

Research Log

April 11, 2021

1 12/26

1.1 Inequalities

2 12/29

2.1 Lambert Function Inequalities

`/home/alex/Desktop/Notes/Winter 2020/LatexForms/lambertinequalities.pdf`

3 1/7

I continue trying to find good inequalities for lambert.

3.1 Lambert

Def. Pochamer symbol is rising factorial

3.2 Tkocz's Algebraic Approach: type-L-bimod

Remark. Surprising $\mathbb{E}|\sum \sqrt{a_j}X_j|^p - \mathbb{E}|X_1|^p = C'_p P(q)$ is a polynomial

Question. Does the supremal gaussian case work out to a polynomial?

Answer. Yes this is the last part of the writeup.

Question. How do nonnegative coefficients reduce to $p=2$ case?

Answer. test

Question. What is $q^{(0)}$?

Answer. 1 and $q^{(1)} = q$

4 1/13

4.1 Easy Regime

If we require sum of coefficients $c = 1$ we can always write type L RV as sum of quadratic components (assuming it has appropriate gaussian weight).

Question. For quadratic case validity as a RV for some characteristic is determined by $0 < b < 1$ on $(1 - bt^2)$. Are there conditions for higher even orders powers? Example of type L rv which takes this form but cannot be written as sum of quadratics?

Question. Why does tkocz say we require coefficients to sum to 1 for type L?

5 1/24

Question. Constraints on type L density? Must calculate IFT of characteristic. Type L poly gauss density?

Question. Want to extend to results for characteristic $(1 - bx^2)e^{-x^2/2}$ for $0 < b < 1$ to type L random variables in some particular class.

Do sums of form of two b_1, b_2 satisfy a khintchine inequality

Question. Need to precisely understand scaling properties

Note densities of form are essentially rescalings ie. changes in variance of $(1 - x^2)e^{-x^2/2}$

Question. How to prove default khintchine inequality $p \geq 3$?

Question. Can we define an alternative class since FT of gaussian times hermite is still gaussian times hermite? Is the span of all nonnegative hermite polynomials all nonnegative polynomials?

Question. Can inequalities be extended to larger class via some kind of density argument? Is class dense in some larger class?

Also still lots of questions from discrete case to address. Could lead to results in continuous case via density?

Gaussian-polynomial densities seem closely related to hermite polynomials and solutions to schrodinger wave equation

6 Dump from OneNote

6.1 Examples of Type L

Example. Examples: *In general really must be working with reciprocal sequences since roots must be all on unit circle (even in positive symmetrized case) since constant is 1 which is product of roots

Arithmetic sequences and sums

Arbitrary Symmetrizations: 2.18 from thesis

(PIA 173): Symmetric rv with concave density

Arithmetic with strictly increasing probabilities

Arbitrary sequences of length 4 (Seq4)

Also some kind of result for strictly inc. measure from problems in analysis

Nonexamples:

Binomial Coefficients

Geometric Random variables

Complement Sequence: (0,1,3,7,9,10)

Not every sequence is symmetrizable: (1,7,9,11)

6.2 Sequence Testing

Self-Reciprocal real roots always come in pairs of four (if not real) If real pairs of two

Could also just look for integral which has roots on unit circle

We must be looking at palindromic polynomials (even in positive case) Since: Constant coefficient is 1 which is product of norms and inverses. So everything must be on unit circle, which implies palindromic.

Symmetric (up to shifting) iff palindromic Clearly palindromic implies symmetric. But goes other way (just shift palindrome to center) Odd sequences have mass at 0?

Moral: Mass should not be concentrated at middle, but instead at endpoints

TRYING TO FIND POLYS NOT PRODUCTS OF ARITHMETIC POLYS:

$1 + x^2 + x^3 + x^5 + x^{15} + x^{17} + x^{18} + x^{20}(x+1)^2(x^2+1)(x^2-x+1)^2(x^4-x^3+x^2-x+1)(x^8+x^7-x^5-x^4-x^3+x+1)$ Roots all on unit circle

Adding:

Breakers: But adding x, x^19 breaks it (most roots still on unit circle but some 3 reciprocals come off) x^4, x^{16} breaks it 6, 12
Jacking up coefficient on this really fucks with roots (completely off circle, very reciprocated)

Preservers: 4, 16+7, 13 works (this turns into +/- product of arithmetic sequences)

Removing:

Breakers: 2, 18 3, 17 5, 15

Preservers: Removing any pair results in a grouping of 4 (which we proved in many ways works)

Adding in 2 groups:

Breaks: 8, 12+9, 11 4, 16+9, 11 4, 16+6, 14 4, 16+8, 12 4, 16+10

Preserves: 4, 16+7, 13 (arithmetic product) 4, 16+1, 19 (not arithmetic product)

Maybe need to add terms in groups of 4? (like roots?) Should also try modulating coefficient of middle term (if even power, odd seq length) Should try to describe class of arithmetic sequences are convolution (what does this generate?) Corresponds to multiplication of arithmetic polynomials What do minuses represent? (On polynomials?) When does the middle term ($n/2$) not break things?

Some polynomials seem to arise as products of $-1/0/1$ polynomials Maybe this class should be studied

Dividing Out Roots of Unity:

6.3 Real Polys with all roots on unit circle

<https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b2d7/eac15216b322bca452ae07660d8f24a87a0a.pdf> Palindrome Polynomials with Roots on the Unit Circle

Every even degree $0/1$ polynomial has at least one root on unit circle

Note On Derivatives of $0/1$ Polynomials: Suppose P is derivative of Q where P is $0/1$ polynomial. If all the roots of Q are on the unit circle, then the roots of Q must also be on unit circle.

Maybe consider process of dividing out unimodular roots until none are left? Need to show each subsequent polynomial has another unimodular root. ?How to divide $0/1$ polynomial by unimodular root so result is still $0/1$ polynomial? How can we multiply a $0/1$ polynomial by unimodular roots to get a $0/1$ polynomial

Instead of finding the roots, start with the unimodular roots and see what classes of $0/1$ polynomials these create Products of polynomials with unimodular roots have unimodular roots

A polynomial is not $0/1$ if it has no unimodular roots

?When is a product of $0/1$ polynomials $0/1$? For all k there exists at most one way of summing to it (in sequence, one from each)

So if sequences are far apart in gap size If $g(X) = \text{smallest gap}$ Need $g(X) \asymp N$

Also this obviously holds for arithmetic polys Are certain products of roots of unity 0/1 polys?

This is all motivated by fact that every 0/1 poly has unit rootd

I might also try to take a more probabilistic perspective (less analysis oriented)

6.4 Zeros in Unit Disk

file:///C:/Users/Alex/Downloads/Acta_math – 2009.pdf *Polynomials with All zeros on unit circle*

A polynomial has unit roots iff i) Self inverse ii) P' has all roots on or in circle Basically Cohn's Theorem Note this is proved with Rouché's theorem

So just need to show P' has roots in unit circle

Tools for All roots in Unit Circle: Jury test and variants Enestromakeya <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1111111/prove-this-complex-polynomial-has-all-zeros-on-unit-circle>

Common Method of Proof: Chebyshev Transform

Sufficient condition (5) $—A_m + B|(k=1)^{(m1)}|B + A_k A_m|$ tells us we can have any two terms ($B=1$)

Polynomials with 0/1 Coefficients: <https://www.math.tamu.edu/~terdelyi/papers-online/CA.pdf>

A lot of work with bounding number of zeroes in unit circle or in some region Polygons in Unit circle Strips

Root Counting Stories: Polya investigates multiplicity of 0/1 polys roots at 1 Improved by schur Use Jensen type stuff

Cohn's Theorem: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/CohnNth_degree_reciprocal_poly has as many roots in open unit disk as reciprocal polynomial of its derivative

6.5 July 28,31

Written notes on polynomials with symmetric zeroes

6.6 August 3

Notes on Zeroes, mahler measure, looking for generators of type L via addition of random variables. Cyclotomic polynomials.

6.7 August 6

Looking at subset sum problems.

Look into Conway-Guy sequence Sum Packing Problem and Conway Guy: ams.org/journals/proc/1996-124-12/S0002-9939-96-03653-2/S0002-9939-96-03653-2.pdf <https://oeis.org/A005318> a construction for sets of integers with distinct subset sums file:///C:/Users/Alex/Downloads/1341-PDF Suggests methods for generating subset sum distinct sequences using Conway Guy Sequence algo

Any n-sequence generate a Type-L sequence: need reverse characterization. When is a sequence(prob distribution) writable as subset sum: Seq. needs to be length 2^n , *or can be extended? Always possible to extend sequence*

?Approximate inequalities as a result of "almost type L" sequences? Generated by approximation algorithms? Probabilistic inequality: holds with some probability

Probabilistically subset summing is adding together n bernoulli random variables with 1/2 masses. Class doesn't seem that interesting: Just leads to variations of binomial distributions Subset summing really not that interesting since it all follows from type L closed under addition ?To extract useful characterization need characterization of subset sum decomposable sequences)?

Polya's Problems in Analysis:

7 August 17 and After

Looking at fourier zeroes

8 1/25

8.1 Questions for Tkocz

Question. Representation of gaussian as infinite product? All I can find is

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327057578_The_Exponential_Function_and_its_Infinite_Product

Perhaps something to be done using the gamma function(which has an infinite product) and stirling approximation? Or sin or something.

Question. Can we always assume roots sum to 1? Why?

8.2 Finding Higher Order Atoms

If any higher order atoms do exist they must have sum of roots higher than variance of gaussian. since otherwise we can factor their characteristic in a way which is a sum of two lower orders. So with normalization larger than 1.

Nonexamples(which are not random variables):

- IFT of $(1 - x^2)(1 - 4x^2)e^{-x^2/2}$ yields $e^{-y^2/2}(8 - 19y^2 + 4y^4)$ which is not nonnegative.

Nonexamples(which are type L but sums of lower orders):

Examples(characteristic poly factors symmetrically):

- IFT of $e^{-x^2/2}(3 - 6x^2 + x^4) = e^{-x^2/2}(y^2 - \sqrt{6} - 3)(y^2 + \sqrt{6} - 3)$ is $e^{-y^2/2}y^4$
- IFT of $e^{-x^2/2}(-15 + 45x^2 - 15x^4 + x^6)$ is $e^{-y^2/2}y^6$

Seems to be difficult to find higher order atoms.

More generally for densities of the form $e^{-x^2/2}x^{2n}$ we have the Fourier transform as function of some hypergeometric thing.

$$2^{n+1}\Gamma[1/2 + n]\text{HypergeometricF1}[1/2 + n, 1/2, -y^2/2]$$

Interesting. Could be densities of form $e^{-x^2/2}x^{2n}$ for backbone form atoms? Extremal cases?

Fourier transform of even real valued function is even real valued.

Question. Is every even gaussian poly density type L? Earlier I thought type L densities closed under FT. Is this at least true for this class?

Note if the density is not even then it cannot possibly be type L.

Answer. no not every even gaussian poly density is type L because we know the form of the characteristic explicitly(poly times gaussian and even). how do we know roots are real?

But of course the IFT of arbitrary even characteristic is not necessarily density.

However class not closed under FT cause characteristics not necessarily nonnegative. More accurate to say gaussian times poly form closed under FT.

Question. How do the roots grow for $x^{2n}e^{-x^2/2}$?

9 2/4

None

10 2/5

None

11 2/6

Set $I_n(y) = \mathcal{F}_+(x^n e^{-x^2/2}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n e^{-x^2/2} e^{-ixy} dx$. From integration by parts we can obtain $I_n(y) = (n-1)I_{n-2}(y) - iyI_{n-1}$

12 2/7

TAG: Research

12.1 Definitions

Def. Hypergeometric ${}_1F_1[a, b, z] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(b)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}$

12.2 Investigating Extremal powers larger than 2

Set $I_n(y) = \mathcal{F}_+(x^n e^{-x^2/2}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^n e^{-x^2/2} e^{-ixy} dx$. From integration by parts we can obtain $I_n(y) = (n-1)I_{n-2}(y) - iyI_{n-1}$

On fourier transforms of this type:

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/108633/fourier-transform-of-gaussian-times-polynomial>

We know

$$I_{n+1}(y) = iI'_n(y)$$

Question. How does interpolation of higher order densities work? Can we get khintchine inequalities for them?

13 2/14

We aim to show the low end inequality and investigate inequalities for higher order atoms

14 2/23**14.1 Schnectman Paper**

<https://drive.google.com/drive/u/1/folders/10K00kTe4mmz6BoTzghSFyulaqNEpw1ML>

Def. p -concavity constant of banach lattice L : Smallest C for which

$$\left(\sum_i^n \|x_i\|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C \left\|\left(\sum_i^n |x_i|^p\right)\right\|^{1/p}$$

15 3/7

1. Research
2. Thesis - finish draft
3. Finish complex

16 3/14

Open Questions

Theorem 5 from our paper but for $(2, 3)$.

New Documents

`smallp.tex`

16.1 Extremal Slabs in the cube and the laplace transform

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001870802000555>

Relevant theorems:

1. Theorem 7
2. Theorem 8
3. Section 4; Zeroes of entire functions and log-concavity
4. Theorem 13

Theorem 1. *Theorem 13 on the log concavity of $x^{1/p}$*

Proof. Again uses the special structure of the roots to algebraically manipulate the product. So perhaps if we impose more constraints (on the roots) on the type L random variables then we get more mileage? Want to find a nice class.

Their condition on roots so weird. What does this look like geometrically?

log concavity of $1/p$ comes from $1/2$ (limiting case).

Structural: Rewrite product as sub-products of nice functions and keep closedness under product (log-concavity close under product since concavity closed under sum).

This could suggest some kind of structural relaxation. □

16.2 Two Remarks on 1-Unconditional Basic Sequences in L_p

https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-0348-9090-8_21

Two Remarks on 1-Unconditional Basic Sequences in L_p , $3 \leq p < \infty$: schectman

On 1-unconditional sequences bases:

<https://www.ams.org/journals/proc/1999-127-02/S0002-9939-99-04638-9/S0002-9939-99-04638-9.pdf>

Prop 1. *Via khintchine we have for every 1-unconditional basic sequence, $\{e_n\}$ in L_p with $2 < p < \infty$:*

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n e_n \right\|_p \leq K_p \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Prop 2. *The p -concavity constant of the rademacher sequence in L_p is 1*

16.3 Meeting 3/15

If seq of taylor coefficients log concave then function log concave. Is there a relaxation? Theorem 11 of paper. If roots in regions outside i then are coefficients still log concave (of taylor series).

Want to come up with simple proof in hard regime if we restrict $2 < p < 3$ by restricting roots. Is there any structure that can be gotten from building up from ϵ .

16.4 3/22

Papers with good review of history:

<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1912.13345.pdf>

file:///tmp/mozilla_alex0/baernstein-Culverhouse.pdf

Missing distributions:

1. exponential family : see our first paper
2. spectral properties : see our first paper

16.5 3/29

Agenda for meeting:

- Talk about excess committee members and discuss scheduling.
- Rewview thesis
- Discuss conv. in expectation proof. How much basic measure theory should be reviewed? I can't do everything

Thesis target week: May 3 to May 7. About an hour slot. Aim for 30 - 45.

Can quote and state results from textbooks. Maybe attach proofs in appendix.

Thesis Notes:

Commit to one definition style, I think just won't use backslash def. When quoting a well known theorem give citation in theorem as well. Put in square brackets optional statement citing nayar and oleskiewicz

Specify euclidean norm. Which norm is being used. Could also just present things for real valued random variables. Take things $n=1$ in ultra subgaussian case. Then throw in remark treating stuff for vectors. Summarize the key idea reduce to one dimensional.

Don't be informal: example note this is a la 12. Be explicit when I say a la and draw connections. Cite lemmas. Be as explicit as possible.

Write generous introduction but don't have to be specific. For proofs can just focus on one direction.

Maybe cut bernstein culverhouse. Make sure to be thorough in sections I mean to be thorough in. Make sure to say X is symmetric if type L. Dont' say things like clearly. Just make sure not to be imprecise is the main thing. Read very carefully and take time(Tkocz takes much time).

Make sure to include citations in theorem

17 4/6

Ago says need to motivate inequalities in intro which is fair.

align vs. equation. equation squeezes math vertically. Equation only good for one line

<https://tex.stackexchange.com/questions/321/align-vs-equation>

18 4/11

$$||S|| - ||S||$$