Machine Learning

Answer Sheet for Homework 3

Da-Min HUANG

R04942045

Graduate Institute of Communication Engineering, National Taiwan University

November 1, 2015

Problem 1

Set $\sigma = 0.1$ and d = 8, then we can rewrite the formula to be

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}\left[E_{\text{in}}\left(\mathbf{w}_{\text{lin}}\right)\right] = 0.01\left(1 - \frac{9}{N}\right) > 0.008 \Rightarrow 0.2 > \frac{9}{N} \Rightarrow N > 45$$
 (1)

Problem 2

- (a) \mathbf{H} is positive semi-definite \Leftrightarrow All eigenvalues is non-negative. Refer to choice (c), we have shown the properties.
- (b) Consider $\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X} \left(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2)

which has no inverse.

Also, consider the physical meaning of \mathbf{H} . The inverse of projection is not injective, which implies that \mathbf{H} is not always invertible.

(c) Refer to choice (e), we have $\mathbf{H}^2 = \mathbf{H}$. Suppose λ is the eigenvalue of some non-zero vector \vec{v} ,

$$\mathbf{H}\vec{v} = \lambda \vec{v} = \mathbf{H}^2 \vec{v} = \mathbf{H} (\lambda \vec{v}) = \lambda (\mathbf{H}\vec{v}) = \lambda^2 \vec{v} \Rightarrow \lambda^2 = \lambda$$
 (3)

Hence, the possible results of λ is 1 or 0.

- (d) Consider the physical meaning of **H**. Since there are d features, so at least d + 1 (since the feature vector contains x_0 term) eigenvalues are 1.
- (e) By the definition of **H**, we have

$$\mathbf{H}^{2} = \left(\mathbf{X} \left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T}\right) \left(\mathbf{X} \left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{T}\right)$$
(4)

$$= \mathbf{X} \left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \left(\left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \right) \left(\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \right) \mathbf{X}^{T}$$
 (5)

$$= \mathbf{X} \left(\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{H} \tag{6}$$

So

$$\mathbf{H}^2 = \mathbf{H} \Rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{1126} = \mathbf{H} \tag{7}$$

Problem 3

If sign $(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) \neq y$, then $y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} < 0$ since the sign of y and $\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}$ are different. Similarly, if sign $(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) = y$, then $y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} \geq 0$.

Claim: $(\max(0, 1 - y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}))^2$ is an upper bound.

Proof of claim:

Consider the following cases.

- 1. $\left[\operatorname{sign}\left(\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}\right) \neq y\right] = 0$ Then $y\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x} \geq 0$. Hence $\left(\max\left(0, 1 - y\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}\right)\right)^{2} \geq 0$, which bounds $\left[\operatorname{sign}\left(\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}\right) \neq y\right]$.
- 2. $\left[\operatorname{sign}\left(\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}\right) \neq y\right] = 1$ Then $y\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x} < 0$. Hence $\left(\max\left(0, 1 - y\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}\right)\right)^{2} = \left(1 - y\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}\right)^{2} > 1$, which bounds $\left[\operatorname{sign}\left(\mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{x}\right) \neq y\right]$.

Set $y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} := z$. Consider $\max(0, -y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) = \max(0, -z) := f(z)$. We have f(z) = -z if $z \le 0$, else f(z) = 0. So

$$\lim_{z \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z - 0} = \frac{-z - 0}{z - 0} = -1, \quad \lim_{z \to 0^{+}} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z - 0} = \frac{0 - 0}{z - 0} = 0 \tag{8}$$

Hence, f(z) is not differentiable at z = 0.

Problem 5

<u>Calim</u>: $\max (0, -y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$ results in PLA.

Proof of claim:

Consider following cases.

1. $y = \text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x})$. Then we have $y \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} > 0$. So

$$\max\left(0, -y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}\right) = 0\tag{9}$$

2. $y \neq \text{sign}(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x})$. Then we have $y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} < 0$. So

$$\max (0, -y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) = -y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x} \Rightarrow -\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \max (0, -y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}) = y\mathbf{x}$$
 (10)

Problem 6

$$\nabla E(0,0) = \left. \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial E}{\partial v} \right) \right|_{(0,0)} \tag{11}$$

$$= \left(e^{u} + ve^{uv} + 2u - 2v - 3, 2e^{2v} + ue^{uv} - 2u + 4v - 2\right)\Big|_{(0,0)}$$
 (12)

$$= (-2,0) \tag{13}$$

$$(u_1, v_1) = (0, 0) - 0.01 \nabla E(0, 0) = (0.02, 0)$$
(14)

$$(u_2, v_2) = (0.02, 0) - 0.01 \nabla E(0.02, 0) \approx (0.039398, 0.0002)$$
 (15)

$$(u_3, v_3) \approx (0.039398, 0.0002) - 0.01\nabla E(0.039398, 0.0002)$$
 (16)

$$\approx (0.0582102, 0.000577975) \tag{17}$$

$$(u_4, v_4) \approx (0.0764524, 0.00111381)$$
 (18)

$$(u_5, v_5) \approx (0.09414, 0.00178911)$$
 (19)

$$E(u_5, v_5) \approx 2.825$$
 (20)

Problem 8

$$\nabla E(0,0) = \left(\frac{\partial E}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial E}{\partial v}\right) \tag{21}$$

$$= (e^{u} + ve^{uv} + 2u - 2v - 3, 2e^{2v} + ue^{uv} - 2u + 4v - 2)$$
(22)

From this we compute the Hessian matrix

$$\nabla^{2}E(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{u} + v^{2}e^{uv} + 2 & (uv+1)e^{uv} - 2\\ (uv+1)e^{uv} - 2 & 4e^{2v} + u^{2}e^{uv} + 4 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (23)

So

$$\hat{E}\left(\Delta u, \Delta v\right) = E\left(0, 0\right) + \nabla E\left(0, 0\right) \cdot \left(\Delta u, \Delta v\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta u, \Delta v\right) \nabla^{2} E\left(0, 0\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{array}\right)$$
(24)

$$= 3 - 2\Delta u + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta u, \Delta v) \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{pmatrix}$$
 (25)

$$= \frac{3}{2} (\Delta u)^{2} + 4 (\Delta v)^{2} - \Delta u \Delta v - 2\Delta u + 0\Delta v + 3$$
 (26)

Problem 9

Claim: $-(\nabla^2 E(u,v))^{-1} \nabla E(u,v)$ is the Newton direction.

Proof of claim:

$$\frac{\partial \hat{E}\left(\Delta u, \Delta v\right)}{\partial \left(\Delta u, \Delta v\right)} = \nabla E\left(u, v\right) + \nabla^{2} E\left(u, v\right) \left(\Delta u, \Delta v\right) = 0 \tag{27}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\Delta u, \Delta v) = -\left(\nabla^2 E(u, v)\right)^{-1} \nabla E(u, v) \tag{28}$$

$$(u_1, v_1) \approx (0.695652173913, 0.0869565217391)$$
 (29)

$$(u_2, v_2) \approx (0.613762221112, 0.0711078990173)$$
 (30)

$$(u_3, v_3) \approx (0.611812859879, 0.0705000613365)$$
 (31)

$$(u_4, v_4) \approx (0.611811717261, 0.0704995471019)$$
 (32)

$$(u_5, v_5) \approx (0.61181171726, 0.0704995471016)$$
 (33)

$$E(u_5, v_5) \approx 2.36082334564 \tag{34}$$

This equals to the value of Problem 7 after 746 updates.

Problem 11

Write a program Q11.py to test, $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{x}_6\}$ is the biggest subset that can be shattered by the union of quadratic, linear, or constant hypotheses of \mathbf{x} .

Problem 12

By the transform, we have $(\Phi(\mathbf{x}))_i = z_i = (0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{i\text{-th term}}, \dots, 0)$. To shatter the original

N points, we can assign w_i to be positive or negative to get $\mathbf{x}_i \circ \text{or } \times$.

So, this transform shatter any N points. Hence $d_{vc}(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi}) = \infty$.

Problem 13

The average $E_{\rm in}$ is 0.503979.

The returned $\mathbf{w}_{\text{Lin}} = (-1.00134023, 0.075299620.01237623, 0.0812999, 1.69273348, 1.53664765).$

Problem 15

The average $E_{\text{out}} = 0.127198$.

Problem 16

Sum the minimized negative log likelihood h_y , which is $\min_y (-\ln{(h_y)})$, we have

$$E_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(-\ln \left(\frac{\exp \left(\mathbf{w}_{y_n}^T \mathbf{x}_n \right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \exp \left(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x}_n \right)} \right) \right)$$
(35)

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} \exp \left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right) \right) - \mathbf{w}_{y_{n}}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right)$$
(36)

Problem 17

$$\frac{\partial E_{\text{in}}}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_i} \left(\ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{K} \exp \left(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x}_n \right) \right) - \mathbf{w}_{y_n}^T \mathbf{x}_n \right)$$
(37)

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \exp\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} \exp\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{n}\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{i}} \mathbf{w}_{y_{n}}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{n} \right)$$
(38)

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\exp\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \exp\left(\mathbf{w}_{i}^{T} \mathbf{x}_{n}\right)} \mathbf{x}_{n} - \left[y_{n} = i \right] \mathbf{x}_{n} \right)$$
(39)

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(h_i \left(\mathbf{x}_n \right) - \left[\left[y_n = i \right] \right] \right) \mathbf{x}_n \tag{40}$$

6

The $E_{\text{out}} = 0.475$.

Problem 19

The $E_{\text{out}} = 0.220$.

Problem 20

The $E_{\text{out}} = 0.473$.

Reference

[1] Lecture Notes by Hsuan-Tien LIN, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan.