DSLsofMath: Typing Mathematics (Week 3) the Lagrangian Equations

Patrik Jansson Cezar Ionescu

Functional Programming division, Chalmers University of Technology

2023-01-31

Starting point: a maths quote (the Lagrangian)

From [Sussman and Wisdom, 2013]:

A mechanical system is described by a Lagrangian function of the system state (time, coordinates, and velocities). A motion of the system is described by a path that gives the coordinates for each moment of time. A path is allowed if and only if it satisfies the Lagrange equations. Traditionally, the Lagrange equations are written

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$$

What could this expression possibly mean?

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$$

• The use of notation for "partial derivative", $\partial L/\partial q$, suggests that L is a function of at least a pair of arguments:

$$L: \mathbb{R}^i \to \mathbb{R}, i \geq 2$$

This is consistent with the description: "Lagrangian function of the system state (time, coordinates, and velocities)". So, if we let "coordinates" be just one coordinate, we can take i=3:

$$L: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}$$

The "system state" here is a triple, of type $S = T \times Q \times V$, and we can call the three components t: T for time, q: Q for coordinate, and v: V for velocity. $(T = Q = V = \mathbb{R}.)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$$

• Looking again at $\partial L/\partial q$, q is the name of a variable, one of the 3 args to L. In the context, which we do not have, we would expect to find somewhere the definition of the Lagrangian as

$$L: T \times Q \times V \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$L(t, q, v) = \dots$$

• therefore, $\partial L/\partial q$ should also be a function of the same triple:

$$(\partial L/\partial q): T \times Q \times V \to \mathbb{R}$$

It follows that the equation expresses a relation between *functions*, therefore the 0 on the right-hand side is *not* the real number 0, but rather the constant function *const* 0:

const
$$0: T \times Q \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

const $0 \ (t, q, v) = 0$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$$

• We now have a problem: d / dt can only be applied to functions of *one* real argument t, and the result is a function of one real argument:

$$(d/dt)(\partial L/\partial \dot{q}):T\to\mathbb{R}$$

Since we subtract from this the function $\partial L/\partial q$, it follows that this, too, must be of type $T \to \mathbb{R}$. But we already typed it as $T \times Q \times V \to \mathbb{R}$, contradiction!

• The expression $\partial L/\partial \dot{q}$ appears to also be malformed. We would expect a variable name where we find \dot{q} , but \dot{q} is the same as dq/dt, a function.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$$

• The only immediate candidate for an application of d/dt is "a path that gives the coordinates for each moment of time". Thus, the path is a function of time, let us say

$$w: T o Q$$
 -- with T for time and Q for coords $(q:Q)$

We can now guess that the use of the plural form "equations" might have something to do with the use of "coordinates". In an n-dim. space, a position is given by n coordinates. A path would then be

$$w: \mathcal{T} \to Q$$
 -- with $Q = \mathbb{R}^n$

which is equivalent to n functions of type $T \to \mathbb{R}$, each computing one coordinate as a function of time. We would then have an equation for each of them. We will use n=1 for the rest of this example.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$$

• Now that we have a path, the coordinates at any time are given by the path. And as the time derivative of a coordinate is a velocity, we can actually compute the trajectory of the full system state $T \times Q \times V$ starting from just the path.

$$egin{aligned} q:&T o Q\ q=w & -\text{--} \text{ or, equivalently, } q\left(t
ight)=w\left(t
ight)\ \dot{q}:&T o V\ \dot{q}=D\ w & -\text{--} \text{ or, equivalently, } \dot{q}\ t=dw\left(t
ight)/\ dt \end{aligned}$$

We combine these in the "combinator" expand, given by

expand :
$$(T \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow (T \rightarrow T \times Q \times V)$$

expand w $t = (t, w \ t, D \ w \ t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$$

• With *expand* in our toolbox we can fix the typing problem.

$$(\partial L / \partial q) \circ (expand \ w) : T \to \mathbb{R}$$

• We now move to using D for d / dt, D_2 for ∂ / ∂q , and D_3 for ∂ / $\partial \dot{q}$. In combination with expand w we find these type correct combinations for the two terms in the equation:

$$D\left((D_3 \ L) \circ (expand \ w)\right) : T \to \mathbb{R}$$

 $(D_2 \ L) \circ (expand \ w) : T \to \mathbb{R}$

The equation becomes

$$D((D_3 L) \circ (expand w)) - (D_2 L) \circ (expand w) = const 0$$

or, after simplification:

$$D(D_3 L \circ expand w) = D_2 L \circ expand w$$

Case 3: Lagrangian, summary

"A path is allowed if and only if it satisfies the Lagrange equations" means that this equation is a predicate on paths:

Lagrange
$$(L, w) = D(D_3 L \circ expand w) = D_2 L \circ expand w$$

Thus: If we can describe a mechanical system in terms of "a Lagrangian" $(L: S \to \mathbb{R})$, then we can use the predicate to check if a particular candidate path $w: T \to \mathbb{R}$ qualifies as a "motion of the system" or not. The unknown of the equation is the path w, and the equation is an example of a partial differential equation (a PDE).

Bibliography

G. J. Sussman and J. Wisdom. Functional Differential Geometry. MIT Press, 2013.

 $\label{lem:combined} Domain-Specific \ Languages \ of \ Mathematics, \ BSc \ level \ course \ at \ Chalmers \ and \ GU, \ https://github.com/DSLsofMath/$