

Kuznetsov components, Stability, and Moduli Spaces

Xiaolong Liu

October 17, 2023

Contents

1	Derived Category and Kuznetsov Components	7
1.1	Basic Definitions	7
1.2	Example I – Projective Bundles	9
1.3	Example II – Blow-Ups	11
1.4	Example III – Smooth Quadrics and Grassmannians	16
1.5	Example IV – Curves	17
1.6	Example V – Other Examples	19
2	Examples of Fano Manifolds of Calabi-Yau Type	21
2.1	Cubics	21
2.2	Gushel-Mukai Varieties	21
2.2.1	Basic Definitions and Properties	21
2.2.2	Some Classifications	26
2.3	Debarre-Voisin Varieties	27
2.4	Iliev-Manivel Varieties	27
3	Kuznetsov Components	29
4	Examples of Derived Equivalences of Kuznetsov Components with K3s	31
5	Stability Conditions on K3 Categories	33
6	Applications: Mukai’s program	35
7	Application to Cubic Fourfolds and Gushel-Mukai Manifolds	37
	Index	39
	Bibliography	41

Preface

[2][3]

Chapter 1

Derived Category and Kuznetsov Components

Here we follow some definitions and results in [7]. Note that when I working in the derived category, we will omit the \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{L} of the derived functors. Also, we only consider the schemes over \mathbb{C} .

1.1 Basic Definitions

Definition 1.1.1. A full triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$ is called *admissible* if the inclusion has a right adjoint $\pi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$

The *orthogonal complement* of a (an admissible) subcategory $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$ is the full subcategory \mathcal{D}'^\perp of all objects $C \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\text{Hom}(B, C) = 0$ for all $B \in \mathcal{D}'$.

Definition 1.1.2. An object $E \in \mathcal{D}$ in a k -linear triangulated category \mathcal{D} is called *exceptional* if

$$\text{Hom}(E, E[\ell]) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } \ell = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \ell \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

An *exceptional sequence* is a sequence E_1, \dots, E_n of exceptional objects such that $\text{Hom}(E_i, E_j[\ell]) = 0$ for all $i > j$ and all ℓ .

An exceptional sequence is *full* if \mathcal{D} is generated by $\{E_i\}$.

An exceptional collection E_1, \dots, E_n is *strong* if in addition $\text{Hom}(E_i, E_j[\ell]) = 0$ for all i, j and all $\ell \neq 0$.

Definition 1.1.3. A sequence of full admissible triangulated subcategories $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n \subset \mathcal{D}$ is *semi-orthogonal* if for all $i > j$ we have $\mathcal{D}_j \subset \mathcal{D}_i^\perp$. Such a sequence defines a *semi-orthogonal decomposition (S.O.D.)* of \mathcal{D} if \mathcal{D} is generated by the \mathcal{D}_i .

Remark 1.1.4. Some remarks:

- (a) If $E \in \mathcal{D}$ is exceptional, then the objects $\bigoplus_i E[i]^{\oplus j_i}$ form an admissible triangulated subcategory $\langle E \rangle \subset \mathcal{D}$.
- (b) Let E_1, \dots, E_n be an exceptional sequence in \mathcal{D} . Then the admissible triangulated subcategories $\langle E_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle E_n \rangle$ form a semi-orthogonal sequence. If this sequence is a full exceptional sequence, then this forms an S.O.D. of \mathcal{D} .
- (c) Any semi-orthogonal sequence of full admissible triangulated subcategories $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n \subset \mathcal{D}$ defines an S.O.D. of \mathcal{D} , if and only if any object $A \in \mathcal{D}$ with $A \in \mathcal{D}_i^\perp$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ is trivial. See Lemma 1.61 in [7].
- (d) If $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n \subset \mathcal{D}$ is an S.O.D., then $D_1 \subset \langle \mathcal{D}_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n \rangle^\perp$ is an equivalence. See Exercise 1.62 in [7].

Definition 1.1.5. Fix an algebraic variety X and a line bundle \mathcal{L} over it.

- (a) A right Lefschetz decomposition of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ with respect to \mathcal{L} is a S.O.D of form

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{m-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(m-1)} \rangle$$

where $0 \subset \mathcal{D}_{m-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$.

- (b) A left Lefschetz decomposition of $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ with respect to \mathcal{L} is a S.O.D of form

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{D}_{m-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(1-m)}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes(-1)}, \mathcal{D}_0 \rangle$$

where $0 \subset \mathcal{D}_{m-1} \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}_0$.

The subcategories \mathcal{D}_i forming a Lefschetz decomposition will be called **blocks**, the largest will be called the **first block**. Usually we will consider right Lefschetz decompositions. So, we will call them simply Lefschetz decompositions. We call a Lefschetz decompositions is **rectangular** if $\mathcal{D}_{m-1} = \dots = \mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_0$.

If we need to consider the moduli space, we need to consider the family version of S.O.D:

Definition 1.1.6. A triangulated category \mathcal{T} is *S-linear* if it is equipped with a module structure over the tensor triangulated category $\mathbf{D}^b(S)$. In particular, if X is a scheme over S and $f : X \rightarrow S$ is the structure morphism then an S.O.D

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m \rangle$$

is *S-linear* if each of the subcategories \mathcal{A}_k satisfies that for $A \in \mathcal{A}_k$ and $F \in \mathbf{D}^b(S)$ one has $A \otimes f^* F \in \mathcal{A}_k$.

Theorem 1.1.7 (Kuznetsov). *If X is an algebraic variety over S with an S -linear S.O.D*

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m \rangle,$$

then for a change of base morphism $T \rightarrow S$ there is, under a certain technical condition, a T -linear S.O.D

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X \times_S T) = \langle \mathcal{A}_{1T}, \dots, \mathcal{A}_{mT} \rangle,$$

such that $\pi^ A \in \mathcal{A}_{iT}$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_i$ and $\pi_*(A') \in \mathcal{A}_i$ for any $A' \in \mathcal{A}_{iT}$ which has proper support over X .*

Proof. See [9]. □

1.2 Example I – Projective Bundles

Proposition 1.2.1. *For a smooth projective variety Y we consider the projective bundle $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y$ of locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank r on Y , in the sense of Grothendieck. Then for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ we claim that $\pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(a), \dots, \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(a + r - 1)$ is an S.O.D. of $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$.*

Remark 1.2.2. *Hence this is a rectangular Lefschetz decomposition where all $\mathcal{D}_i = \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y)$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1)$.*

This combined by the following two things:

Step 1. For any $E \in \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(m), F \in \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)$, we have $\text{Hom}(E, F) = 0$ for any $r - 1 \geq m - n > 0$.

Indeed, we can let $m = 0$ and hence $-r + 1 \leq n < 0$. Let $E = \pi^* E'$ and $F = \pi^* F' \otimes \mathcal{O}(n)$, hence

$$\text{Hom}(E, F) = \text{Hom}(E', \pi_*(\pi^* F' \otimes \mathcal{O}(n))) = \text{Hom}(E', F' \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{O}(n)).$$

It's well-known that $\mathbf{R}^i \pi_* \mathcal{O}(n) = \begin{cases} \text{Sym}^n \mathcal{E}, \text{ for } i = 0, \\ 0, \text{ for } 0 < i < r - 1, \text{ Well done.} \\ \text{Sym}^{-n-r} \mathcal{E}^\vee, \text{ for } i = r - 1. \end{cases}$

Step 2. Categories $\pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(a), \dots, \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(a + r - 1)$ generates $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$.

Here we generalize the proof for \mathbb{P}^n in [7] Corollary 8.29. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \times_Y \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) & \\ p \swarrow & \wedge & \searrow q \\ \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) & & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \\ \pi_1 \searrow & & \swarrow \pi_2 \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

then by the canonical identification

$$\begin{aligned}
& H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \times_Y \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}^\vee) \\
&= H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes p_* q^* \mathcal{Q}^\vee) \\
&= H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi_1^* \pi_{2,*} \mathcal{Q}^\vee) \\
&= H^0(Y, \pi_{1,*} \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi_{2,*} \mathcal{Q}^\vee) \\
&= H^0(Y, \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}^\vee)
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow 0$ is the universal exact sequence. Let s correspond to the $\text{id}_{\mathcal{E}}$, then $Z(s) = \Delta \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \times_Y \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. By the Koszul resolution of \mathcal{O}_Δ respect to the s , we have an exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1} (\mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}) &\rightarrow \bigwedge^{r-2} (\mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}) \\
\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(you can also use the Euler exact sequence instead of the universal exact sequence, just as in [7] Corollary 8.29)

Now there is to way to slove this.

The First Way: for any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}))$, tensoring $q^* \mathcal{F}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-r+1) \boxtimes \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F} &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-r+2) \boxtimes \bigwedge^{r-2} \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F} \\
\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes (\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow q^* \mathcal{F}|_\Delta \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider a spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1^{ij} &= \mathbf{R}^i p_* (\mathcal{O}(j) \boxtimes \bigwedge^{-j} \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{O}(j) \otimes \mathbf{R}^i p_* q^* \bigwedge^{-j} \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F} \\
&= \mathcal{O}(j) \otimes \pi_1^* \mathbf{R}^i \pi_{2,*} \bigwedge^{-j} \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{i+j} p_* q^* \mathcal{F}|_\Delta.
\end{aligned}$$

We know that $\mathbf{R}^{i+j} p_* q^* \mathcal{F}|_\Delta = 0$ if $i+j \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{R}^{i+j} p_* q^* \mathcal{F}|_\Delta = \mathcal{F}$ if $i+j = 0$. Since any E_1^{ij} contained in

$$\left\langle \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-r+1), \dots, \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(0) \right\rangle,$$

so is \mathcal{F} . Hence well done (if you use the Euler exact sequence instead of the universal exact sequence, the similar spectral sequence called the generalized Beilinson spectral sequence as Proposition 8.28 in [7]).

The Second Way: Consider again the Koszul resolution

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}) &\rightarrow \bigwedge^{r-2}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}) \\ &\rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Split it into short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}) &\rightarrow \bigwedge^{r-2}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow M_{r-2} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow M_{r-2} &\rightarrow \bigwedge^{r-3}(\mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow M_{r-3} \rightarrow 0, \\ &\cdots, \\ 0 \rightarrow M_1 &\rightarrow \mathcal{O} \boxtimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Tensor product with q^*F and direct image under the first projection p yields distinguished triangles of Fourier-Mukai transforms:

$$\Phi_{M_{i+1}}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Phi_{\bigwedge^i(\mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q})}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Phi_{M_i}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Phi_{M_{i+1}}(\mathcal{F})[1].$$

Easy to see that

$$\Phi_{\bigwedge^i(\mathcal{O}(-1) \boxtimes \mathcal{Q})}(\mathcal{F}) \in \left\langle \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-i) \right\rangle.$$

By induction we get $F = \Phi_{\mathcal{O}_\Delta} F \in \left\langle \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-r+1), \dots, \pi^* \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O} \right\rangle$. Well done.

Fully Exceptional Sequence. By the discussed above, we know that pick any fully exceptional sequence E_1, \dots, E_n of Y , the set

$$\{\pi^* E_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(a), \dots, \pi^* E_n \otimes \mathcal{O}(a), \dots, \pi^* E_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}(a+r-1), \dots, \pi^* E_n \otimes \mathcal{O}(a+r-1)\}$$

is a fully exceptional sequence of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example 1.2.1. *More general case, such as Grassmann-bundle and even the flag bundle has the similar things. We refer [11].*

We even have the similar about the general Brauer-Severi variety which need the twist derived category. See [1].

1.3 Example II – Blow-Ups

Here we follows section 11.1 in [7]. First we need some results about closed immersions.

Lemma 1.3.1. *Suppose $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$ of codimension C with normal bundle \mathcal{N} is the zero locus of a regular section of a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank c . Then for any $F \in \mathbf{D}^b(Y)$ there exists the following canonical isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) j^* j_* \mathcal{O}_Y &\simeq \bigoplus \bigwedge^k \mathcal{N}^\vee[k], \\ (ii) j_* j^* j_* F &\simeq j_* \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes j_* F \simeq j_* \left(\bigoplus \bigwedge^k \mathcal{N}^\vee[k] \otimes F \right), \\ (iii) \mathcal{H}om_X(j_* \mathcal{O}_Y, j_* F) &\simeq j_* \left(\bigoplus \bigwedge^k \mathcal{N}[-k] \otimes F \right). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^\ell(j^* j_* F) &\simeq \bigoplus_{s-r=\ell}^r \bigwedge^r \mathcal{N}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{H}^s(F) \\ \mathcal{E}xt_X^\ell(j_* \mathcal{O}_Y, j_* F) &\simeq j_* \left(\bigoplus_{r+s=\ell}^r \bigwedge^r \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{H}^s(F) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For (i), by Koszul resolution we get $j^* j_* \mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \bigwedge^* \mathcal{E}^\vee|_Y$. As the differentials in the Koszul complex $\bigwedge^* \mathcal{E}^\vee$ are given by contraction with the defining section, they become trivial on Y . Hence $j^* j_* \mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \bigoplus \bigwedge^k \mathcal{E}^\vee[k]|_Y$. As $\mathcal{E}|_Y \cong \mathcal{N}$, well done.

For (ii), we split the Koszul resolution into the following short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & M_i & & \\ & & & & \nearrow & & \searrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{i+1} \mathcal{E}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^i \mathcal{E}^\vee & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^{i-1} \mathcal{E}^\vee \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \\ & & M_{i+1} & & & & \end{array}$$

Again all these morphisms vanish on Y , we have

$$M_i \otimes j_* F \simeq \left(\bigwedge^i \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes j_* F \right) \oplus (M_{i+1}[1] \otimes j_* F).$$

Putting these together and we get the result.

For (iii), as we have $\mathcal{H}om_X(j_* \mathcal{O}_Y, j_* F) \simeq \left(\bigwedge^i \mathcal{E}^\vee \right)^\vee \otimes j_* F$, then by the similar argument of (ii) we get the result.

The final part follows from (ii)(iii) and the fact that j_* is exact and tensor product with the locally free sheaf commutes with taking cohomology. \square

Corollary 1.3.2. *Let $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be a smooth hypersurface. Then for any $F \in \mathbf{D}^b(Y)$ there exists the following distinguished triangle*

$$F \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-Y)[1] \rightarrow j^* j_* F \rightarrow F \rightarrow F \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(-Y)[2].$$

Proof. We omit it and refer [7] Corollary 11.4. \square

Lemma 1.3.3. *Let $j : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be an arbitrary closed embedding of smooth varieties. Then there exist isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{H}^i(j^* j_* \mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq \bigwedge^{-i} \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}^\vee, \quad \mathcal{E}xt_X^i(j_* \mathcal{O}_Y, j_* \mathcal{O}_Y) \simeq \bigwedge^i \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}.$$

Proof. Here we just give an idea, the detail we refer Proposition 11.8 in [7]. Here we first pick a global resolution of locally free sheaves $\mathcal{G}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$ and get the free resolution $\mathcal{G}_y^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. Also we can let Y defined by a section of a vector bundle near y , hence we get a local Koszul resolution. Hence at the point y we can get the result from before. Easy to see that this is independent of any choice, we get the result. \square

Proposition 1.3.4. *Let $q : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up along a smooth subvariety $Y \subset X$. Then for the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Z of a subvariety $Z \subset Y$ considered as an object in $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ one has*

$$\mathcal{H}^k(q^* \mathcal{O}_Z) \simeq (\Omega_\pi^{\otimes -k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(-k))|_{\pi^{-1}(Z)}$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \rightarrow Y$ is the contraction of the exceptional divisor.

Proof. We will only show the case that $Y \subset X$ is given as the zero set of a regular section $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{E})$ of a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank c . The general case follows from this and the similar argument of Lemma 1.3.3, we refer [7] Proposition 11.12 for details.

Consider $g : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ and consider the Euler sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_g(-1) \rightarrow g^* \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{T}_g \otimes \mathcal{O}_g(-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $t := \phi(g^*(s)) \in H^0(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}), \mathcal{T}_g \otimes \mathcal{O}_g(-1))$ and consider the zero scheme $Z(t) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$.

BLABLABLA

Hence g induced $Z(t) \rightarrow X$ can be identified with the blow-up $q : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Pick the Koszul resolution $\bigwedge^*(\mathcal{O}_g(1) \otimes \Omega_g) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow 0$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}$ -modules, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_*(\mathcal{H}^k(q^* \mathcal{O}_Z)) &\simeq \iota_*(\mathcal{H}^k(\iota^* g^* \mathcal{O}_Z)) \simeq \mathcal{H}^k(\iota_* \iota^* g^* \mathcal{O}_Z) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{H}^k(g^* \mathcal{O}_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \simeq \mathcal{H}^k(\bigwedge^* (\mathcal{O}_g(1) \otimes \Omega_g)|_{g^{-1}(Z)}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\iota : \tilde{X} = Z(t) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$. If Z is contained in Y , the differentials, which are given by contraction with the section t , vanish and, therefore

$$\mathcal{H}^k(q^* \mathcal{O}_Z) \simeq (\Omega_g^{\otimes -k} \otimes \mathcal{O}_g(-k))|_{g^{-1}(Z)}.$$

Well done. \square

Lemma 1.3.5. *Suppose $f : S \rightarrow T$ is a projective morphism of smooth projective varieties such that $f_* : \mathbf{D}^b(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(T)$ sends \mathcal{O}_S to \mathcal{O}_T . Then $f^* : \mathbf{D}^b(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(S)$ is fully faithful and thus describes an equivalence of $\mathbf{D}^b(T)$ with an admissible triangulated subcategory of $\mathbf{D}^b(S)$.*

Proof. Trivial by the projection formula and $f^* \dashv f_*$, which shows directly $\text{id} \simeq f_* f^*$, hence fully faithful. \square

Lemma 1.3.6. *Let the smooth varieties $Y \subset X$ of codimension $c > 1$, and let $q : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up with exceptional divisor $i : E \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ and $\pi : E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \rightarrow Y$ is the contraction of the exceptional divisor. Then the functor*

$$\Phi_k = i_*(\mathcal{O}_E(kE) \otimes \pi^*(-)) : \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\tilde{X})$$

is fully faithful for any k . Moreover, Φ_k admits a right adjoint functor.

Proof. The functor Φ_k is a Fourier-Mukai transform with kernel $\mathcal{O}_E(kE)$ considered as an object in $\mathbf{D}^b(Y \times \tilde{X})$. As such, Φ_k admits in particular right and left adjoint. Now we will use a result due to Bondal-Orlov (Proposition 7.1 in [7]):

- Consider the Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}} : \mathbf{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(Y)$ between the derived categories of two smooth projective varieties X and Y given by an object $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbf{D}^b(X \times Y)$. Then the functor $\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}$ is fully faithful if and only if for any two closed points $x, y \in X$ one has

$$\text{Hom}(\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(\kappa(x)), \Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(\kappa(y))[i]) = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } x = y \text{ and } i = 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq y \text{ or } i < 0 \text{ or } i > \dim(X). \end{cases}$$

For any j and $x \neq y$, this follows from the fact that the result objects have disjoint supports.

Now we let $x = y \in Y$. We need to show that $\text{Ext}_{\tilde{X}}^i(\mathcal{O}_{E_x}, \mathcal{O}_{E_x})$ is trivial for $i \notin [0, d = \dim Y]$ and of dimension one for $i = 0$. By Lemma 1.3.3 we get the spectral sequence

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} &= H^p(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{E}xt_{\tilde{X}}^q(\mathcal{O}_{E_x}, \mathcal{O}_{E_x})) = H^p\left(E_x, \bigwedge^q \mathcal{N}_{E_x/\tilde{X}}\right) \\ &\Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\tilde{X}}^{p+q}(\mathcal{O}_{E_x}, \mathcal{O}_{E_x}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we need to determine $\mathcal{N}_{E_x/\tilde{X}}$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{E_x/E} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{E_x/\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{E/\tilde{X}}|_{E_x} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $\mathcal{N}_{E/\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_E(E)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{E_x/E} = \mathcal{O}_{E_x}^{\oplus d}$ and since $E_x \cong \mathbb{P}^{c-1}$ one get

$$\mathcal{N}_{E_x/\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{E_x}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{E_x}^{\oplus d}$$

by computing the Ext^1 . Hence we can directly get the result. \square

Proposition 1.3.7. *Let the smooth varieties $Y \subset X$ of codimension $c > 1$, and let $q : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up with exceptional divisor $i : E \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ and $\pi : E = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \rightarrow Y$ is the contraction of the exceptional divisor. Define*

$$\mathcal{D}_k := \text{Im}(\Phi_{-k} : \mathbf{D}^b(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(\tilde{X}))$$

for $k = -c+1, \dots, -1$ and $\mathcal{D}_0 := q^*\mathbf{D}^b(X)$.

Then $\mathcal{D}_{-c+1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{-1}, \mathcal{D}_0$ forms an S.O.D of $\mathbf{D}^b(\tilde{X})$.

Proof. We divided this into three parts:

Step 1. For $-c+1 \leq \ell < k < 0$ we have $\mathcal{D}_\ell \subset \mathcal{D}_k^\perp$.

For any $E, F \in \mathbf{D}^b(Y)$ we have

$$\text{Hom}(i_*(\pi^*F \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(k)), i_*(\pi^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(\ell))) = \text{Hom}(i^*i_*\pi^*F, \pi^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(\ell - k)).$$

By Corollary 1.3.2, we get the distinguished triangle:

$$\pi^*F \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(1)[1] \rightarrow i^*i_*\pi^*F \rightarrow \pi_*F \rightarrow \pi^*F \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(1)[2].$$

Hence we just need to show that

$$\text{Hom}(\pi^*F, \pi^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(\ell - k)) = 0 = \text{Hom}(\pi^*F \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(1), \pi^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(\ell - k)).$$

Both are easily deduced from adjunction $\pi^* \dashv \pi_*$, the projection formula, and $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_\pi(\ell - k) = 0$ for $-c+1 \leq \ell - k < 0$.

Step 2. For $-c+1 \leq \ell < 0$ we have $\mathcal{D}_\ell \subset \mathcal{D}_0^\perp$.

Again use $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_\pi(\ell) = 0$ for $-c+1 \leq \ell < 0$ to conclude this.

Step 3. We have $\mathcal{D}_{-c+1}, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{-1}, \mathcal{D}_0$ generates $\mathbf{D}^b(\tilde{X})$.

For this we let $E \in \mathcal{D}_k^\perp$ for all $-c+1 \leq k < 0$, then we claim that then exists an object $G \in \mathbf{D}^b(Y)$ with $i^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(c-1) \simeq \pi^*G$.

By assumption, for any $-c+1 \leq k < 0$ one has $\text{Hom}(i_*(\pi^*F \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(k)), E) = 0$ for all $F \in \mathbf{D}^b(Y)$. By Grothendieck duality we get for any $-c+2 \leq k < 1$ one has $\text{Hom}(\pi^*F \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(k), i^*E) = 0$. By Proposition 1.2.1 we have $i^*E \in \pi^*\mathbf{D}^b(Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi(-c+1)$. Hence if we let $E' := E \otimes \mathcal{O}_\pi((-c+1)E)$, then $i^*E' \in \pi^*\mathbf{D}^b(Y)$. Pick such $G \in \mathbf{D}^b(Y)$ such that $i^*E' \simeq \pi^*G$.

If $i^*E' \simeq 0$, then $\text{supp}(E') \subset E$ and $E' \in \mathcal{D}_0$.

If not, consider the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{r,s} = \text{Hom}(E', \mathcal{H}^s(q^*\kappa(x))[r]) \Rightarrow \text{Hom}(E', q^*\kappa(x)[r+s]).$$

By Proposition 1.3.4 we have $\mathcal{H}^s(q^*\kappa(x)) \simeq \Omega_{E_x}^{\otimes -s}(-s)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{r,s} &\simeq \text{Hom}(E', i_* \Omega_{E_x}^{\otimes -s}(-s)[r]) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}(\pi^* G, \Omega_{E_x}^{\otimes -s}(-s)[r]) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}(G, \pi_* \Omega_{E_x}^{\otimes -s}(-s)[r]) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

except for $s = 0$. Hence

$$E_2^{m,0} \simeq \text{Hom}(G, \kappa(x)[m]) \simeq \text{Hom}(q^*\kappa(x), E[\dim X - m])^\vee \neq 0$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some $x \in Y$. Hence if $E \in \mathcal{D}_k^\perp$ for all $-c+1 \leq k < 0$, we cannot have $E \in \mathcal{D}_0^\perp$. Hence well done. \square

1.4 Example III – Smooth Quadrics and Grassmannians

Here we follow the results in [8] and just give some results.

Proposition 1.4.1. *Let $\text{Gr}(k, V)$ be the Grassmannian of k -dimensional subspaces in a vector space V of dimension n . Let \mathcal{U} be the tautological subbundle of rank k . If $\text{char } k = 0$ then there is a strong S.O.D*

$$\mathbf{D}^b(\text{Gr}(k, V)) = \langle \Sigma^\alpha \mathcal{U}^\vee \rangle$$

where α is a Young diagram in the $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle and Σ^α is the associated Schur functor.

Proof. We will not prove this. We refer the original proof in [8]. Note that as in the proof of the projective bundles, if we let $\mathcal{U}^\perp = ((V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}(k, V)})/\mathcal{U})^\vee$, then we can let a canonical section

$$s \in H^0(\text{Gr}(k, V) \times \text{Gr}(k, V), \mathcal{U}^\vee \boxtimes (\mathcal{U}^\perp)^\vee) = V^\vee \otimes V = \text{End}(V, V)$$

correspond to the id_V . Then s vanishes exactly along the diagonal $\Delta \subset \text{Gr}(k, V) \times \text{Gr}(k, V)$ which induce the Koszul resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 (\mathcal{U} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}^\perp) \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \boxtimes \mathcal{U}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Gr}(k, V) \times \text{Gr}(k, V)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow 0$$

where the i -th term is just the sum $\bigoplus_{\alpha} \Sigma^{\alpha} \mathcal{U} \boxtimes \Sigma^{\alpha^*} \mathcal{U}^{\perp}$ where α runs through Young diagrams with i cells. Hence as before this deduce another generalised Beilinson spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{|\alpha|=-p} \mathbb{H}^q(F \otimes \Sigma^{\alpha^*} \mathcal{U}^{\perp}) \otimes \Sigma^{\alpha} \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{p+q}(F)$$

for any $F \in \mathbf{D}^b(\mathrm{Gr}(k, V))$. □

Remark 1.4.2. *Note that we even have the Lefschetz decomposition on Grassmannians. We refer [5].*

Proposition 1.4.3. *Let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}_k^{n+1}$ be a smooth quadric hypersurface where $\mathrm{char} k \neq 2$, then there is a full exceptional collection*

$$\mathbf{D}^b(Q) = \begin{cases} \langle S, \mathcal{O}_Q, \mathcal{O}(Q)(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(Q)(n-1) \rangle, n \text{ odd}; \\ \langle S^-, S^+, \mathcal{O}_Q, \mathcal{O}(Q)(1), \dots, \mathcal{O}(Q)(n-1) \rangle, n \text{ even}; \end{cases}$$

where S, S^{\pm} are the spinor bundles.

Remark 1.4.4. *This is also right for the family version, that is, consider a flat fibration in quadrics $f : X \rightarrow S$. In other words, assume that $X \subset \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{E})$ is a divisor of relative degree 2 where \mathcal{E} is of rank $n+2$ on a scheme S corresponding to a line subbundle $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}^{\vee}$. For each i there is a fully faithful functor $\Phi_i : \mathbf{D}^b(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^b(X)$ given by $F \mapsto f^* F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X/S}(i)$. Then we have a S.O.D*

$$\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \left\langle \mathbf{D}^b(S, \mathcal{C}\ell_0), \Phi_0(\mathbf{D}^b(S)), \dots, \Phi_{n-1}(\mathbf{D}^b(S)) \right\rangle$$

where $\mathcal{C}\ell_0$ is the sheaf of even parts of Clifford algebras on S associated with the quadric fibration $X \rightarrow S$.

1.5 Example IV – Curves

Here we will follow [10]. Let C be a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{C} .

Proposition 1.5.1. *When $g(C) = 0$, then $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ and we have S.O.D*

$$\mathbf{D}^b(C) = \langle \mathcal{O}_C, \mathcal{O}_C(1) \rangle.$$

Proof. Special case of Proposition 1.2.1. □

Now we consider $g(C) \geq 1$ and show a lemma.

Lemma 1.5.2. *Let $g(C) \geq 1$. Suppose $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Coh}(C)$ is included in a triangle*

$$Y \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y[1]$$

with $\text{Hom}^{\leq 0}(Y, X) = 0$, then $X, Y \in \text{Coh}(C)$.

Proof. Almost the pure homological algebra, using the fact that $\deg K_C \geq 0$ here. See [6] Lemma 7.2. \square

Corollary 1.5.3. *Let $g(C) \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{D}^b(C) = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$ be an S.O.D. Then for any $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Coh}(C)$, there exist coherent sheaves $B \in \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Coh}(C)$ and $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Coh}(C)$, and an exact sequence of sheaves*

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 1.5.4. *When $g(C) \geq 1$, then $\mathbf{D}^b(C)$ admits no non-trivial S.O.Ds.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{D}^b(C) = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$ be an S.O.D. By Corollary 1.5.3, for any closed point $x \in C$ there exist $B \in \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Coh}(C)$, $A \in \mathcal{A} \cap \text{Coh}(C)$ such that both of them are sheaves and there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence \mathcal{O}_x is contained in only one of \mathcal{A} or \mathcal{B} . Hence $C(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) = C_{\mathcal{A}} \sqcup C_{\mathcal{B}}$ by this fact.

By Proposition 3.17 in [7] we know that the set of closed points forms a spanning class, hence if $C_{\mathcal{B}} = \emptyset$ or $C_{\mathcal{A}} = \emptyset$, then \mathcal{B} or \mathcal{A} is trivial. Hence we may let both $C_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $C_{\mathcal{A}}$ are not empty.

We now claim that any coherent sheaf in \mathcal{B} must be torsion. Indeed, otherwise the support of the sheaf coincides with the whole variety C , hence there exists a non-trivial morphism from the sheaf to a closed point which belongs to \mathcal{A} . This is a contradiction.

Next we claim that any torsion free sheaf belongs to \mathcal{A} . Indeed, let \mathcal{E} be a torsion free sheaf. As before, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

Since \mathcal{E} is torsion free, so is B . Combined with the first claim, we see B must be zero, hence $A = \mathcal{E}$.

By Corollary 3.19 in [7] we know that the set of torsion free sheaves forms a spanning class of $\mathbf{D}^b(C)$. Hence \mathcal{B} must be trivial. Well done. \square

Remark 1.5.5. *Actually the only thing we use the $g(C) \geq 1$ is Corollary 1.5.3. So any smooth projective variety satisfies Corollary 1.5.3 admits no non-trivial S.O.Ds.*

1.6 Example V – Other Examples

Proposition 1.6.1. *Let X be a smooth projective variety with $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, then $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ admits no non-trivial S.O.Ds.*

Proof. Let there exists an S.O.D $\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \rangle$. Hence for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and for any i we have $\text{Hom}(B, A[i]) = 0$. Hence by Serre duality we have

$$\text{Hom}(B, A[i]) = \text{Hom}(A[i], B[n])^\vee = \text{Hom}(A, b[n-i])^\vee = 0.$$

Hence $\mathbf{D}^b(X) = \langle \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \rangle$ is also an S.O.D. Hence \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} forms an orthogonal decomposition. Hence by Proposition 3.10 in [7] and the fact that X is connected, this S.O.D must be trivial. \square

Lemma 1.6.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety and $F \in \mathbf{D}^b(X)$ is non-trivial, and \mathcal{L} be a globally generated line bundle. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_X(F, F \otimes \mathcal{L}) \neq 0.$$

Proof. Here we follows [10]. Let $m = \min\{i : \mathcal{H}^i(F) \neq 0\}$ and consider the following standard distinguished triangle

$$\tau_{\leq m} F \rightarrow F \rightarrow \tau_{\geq m+1} F \rightarrow \tau_{\leq m} F[1].$$

Since $\tau_{\leq m} F$ is isomorphic to a shift of a sheaf, we can find $s \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ which induce a non-trivial $\tau_{\leq m} F \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \tau_{\leq m} F$. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \tau_{\geq m+1} F[-1] & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq m} F & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\geq m+1} F \\ \downarrow \sigma_{\geq m+1}[-1] & & \downarrow \sigma_{\leq m} & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \sigma_{\geq m+1} \\ \tau_{\geq m+1} F \otimes \mathcal{L}[-1] & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\leq m} F \otimes \mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & F \otimes \mathcal{L} & \longrightarrow & \tau_{\geq m+1} F \otimes \mathcal{L} \end{array}$$

where these four vertical arrows are defined by taking tensor products with the section s . Hence here $\sigma_{\leq m} \neq 0$. Suppose that $\sigma = 0$. Then $\sigma_{\leq m} \neq 0$ factors through a morphism from to $\tau_{\geq m+1} F \otimes \mathcal{L}[-1]$, which is zero since $\tau_{\geq m+1} F \otimes \mathcal{L}[-1]$ has trivial cohomologies up to degree $m+1$. Thus we obtain a contradiction, well done. \square

Proposition 1.6.3. *Let X be a smooth projective variety whose canonical line bundle is globally generated. Then $\mathbf{D}^b(X)$ has no exceptional objects.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 1.6.2 and the duality

$$\text{Hom}(F, F[\dim X]) = \text{Hom}(F, F \otimes \omega_X)^\vee \neq 0.$$

Well done. \square

Chapter 2

Examples of Fano Manifolds of Calabi-Yau Type

We just consider the schemes and vector spaces over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$.

2.1 Cubics

2.2 Gushel-Mukai Varieties

2.2.1 Basic Definitions and Properties

Let V_5 be a vector space of dimension 5 and consider the Plücker embedding $\text{Gr}(2, V_5) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_5)$. For any vector space K , consider the cone $\mathbb{C}_K(\text{Gr}(2, V_5)) \subset \mathbb{P}(\wedge^2 V_5 \oplus K)$ of vertex $\mathbb{P}(K)$. Choose a vector subspace $W \subset \wedge^2 V_5 \oplus K$ and a subscheme $Q \subset \mathbb{P}(W)$ defined by one quadratic equation (possibly zero).

Definition 2.2.1. *The scheme*

$$X = \mathbb{C}_K(\text{Gr}(2, V_5)) \cap \mathbb{P}(W) \cap Q$$

is called a Gushel-Mukai intersection (GM intersection). A GM intersection X is called a Gushel-Mukai variety (GM variety) if X is a smooth variety of dimension $\dim W - 5 \geq 1$.

Remark 2.2.2. *Some remarks:*

- (a) *In the original paper [4] they defined without the smoothness (but always Gorenstein).*
- (b) *Note that all Q and $\mathbb{C}_K(\text{Gr}(2, V_5)) \cap \mathbb{P}(W)$ are Gorenstein, hence all Cohen-Macaulay. So the dimension condition means they are dimensionally transverse, that is, $\text{Tor}_{>0}(\mathcal{O}_Q, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_K(\text{Gr}(2, V_5)) \cap \mathbb{P}(W)}) = 0$.*

- (c) A GM variety X has a canonical polarization, the restriction H of the hyperplane class on $\mathbb{P}(W)$; we will call (X, H) a *polarized GM variety*.

The definition of a GM variety is not intrinsic. We actually have an intrinsic characterization. But before giving these, we will introduce a new definition:

Definition 2.2.3. Let W be a vector space and let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}(W)$ be a closed subscheme which is an intersection of quadrics, i.e., the twisted ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_X(2)$ on $\mathbb{P}(W)$ is globally generated.

Define $V_X := H^0(\mathbb{P}(W), \mathcal{I}_X(2))$, this yields a surjection $V_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(-2) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{I}_X$ which induce

$$V_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-2) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{I}_X / \mathcal{I}_X^2 = \mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}(W)}^\vee.$$

We define the *excess conormal sheaf* $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}(W)}^\vee$ to be the kernel of this map.

Theorem 2.2.4. A smooth polarized projective variety (X, H) of dimension $n \geq 1$ is a polarized GM variety if and only if all the following conditions hold:

- (a) $H^n = 10$ and $K_X = -(n-2)H$.
- (b) H is very ample and the vector space $W := H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))^\vee$ has dimension $n+5$.
- (c) X is an intersection of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}(W)$ and the vector space

$$V_6 := H^0(\mathbb{P}(W), \mathcal{I}_X(2)) \subset \text{Sym}^2 W^\vee$$

of quadrics through X has dimension 6.

- (d) The twisted excess conormal sheaf $\mathcal{U}_X := \mathcal{E}\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}(W)}^\vee(2H)$ of X in $\mathbb{P}(W)$ is simple.

Proof. We first need to show a smooth polarized GM variety (X, H) satisfies (a)-(d).

For (a), as $\deg(\mathbb{C}_K(\text{Gr}(2, V_5))) = 5$ and they are dimensionally transverse, then $\deg(X) = 10$. Let $\dim K = k$ and hence $K_{\mathbb{C}_K(\text{Gr}(2, V_5))} = -(5+k)H$ by Lemma 2.2.7. Finally we have

$$K_X = -(5+k) + (10+k) - (n+5) + 2)H = -(n-2)H.$$

For (b), we just need to show $W = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H))^\vee$. Consider the resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-5) \rightarrow V_5^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-3) \rightarrow V_5 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_K \text{Gr}(2, V_5)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Restrict it into $\mathbb{P}(W)$ and tensor the resolution of Q as $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Q$, then tensor $\mathcal{O}(1)$ again we get the resolution

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-6) \rightarrow (V_5^\vee \oplus \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-4) \rightarrow (V_5 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-3)) \oplus (V_5^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)) \\ \rightarrow (V_5 \oplus \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(H) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

on $\mathbb{P}(W)$. Hence $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}(W), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(1)) = W^\vee$.

For (c), consider the resolution again:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-5) \rightarrow (V_5^\vee \oplus \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-3) \rightarrow (V_5 \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2)) \oplus (V_5^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}(-1)) \\ \rightarrow (V_5 \oplus \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(2H) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence one can show that $H^0(\mathbb{P}(W), \mathcal{I}_X(2)) = V_5 \oplus \mathbb{C}$, hence well done.

For (d), we will use the induction of the dimension. For $n = 1$, this follows from some basic fact of excess normal sheaf and the Mukai's construction about a stable vector bundle of rank 2 on X to show that \mathcal{U}_X is stable, and hence simple. For the detail we refer [4] Theorem 2.3. Hence we now assume $n \geq 2$. Pick a smooth hyperplane section $X' \subset X$ which is also irreducible since $n \geq 2$ by Bertini's theorem. Hence X' is also a GM variety. One can easy to show that in this case $\mathcal{U}_X|_{X'} = \mathcal{U}_{X'}$ (see Lemma A.5 in [4]). Hence we have $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_X(-H) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{X'} \rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_X, \mathcal{U}_X(-H)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_X, \mathcal{U}_X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_{X'}, \mathcal{U}_{X'}).$$

If $\dim(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_X, \mathcal{U}_X)) > 1$, then $\dim(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_X, \mathcal{U}_X(-H))) > 0$. By the similar argument we get

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_X, \mathcal{U}_X(-2H)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_X, \mathcal{U}_X(-H)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_{X'}, \mathcal{U}_{X'}(-H)) = 0.$$

Hence $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_X, \mathcal{U}_X(-2H)) \neq 0$. By induction we get $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{U}_X, \mathcal{U}_X(-kH)) \neq 0$ for any $k > 0$. Hence for any $k > 0$ we have $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{U}_X^\vee \otimes \mathcal{U}_X(-kH)) \neq 0$. But these are vector bundles and X is integral of dimension ≥ 2 , hence this is impossible.

Now we let a smooth polarized projective variety (X, H) of dimension $n \geq 1$ which satisfies (a)-(d). We need to show that (X, H) is a polarized GM variety.

We know that

$$\det \mathcal{U}_X^\vee = \det(\mathcal{N}_{X/\mathbb{P}(W)}^\vee(2H)) = \mathcal{O}_X(H)$$

and the embedding $\mathcal{U}_X \hookrightarrow V_6 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$. Taking wedge product, duality and global sections we get

$$\bigwedge^2 V_6^\vee \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(H)) = W^\vee.$$

Hence we get $W \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 V_6$ which can be factored through an injection $W \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 V_6 \oplus K$ for some vector space K . Hence we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathbb{P}(W) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 V_6 \oplus K) \\ & \nearrow & & & \vdots \\ X & \longrightarrow & \text{Gr}(2, V_6) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 V_6) \end{array}$$

where $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, V_6)$ induced by $\mathcal{U}_X \hookrightarrow V_6 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ and is commutative since these are the same linear system. Hence we get $X \subset \mathbb{C}_K^\circ \text{Gr}(2, V_6) = \mathbb{C}_K \text{Gr}(2, V_6) \setminus \mathbb{P}(K)$.

Now by some facts of excess normal sheaves (see Proposition A.3 in [4]), then excess normal sequence induce a functorial diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \rightarrow (V_6 \otimes \mathcal{U}_X) / \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{U}_X & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^2 V_6 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \det V_6 \otimes \mu^* \mathcal{N}_{\text{Gr}(2, V_6) / \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 V_6)}^\vee(2) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 \longrightarrow \det V_6 \otimes \mathcal{U}_X & \longrightarrow & \det V_6 \otimes V_6 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \det V_6 \otimes \mathcal{N}_{X / \mathbb{P}(W)}(2) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

which follows from the expression of the excess normal sheaf of $\text{Gr}(2, V_6) \subset \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 V_6)$. The left vertical arrow induces a morphism $\lambda' : V_6 \otimes \mathcal{U}_X \rightarrow \det V_6 \otimes \mathcal{U}_X$. As \mathcal{U}_X is simple by (d) we get $\lambda : V_6 \rightarrow \det V_6$. Since λ' vanishes on $\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{U}_X$, the image of \mathcal{U}_X in $V_6 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ is contained in $\ker \lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$. Moreover, the middle vertical map in the diagram above is given by $v_1 \wedge v_2 \mapsto \lambda(v_1)v_2 - \lambda(v_2)v_1$.

We claim that $\lambda \neq 0$. If $\lambda = 0$, the middle vertical map in the diagram is zero, which means that all the quadrics cutting out $\mathbb{C}_K \text{Gr}(2, V_6)$ contain $\mathbb{P}(W)$, i.e. $\mathbb{P}(W) \subset \mathbb{C}_K \text{Gr}(2, V_6)$. In other words, $\mathbb{P}(W)$ is a cone over $\mathbb{P}(W') \subset \text{Gr}(2, V_6)$ with vertex a subspace of K . Hence $X \rightarrow \text{Gr}(2, V_6)$ factor through $\mathbb{P}(W')$. Hence the vector bundle \mathcal{U}_X is a pullback from $\mathbb{P}(W')$ of the restriction of the tautological bundle of $\text{Gr}(2, V_6)$ to $\mathbb{P}(W')$.

There are two types of linear spaces on $\text{Gr}(2, V_6)$: the first type corresponds to 2-dimensional subspaces containing a given vector and the second type to those contained in a given 3-subspace $V_3 \subset V_6$. If W' is of the first type, the restriction of the tautological bundle to $\mathbb{P}(W')$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$, hence $\mathcal{U}_X \cong \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(-H)$ by Lemma 2.2.8. In particular, it is not simple, which is a contradiction. If W' is of the second type, the embedding $\mathcal{U}_X \rightarrow V_6 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ factors through a subbundle $V_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \subset V_6 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$. Recall that V_6 is the space of quadrics passing through X in $\mathbb{P}(W)$. Consider the scheme-theoretic intersection M of the quadrics corresponding to the vector subspace V_3 . Since the embedding of the excess conormal sheaf factors through $V_3 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$, the variety X is the complete intersection of M with the 3 quadrics corresponding to the quotient space V_6/V_3 . But the degree of X is then divisible by 8, which contradicts the fact that it is 10 by (a). Hence we conclude that $\lambda \neq 0$.

Now let $V_5 := \ker(\lambda)$ is a hyperplane in v_6 which fits in the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V_5 \rightarrow V_6 \xrightarrow{\lambda} \det V_6 \rightarrow 0$. The composition $\mathcal{U}_X \hookrightarrow V_6 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\lambda} \det V_6 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ vanish, hence we get $\mathcal{U}_X \hookrightarrow V_5 \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$.

We now replace V_6 with V_5 and repeat the above argument, then we get a linear map $W \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 W_5$ which factor through $\mu : W \hookrightarrow \bigwedge^2 W_5 \oplus K$ which induce again the embedding $X \subset \mathbb{C}_K^\circ \text{Gr}(2, V_5) = \mathbb{C}_K \text{Gr}(2, V_5) \setminus \mathbb{P}(K)$. By the functorial of the excess normal sequence (see Proposition A.3 in [4]) again we get that inside the space V_6 of

quadrics cutting out X in $\mathbb{P}(W)$, the hyperplane V_5 is the space of quadratic equations of $\mathrm{Gr}(2, V_5)$, i.e., of Plücker quadrics.

As the Plücker quadrics cut out the cone $\mathbf{C}_K \mathrm{Gr}(2, V_5)$ in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 V_5 \oplus K)$, they cut out $\mathbf{C}_K \mathrm{Gr}(2, V_5) \cap \mathbb{P}(W)$ in $\mathbb{P}(W)$. Since X is the intersection of 6 quadrics by condition (c), we finally obtain

$$X = \mathbf{C}_K \mathrm{Gr}(2, V_5) \cap \mathbb{P}(W) \cap Q$$

where Q is some non-Plücker quadric corresponding to a point in $V_6 \setminus V_5$, so X is a GM variety. \square

Remark 2.2.5. *This is right for all normal varieties with the similar proof.*

Remark 2.2.6. *The twisted excess conormal sheaf \mathcal{U}_X that was crucial for the proof will be called its **Gushel sheaf**. As we showed in the proof, the projection of X from the vertex $\mathbb{P}(K)$ of the cone $\mathbf{C}_K \mathrm{Gr}(2, V_5)$ defines a rational map $X \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, V_5)$ and the Gushel sheaf \mathcal{U}_X is isomorphic to the pullback under this map of the tautological vector bundle on $\mathrm{Gr}(2, V_5)$. The map $X \dashrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(2, V_5)$ is thus determined by \mathcal{U}_X and is canonically associated with X . We call this map the **Gushel map** of X .*

Lemma 2.2.7. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a subvariety such that $K_X = rH$. Let $\mathbf{C}(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be a cone over X , then $K_{\mathbf{C}(X)} = (r-1)H$.*

Proof. We know that the blow-up of the vertex of $\mathbf{C}(X)$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X' = \mathbb{P}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-H)) & \\ \swarrow \pi & & \searrow p \\ \mathbf{C}(X) & & X \end{array}$$

Let H' be the relative hyperplane class of p . Then

$$K_{X'} = p^*(K_X + H) - 2H' = (r+1)p^*H - 2H'.$$

On the other hand, the morphism π contracts the exceptional section $E \subset X'$ and H' is the pullback of $H_{\mathbf{C}(X)}$. Finally $E \sim_{\mathrm{lin}} H' - p^*H$, hence

$$K_{X'} = (r-1)H' - (r+1)E.$$

Hence $K_{\mathbf{C}(X)} = (r-1)H$. \square

Lemma 2.2.8. *Let $Z_p \subset \mathrm{Gr}(k, V)$ be the subscheme parameterizing all k -planes containing the vector p . Then $Z_p \cong \mathrm{Gr}(k-1, n-1)$ and the restriction of the tautological subbundle $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k, V)}$ to Z_p splits as the sum of \mathcal{O} and the tautological subbundle \mathcal{S}_{Z_p} of $Z_p \cong \mathrm{Gr}(k-1, n-1)$.*

Proof. This is almost trivial. Indeed, let $V_1 \subset V$ be the 1-dimensional subspace generated by the vector p . Let $V = V_1 \oplus V'$ be a direct sum decomposition. Then for each $k-1$ -dimensional subspace $U' \subset V'$ the sum $V_1 \oplus U'$ is a k -dimensional subspace of V . Hence the corresponding subbundle

$$V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{Gr}(k-1, V')} \subset V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \oplus V' \otimes \mathcal{O} = V \otimes \mathcal{O}$$

induces a morphism $\mathrm{Gr}(k-1, V') \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}(k, V)$ which is an isomorphism onto Z_p and such that the pullback of the tautological bundle is $V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{S}_{Z_p}$. \square

2.2.2 Some Classifications

Theorem 2.2.9. *Let X be a complex smooth projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$, together with an ample Cartier divisor H such that $K_X \sim_{\mathrm{lin}} -(n-2)H$ and $H^n = 10$. If we assume that*

- *when $n \geq 3$, we have $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$;*
- *when $n = 2$, the surface X is a Brill-Noether general K3 surface (a K3 surface is called Brill-Noether general if $h^0(S, D)h^0(S, H - D) < h^0(S, H)$ for all divisors D on S not linearly equivalent to 0 or H . When $H^2 = 10$, this is equivalent to the fact that $|H|$ contains a Clifford general smooth curve);*
- *when $n = 1$, the genus-6 curve X is Clifford general (that is, it is neither hyperelliptic, nor trigonal, nor a plane quintic).*

then X is a GM variety.

Proof. \square

Some inverse results:

Proposition 2.2.10. *A smooth projective curve is a GM curve if and only if it is a Clifford general curve of genus 6.*

Proof. \square

Proposition 2.2.11. *A smooth projective surface X is a GM surface if and only if X is a Brill-Noether general polarized K3 surface of degree 10.*

Proof. \square

Proposition 2.2.12. *Let (X, H) be a polarized complex smooth GM variety of dimension $n \geq 3$. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$. In particular, the polarization H is the unique GM polarization on X .*

Proof. \square

2.3 Debarre-Voisin Varieties

2.4 Iliev-Manivel Varieties

Chapter 3

Kuznetsov Components

Chapter 4

Examples of Derived Equivalences of Kuznetsov Components with K3s

Chapter 5

Stability Conditions on K3 Categories

Chapter 6

Applications: Mukai's program

Chapter 7

Application to Cubic Fourfolds and Gushel-Mukai Manifolds

Index

admissible, 7

exceptional element, 7

exceptional sequence, 7

excess conormal sheaf, 22

Gushel-Mukai intersection, 21

Gushel-Mukai variety, 21

left Lefschetz decomposition, 8

orthogonal complement, 7

right Lefschetz decomposition, 8

semi-orthogonal, 7

semi-orthogonal decomposition, 7

Bibliography

- [1] M. Bernardara. A semiorthogonal decomposition for brauer-severi schemes. *Math. Nachr.*, 282(10):1406–1413, 2009.
- [2] Tom Bridgeland. Stability conditions on triangulated categories. *Ann. Math.*, 166(2):317–345, 2007.
- [3] Tom Bridgeland. Stability conditions on k3 surfaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 166(2):241–291, 2008.
- [4] O. Debarre and A. Kuznetsov. Gushel-mukai varieties: classification and birationalities. *Algebr. Geom.*, 5:15–76, 2018.
- [5] A. Fonarev. On minimal lefschetz decompositions for grassmannians. *Izv. RAN. Ser. Mat.*, 77:203–224, 2013.
- [6] A.L. Gorodentsev, S.A. Kuleshov, and A.N. Rudakov. t-stabilities and tstructures on triangulated categories. *Translation in Izv. Math.*, 68, 2004.
- [7] D. Huybrechts. *Fourier-Mukai Transforms in Algebraic Geometry*. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [8] M. Kapranov. On the derived categories of coherent sheaves on some homogeneous spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 92(3):479–508, 1988.
- [9] A. Kuznetsov. Base change for semiorthogonal decompositions. *Compos. Math.*, 147:852–876, 2011.
- [10] S. Okawa. Semi-orthogonal decomposability of the derived category of a curve. *Adv. Math.*, 228:2869–2873, 2011.
- [11] Dmitri Orlov. Projective bundles, monoidal transformations, and derived categories of coherent sheaves. *Izvestiya Mathematics*, 41(1):133–141, 1993.