

EXCHANGE --- The New Ways Your Boss Is Spying on you --- It's not just your email. Employers are mining the data their workers generate to figure out what they're up to, and with whom. There's almost nothing you can do about it.

Krouse, Sarah . Wall Street Journal , Eastern edition; New York, N.Y. [New York, N.Y.]20 July 2019: B.1.

[ProQuest document link](#)

FULL TEXT

Your employer may know a lot more about you than you think.

The tone of your voice in a meeting. How often you're away from your desk. How quickly you respond to emails. Where you roam in the office. What's on your computer screen.

To be an employee of a large company in the U.S. now often means becoming a workforce data generator -- from the first email sent from bed in the morning to the Wi-Fi hotspot used during lunch to the new business contact added before going home. Employers are parsing those interactions to learn who is influential, which teams are most productive and who is a flight risk.

Companies, which have wide legal latitude in the U.S. to monitor workers, don't always tell them what they are tracking. When executives at McKesson Corp. wanted to know why some of its teams had higher turnover the pharmaceutical wholesaler last year worked with a people analytics startup to examine data on the sender, recipient and timing of over 130 million emails -- not the content of the messages -- from more than 20,000 U.S. employees to see what dots it could connect about relationships.

The analytics firm, TrustSphere, found that teams with lower turnover typically had a diverse mix of internal connections up and down the chain of command inside the company and with external contacts, while teams with higher turnover had stronger relationships outside the company and weaker relationships with colleagues at their level or lower inside the firm. McKesson says it looked only at groups of workers, not individual employees out of respect for worker privacy and opted not to disclose the analysis to employees at the time because it did not look at email content.

"The beauty of what we're getting out of this is information to make our teams function better," says R.J. Milnor, vice president, workforce planning and analytics at McKesson.

McKesson has not yet determined what changes it will make as a result of the findings, but has considered adopting a more open office plan to encourage more discussion between employees. It is also exploring ways to predict which teams are at risk of losing members based on their relationship patterns.

It's not just emails that are being tallied and analyzed. Companies are increasingly sifting through texts, Slack chats and, in some cases, recorded and transcribed phone calls on mobile devices.

Microsoft Corp. tallies data on the frequency of chats, emails and meetings between its staff and clients using its own Office 365 services to measure employee productivity, management efficacy and work-life balance.

Tracking the email, chats and calendar appointments can paint a picture of how employees spend an average of 20 hours of their work time each week, says Natalie McCollough, a general manager at Microsoft who focuses on workplace analytics. The company only allows managers to look at groups of five or more workers.

Earlier this year, Microsoft sales team members received personalized dashboards that show how they spend their time, insights that managers cannot see. The portal offers suggestions on how to build out their networks of contacts and spend more time with customers rather than in internal meetings.

Microsoft also sells that type of workplace analytics software to other companies, such as Macy's Inc., which crunched data on staff work-life balance by measuring how many hours employees spend sending emails and logged in outside of business hours. Mortgage giant Freddie Mac used Microsoft's analysis to gauge how much time workers spent in meetings to try to determine whether some of those gatherings were redundant.

Advocates of using surveillance technology in the workplace say the insights allow companies to better allocate resources, spot problem employees earlier and suss out high performers. Critics warn that the proliferating tools may not be nuanced enough to result in fair, equitable judgments.

"There's what's legally right and what you need to do to maintain trusting relationships with your employees, and they are not always the same thing," says Stacia Garr, co-founder of workforce research and advisory firm RedThread Research, which researches and advises companies on human resource-related issues.

Diana Hubbard, a 41-year-old user-experience designer and researcher in Fort Worth, Texas, does not communicate about her private life on work devices at all – not even to make a dinner reservation online. She keeps a personal smartphone and two personal laptops – one dedicated to gaming – in addition to her work-issued phone and computer. When she travels for work, Ms. Hubbard sometimes carries two phones and two laptops and avoids connecting her personal phone to any corporate Wi-Fi unless she sets up a virtual private network connection.

"I'm not all tinfoil hat or anything," she says, noting that she tries to avoid sharing personal data online altogether.

"Data is so valuable that I don't like to give it away for free, no matter who is trying to take it or access it. That's really what it comes down to. This is mine. I own it."

For years companies have made workers sign technology agreements detailing how any digital transmission that flows across a work-issued phone or computer is company property. U.S. employers are legally entitled to access any communications or intellectual property created in the workplace or on devices they pay for that employees use for work, employment lawyers say.

Now companies are getting smarter at analyzing the trove of worker data in their possession. One of the newest frontiers is dissecting phone calls and conference room conversations. In some cases, tonal analysis can help diagnose culture issues on a team, showing who dominates conversations, who demurs and who resists efforts to engage in emotional discussions.

Life Time Inc., which operates a chain of fitness facilities, uses language processing provided by a two-year-old company called Ambit Analytics to evaluate how newly hired club managers are able to solve problems in small groups. The training exercise surfaces information on how much a person talked over others and rates their speech and volume.

Five to eight participants and a group facilitator download an app on their phones and press a button to begin the recording. They are typically given a hypothetical problem to solve. Life Time then counsels its new hires about what skills to work on, whether becoming a better listener or speaking up more often, says David Pettrone Swalve, vice president of education at Life Time. Some people, he says, find it easier to hear feedback based on data rather than another person, which can seem subjective.

"Black Mirror is upon us," Mr. Swalve says, referring to the popular futuristic Netflix show.

Using emails to decipher customer and internal relationship patterns helped Ramco Systems, a software maker based in Chennai, India, reduce the time it took to train new sales team staffers across its offices, which range from Princeton, N.J., to Singapore. When a sales executive left the company, for instance, Ramco could identify that person's 50 strongest client relationships and quickly pass along the information to their replacement. Doing so meant it took weeks instead of up to five months to get new hires on the team up to speed, the company says. Ramco used TrustSphere to help with its analysis of digital interactions. TrustSphere Chief Executive Manish Goel says his firm doesn't look at the content of emails or chats but it can still highlight an organization's internal influencers by identifying those whose messages get quick responses and who have strong, ongoing relationships

with peers throughout the company. Mr. Goel says it's possible to glean useful clues from data handled in ethical, transparent ways while respecting worker privacy.

Some executives and researchers warn that artificial intelligence in the workplace and natural language processing technology is still evolving and the data employers can capture paints only a partial picture of a worker's day or relationships. While it's easy to identify joy or anger, detecting more nuanced emotions is difficult. Demand for workplace analytics have spawned dozens of other startup companies such as Bunch.ai, which analyses the tone of Slack channels to gauge team chemistry and morale. It declined to name its customers. More than 2,000 employers across industries including health care, energy, legal, automotive and government now use Aventura, Fla.-based Teramind's monitoring technology, says Eli Sutton, vice president of global operations. Many have a particular focus on keeping documents and intellectual property from finding their way to competitors. Teramind deploys a suite of software that can take a live look at employees' screens, capture real-time keystrokes, record video of their activities and break down how they spend their time. Some employers opt to set up alert systems so that if a worker opens certain documents and tries to print them, software will attempt to prevent such an action and notify an administrator. Teramind can also classify employees' hours as productive or unproductive, based on activities like scrolling through Facebook.

The technology shows companies how work actually gets accomplished, Mr. Sutton says, and most clients notify workers that they may be monitored. A number of firms also are using Teramind to keep tabs on their remote workers. Teramind declined to name its customers.

Others are testing the boundaries of how much privacy workers will relinquish. Ben Waber, a scientist at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and co-founder of a Boston startup named Humanyze, led a group of MIT researchers that tested microphone-equipped badges to record the tone of worker voices and sensed changes in their stress levels. The technology was tested by a Fortune 500 bank in the U.S. and several companies in Japan, where workplace stress-induced suicide is a problem. The badges proved effective, though hard to scale, Mr. Waber says.

Credit: By Sarah Krouse

DETAILS

Subject:	Social networks; Privacy; Employees; Employers; Executives; Data mining
Location:	United States--US
Company / organization:	Name: Humanyze; NAICS: 511210, 541512; Name: Google Inc; NAICS: 334310, 519130; Name: Microsoft Corp; NAICS: 334614, 511210
Publication title:	Wall Street Journal, Eastern edition; New York, N.Y.
First page:	B.1
Publication year:	2019
Publication date:	Jul 20, 2019
Publisher:	Dow Jones &Company Inc
Place of publication:	New York, N.Y.
Country of publication:	United States, New York, N.Y.