

### Q1:

**Imperative:** Control flow is an explicit sequence of commands.

**Procedural:** Imperative programming organized around hierarchies of nested procedure calls.

**Functional:** Computation proceeds by (nested) function calls that avoid any global state mutation and through the definition of function composition.

**How does the procedural paradigm improve over the imperative paradigm? How does the functional paradigm improve over the procedural paradigm?**

The procedural paradigm improves over the imperative paradigm by:

- 1) adding layers of abstraction in the form of procedures.
- 2) procedures interact through well-defined contracts.
- 3) can encapsulate local variables.

The functional paradigm improves over the procedural paradigm by discouraging the use of shared state that help to do

- 1) testing
- 2) the concurrency be easier
- 3) formal verification.

### Q2:

The code :

function averageGradesOver60(grades: number[]) {

```
grades.filter(x=>x>60).reduce((curr,elem)=>curr+elem,0)/grades.filter(x=>x>60)
.reduce((curr,elem)=>curr+1,0)
}
```

### Q3:

- a)  $(x, y) \Rightarrow x.some(y) \rightarrow \langle T \rangle \{x:T[], y:(u:T) \Rightarrow \text{boolean}\} \Rightarrow \text{Boolean}$
- b)  $x \Rightarrow x.reduce((acc, cur) \Rightarrow acc + cur, 0) \rightarrow \_x: \text{number[]} \Rightarrow \text{number}$
- c)  $(x, y) \Rightarrow x ? y[0] : y[1] \rightarrow \langle T \rangle \{x:\text{Boolean}, y:T[]\} \Rightarrow T$
- d)  $(f, g) \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow f(g(x+1)) \rightarrow (f(z:\text{any}) \Rightarrow \text{any}, g(l:\text{number}) \Rightarrow \text{any}):\text{any} \Rightarrow (x:\text{number}):\text{any} \Rightarrow f(g(x+1)):\text{any}$

### Q4:

**Explain the concept of “abstraction barriers”?**

**Answer:** abstraction barriers isolate different levels of the system, and the implementer of the high - level system need not know about low-level details.