



# Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

*Cyfrin.io*

November 24, 2024

# Protocol Audit Report

Anon :3

March 7, 2023

Prepared by: Anon :3 Lead Auditors: - xxxxxxxx

## Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details -Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
- High
- Informational

## Protocol Summary

The protocol PasswordStorage is a protocol thats designed to store a password on-chain, to be retrieved by the owner anytime they want, It's designed so that only the owner is able to access that password.

## Disclaimer

The YOUR\_NAME\_HERE team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

## Risk Classification

|            |        | Impact |        |     |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
|            |        | High   | Medium | Low |
| Likelihood | High   | H      | H/M    | M   |
|            | Medium | H/M    | M      | M/L |
|            | Low    | M      | M/L    | L   |

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

## Audit Details

” 2e8f81e263b3a9d18fab4fb5c46805ffc10a9990 ”

## Scope

```
1 ./src/  
2 #-- PasswordStore.sol
```

## Roles

- Owner: The user who can set and retrieve a password
- Outsider: Any other users who will not be able to set or retrieve a password set by the owner

## Executive Summary

How it went?

### Issues found

| Severity | Number of Issues Found |
|----------|------------------------|
| High     | 2                      |
| Medium   | 0                      |
| Low      | 0                      |
| Info     | 1                      |
| Total    | 3                      |

## Findings

### High

#### [H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone and no longer private (Root cause + Impact)

**Description:** All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be read directly by anyone. The `PasswordStore::s_password` variable is intended to be private and only accessible by the `PasswordStore::getPassword` function. Only the owner can run

We show one such method of reading data off-chain below.

**Impact:** Anyone can read the private password, severely breaking the functionality of the protocol.

**Proof of Concept:** (Proof of code)

The below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain.

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract on the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. Get the storage, This will return everything stored in storage, which includes the password

```
1 cast storage <CONTRACT ADDRESS HERE> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

[illegible]

4. Grab the hex value of the password, and convert it into string

[illegible]

You will get output: myPassword

**Recommended Mitigation:** Due to this, overall architecture of the contract should be rethought, one could encrypt the password off-chain and store the encrypted password in storage, and it gets decrypted when owner gets the password off-chain, however, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

**[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword function has no access controls, meaning a non-owner can change the password (Root Cause + Impact)**

**Description:** The function `PasswordStore::setPassword` is set as an external function, and it does not have access control, However, the natspec of that function clearly states that *This function allows only the owner to set a new password*. wether or not the owner is calling the function.

```
1     function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
2 @>     // @audit - There are no access controls here
3         s_password = newPassword;
4         emit SetNetPassword();
5     }
```

**Impact:** Non-owner users can call the function `PasswordStore::setPassword`, and change the password, severely breaking the contracts intended functionality.

**Proof of Concept:** Add the following to the `PasswordStore.t.sol` test file.

Code

```
1     function testAnyOneCanSetPassword(address randomAddress) public{
2         vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
3         vm.prank(randomAddress);
4         string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
5         passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
6
7         vm.prank(owner);
8         string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
9         assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10    }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Add an access control conditional to the `setPassword` function.

```
1  if(msg.sender != s_owner){
2      revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3  }
```

## Informational

**[I-1] The PasswordStore : :getPassowrd natspec indicated a parameter that doesn't exist, causing the natspec to be wrong (Root Cause + Impact)**

### Description:

```
1     /*
2     * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3     @>    * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4     */
5     function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

natspec indicated a param `newPassword`, but the function signature is `getPassword()`, not `getPassword(string)`

**Impact:** The natspec is incorrect

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the incorrect natspec line.

```
1
2 -    * @param newPassword The new password to set.
```