# Amazon and Twitch Network Analyses

CS 5990: Computational Social Systems Group Assignment #1

Jeremy Anunwah, Yurii Lebid, Bill Kim, Aumkaareshwar

# Initial Analysis: Amazon

- Metrics were stated in documentation and verified via NetworkX
  - Components: one, the entire network is connected
  - Nodes: 334863
  - Edges: 925872
  - Clustering Coefficient: 0.3967
- Additional information, not checked in NetworkX
  - Diameter: 44

# Initial Analysis: Twitch

- Metrics were again stated in documentation and verified via NetworkX
  - Components: one, the entire network is connected
  - Size: 168114
  - Edges: 6797557
  - Clustering Coefficient: Not yet known
- No additional information

# Methodology

- Size: count the number of nodes in the graph
- Average degree: fast method is to double the edge count and divide by network size
  - Note: each edge contributes 2 to network's total degree
- Average path length: approximate via NetworkX
- Clustering coefficient: compute via NetworkX

### Methodology

- Calculating average path length is computationally expensive
- As of present, HPC not complete after ~19 hours for each original network
- New method, given n nodes:
  - Sample n nodes as sources
  - Sample n nodes as targets
  - Find average path length of  $s_1 \rightarrow t_1$ ,  $s_2 \rightarrow t_2$ , ..., etc.
  - Results in O(n) paths instead of  $O(n^2)$
- Done for both real and simulated networks

### Simulations

- Matching metrics of original networks was guess and check
- Certain trends discovered that sped up experiments
  - $\circ$  Watts-Strogatz: given a fixed parameter  $\beta$  and degree d, an increase in network size caused a consistent increase in average path length
    - clustering coefficient remained relatively constant
  - Barabasi-Albert: given a fixed parameter m, an increase in network size caused a consistent decrease in clustering coefficient
    - average path length decreased slightly

# Watts-Strogatz Pseudocode

```
network = NetworkX.Graph()
adjacencyList = [] for n nodes
for each node i
      adjacencyList[i] = [i + 1, ..., i + degree / 2]
end for
for each node i
      for each neighbor j of i
            if randomValue(0.0, 1.0) <= beta
                  loop infinitely
                        k = random node
                        exit loop if i != k, and i and k are not neighbors
                  end loop
            end if
      end for
end for
```

#### Barabasi-Albert Pseudocode

```
network = NetworkX.Graph()
degrees = [0, 0, \ldots, 0] for n nodes
for each node i, up to n<sub>a</sub>
      for each node j > i, up to n_a
            add edge (i, j) to network
      end for
      degrees[i] = n_{\rho} - 1
end for
for each node i > n_a, up to n
      selectedNodes = random.choices(population = [0, ..., i], weights = degrees[:i], count = m)
      for each selectedNode in selectedNodes:
            add edge (i, selectedNode) to network
            degrees[selectedNode] += 1
      end for
      degrees[i] = m
end for
```

### Implementation Validation

- Each algorithm's implementation was compared against NetworkX implementation
- Analyzed small test networks with varying parameters
- Size, average path length, and clustering coefficient remained equal in all cases

# Computed Metrics

	Original Network			Watts-Strogatz		Barabasi-Albert		
Network	Size	Average Degree	Average Path Length	Clustering Coefficient	Average Path Length	Clustering Coefficient	Average Path Length	Clustering Coefficient
Amazon	334863	5.5299	12.9569	0.3967	13.3997	0.4432	10.438	0.0000
Twitch	168114	80.8684	3.8759	0.1599	4.5028	0.1602	3.9999	0.0951

### Simulated Network Parameters

Network	Parameter	Value	
Amazon (Watts-Strogatz)	β	0.10	
	d	6	
Amazon (Barabasi-Albert)	m	1	
	$n_o$	20	
Twitch (Watts-Strogatz)	β	0.40	
	d	60	
Twitch (Barabasi-Albert)	т	8	
	$n_0$	500	

#### Results

- Both Watts-Strogatz simulated networks closely matched real networks
- Both Barabasi-Albert simulated networks closely approximated only average path length
  - Clustering coefficient further off due to nature of algorithm
    - Amazon: real coefficient of 0.3967 is high to match
    - Twitch: closer, but  $n_0 = 500$  may be unrealistic

### Tasks

- Jeremy Anunwah: presentation, algorithm implementations, simulation experiments
- Yurii Lebid: Verification of metrics for clustering coefficients and average path lengths in parallel computations
- Bill Kim: HPC setup and optimized algorithm design
- Aumkaareshwar: presentation, Contributed to research and analysis.