to make room maps automatically.




Figure 5. (a) Design of the experimental model room, (b) Result of mapping

Table 3. Size of mapping result in the experiment

Wall index	Actual wall length (m)	Measured wall length (m)	Error (%)
A	2.4	2.32	3.33
B	2.4	2.38	0.83
C	0.25	0.26	4.00
D	0.53	0.55	3.77
E	0.74	0.88	18.91
F	0.74	0.75	1.35
G	0.44	0.43	2.27
H	0.58	0.65	12.06
I	0.74	0.73	1.35
Average error (%)			5.32




Figure 6. (a) The actual room, (b) Map resulted in the automatic mode, (c) Map resulted in the manual mode

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this study, an omni-directional mobile robot equipped with a LiDAR sensor has been developed for mapping a room. The YDLiDAR X4 sensor is used as an indoor 2D scanner. The SBC of Raspberry Pi 3 B is used to access the LiDAR sensor. The LiDAR data is then sent to the computer wirelessly to be processed into a map. This computer and SBC are integrated in ROS. The movement of the robot can use manual control or automatic navigation to explore the room. The commands for the robot movement come from SBC via the Arduino Nano microcontroller. The Hector SLAM algorithm determines the position of the robot based on Scan Matching of the LiDAR data. The data is used to determine the obstacles encountered by the robot. These obstacles will be represented in Occupancy Grid mapping. The experimental results show that the LiDAR sensor has a measurement range of 0.12-10.5 m. The robot is able to follow the wall using PID control. The Scan Matching method is able to predict the position of the robot with an error rate of 3.9%. Experiment in the model room, the robot can build maps with an error rate of 6.44%. Whereas, experiment in actual room, the robot can move automatically to construct maps with an error rate of 4.59%. These results indicate that the mobile robot equipped with LiDAR are able to build maps accurately. For future work, we will develop three-dimensional mapping using a mobile robot accompanied by LiDAR to search for victims in a collapsed building.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was carried out with financial aid support from the Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of the Republic of Indonesia (Kemenristekdikti RI) and Lembaga Penelitian dan Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat (LPPM) Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember (ITS) Surabaya.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. Vysotska and C. Stachniss, "Exploiting building information from publicly available maps in graph-based SLAM," *IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp. 4511-4516, 2016.
- [2] D. Shen, et al., "Research and Implementation of SLAM Based on LIDAR for Four-Wheeled Mobile Robot," *IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Robotic and Control Engineering*, pp. 19-23, 2018.
- [3] M. G. Ocando, et al., "Autonomous 2D SLAM and 3D Mapping of an Environment Using a Single 2D LIDAR and ROS," *2017 Latin American Robotics Symposium and 2017 Brazilian Symposium on Robotics*, pp. 2-7, Nov 2017.
- [4] D. Ghorpade, et al., "Obstacle Detection and Avoidance Algorithm for Autonomous Mobile Robot using 2D LiDAR," *International Conference on Computing, Communication, Control and Automation*, pp. 1-6, 2018.
- [5] P. Mirowski, et al., "Depth camera SLAM on a low-cost WiFi mapping robot," *IEEE International Conference of Technologies for Practical Robot Application*, pp. 1-6, 2012.
- [6] D. Han, et al., "Dynamic obstacle avoidance for manipulators using distance calculation and discrete detection," *Robotics and Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 49, pp. 98-104, Feb 2018.
- [7] Arun T. S., and Krishna S., "Autonomous 2D Mapping of an Unknown Environment using Single 1D LIDAR and ROS," *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology (IJERT)*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 10-13, Mar 2018.
- [8] M. Stefanczyk, et al., "3D Camera and Lidar Utilization for Mobile Robot Navigation," *Journal of Automation, Mobile Robotics and Intelligent Systems*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 27-33, 2013.
- [9] X. Niu, et al., "An Online Solution of LiDAR Scan Matching Aided Inertial Navigation System for Indoor Mobile Mapping," *Mobile Information Systems*, vol. 2017, pp. 1-11, 2017.
- [10] S. N. Anual, et al., "GA-based Optimisation of a LiDAR Feedback Autonomous Mobile Robot Navigation System," *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 433-441, Sep 2018.
- [11] H. Wang, et al., "Real-Time Vehicle Detection Algorithm Based on Vision and Lidar Point Cloud Fusion," *Journal of Sensors*, vol. 2019, pp. 1-9, 2019.
- [12] V. Vandana, et al., "3D Mapping using Lidar," *International Journal of Engineering Research and Technology*, vol. 6, no. 13, pp. 1-4, 2018.
- [13] J. Liu, et al., "TOF Lidar Development in Autonomous Vehicle," *IEEE 3rd Optoelectronics Global Conference*, pp. 185-190, 2018.
- [14] R. Watiasih, et al., "Online Gas Mapping in Outdoor Environment using Solar-Powered Mobile Robot," *International Conference on Computer Engineering, Network and Intelligent Multimedia*, pp. 245-250, 2018.
- [15] R. Watiasih, et al., "Path Planning Mobile Robot Using Waypoint For Gas Level Mapping," *International Seminar on Intelligent Technology and Its Application*, pp. 261-266, 2017.
- [16] P. Marin-plaza, et al., "Global and Local Path Planning Study in a ROS-Based Research Platform for Autonomous Vehicles," *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, vol. 2018, 2018.
- [17] M. Mustafa, et al., "Guaranteed SLAM-An interval approach," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 100, pp. 160-170, Feb 2018.
- [18] J. Li, et al., "SLAM Based On Information Fusion of Stereo Vision and Electronic Compass," *International Journal of Robotics Automation*, vol. 31, no. 3, 2016.
- [19] J. Wang and W. Chen, "An Improved Extended Information Filter SLAM Algorithm Based on Omnidirectional Vision," *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, vol. 2014, no. 4, pp. 1-10, 2014.



- [20] Xiao L., et al., "Dynamic-SLAM: Semantic Monocular Visual Localization and Mapping Based on Deep Learning in Dynamic Environment," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 117, pp. 1-16, 2019.
- [21] H. A. Daoud, et al., "SLAMM: Visual Monocular SLAM With Continuous Mapping Using Multiple Maps," *PLOS*, pp. 1-22, 2018.
- [22] F. A. Cheein, et al., "Optimized EIF-SLAM Algorithm for Precision Agriculture Mapping Based on Stems Detection," *Computer and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 78, no. 2, pp. 195-207, Sep 2011.
- [23] D. Reiser, et al., "Iterative Individual Plant Clustering In Maize With Assembled 2D LiDAR Data," *Computers in Industry*, vol. 99, pp. 42-52, Apr 2018.
- [24] E. Horvath and C. R. Pozna, "Probabilistic Occupancy Grid Map Building for Neobotix MP500 Robot," *Workshop on Positioning, Navigation and Communications*, pp. 2-5, 2016.
- [25] Tazir M. L., et al., "CICP: Cluster Iterative Closest Point for Sparse-Dense Point Cloud Registration," *Robotics and Autonomous System*, Jul 2018.
- [26] A. M. Ramiya, et al., "Segmentation Based Building Detection Approach from LiDAR Point Cloud," *The Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, pp. 1-7, 2016.
- [27] Eben U. and Gareth H., "Raspberry Pi User Guide," First Edit. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2016.
- [28] M. Rivai, et al., "Meat Freshness Identification System Using Gas Sensor Array and Color Sensor in Conjunction with Neural Network Pattern Recognition," *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, vol. 96, no. 12, pp. 3861-3872, Jun 2018.
- [29] K. Al-Mutib and F. Abdessemed, "Indoor Mobile Robot Navigation in Unknown Environment Using Fuzzy Logic Based Behaviors," *Advanced in Science, Technology and Engineering Systems Journal*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 327-337, 2017.
- [30] U. Farooq, et al., "Design and low cost implementation of a fuzzy logic controller for wall following behavior of a mobile robot," *2nd International Conference on Signal Processing Systems*, vol. 2, pp. V2-740-V2-746, 2010.
- [31] D. Hanafi, et al., "Wall Follower Autonomous Robot Development Applying Fuzzy Incremental Controller," *Intelligent Control and Automation*, vol. 4, pp. 18-25, 2013.
- [32] I. Z. Ibragimov and I. M. Afanasyev, "Comparison of ROS-based Visual SLAM methods in homogeneous indoor environment," *14th Workshop on Positioning, Navigation and Communication*, Oct 2017.

## BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



**Muhammad Rivai** received BE degree from Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember in 1993, ME degree from University of Indonesia in 1997, PhD degree from University of Airlangga in 2006. He is currently a lecturer at Electrical Engineering Department, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia. His research interests include gas sensors, mobile robots, fuzzy logic, PID, and neural network applications.



**Dony Hutabarat** received BCS degree from Sekolah Tinggi Manajemen Informatika dan Komputer (STMIK) Banten Jaya in 2005, M. Eng. degree from University of Gadjah Mada in 2015. He is currently a PhD Student at Electrical Engineering Department, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember, Surabaya, Indonesia. His research interests include mobile robots, web programming, and Desktop Application Development.



**Zishwa Muhammad Jauhar Nafis** received BE degree from Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember in 2019. His research interests include mobile robots, electronics, and microcontrollers.