

FLABloM: Functional linear algebra with block matrices

Adam Sandberg Eriksson and Patrik Jansson

Chalmers University of Technology, Sweden
{saadam,patrikj}@chalmers.se

Abstract

We define a block based matrix representation in Agda and lift various algebraic structures (semi-near-rings, semi-rings and closed semi-rings) to matrices in order to verify algorithms that can be implemented using the closure operation in a semi-ring.

Introduction

In [1] Bernardy & Jansson used a clever formulation of matrices to certify Valiant's [4] parsing algorithm. Their matrix formulation was restricted to matrices of size $2^n \times 2^n$ and this work extends the matrix formulation to allow for all sizes of matrices and applies the techniques to other algorithms that can be described as closed semi-near-rings with inspiration from [2] and [3].

We define a hierarchy of ring structures as Agda records. A semi-near-ring for some type s needs an equivalence relation \simeq_s , a distinguished element 0_s and operations addition $+_s$ and multiplication \cdot_s . Our semi-near-ring requires proofs that

- 0_s and $+_s$ form a commutative monoid (i.e. $+_s$ commutes and 0_s is the left and right identity of $+_s$),
- 0_s is the left and right zero of \cdot_s ,
- $+_s$ is idempotent ($\forall x \rightarrow x +_s x \simeq_s x$) and
- \cdot_s distributes over $+_s$.

For the semi-ring we extend the semi-near-ring with an element 1_s and proofs that \cdot_s is associative and that 1_s is the left and right identity of \cdot_s .

Finally we extend the semi-ring with an operation *closure* that computes the transitive closure of an element of the semi-ring (c is the closure of w if $c \simeq_s 1_s +_s w \cdot_s c$ holds), we denote the closure with $*$.

We use two examples of closed semi-rings: (1) the Booleans with disjunction as addition, conjunction as multiplication and the closure being *true*; and (2) the natural numbers (\mathbb{N}) extended with an element ∞ , we let $0_s = \infty$, $1_s = 0$, *min* plays the role of $+_s$, addition of natural numbers the role of \cdot_s and the closure is 0.

Matrices

To represent the dimensions of matrices we use a datatype of non-empty binary trees:

```
data Shape : Set where  
  L : Shape  
  B : (s1 s2 : Shape) → Shape
```

This representation follows the structure of the matrix representation more closely than natural numbers and we can easily compute the corresponding natural number:

$$\text{toNat} : \text{Shape} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}; \text{toNat } L = 1; \text{toNat } (B \ l \ r) = \text{toNat } l + \text{toNat } r$$

while the other direction is slightly more complicated because we want a somewhat balanced tree and we have no translation of 0.

Matrices are parametrised by the type of elements they contain and indexed by a *Shape* for each dimension. 1-by-1 matrices lift the element into a matrix

data $M (a : Set) : (rows\ cols : Shape) \rightarrow Set$ **where**
 $One : a \rightarrow M\ a\ L\ L$

Row and column matrices are built from smaller matrices which are either 1-by-1 matrices or further row respectively column matrices

$Row : \{c_1\ c_2 : Shape\} \rightarrow M\ a\ L\ c_1 \rightarrow M\ a\ L\ c_2 \rightarrow M\ a\ L\ (B\ c_1\ c_2)$
 $Col : \{r_1\ r_2 : Shape\} \rightarrow M\ a\ r_1\ L \rightarrow M\ a\ r_2\ L \rightarrow M\ a\ (B\ r_1\ r_2)\ L$

and matrices of other shapes are built from 4 smaller matrices, like $X = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ where $X_{11}, X_{12}, X_{21}, X_{22}$ are again matrices.

$Q : \{r_1\ r_2\ c_1\ c_2 : Shape\} \rightarrow M\ a\ r_1\ c_1 \rightarrow M\ a\ r_1\ c_2 \rightarrow M\ a\ r_2\ c_1 \rightarrow M\ a\ r_2\ c_2 \rightarrow M\ a\ (B\ r_1\ r_2)\ (B\ c_1\ c_2)$

This matrix representation allows for simple formulations of matrix addition, multiplication, and as we will see also the transitive closure of a matrix.

Transitive closure of matrices In [3] Lehmann presents a definition of the closure on square matrices, $A^* = 1 + A \cdot A^*$: Given

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

the transitive closure of A is defined inductively as

$$A^* = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^* + A_{11}^* \cdot A_{12} \cdot \Delta^* \cdot A_{21} \cdot A_{11}^* & A_{11}^* \cdot A_{12} \cdot \Delta^* \\ \Delta^* \cdot A_{21} \cdot A_{11}^* & \Delta^* \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\Delta = A_{22} + A_{21} \cdot A_{11}^* \cdot A_{12}$ and the base case being the 1-by-1 matrix where we use the transitive closure of the element of the matrix: $[s]^* = [s^*]$

References

- [1] Jean-Philippe Bernardy and Patrik Jansson. Certified context-free parsing: A formalisation of Valiant’s algorithm in Agda. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, 2016. Accepted 2015-12-22 for publication in LMCS.
- [2] Stephen Dolan. Fun with semirings: A functional pearl on the abuse of linear algebra. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming*, ICFP ’13, pages 101–110, New York, NY, USA, 2013. ACM.
- [3] Daniel J. Lehmann. Algebraic structures for transitive closure. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 4(1):59–76, 1977.
- [4] L.G. Valiant. General context-free recognition in less than cubic time. *J. of computer and system sciences*, 10(2):308–314, 1975.