

Moduli Spaces of Coherent Sheaves and its Related Topics

Xiaolong Liu

September 15, 2023

Contents

1	Introductions	3
2	Basic Theory of Good Moduli Spaces	3
2.1	Properties of Good Moduli Spaces	3
2.2	Luna's Results and Étale Local Structure of Algebraic Stacks	6
2.2.1	Luna's Fundamental Lemma and Luna's Étale Slice Theorem	6
2.2.2	Coherent Tannaka Duality and Coherent Completeness	8
2.2.3	Some Deformation Theory	9
2.2.4	Étale Local Structure of Algebraic Stacks	10
2.3	One Parameter Subgroups and Filtrations	11
2.4	Existence of Good Moduli Space	11
3	Moduli Stack of Coherent Sheaves	11
3.1	Construction of the Moduli Stack of Coherent Sheaves	12
3.2	Basic Facts of the Moduli Stack of Coherent Sheaves	13
4	Theory of Semistable Sheaves	13
4.1	Basic Properties	13
4.2	Harder-Narasimhan filtration and Jordan-Hölder filtration	13
5	Moduli Stack of Semistable Sheaves	14
5.1	Basic Construction	14
5.2	Openness of Moduli Stack of Semistable Sheaves	15
5.3	Boundedness of Moduli Stack of Semistable Sheaves	15
5.4	Semistable reduction: Langton's Theorem	15

6	Good Moduli Space of Semistable Sheaves	15
6.1	Existence of Good Moduli Space of Semistable Sheaves	15
6.2	Projectivity	15
7	DT-invariant	15
8	Moduli Space of Complexes and Bridgeland Stability	15
9	Need to add	15
	Index	16
	References	17

1 Introductions

First of course you need to know the basic theory of algebraic geometry like [6] and the basic theory of algebraic stacks like [8] or [12] or the first few chapters of [1].

We will first use the new language of good moduli theory due to Jarod Alper to rewrite the theory of moduli space of semistable sheaves in the first part of the book [7].

Need to add.

2 Basic Theory of Good Moduli Spaces

Here we will introduce some basic background about good moduli theory and the theory of Θ -complete and S -completedue to J. Alper in [3] and [4]. These will play an important role in our fundamental theory.

We will give the main properties, theorems and their motivations and some idea of proofs. For the detailed proof we refer reader to the original paper [3][4] or the book draft [1] of J. Alper.

2.1 Properties of Good Moduli Spaces

As we all know, in the modern construction of the moduli space of stable curves follows from the following way:

- (a) Construct the stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and show that it is a Deligne-Mumford stack;
- (b) show the stable-reduction of stable curves and find that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is proper;
- (c) use Keel-Mori theorem to construct the coarse moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{M}_{g,n}$ and show that it is projective.

But in our case, we can not use Keel-Mori theorem to the moduli stack of semistable sheaves because the inertia stack $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is not finite. In order to this the similar modern way (instead of GIT-construction), J.Alper developed a nice similar (but much more complicated) theory to solve this problem – the theory of good moduli space ([3] and [4]) for linear reductive groups and the theory of adequate moduli spaces ([2]) for geometric reductive groups.

For now, the theory of good moduli space plays a central role in the construction of moduli spaces, such as moduli stack of semistable sheaves $\underline{\mathrm{Coh}}_P^{\mathrm{H-ss}}(X)$ and K -moduli stack $\mathcal{X}_{n,V}^{\mathrm{Kss}}$ which aim to construct a good moduli space of Fano varieties (see the book draft due to C. Xu).

Definition 2.1 (Good moduli space). *For an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} , its good moduli space is an algebraic space X together with a qcqs morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ such that*

- (i) the natural map $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an isomorphism;
- (ii) the functor $\pi_* : \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ is exact.

Note that the condition in (ii) is called *cohomologically affine*.

The definition of good moduli space is inspired from the GIT-quotient of linear reductive group G (that is, $V \mapsto V^G$ is exact. Hence G is linear reductive if and only if $\mathbf{B}G$ is cohomologically affine)

$$[X/G] \dashrightarrow [X^{\mathrm{ss}}/G] \rightarrow X // G = \mathrm{Proj} \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(d))^G.$$

Or locally, the map $[\mathrm{Spec} A/G] \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A^G$. Of coarse, a tame coarse moduli space is a good moduli space by the local structure of coarse moduli spaces.

Here we state several basic properties of cohomologically affine morphisms.

Lemma 2.2. *Consider a cartesian*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{g'} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow \pi' & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{Y}' & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

of algebraic stacks, then:

- (i) If g is faithfully flat and π' is cohomologically affine, then π is cohomologically affine.
- (ii) If \mathcal{Y} has quasi-affine diagonal and π is cohomologically affine, then π' is cohomologically affine.

If we consider a cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{g'} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow \pi' & \lrcorner & \downarrow \pi \\ X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \end{array}$$

of algebraic stacks where X, X' are quasi-separated algebraic spaces, then:

- (iii) If g is faithfully flat and π' is a good moduli space, then π is a good moduli space.
- (iv) If π is a good moduli space, so is π' .
- (v) Let π is a good moduli space. For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$, the adjunction map $\pi_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G} \cong \pi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{G})$ is an isomorphism. In particular, the adjunction map $\mathcal{G} \cong \pi_* \pi^* \mathcal{G}$ is an isomorphism.

- (vi) For $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(X)$, then $g^* \pi_* \mathcal{F} \cong \pi'_*(g')^* \mathcal{F}$.
- (vii) For a quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$, the natural map $\mathcal{J} \cong \pi_*(\pi^{-1} \mathcal{J} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is an isomorphism.
- If $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ be a good moduli space with X quasi-separated, then
- (viii) If \mathcal{A} is a quasi-coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -algebras, then $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}_X \pi_* \mathcal{A}$ is a good moduli space.
- (ix) If $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a closed substack and $\mathrm{Im} \mathcal{Z} \subset X$ is the scheme-theoretic image, then $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Im} \mathcal{Z}$ is a good moduli space.

Proof. See section 4 in fundamental paper [3]. □

Now some important properties of good moduli spaces and give some comments. Actually these are similar as the properties of GIT.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ be a good moduli space where \mathcal{X} is a quasi-separated algebraic stack defined over an algebraic space S . Then*

- (i) π is surjective and universally closed (and universally submersive);
- (ii) for closed substacks $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \subset \mathcal{X}$, we have $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{Z}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}_2) = \mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{Z}_1) \cap \mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{Z}_2)$. For geometric points $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}(k)$, $\pi(x_1) = \pi(x_2) \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ if and only if $\overline{\{x_1\}} \cap \overline{\{x_2\}} \neq \emptyset$ in $|\mathcal{X} \times_S k|$. In particular, π induces a bijection between closed points in \mathcal{X} and closed points in X ;
- (iii) if \mathcal{X} is noetherian, so is X . If \mathcal{X} is of finite type over S and S is noetherian, then X is of finite type over S and π_* preserves coherence;
- (iv) If X is noetherian, then π is universal for maps to algebraic spaces.

Proof. Here we give some idea. The proof we refer the Theorem 4.16 in [3].

For (i), by Lemma 2.2 (iv) we know that $\mathcal{X} \times_X \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ is good moduli space. Hence $\Gamma(\mathcal{X} \times_X \mathrm{Spec} k, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X} \times_X \mathrm{Spec} k}) = k$ and $|\mathcal{X} \times_X \mathrm{Spec} k| \neq \emptyset$. Hence π is surjective. Again by Lemm 2.2 (ix) we know that if $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a closed substack and $\mathrm{Im} \mathcal{Z} \subset X$ is the scheme-theoretic image, then $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Im} \mathcal{Z}$ is a good moduli space. Hence it is surjective and hence π is closed. By Lemma 2.2 (iv) we know that it is universally closed.

For (ii), let ideal sheaves be $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$, then by the exactness of π_* we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \pi_* \mathcal{I}_2 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & \searrow & & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_* \mathcal{I}_1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2) & \twoheadrightarrow & \pi_* \mathcal{I}_2 / \pi_*(\mathcal{I}_1 \cap \mathcal{I}_2) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Hence the inclusion $\pi_*(\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2) \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2)$ is surjective.

For (iii), X is noetherian follows from Lemma 2.2 (vii). We omit others and (iv). \square

There is an interesting result which we will use it:

Proposition 2.4. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a cohomologically affine morphism of algebraic stacks where \mathcal{Y} has quasi-affine diagonal. If f is representable (that is, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is trivial, or equivalently, Δ_{Δ_f} is an isomorphism), then f is affine.*

Proof. Trivial by faithfully flat descent and Serre's Criterion. \square

2.2 Luna's Results and Étale Local Structure of Algebraic Stacks

2.2.1 Luna's Fundamental Lemma and Luna's Étale Slice Theorem

Luna's results are classical and you can find them even in [10].

Theorem 2.5 (Luna's Fundamental Lemma). *Consider a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}' & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X} \\ \pi' \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \end{array}$$

where f is a separated and representable morphism of noetherian algebraic stacks, each with affine diagonal, and where π and π' are good moduli spaces. Let $x' \in \mathcal{X}'$ be a point such that

- (a) f is étale at x' ;
- (b) f induces an isomorphism of stabilizer groups at x' , and
- (c) $x' \in \mathcal{X}'$ and $x = f(x') \in \mathcal{X}$ are closed points.

Then there is an open neighborhood $U' \subset X'$ of $\pi'(x')$ such that $U' \rightarrow X$ is étale and such that $U' \times_X \mathcal{X} \cong (\pi')^{-1}(U')$.

Sketch. Using limit-argument, we may let $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$, where A is a strictly henselian local ring. After shrink \mathcal{X}' , we may let f is étale. Then by Zariski main theorem we get $\mathcal{X}' \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \underline{\operatorname{Spec}}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \tilde{X} = \underline{\operatorname{Spec}}_{\mathcal{X}} \pi_* \mathcal{A}$ is a good moduli space with $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ finite. Hence we can let $\tilde{X} = \coprod_i \operatorname{Spec} A_i$ of henselian local rings. Take $U' = \operatorname{Spec} A_i$ contains image of x' . Well done. \square

Hence we have an very important corollary we will use:

Corollary 2.6. *With the same hypotheses, suppose that f is étale and that for all closed points $x' \in \mathcal{X}'$ we have*

- (a) $f(x')$ closed;
- (b) f induces an isomorphism of stabilizer groups at x' .

Then $g : X' \rightarrow X$ étale and that commutative diagram is cartesian.

This is our main motivation to define the Θ -completeness and \mathbf{S} -completeness. We will discuss this deeply later.

Next we introduce Luna's étale slice theorem which was motivated the étale local structure of algebraic stacks.

Lemma 2.7 (Luna Map). *Let G be a linearly reductive group over an algebraically closed field k and let X be an affine scheme of finite type over k with an action of G . If $x \in X(k)$ has linearly reductive stabilizer G_x , there exists a G_x -equivariant morphism (Luna map)*

$$f : X \rightarrow T_{X,x} := \underline{\mathrm{Spec}} \mathrm{Sym} \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2$$

sending x to the origin. If X is smooth at x , then f is étale at x .

Proof. Letting $X = \mathrm{Spec} A$, then \mathfrak{m}_x and $\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2$ are G_x -representations and we see that G_x acts naturally on the tangent space $T_{X,x} := \underline{\mathrm{Spec}} \mathrm{Sym} \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2$. Since G_x is linearly reductive, the surjection $\mathfrak{m}_x \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2$ of G_x -representations has a section $\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_x$. This induces a G_x -equivariant ring map $\mathrm{Sym} \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \rightarrow A$ and thus a G_x -equivariant morphism $f : X \rightarrow T_{X,x}$ sending x to the origin. If x is smooth, then since f induces an isomorphism of tangent spaces at x , we conclude that f is étale at x . \square

Theorem 2.8 (Luna's Étale Slice Theorem). *Let G be a linearly reductive group over an algebraically closed field k and let X be an affine scheme of finite type over k with an action of G . If $x \in X(k)$ has linearly reductive stabilizer G_x , then there exists a G_x -invariant, locally closed, and affine subscheme $W \subset X$ such that the induced map*

$$[W/G_x] \rightarrow [X/G]$$

is affine étale. If in addition $Gx \subset X$ closed (then by Matsushima's theorem G_X is linearly reductive), then there is a cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [W/G_x] & \longrightarrow & [X/G] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ W // G_x & \longrightarrow & X // G \end{array}$$

where $W // G_x \rightarrow X // G$ is also étale.

Moreover, if $x \in X$ is a smooth point and if we denote by $N_x = T_{X,x}/T_{G_x,x}$ the normal space to the orbit, then it can be arranged that there is an G_x -invariant étale morphism $W \rightarrow N_x$ which is the pullback of an étale map $W // G_x \rightarrow N_x // G_x$ of GIT quotients.

Proof. Pick a finite G -representation V and a G -equivariant closed immersion $X \subset \mathbb{A}(V)$. Then using this we can reduce to the case where $x \in X$ is smooth.

Hence we have Luna map $f : X \rightarrow T_{X,x}$ is G_x -equivariant and étale at x . The subspace $T_{G_x,x} \subset T_{X,x}$ is G_x -invariant and again since G_x is linearly reductive, the surjection $T_{X,x} \rightarrow N_x$ has a section $N_x \rightarrow T_{X,x}$. We define W as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \longrightarrow & N_x \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & T_{X,x} \end{array}$$

Then $[W/G_x] \rightarrow [X/G]$ and $[W/G_x] \rightarrow [N_x/G_x]$ induce an isomorphism of tangent spaces and stabilizer groups at w , they are both étale at x . Hence we have commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [N_x/G_x] & \longleftarrow & [W/G_x] & \longrightarrow & [X/G] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_x // G_x & \longleftarrow & W // G_x & \longrightarrow & X // G \end{array}$$

Hence using Luna's fundamental lemma 2.5 twice and well done. \square

2.2.2 Coherent Tannaka Duality and Coherent Completeness

Here we introduce some very important results aiming to extend to morphisms.

Theorem 2.9 (Coherent Tannaka Duality). *For noetherian algebraic stacks \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} with affine diagonal, the functor*

$$\mathrm{MOR}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MOR}^\otimes(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{Y}), \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{X})), \quad f \mapsto f^*$$

is an equivalence of categories where the latter category denote the right exact additive tensor functors $\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{X})$ of symmetric monoidal abelian categories where morphisms are tensor natural transformations.

Proof. This follows from a nice observation of Lurie in [9]. For the proof we refer [1] Theorem 6.4.1. \square

Definition 2.10. A noetherian algebraic stack \mathcal{X} is coherently complete along a closed substack \mathcal{X}_0 if the natural functor

$$\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{X}_n), \quad F \mapsto (F_n)$$

is an equivalence of categories, where \mathcal{X}_n denotes the n -th nilpotent thickening of \mathcal{X}_0 .

Remark 2.11. (i) This motivated by the Grothendieck's Existence Theorem asserts that if X is a proper scheme over a complete local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) and $X_0 = X \times_R R/\mathfrak{m}$, then X is coherently complete along X_0 .

Actually this is right even for proper algebraic stack over some I -adically complete noetherian ring. We refer [13].

(ii) Let k be an algebraically closed field and R be a complete noetherian local k -algebra with residue field k . Let G be a linearly reductive group over k acting on an affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec} A$ of finite type over R . Suppose that $A^G = R$ and that there is a G -fixed k -point $x \in \mathrm{Spec} A$. Then $[\mathrm{Spec} A/G]$ is coherently complete along the closed substack $\mathbf{B}G$ defined by x . See the Theorem 6.4.11 in [1] for the proof.

We will use the follows corollary many times:

Corollary 2.12. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be noetherian algebraic stacks with affine diagonal. Suppose that \mathcal{X} is coherently complete along \mathcal{X}_0 . Then there is an equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{MOR}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathrm{MOR}(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{Y}), \quad f \mapsto (f_n).$$

Proof. This is directly:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{MOR}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) &\cong \mathrm{MOR}^\otimes(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{Y}), \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{X})) \\ &\cong \mathrm{MOR}^\otimes(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{Y}), \varprojlim \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{X}_n)) \\ &\cong \varprojlim \mathrm{MOR}^\otimes(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{Y}), \mathrm{Coh}(\mathcal{X}_n)) \\ &\cong \varprojlim \mathrm{MOR}(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{Y}) \end{aligned}$$

and well done. □

2.2.3 Some Deformation Theory

Proposition 2.13. Consider a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{W}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$$

of noetherian algebraic stacks with affine diagonal where $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is smooth and affine and $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$ is a closed immersion defined by a square-zero sheaf of ideals \mathcal{J} . If \mathcal{W} is cohomologically affine, there exists a lift in the above diagram.

Proof. As the case of schemes, the set of liftings is a torsor under $\mathrm{Hom}(f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{J})$. Hence let $\mathcal{F} := f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{J}$. Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (U/\mathcal{W})^2 & \rightrightarrows & U & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{W} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f'_U & \nearrow f' & \downarrow \\
 (U'/\mathcal{W}')^2 & \rightrightarrows & U' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{W}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y}
 \end{array}$$

where $(U/\mathcal{W})^2 = U \times_{\mathcal{W}} U$ is affine. Because $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is representable, to check that f'_U descends to a morphism f' , we need to arrange that $f'_U \circ p_1 = f'_U \circ p_2$. As $f'_U \circ p_1 - f'_U \circ p_2 \in \Gamma((U/\mathcal{W})^2, q_2^*\mathcal{F})$, this follows from the \mathcal{W} is cohomologically affine and exact sequences. Omitted and see [1] Proposition 6.5.8.

There is another way, one can show that the obstruction to this deformation problem lies in $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{W}}}^1(f^*\Omega_{\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{Y}}, \mathcal{J}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{F})$ which vanishes since \mathcal{W} is cohomologically affine. \square

Proposition 2.14. *Let $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$ be a closed immersion of algebraic stacks of finite type over k with affine diagonal defined by a square-zero sheaf of ideals \mathcal{J} . Let G be an affine algebraic group over k . If \mathcal{W} is cohomologically affine, then every principal G -bundle $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ extends to a principal G -bundle $\mathcal{P}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}'$.*

Proof. Similar as the proof above and we need to take $\mathcal{F} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{J}$ from the deformation theory of principal G -bundles in [1] D.2.9.

There is also another way. Note that this is equivalent to the deformation of $f : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}G$ to $\mathcal{W}' \rightarrow \mathbf{B}G$ which is the same problem in Proposition 2.13 to $\mathbf{B}G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ which is not affine. See the arguments in Remark 6.5.11 in [1], we can see the obstruction lies in $H^2(\mathcal{W}, \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{J})$ which vanishes since \mathcal{W} is cohomologically affine. \square

Remark 2.15. *All these results are the special case in Theorem 1.5 in [11].*

2.2.4 Étale Local Structure of Algebraic Stacks

Before giving the statement of the étale local structure of algebraic stacks, we will give a useful criteria for morphisms to be closed immersions or isomorphisms.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a representable morphism of algebraic stacks of finite type over an algebraically closed field k with affine diagonal. Assume that $|\mathcal{X}| = \{x\}$ and $|\mathcal{Y}| = \{y\}$ consist of a single point and that f induces an isomorphism of residue gerbes $\mathcal{X}_0 := \mathcal{G}_x = \mathbf{B}G_x$ with $\mathcal{Y}_0 := \mathcal{G}_y = \mathbf{B}G_y$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_x, \mathfrak{m}_y$ be the ideal sheaves defining them, and let $f_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1$ be the induced morphism between the first nilpotent thickenings.*

(i) *If f_1 is a closed immersion, then so is f .*

(ii) If f_1 is a closed immersion and there is an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_y^n / \mathfrak{m}_y^{n+1} \cong \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_x^n / \mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1}$$

of graded $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ -modules, then f is an isomorphism.

Proof. □

Lemma 2.17. *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of finite type over an algebraically closed field with affine diagonal. Let $f : [\mathrm{Spec} A/G] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a finite type morphism with G linearly reductive. If $w \in \mathrm{Spec} A$ has closed G -orbit and f induces an isomorphism of stabilizer groups at w , then there exists a G -invariant, affine, and open subscheme $U \subset \mathrm{Spec} A$ containing w such that $f|_{[U/G]}$ is affine.*

Proof. □

Theorem 2.18 (Étale Local Structure of Algebraic Stacks). *Let \mathcal{X} be an algebraic stack of finite type over an algebraically closed field k with affine diagonal. For every point $x \in X(k)$ with linearly reductive stabilizer G_x there exists an affine étale morphism*

$$f : ([\mathrm{Spec} A/G_x], w) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}, x)$$

which induces an isomorphism of stabilizer groups at w .

If $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is a smooth point, then there is also an étale morphism

$$f : ([\mathrm{Spec} A/G_x], w) \rightarrow ([T_{\mathcal{X},x}/G_x], 0).$$

Proof of the Smooth Case. Here we only give the proof of smooth case and tell you the difficulties of proof the general case in the remark.

Since □

Remark 2.19. *We refer Section 6.5.5 in [1] for the proof of the general case. Now we point out that*

2.3 One Parameter Subgroups and Filtrations

2.4 Existence of Good Moduli Space

3 Moduli Stack of Coherent Sheaves

In the next sections we will just consider the stacks over \mathbb{C} .

3.1 Construction of the Moduli Stack of Coherent Sheaves

Now we consider the moduli space of coherent sheaves over some smooth projective complex variety X . Then we have the Chern character map

$$\gamma : K(X) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} \text{CH}^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \xrightarrow{\text{cl}} H^{2*}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

(or we can use ℓ -adic cohomology) Let Γ be the image of this map.

By Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem (see Chpter 15 in [5]),

$$P = \chi(\mathcal{F}(m)) = \int_X \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}(m)) \text{td}(\mathcal{T}_X),$$

then we find that the information of $v \in \Gamma$ is equivalent to the information of the Hilbert polynomial χ . So we can use both of them when X is smooth. If X is just a projective scheme, then we will only to use the Hilbert polynomial.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a connected projective \mathbb{C} -scheme, we let $\underline{\text{Coh}}_P(X)$ the category fibred in groupoid over Sch/\mathbb{C} sending a \mathbb{C} -scheme T to the groupoid of T -flat families $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Coh}(X \times T)$ such that any restriction $\mathcal{E}_t \in \text{Coh}(X)$ has the Hilbert polynomial P , the morphisms in the above groupoid are given by isomorphisms of \mathcal{E} .*

Then $\underline{\text{Coh}}_P(X)$ is an algebraic stack locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} of affine diagonal. Also, we have the algebraic stack $\underline{\text{Coh}}(X) = \coprod_P \underline{\text{Coh}}_P(X)$.

Proof. Easy to see that $\underline{\text{Coh}}_P(X)$ is actually a stack, we first claim that it is an algebraic stack in a natural way.

For each integer N , we claim there is an open substack $\mathcal{U}_N \subset \underline{\text{Coh}}_P(X)$ parameterizing coherent sheaves \mathcal{E} such that $\mathcal{E}(N)$ generated by global sections and $H^i(X, \mathcal{E}(N)) = 0$ for any $i > 0$. Actually this is trivial by some application of cohomology and base change. As $\underline{\text{Coh}}_P(X) = \bigcup_N \mathcal{U}_N$, we just need to show \mathcal{U}_N is an algebraic stack locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} .

For each N , we consider the quotient scheme

$$Q_N := \underline{\text{Quot}}_X^P(\mathcal{O}_X(-N)^{P(N)}).$$

Again by some application of cohomology and base change, we find that there is an open subscheme $Q'_N \subset Q_N$ parameterizing quotients $q : \mathcal{O}_X(-N)^{P(N)} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ such that $H^0(q(N))$ is surjective and $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(n)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

We have a natural map $Q'_N \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_N$ maps $[\mathcal{O}_X(-N)^{P(N)}]$ to \mathcal{F} . We observe that Q'_N is also $\text{GL}_{P(N)}$ -invariant, then this map descends to

$$\Psi^{\text{pre}} : [Q'_N / \text{GL}_{P(N)}]^{\text{pre}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_N$$

which is fully faithful since every automorphism of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on $X \times S$ induces an automorphism of $p_{2,*}\mathcal{E}(N) = \mathcal{O}_S^{P(N)}$ i.e. an element of $\mathrm{GL}_{P(N)}(S)$, and this element acts on $\mathcal{O}_X(-N)^{P(N)}$ preserving the quotient \mathcal{E} .

After stackification, we have another fully faithful map $\Psi : [Q'_N/\mathrm{GL}_{P(N)}] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_N$ which is also essentially surjective by the constructions. Hence we have

$$\mathcal{U}_N \cong [Q'_N/\mathrm{GL}_{P(N)}], \quad \underline{\mathrm{Coh}}_P(X) = \bigcup_N [Q'_N/\mathrm{GL}_{P(N)}].$$

Hence $\underline{\mathrm{Coh}}_P(X)$ is an algebraic stack locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} . \square

3.2 Basic Facts of the Moduli Stack of Coherent Sheaves

4 Theory of Semistable Sheaves

4.1 Basic Properties

For polynomials $f_i \in \mathbb{Q}[m]$ for $i = 1, 2$, we write $f_1 \prec (\preceq) f_2$ if either $\deg f_1 > \deg f_2$ or $\deg f_1 = \deg f_2$ with $f_1(m) < (\leq) f_2(m)$ for $m \gg 0$.

Definition 4.1. We call \mathcal{E} , a coherent sheaf over a complex projective variety X , is H -(semi)stable if for any non-zero subsheaf $\mathcal{E}' \subsetneq \mathcal{E}$ we have $\overline{\chi}(\mathcal{E}'(m)) \prec (\preceq) \overline{\chi}(\mathcal{E}(m))$ where $\overline{\chi}(\mathcal{E}(m)) = \chi(\mathcal{E}(m))/a_d$ be the reduced Hilbert polynomial where a_d be the top-degree coefficient of $\chi(\mathcal{E}(m))$ with $H = c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$.

We call \mathcal{E} is **strictly H -semistable** if it is H -semistable but not H -stable. We call it is **H -polystable** if it is H -semistable and a direct sum of H -stable sheaves.

4.2 Harder-Narasimhan filtration and Jordan-Hölder filtration

As we all know, these (semi)stable sheaves form the building blocks for all the coherent sheaves on X as the following two results.

Theorem 4.2 (Harder-Narasimhan filtration). *Let \mathcal{E} be a coherent sheaf over a complex projective variety X . then there is a filtration (unique up to isomorphism)*

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}$$

with quotient $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ such that each \mathcal{F}_i is H -semistable with $\overline{\chi}(\mathcal{F}_i(m)) \succ \overline{\chi}(\mathcal{F}_{i+1}(m))$ for all i .

Proof. See Theorem 1.3.4 in [7]. Need to add. \square

Theorem 4.3 (Jordan-Hölder filtration). *Let \mathcal{E} be a coherent sheaf over a complex projective variety X . then there is a filtration (the direct sum of \mathcal{F}_i unique up to isomorphism)*

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}$$

with quotient $\mathcal{F}_i := \mathcal{E}_i / \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ such that each \mathcal{F}_i is H -stable with $\overline{\chi}(\mathcal{F}_i(m)) = \overline{\chi}(\mathcal{E}(m))$ for all i .

Proof. See Theorem 1.5.2 in [7]. Need to add. □

5 Moduli Stack of Semistable Sheaves

5.1 Basic Construction

But this is not enough as $\mathcal{M}_X(v)$ is neither of finite type nor separated! Now we consider the open substacks of H -(semi)stable sheaves:

$$\mathcal{M}_X^{H-st}(v) \subset \mathcal{M}_X^{H-ss}(v) \subset \mathcal{M}_X(v).$$

By Proposition 2.3.1, Theorem 3.3.7 in [7], we get these two stacks are all of finite type. Hence now we can use the theory of good moduli space as we introduced.

Due to Jarod Alper in Example 8.7 at [3]: there exists the Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_X^{H-st}(v) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_X^{H-ss}(v) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ M_X^{H-st}(v) & \hookrightarrow & M_X^{H-ss}(v) \end{array}$$

where $M_X^{H-ss}(v)$ be a projective scheme parametrizing H -polystable sheaves and $M_X^{H-st}(v)$ be an open subscheme corresponding to H -stable sheaves. The vertical arrows are good moduli space morphisms, sending an H -semistable sheaf to the associated graded sheaf of the Jordan-Hölder filtration. We know that the automorphism of stable sheaves is \mathbb{C}^* , hence the left morphism above is a \mathbb{C}^* -gerbe.

Definition 5.1. *We say $M_X^{H-st}(v)$*

- (i) *is a fine moduli space if $\mathcal{M}_X^{H-st}(v)$ is a trivial \mathbb{C}^* -gerbe over $M_X^{H-st}(v)$;*
- (ii) *satisfies the ss = st condition if the equality $M_X^{H-ss}(v) = M_X^{H-st}(v)$ holds.*

Remark 5.2. (i) *If $\gcd\{\chi(E \otimes F) : [E] \in M_X^{H-st}(v), F \in K(X)\} = 1$, then $M_X^{H-st}(v)$ is a fine moduli space by [7] Theorem 4.6.5;*

(ii) *Actually $\mathcal{M}_X^{H-st}(v)$ is a trivial \mathbb{C}^* -gerbe over $M_X^{H-st}(v)$ is that there is a universal sheaf over $X \times M_X^{H-st}(v)$. Also, by the basic theory of algebraic gerbes, this is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}_X^{H-st}(v) \cong M_X^{H-st}(v) \times B\mathbb{C}^*$;*

(iii) Let $v = (v_i) \in \Gamma$ with $v_0 = 1$, then any H -stable sheaf \mathcal{E} of $\text{ch}(\mathcal{E}) = v$ is of form $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{I}_Z$ for a line bundle \mathcal{L} on X and a closed subscheme Z with $\text{codim}_X Z \geq 2$. Then

$$\text{Pic}_X(v_1) \times \text{Hilb}_X(e^{-v_1}v) \cong M_X^{H-st}(v)$$

and $M_X^{H-st}(v)$ is fine with $ss = st$ condition. Here $\text{Pic}_X(v_1)$ is the Picard scheme parameterizing line bundles with $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = v_1$ and $\text{Hilb}_X(v)$ is the Hilbert scheme parameterizing closed subschemes $Z \subset X$ with $\text{ch}(I\mathcal{I}_Z) = v$.

5.2 Openness of Moduli Stack of Semistable Sheaves

5.3 Boundedness of Moduli Stack of Semistable Sheaves

5.4 Semistable reduction: Langton's Theorem

6 Good Moduli Space of Semistable Sheaves

6.1 Existence of Good Moduli Space of Semistable Sheaves

6.2 Projectivity

7 DT-invariant

8 Moduli Space of Complexes and Bridgeland Stability

9 Need to add

Index

H -polystable, 13

H -semistable, 13

H -stable, 13

Coherent Tannaka Duality, 8

coherently complete, 9

cohomologically affine, 4

fine moduli space, 14

good moduli space, 3

Grothendieck's Existence Theorem, 9

Harder-Narasimhan filtration, 13

Jordan-Hölder filtration, 14

Luna map, 7

Luna's fundamental lemma, 6

Luna's étale slice theorem, 7

reduced Hilbert polynomial, 13

strictly H -semistable, 13

References

- [1] Jared Alper. *Stacks and Moduli*. Working draft, June 14, 2023.
- [2] Jared Alper et al. Adequate moduli spaces and geometrically reductive group schemes. *Algebraic Geometry*, 2014.
- [3] Jarod Alper. Good moduli spaces for artin stacks. *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, 63(6):2349–2402, 2013.
- [4] Jarod Alper, Daniel Halpern-Leistner, and Jochen Heinloth. Existence of moduli spaces for algebraic stacks. *Invent. Math.*, pages 1–90, 2023.
- [5] William Fulton. *Intersection theory, 2nd*, volume 2. Springer Science & Business Media, 1998.
- [6] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52. Springer, 1977.
- [7] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The Geometry of Moduli Spaces of Sheaves*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 2010.
- [8] Gérard Laumon and Laurent Moret-Bailly. *Champs algébriques*, volume 39. Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [9] Jacob Lurie. Tannaka duality for geometric stacks. *arXiv: 0412266*, 2004.
- [10] David Mumford, John Fogarty, and Frances Kirwan. *Geometric invariant theory*, volume 34. Springer Science & Business Media, 1994.
- [11] Martin Olsson. Deformation theory of representable morphisms of algebraic stacks. *Math. Z.*, 253(1):25–62, 2006.
- [12] Martin Olsson. *Algebraic spaces and stacks*, volume 62. American Mathematical Soc., 2016.
- [13] Martin C Olsson. On proper coverings of artin stacks. *Adv. Math.*, 198(1):93–106, 2005.