```
GAME THEORY #8
        (+) Game thosy is about shalepic decisie making
                  Elemels: (-) Player
                                     (-) Adas
                                     (-) Internation
                                      (-) Quote of play
                                                                                    which so pide
                                     (-) Byoffs
                Strategies are rules that lett player what to do piece
                        he internation they have
             2 particular desser of pames
              (1) Static panes will complete intermation
                          Only need to define player, adies, payoffs T=(N, A, 17)
                           Solvlie carapl: Nash epilibrium
               (2) Dyvanic panes with complete in Countie
                            Ages who decision of differ stages
                              Osolv of moves becomes impossal
                              Solvie carapl: Sulpone pulled epilibrium
                 GAMES WITH INCOPPLETE INFORMATION
  Remark 4.1 (Molivota)
        So for he always assumed player have all releval information
        ( they know each other' payoffs, actors )
          Haven, in many applications players lade crucial information
              (1) If player have wample warrable short Men coplayer payoff
                              (-) Firm knows its own ach but does not preasily know
                                         campelile's Gs)
                             (-) Besparing: How much is the ilem with to the buyer?
                                                      Selle does we know.
           (2) Hising processes: Applical knows her true practity,
                              the employer reportes Ripuds to Gind at the gratity
    § 4.1 Stoke pomes with incomplete information (State Bayess pomes)
  Example 4.2 (Voluntee's dilemins)
     (1) Selip: Two playes, each are weeds to decide whether to cooperate
                        by paying a cost c(i) to both player to per
                           some beelif b=1.
                            (2) Complete information: C^{(1)}, C^{(2)} commonly known. C^{(1)}, C^{(2)} < 0
                    2 pure Nosh epilibies (C,D), (D,C)
                                                              6 (x, 1-x)
                1 Mixed Nash epviliblium
                                                                   6(2) = (4, 1-y)
                               T^{(1)}\left(C_{1} \otimes^{(2)}\right) = 1 - C^{(1)}
                               T(1) (D, 6(2)) = 1.4 + 0. (1-4)
                                               y= 1- c(1)
                                               X= 1- c(2)
                                      I weight things about the mixed epitibility
                  (*) The play is independed of any GS?
                           Why (andomile of all?
 (3) With incomplete information
                  Now suppose C(1), C(2) are canolom veriables
                     unicormly desur Gom [92] (independely)
                     Player know their own cool precisely.
                        Co-players cost, only the distribution is known.
                   Sholepics: S(i): [0,2] -> [C,D]
                                                           (i) H 5 (cm)
         (*) Ansalz: S^{(i)}(c^{(i)}) = \begin{cases} C & \text{if } c^{(i)} \neq \overline{C^{(i)}} \\ C & \text{if } c^{(i)} \neq \overline{C^{(i)}} \end{cases}
|E_{C^{(1)}}|^{(1)}(C, S^{(2)}, C_{1}C_{2}) = 1-C^{(1)}
|E_{C^{(1)}}|^{(1)}(D, S^{(2)}, C_{1}C_{2}) = 1\cdot P(Ray 2 cooperses) + 0\cdot P(2 coeperses)
|C^{(2)}| = C^{(2)}
|C^{
                              How should I choose Z(1), Z(2) C D

(when is it bells to cooperate?)

C 1-C",1-C" 1-C",1
                              HOW should I chark Z(1), Z(2)
                                                    = \frac{c^{(2)}}{2}
                                                                                                   1-c(1)>c(2)
           Cooperation is optimal where 1-c^{(1)} \geqslant \frac{\overline{c}^{(2)}}{z}
\begin{cases} \overline{c}^{(3)} = (-\overline{c}^{(2)}) \\ \overline{c}^{(3)} = (-\overline{c}^{(3)}) \end{cases}
                                                                                                        C(1) = 1- C(2)
                                                       C^{(1)} \in I - \frac{\bar{c}^{(2)}}{2} = \bar{c}^{(1)}
                                                                                                   \bar{c}^{(1)} = \bar{c}^{(1)}
                       \overline{C}^{(1)} = \left(-\frac{\overline{C}^{(2)}}{2}\right)
\overline{C}^{(2)} = \left(-\frac{\overline{C}^{(1)}}{2}\right)
                                                                                                     \overline{C}^{(1)} = (-\overline{C}^{(2)})
                             \bar{C}^{(1)} = 1 - \frac{1 - \bar{C}^{(1)}/2}{2} = 2 - 1 + \bar{C}/2
                          2\bar{c}^{(1)} = 1 + \frac{c_{12}}{c_{12}}
                           (a) 3 = (1) = (
                           \vec{c}^{(1)} = \frac{2}{3} = \vec{c}^{(2)}
               Intership observation:
                  (*) From an orbide perspective, it bohs as it
                           player use unixed phalogies (they condounize lakele Condi)
                             Howeve, achally player use pure shaloper less.
                              Il's the costs that are stachastic, mil the stategies.
                     (*) Shadepies are more habilities
                                     What I do depends on my cost.
    Remode 4.3 (General Sulp of State Bayesian Games)
          (*) Player can be of Olifler Lyper O E O (1)
                          [ In the previous example \Theta^{(i)} = C^{(i)}, \Theta^{(i)} = [0,2]]
         (K) Playe's shalepy can be continged on her type si); \theta^{(i)} \rightarrow A^{(i)}
                                                                                       6": 6" -> 7!")
            Probability to observe a specific type poolite 0= (0",..., or")
               is give by some distribution F(0",..., 0")
            For most examples, we will assume types are drawn independently.
              If types are correlated, by throwing my type / lean
               something shoul you type
                            Updote probabilities P(\theta^{(i)}, \theta^{(i)})
                                         ls Exercise
    Delimilie 4.4 (Boyetien North equilibrie)
                 A shology profile &=(&(),...,&() is a BNE
                  if a each player is and a each type 10<sup>(1)</sup>:
                \mathbb{E}_{\Theta^{(i)}}(\hat{\sigma}^{(i)},\hat{\sigma}^{(-i)},\Theta) \geq \mathbb{E}_{\Omega^{(i)}}(\hat{\sigma}^{(i)},\hat{\sigma}^{(i)},\Theta) \quad \forall \hat{\sigma}^{(i)}
                   Hose, expectations need to be take with respect to
                          the posterior probabilities P(\theta^{(i)} | \theta^{(i)})
                             Each player's valuation V(i) of the item is unlisting & independently
                               Oliann Crom Call & Aris
```

(*)

(H)

Reminder

Examples 4.5 (Audien Leary) (1) Sehp: Suppose one ilem is sold to the hiphest biddler. (in player)

Each plage accomines a bid 600 Rt

Sholepy is a andre s(i): [0,1] - 12

(2) "First-price seded bid "adia : Hipher bidde who and pays her bid.

(2) Sholopies are linear 6(i) = a+ (svi)

 $\frac{\partial \left(\mathbb{E} \pi^{(i)} \right)}{\partial b^{(i)}} = -\left(\frac{b^{(i)} - \lambda}{\Lambda} \right)^{h-1} + \left(v^{(i)} - b^{(i)} \right) \frac{h-1}{\Lambda} \left(\frac{b^{(i)} - \lambda}{\Lambda} \right)^{l} = 0$

 $\mathbb{E}_{\Pi^{(i)}} = (V^{(i)} - L^{(i)}) \cdot P(L^{(i)}) > \max_{i \neq i} L^{(i)}$ (+0)

had is an epilibrium?

Ansolz: (1) let's assume cholepier are symmetric

 $\left| P\left(b^{(i)} > b^{(j)} \right) = P\left(b^{(i)} > \alpha + \beta v^{(i)} \right) \qquad b^{(i)} - \alpha \\
 = P\left(v^{(j)} < b^{(i)} - \alpha \right) \qquad \text{for } \qquad v^{(i)}$ = 6⁽ⁱ⁾-d $\mathbb{P}\left(b^{(i)} > \max_{i \neq i} b^{(i)}\right) = \left(\frac{b^{(i)} - \lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{N-1}$ $\mathbb{E} \Pi^{(i)} = \left(V^{(i)} - b^{(i)} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{b^{(i)} - \alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{-1}$

> $\frac{1}{6} \left(b^{(i)} - d \right)^{h-2} - \left(b^{(i)} - d \right) + \left(V^{(i)} - b^{(i)} \right) - (n-1) = 0$ $\cdot \beta^{h-1}$ - b(+) + a + (n-1) v(i) - (n-1) b(i) = 0

nb(i) = (n-1)v(i) + d $b^{(i)} = \frac{h^{-1}v^{(i)}}{h} + \frac{\alpha}{m} \gamma$ $b^{(i)} = \beta v^{(i)} + \alpha \int_{\alpha=0}^{\alpha=0}$ $b^{(i)} = \frac{n-1}{\lambda} v^{(i)}$ Bid is systemolically below the voluble (3) "Second-price seded bid" ordin

> tupher bid wins, but winner only has to pay second higher bid. Seems combinhitive from pospedire of the seller One major advalage: Claim: Bidding b(i) v(i) is a wealty Chaminal shoupy here. " Vidwey hulh sorm" Execu

(4) Pevere opivolera heorem:

Bolh sidie types pre the some expedied revere to the selle.

(5) This theory is huperly imported as the optimal obsign of advos (e.p. advos a electromagnetic spector)

adios (e.p. adies & electromagnetic spectus)

Note (prizes: (*) Victorey (1996)

(*) Libon & Thip ran (2020)

(A) CHOOL & Proprose