

Context Free Languages

- Context Free Grammars
- Parsing Arithmetic Expression
- Removing λ -productions
- Normal forms

Context Free Grammar (CFG)

- Definition: A CFG, G , is a PSG $G=(N, T, P, S)$ with productions of the form $A \rightarrow \beta$, $A \in N$, $\beta \in (NUT)^*$.
- CFGs are used in defining the syntax of programming languages and in parsing arithmetic expressions.
- A language generated from CFG is called Context Free Language (CFL).
- Ex.
 - a) $S \rightarrow aB$
 $B \rightarrow bA|b$
 $A \rightarrow a$
 - b) $S \rightarrow aB|A$
 $A \rightarrow aA|a|CBA$
 $B \rightarrow \lambda$
 $C \rightarrow c$

CFG: cont'd

- Let G be a CFG, then $x \in L(G)$ iff $S \rightarrow^* x$ in zero or more steps over G .
- $x \in L(G)$ can as well be obtained from a **derivation tree** or **parse tree**. The root of the tree is S and x is the collection of leaves from left to right.
- **Left most derivation**: employs the reduction of the left most non-terminal
- **Right most derivation**: employs the reduction of the right most non-terminal

CFG: cont'd

- If a derivation of a string x has two different left most derivations, then the grammar is said to be **ambiguous**. Otherwise **unambiguous**.
(i.e. a grammar is ambiguous if it can produce more than one parse tree for a particular sentence).
- Ex.
 1. $G_1 = (N, T, P, S)$ with productions:
 $S \rightarrow AB$
 $A \rightarrow aA|a$
 $B \rightarrow bB|b$
let $x = aaabbb$
 - a) find a left most and right most derivations for x
 - b) draw the parse tree for x

CFG: cont'd

2. $G_2 = (N, T, P, S)$ with productions:

$S \rightarrow SbS | ScS | a$

let $x = abaca \in L(G_2)$

a) find a left most and right most derivations for x

b) draw the parse tree for x

3. Is G_1 ambiguous? Is G_2 ?

Parsing Arithmetic Expression

- Consider the following grammar:

$$E \rightarrow T \mid E + T \mid E - T$$

$$T \rightarrow F \mid T * F \mid T / F$$

$$F \rightarrow a \mid b \mid c \mid (E)$$

Draw parse trees for

a) $a*b+c$ b) $a+b*c$ c) $(a+b)*c$ d) $a-b-c$

Removing λ -productions

- Let G be a CFG and $A \rightarrow \alpha$, $A \in N$
 1. If $\alpha = \lambda$, then $A \rightarrow \alpha$ is a λ -production
 2. If $\alpha \neq \lambda$ for all productions, then G is λ -free
 3. If $A \Rightarrow^* \lambda$ in zero or more steps, then A is called **nullable** or λ -generating non-terminal
- Ex. Let $G=(N, T, P, S)$ be a CFG with productions:
 $S \rightarrow ABaC$
 $A \rightarrow B$
 $B \rightarrow b \mid \lambda$
 $C \rightarrow c \mid \lambda$
Find the non-terminals which are nullable.

Removing λ -productions: cont'd

- Let $G=(N, T, P, S)$ be a CFG with λ -productions. Construct $G'=(N, T, P', S)$, a λ -free CFG as follows:

1. Put all non λ -productions of P in P'
2. For all nullable non-terminals, put productions in P' by removing one or more nullable non-terminals on the right side productions.

Thus, $L(G) \setminus \{\lambda\} = L(G')$

Ex1: Construct G' for the previous example.

Ex2: Construct G' for a grammar G with productions:

$S \rightarrow aS \mid AB$

$A \rightarrow \lambda$

$B \rightarrow \lambda$

$D \rightarrow b$

Removing λ -productions: cont'd

- Theorem: For any CFG G , there exists a CFG G' with λ -free productions such that $L(G) \setminus \{\lambda\} = L(G')$.
- A production $A \rightarrow \alpha$ is called relevant/useful iff there exists a derivation of some $x \in L(G)$ that uses the production. Otherwise, it's called irrelevant/useless.

Ex. $S \rightarrow aSb \mid A \mid \lambda$
 $A \rightarrow aA \mid \lambda$

Normal Forms

- When the productions in a CFG G satisfy certain restrictions, G is said to be in a normal form.
- We'll see two normal forms: CNF and GNF

1. Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

- Let $G=(N, T, P, S)$ be a CFG and $A \rightarrow \alpha$ be a production of G .
 1. If $\alpha = B$, B in N , then $A \rightarrow \alpha$ is called a **Unit** production
 2. If $|\alpha|>1$ and there exists a terminal substring of α , then $A \rightarrow \alpha$ is called a **Secondary** production
 3. If α contains more than two non-terminals, then $A \rightarrow \alpha$ is called a **Tertiary** production

Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

- Definition: Let $G = (N, T, P, S)$ be a λ -free CFG, then G is said to be in CNF if all its productions are of the form:

$A \rightarrow BC$ where $A, B, C \in N$

OR

$A \rightarrow a, a \in T, A \in N$

Ex. G with productions

$S \rightarrow AB$

$A \rightarrow a$

$B \rightarrow b$

CNF: cont'd

- Theorem: Any λ -free CFL can be generated by a CFG in CNF.

proof:

Let $G = (N, T, P, S)$ be a λ -free grammar such that $L = L(G)$. Construct a grammar G which is in CNF.

Steps:

1. Replace all Unit productions as follows:
 - For any $A \in N$ on the LHS of the Unit production, denote $U(A)$ and non-Unit productions of A by $N(A)$
 - For each $A \in N$ and $U(A) \neq \emptyset$ replace $U(A)$ by $\{A \rightarrow \alpha \mid A \Rightarrow B \text{ in one or more steps, and } B \rightarrow \alpha \in N(B)\}$

CNF: cont'd

2. Replace all Secondary productions as follows:

For any $a \in T$, a substring of a Secondary production, replace a by A_a where A_a is a new non-terminal and $A_a \rightarrow a$.

3. Replace all Tertiary productions as follows:

If $A \rightarrow B_1 B_2 \dots B_m$, $m > 2$, then replace the production by:

$$A \rightarrow B_1 B_1'$$

$$B_1' \rightarrow B_2 B_2'$$

$$B_2' \rightarrow B_3 B_3'$$

...

$B_{m-2}' \rightarrow B_{m-1} B_m$, where B_1', \dots, B_{m-2}' are all unique new non-terminals that do not appear in any other production.

CNF: cont'd

- Ex. Convert the following grammars to CNF

1. Let G be a CFG with productions:

$$S \rightarrow A \mid ABA$$

$$A \rightarrow aA \mid a \mid B$$

$$B \rightarrow bB \mid b$$

2. Let G be a CFG with productions:

$$S \rightarrow aAD$$

$$A \rightarrow aB \mid bAB$$

$$B \rightarrow b$$

$$D \rightarrow d$$

Identification of non-CFLs

- Pumping lemma for CFLs
(Reading assignment)

Greibach Normal Form (GNF)

- Let $G=(N, T, P, S)$ be a λ -free CFG, then if all the productions of G are of the form
$$A \rightarrow a\alpha, A \in N, a \in T, \alpha \in N^*$$
then G is said to be in GNF
- Theorem G1: If $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 B \alpha_2$ is a production in a CFG G and $B \rightarrow \beta_1 | \beta_2 | \beta_3 | \dots | \beta_k$ are all productions with B on the LHS, then
$$A \rightarrow \alpha_1 B \alpha_2$$
can be replaced by
$$A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \beta_1 \alpha_2 | \alpha_1 \beta_2 \alpha_2 | \dots | \alpha_1 \beta_k \alpha_2$$
without affecting $L(G)$.

GNF: cont'd

- Theorem G2: If in a CFG there is a production $A \rightarrow A\alpha_1 \mid A\alpha_2 \mid \dots \mid A\alpha_n \mid \beta_1\beta_2\dots\beta_m$, such a production is called **left recursive**, and $A \rightarrow \beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\dots\beta_m$ are the remaining productions with A on the LHS.

Then an equivalent grammar can be constructed by introducing a new non-terminal, A' , and replacing all these productions by:

$$A \rightarrow \beta_1 | \beta_2 | \beta_3 | \dots | \beta_m | \beta_1 A' | \beta_2 A' | \dots | \beta_m A'$$

$$A' \rightarrow \alpha_1 | \alpha_2 | \dots | \alpha_n | \alpha_1 A' | \alpha_2 A' | \dots | \alpha_n A'$$

GNF: cont'd

- Theorem GNF: Any λ -free CFG G can be converted into a grammar in GNF.

Proof:

Let G be a λ -free CFG. To convert G into GNF use the steps below:

1. Convert G into CNF, G'
2. Rename the non-terminals in G' as A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m ($m \geq 1$)
3. Convert all the productions into $A_i \rightarrow a\alpha$ or $A_i \rightarrow A_j\alpha$ with $j > i$

To convert to the form $A_i \rightarrow A_j\alpha$ with $j > i$, do the following:

Substitute for A_j according to Theorem G1.

If there exist left recursive productions with A_i on the LHS, then introduce a new non-terminal A_i' and apply Theorem G2.

GNF: cont'd

4. After the 3rd step, the productions will be of the form
- i. $A_i \rightarrow A_j \alpha$, $j > i$, $\alpha \in (NUN')^*$ where N' stands for the new non-terminals A_i introduced.
 - ii. $A_i \rightarrow a\alpha$, $a \in T$, $\alpha \in (NUN')^*$ or
 - iii. $A_i' \rightarrow x\alpha$, $x \in (NUT)$, $\alpha \in (NUN')^*$

Replace (i) by using Theorem G1
(iii) by using Theorem G2

GNF: cont'd

Ex. Convert to GNF

1. Let G be with productions

$$S \rightarrow AB$$

$$A \rightarrow BS \mid b$$

$$B \rightarrow SA \mid a$$

2. Let G be with productions

$$A_1 \rightarrow A_2A_2 \mid a$$

$$A_2 \rightarrow A_1A_2 \mid b$$

Closure Properties of CFGs

- Theorem: CFGs are closed under:
 - a) Union
 - b) Concatenation
 - c) Kleen star(*)