

Alex Havrilla

alumhavr

Hw 1

Presentation Problems: 4

---

### Question 1:

---

This is really regular value theorem

Let  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $n \geq 2$ .

**Claim:** For any regular  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  is a differentiable manifold.

Note  $x_j$  denotes the first  $j$  components of  $x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $F(x) = \alpha$ . Because  $Df(x) \neq 0$  by assumption we know there exist coordinate  $i$  with  $Df(x)_i \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality suppose this is the  $n$ th coordinate. The implicit function theorem tells us there exists open  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g_x : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t. for  $y \in U$ ,  $f(y_{n-1}, g_x(y_{n-1})) = \alpha$  with  $g_x$  continuous, differentiable and  $g_x(x_{n-1}) = x^n$ . Define  $\phi_x : U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \phi_x(U)$  via  $\phi_x(y) = (y, g_x(y))$ . Via sequential continuity we have this mapping is continuous under the subset topology. Note this is injective, so therefore bijective. Further the inverse  $\phi_x^{-1} : \phi_x(U) \rightarrow U$  via  $\phi_x^{-1}(y) = y_{n-1}$  a projection and hence continuous. This establishes a homeomorphism, and since  $x$  arbitrary, shows  $\mathcal{M}$  a manifold.

Finally we check that  $\phi^{-1} \circ \psi : \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is differentiable for overlapping domains. Compute  $\phi^{-1}(\psi(x)) = \phi((x, g(x))) = x$  ie. the identity. Clearly this is differentiable.

□

Note this directly generalizes via the Implicit Function Theorem to the case  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $m < n$  and the jacobian is of rank  $m$ .

**Claim:**  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  is orientable.

*Proof.* As computed above the composition of two overlapping maps is the identity. It has identity matrix as the jacobian and which has positive determinant and therefore orientable.

□

---

### Question 2:

---

**Claim:**  $O(n)$  is a differentiable manifold when viewed as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n^2}$

## HWHw 1

*Proof.* We prove this using the theorem proved above in the more general case  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{2}}$  defined via  $f(M) = M^T M$  (a function into the symmetric matrices). See that  $f(M) = I \iff M^T = M^{-1}$  ie. is orthogonal. So it suffices to show  $I$  is a regular value of  $f$ . To do so we show the jacobian of  $f$  has maximal rank when  $M$  orthogonal.

Examining the directional derivatives we have  $Df(M)V =$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(M + tV) - f(M)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{M^T M + tV^T V - M^T M}{t} =$$

The dimension of  $O(n)$  is then  $n^2 - \binom{n}{2} = n(n-1)/2$  since this is the dimension of the inverse projection.

□

---

### Question 3:

**Claim:** If  $v_\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_p \mathcal{M}$  is given in the chart  $(U, \phi)$ , as  $a^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(p) + \dots + a^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}(p)$  and in the chart  $(V, \psi)$  as  $b^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}(p) + \dots + b^n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}(p)$  satisfies

*Proof.* Recall  $a^i = \frac{\partial(\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)_i}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$  and  $b^i = \frac{\partial(\psi^{-1} \circ \gamma)_i}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$ . Then compute

$$\frac{\partial(\phi^{-1} \circ \gamma)_i}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{\partial(\phi^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \psi^{-1} \circ \gamma)_i}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = D\phi^{-1} \circ \psi_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma(0))} \frac{\partial(\psi^{-1} \circ \gamma)_i}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$$

Note that by definition the first term  $D\phi^{-1} \psi_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma(0))}$ . If we look at the  $i$ th component of the expression we find this to be  $a^i$ . Yet the  $i$ th component of  $\frac{\partial(\psi^{-1} \circ \gamma)_i}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$  is  $b^i$ . So the change of basis is given by the matrix  $D\phi^{-1} \psi_{\psi^{-1}(\gamma(0))}$ .

□

---

### Question 4:

\*\*\*I could present this one\*\*\*

**Claim:** Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a connected manifold and  $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $df = 0$  everywhere on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $f$  is constant function

*Proof.* If  $df = 0$  we have  $\forall p \in \mathcal{M} \ df|_p = 0$  ie.  $\forall v_\gamma \in \mathcal{T}_p \mathcal{M} \ df|_p[v] = 0$  or  $v_\gamma[f] = \frac{\partial f \circ \gamma}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} = 0$  for arbitrary curve  $\gamma$ .

Now consider arbitrary  $p \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\phi : U \rightarrow M$  be a chart with  $p \in \phi(U)$ . Without loss of generality take  $U$  to be convex. Select  $q \in \phi(U)$ . Consider the line connecting  $\phi^{-1}(p)$  to  $\phi^{-1}(q)$ . Then taking the image of this under  $\phi$  defines a curve  $\gamma$  with  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma(1) = q$  (note that if we have any issues with differentiability we can extend the curve by some small amount  $\epsilon$ ). We know  $\frac{\partial f \circ \gamma}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = 0$  but the parameterization of this curve is arbitrary, so we may simply reparameterize s.t. all along the curve we see  $\frac{\partial f \circ \gamma}{\partial t} = 0$ . This tells us  $f$  constant along the curve, and in particular  $f(p) = f(q)$ . But  $q$  was arbitrary so we see for all  $x, y \in \phi(U)$ ,  $f(x) = f(p) = f(y)$  so  $f$  is constant on  $\phi(U)$ .

Since this is true for arbitrary  $p$  we see  $f$  is constant in a neighborhood of every point  $p \in M$ . And then since  $M$  is connected,  $f$  must be constant on  $M$ , since otherwise we could find two open sets  $U$  and  $V$  disjoint but covering  $M$  by taking unions of neighborhoods of points with constant  $c_1$  and unions of neighborhoods of points with constant  $c_2$ .

□

---

### Question 5:

---

Try inverse function theorem when looking at inverse

**Claim:**  $f : P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  defined by  $f([x], [y], [z]) = (x^2 - y^2, xy, xz, yz)$ . Then  $F$  is an embedding

*Proof.* Recall  $f : P^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  is an embedding if  $F$  is a homeomorphism between its domain and image and  $df_p$  is injective for all  $p \in P^2$  ( $F$  is an immersion).

Take  $p \in P^2$  and compute  $dF_p(v_\gamma) = v_\gamma[f] = \sum a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}[f] = \sum a^i df_p[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}]$ . So to compute the injectivity of  $df_p$  it suffices to study the standard tangent basis vectors at  $p$ .

Set  $p = ([x], [y], [z])$  and compute  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}[f] = \frac{d}{dx^i} f \circ \phi|_{\phi^{-1}(p)} = \frac{d}{dx^i} f(p)$  which is just the  $x^i$  partial derivative of  $f$ . So we compute the jacobian

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2x & -2y & 0 \\ y & x & 0 \\ z & 0 & x \\ 0 & z & y \end{bmatrix}$$

For  $p \in P^2$  we see the column vectors are linearly independent. This shows injectivity and hence an immersion.

Now we show  $f$  a homeomorphism. Note if  $(x^2 - y^2, xy, xz, yz) = (a^2 - b^2, ab, ac, bc)$  then we know  $z^2 = c^2$  everything nonzero. This quickly yields injectivity in the nonzero case. If two components are 0 then injectivity is clear. If only one component is 0 then this is a contradiction. This establishes a bijection.

Continuity is clear from sequential convergence. Continuity of the inverse comes from the inverse value theorem,

□