```
1.1.1. Signosial
       x->hi -> ··· -> hn -> y.
                                                 if n=1. Y= 6(w1x+b1)=6(x+b1)
       hi= 6(wix+b)
                           M= W2 = ... = Wn= 1
                                                        1 = 6(x+b) (+ 6(x+b))
       h2= 6(N2h +b2)
                                                       · his a signification or hir . his max whan x=1
      y = 6(Nunh n-1+bn-1)
                                                    · 0 = 10/18 = = 1 hi1 = 4
                                               Jorn=i. y=6(hi-1+bi-1)=hi.
                                                        18/4 = 6(hi-+bi-1)(1-6(hi-+bi-1)). hi-1
                                                  0 = 10/1/x | = 10/1/x | = 7/6/
                                          => By induction => 0 = |dy/x= | other = (++)"
    For thys. we have o = lthys = 14
        => | dhu/ = | dhu/ = dh/ => 0 = dhu/ = (1/4) as n >0. (1/4) 1-1 o
              lim 0 = lim | dhy | = lim (1/4)" => 0 = lim | dhy | = 0
                  => lim | thm/ |= 0 => The gradient vanishes for signoid acrivation
1.1.2. Tanh.
       x->hi->h2...->hn->y. Jhy/x=1-tanh2(x).
      h_1 = tanh(x+b_1)
                                -1< tanh176) <1
                               => 0 = tanh(x) <1
      y = tanh(hn-1+bn-1)
                            0 < |dhy/x) < 1
                            n=i dhi/jx=hi/(1-tanh2(hi-1x+bi-1))
                             0 < 1 dhists = his
                            By induction
```

 $\Rightarrow 0 < |\frac{dy}{dx}| \le h_1' \Rightarrow 0 < |\frac{dy}{dx}| \le 1$   $0 < |\frac{dhy}{dx}| = |\frac{dhy}{dx} \cdot \frac{dx}{dx}| \le 1$ 

=7 The gradient does not vanish or explode for Tanh function.

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bn}}\right) = \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\right) \\ & \leq \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\right) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}\right) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\mathcal{L}_{bnork}\right) + \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\mathcal{L}_{bnork}\right) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\mathcal{L}_{bnork}\right) + \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\mathcal{L}_{bnork}\right) + \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\mathcal{L}_{bnork}\right) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}\right) + \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\mathcal{L}_{bnork}\right) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}\right) + \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}\right) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{bnork}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{bnork}}$ 

we know that (from 1-2-1)

Smin ( 3th) > 1- 6smoil

6max ( 2th) > 6hig-1

and | 6 small << 1

Sime General << 1

=> 6min (d2/Jz1) > 1, is bounded, cluse to 1. Not explode/vanish.

6max (2/21) = 6max (2/2/12-1) ... 6max (2/2/21) > (6my-1) -1

Sme 66.4 772

64y-13)1

(buy-1)"-1 ~> 00 => 6morx (dZn/jz1) -> 10. not bounded, explodes.

1.3.2.

The first model on the left is fawwed

Because for it ith layer and it th:

ブレースドH(1+ が). this model is more stable with a "1" in it,

to arti-vanishing to 0.

2.2.1

O(wHdK)

2.2.2.

0(01)

O(WHdk) ا، ډ.د

0 }k

2.3.3.

Pixel CNN

Computational Nemony.

weight: 4ktd => Ockd)

MDRNN

Linear in number of cluten points and the number of network waysts.

weight: (2+2d) dk2w17 =)  $O(whdk^2)$ 

Compositional a connections. O(wHolk!)

O(WHOLE)

Parallelism.

O(04). parallel in each layer

Social in each longer.

Size of Context window.

al dota unmasked.

odj 2 hidden units.

Conclusion: With similar computational task, pixel CNN is more suitable in paradersm, with less computation memory needed and larger size of context window; while MDENN may captive more sequential relationships between data.