

# **MATH50003**

# **Numerical Analysis**

## **III.5 QR Factorisation**

**Dr Sheehan Olver**

# Part III

## Numerical Linear Algebra

1. **Structured matrices** such as banded
2. **LU and PLU factorisations** for solving linear systems
3. **Cholesky factorisation** for symmetric positive definite
4. **Orthogonal matrices** such as Householder reflections
5. **QR factorisation** for solving least squares

**Definition 23** (QR factorisation). The *QR factorisation* is

$$A = QR = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_m \end{bmatrix}}_{Q \in U(m)} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \cdots & \times \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \times \\ & & 0 \\ & & \vdots \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{R \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}}$$

**Definition 24** (Reduced QR factorisation). The *reduced QR factorisation*

$$A = \hat{Q}\hat{R} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{q}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{q}_n \end{bmatrix}}_{\hat{Q} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \times & \cdots & \times \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & \times \end{bmatrix}}_{\hat{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}}$$

**QR gives reduced QR**

**Embedded in a QR factorisation is the reduced QR**

## III.5.1 Reduced QR and Gram–Schmidt

Gram–Schmidt is a way of computing the reduced QR

Define

$$\mathbf{v}_j := \mathbf{a}_j - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \underbrace{\mathbf{q}_k^* \mathbf{a}_j}_{r_{kj}} \mathbf{q}_k$$

$$r_{jj} := \|\mathbf{v}_j\|$$

$$\mathbf{q}_j := \frac{\mathbf{v}_j}{r_{jj}}$$

**Theorem (Gram–Schmidt and reduced QR)** Define  $\mathbf{q}_j$  and  $r_{kj}$  as above (with  $r_{kj} = 0$  if  $k > j$ ). Then a reduced QR factorisation is given by:

$$A = \underbrace{[\mathbf{q}_1 | \cdots | \mathbf{q}_n]}_{\hat{Q} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}}_{\hat{R} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}}$$

## **III.5.2 Householder reflections and QR**

**Householder is a more stable way to compute QR**



**Theorem 7** (QR). *Every matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  has a QR factorisation:*

$$A = QR$$

*where  $Q \in U(m)$  and  $R \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  is right triangular.*





Example 17 (QR by hand). (non-examinable)

$$A := \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} -2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] = \underbrace{Q}_{\in O(3)} \underbrace{R}_{\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2} \text{ right-rectangular}}$$

$\vec{a}_1$

Method 1: Gram-Schmidt (easy by hand), but inaccurate on a computer.

Method 2: use Householder. Since  $a_{11} < 0$ , we want to find

$$Q_1 \text{ s.t. } Q_1 \vec{a}_1 = +\|\vec{a}_1\| e_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\vec{a}_1\| = \sqrt{4+4+1} = 3 \Rightarrow$$

$$y_1 = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{q}_1} \xrightarrow{\text{sign } \sigma_1} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}}_{\|\vec{q}_1\| e_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \|\vec{y}_1\| = \sqrt{25+4+1} = \sqrt{30}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{z}_1 = \frac{\vec{y}_1}{\|\vec{y}_1\|} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} / \sqrt{30} \Rightarrow$$

$$Q_1 = I - 2 \vec{w}_1^T \vec{w}_1 = \frac{1}{15} \begin{bmatrix} 15 & & \\ & 15 & \\ & & 15 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{15} \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & -10 & -5 \\ -10 & 4 & 2 \\ -5 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## III.5.3 QR and least squares

### Use QR to solve least squares problems

**Theorem 8** (least squares via QR). Suppose  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  with  $m \geq n$  has full rank. Given a QR factorisation  $A = QR$  then

$$x = \hat{R}^{-1} \hat{Q}^* b$$

minimises  $\|Ax - b\|$ .

$\mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbb{C}^m$

Here

$$A = \underbrace{QR}_{\text{Full QR}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Q} & K \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{R} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\hat{Q} \hat{R}}_{\text{Reduced}}$$

Proof

We want to minimise

$$\tilde{c} := \hat{Q}^* b$$

$$\|Ax - b\|^2 = \|QRx - b\|^2 = \|Q(R\vec{x} - \overbrace{Q^*b})\|^2$$

$$= \|R\vec{x} - \vec{c}\|^2$$

$$= \left\| \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\begin{bmatrix} \hat{R} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} - \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \\ c_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ c_m \end{bmatrix}}_{\begin{bmatrix} \vec{c}_n \\ \vec{r}_n \end{bmatrix}} \right\|^2$$

$$= \left\| \hat{R} \vec{x} - \vec{c}_n \right\|^2 + \underbrace{\|\vec{r}_n\|^2}$$

independent of  $\vec{x}$

$\Rightarrow \vec{x}$  also minimizes

$$\|\hat{R} \vec{x} - \vec{c}_n\| \Rightarrow$$

$$\vec{x} = \hat{R}^{-1} \vec{c}_n = \hat{R}^{-1} \hat{Q}^* \vec{b}$$

since

$$\hat{Q}^* \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Q}^* \\ k^* \end{bmatrix} \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{Q}^* \vec{b} \\ \cancel{k^*} \vec{b} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\vec{c}_n$

