

Alex Havrilla

Hw 7

Question 1:

Take $\mathcal{H} = L^2_{per}$ and let $Bf(x) = \int_{-\pi/2}^x f(x)dx$. By arzela ascoli this is a compact operator. Then consider the sequence $A_nf(x) = 1_{(-1/n, 1/n)}f(x)$ (with $A_nf(0) = 0$). It is linear and bounded (will not increase L^2 norm) and clearly converging pointwise (and thus strongly) to 0. However it is not converging to 0 in norm as each of the operator norms of A_n are at least 1 if we consider functions whose support is inside $(-1/n, 1/n)$. Further $\|BA_n\|$ are also at least 1 if we consider exponential functions with support inside $(-1/n, 1/n)$. Hence BA_n do not converge in norm to 0.

Question 2:

a)

Let $U : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ strongly differentiable at $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Claim: $x \rightarrow Ax$ is linear and continuous

Proof. Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then Clearly $Ax = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(t+h)x - U(t)x}{h}$ is linear given the linearity of limits and $U(t+h), U(t)$. We show it is bounded.

Compute

$$\left\| \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(t+h)x - U(t)x}{h} \right\| \leq \left\| \frac{U(t+h) - U(t)}{h} \right\| \|x\| \leq Mx$$

where $M = \sup_{h>0} \left\| \frac{U(t+h) - U(t)}{h} \right\|$ which we are guaranteed is finite via the uniform boundedness principle (since the limit exists for each fixed x). This shows boundedness and hence continuity.

□

b)

Define $U : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(l_1)$ via $U(t)x = (e^{int}x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then U is strongly continuous but not strongly differentiable.

Proof. First we show strong continuity. Fix $x \in X$. Then we show $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} x_t = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} U(t)x = x$ in norm on X . Let $\epsilon > 0$. Compute

$$\|x_t - x\|_{l^1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |e^{int} x_k - x_k|$$

Pick N s.t. the tail after N is less than $\epsilon/4$. Because the map $t_n \rightarrow e^{int}$ is continuous for fixed n we may find t s.t. $|e^{int} - 1| < \epsilon/(2|x_n|N)$. Set $T = \min(t_1, \dots, t_N)$. Then for $t \geq T$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |e^{int} x_k - x_k| + \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |e^{int} x_k - x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^N |e^{int} x_k - x_k| + 2\epsilon/4 =$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |e^{int} - 1| |x_k| + 2\epsilon/4 < \sum_{k=1}^N \epsilon/(2|x_k|N) |x_k| + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$$

which shows strong continuity since ϵ and x arbitrary.

However it is not strongly differentiable as when we look at the limit for arbitrary $x \in l^1$:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{U(t+h)x - U(t)x}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{e^{inh} e^{int} x - e^{int} x}{h} \right)_n = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{e^{inh} - 1}{h} e^{int} x \right)_n$$

Compute

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{inh} - 1}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} in e^{inh}$$

which will blow up as n gets large, ie. the limit may not be l^1 .

□

Question 3:

Let \mathcal{H} Hilbert and $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Suppose λ is in the essential spectrum of A .

Claim: If $B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ compact then λ in the essential spectrum of $A + B$.

Proof. Because λ in the essential specturm of A we know $\exists \{u_n\} \subseteq H$ s.t. $\|(\lambda I - A)u_n\|$ and $\{u_n\}$ orthonormal. Then $\{u_n\}$ and $\{Bu_n\}$ therefore has convergent subsequence $\{Bu_{n_k}\}$ since B compact and $\{u_n\}$ bounded. Then consider

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\lambda I - A - B)u_{n_k}\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(\lambda I - A)u_{n_k}\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Bu_{n_k}\|$$

However we know the first term goes to 0 by assumption as it is a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$. It suffices to show $Bu_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ (in norm). But this is implied by $Bu_n \rightarrow 0$ (in norm) since B is a compact operator and $u_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly. Hence we are done. □

Question 4:

Claim: Let X, Y, Z three nested banach spaces. Let $I : X \rightarrow Y$ a compact identity and $J : Y \rightarrow Z$ a continuous identity embedding. Then $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists C_\epsilon \geq 0$ s.t.

$$\|u\|_Y \leq \epsilon \|u\|_X + C_\epsilon \|u\|_Z, \forall u \in X$$

Proof. Assume for sake of contradiction $\exists \epsilon > 0$ s.t. $\forall C \geq 0 \exists u \in X$ with

$$\|u\|_Y > \epsilon \|u\|_X + C \|u\|_Z$$

Consider the sequence $C_n = n$ which generates $\{u_n\} \subseteq X$ satisfying the above for $C = C_n$.

First suppose $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in norm. Because I compact we have a convergent subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ in Y with $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ for some u . Further by continuity we also have $u_{n_k} \rightarrow u$ in Z . So as we send $n \rightarrow \infty$ we must have the inequality

$$\|u\|_Y > C\|u\|_Z$$

for all $C \geq 0$ which is clearly not possible. So it cannot be that $\{u_n\}$ bounded.

Consider $J^{-1} : Im(J) \subseteq Z \rightarrow Y$. We know $J : Y \rightarrow Im(J)$ is a bdd. bijection and hence J^{-1} is bdd. In particular this tells us for all $y \in Y, z_y = J(y)$,

$$\|y\|_Y = \|J^{-1}z_y\|_Y \leq \|J^{-1}\|_{op}\|z_y\|_Z = \|J^{-1}\|_{op}\|y\|_Z$$

So then for $C > \|J^{-1}\|_{op}$ we cannot have

$$\|u\|_Y > \epsilon\|u\|_X + C\|u\|_Z$$

for any u . A contradiction.

Thus the statement holds. □

We now use it to show $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists C_\epsilon \geq 0$ s.t.

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)| \leq \epsilon \max_{x \in [0,1]} |u'(x)| + C_\epsilon \int_0^1 |u(y)| dy, \forall u \in C^1([0,1])$$

Proof. Take $X = C^1([0,1])$, $Y = C^0([0,1])$ and $Z = L^1([0,1])$. Clearly $X \subseteq Y \subseteq Z$. With $\|u\|_X = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)| + \max_{x \in [0,1]} |u'(x)|$, $\|u\|_Y = \max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)|$ and $\|u\|_Z = \int_0^1 |u(x)| dx$. Then to show the statement suffices to show the embedding $I : X \rightarrow Y$ compact and $J : Y \rightarrow Z$ continuous.

Note that $I : X \rightarrow Y$ compact by arzela ascoli as if $\{u_n\}$ bounded in X then it is bounded in Y and furthermore equicontinuous as we have a bound on its derivative.

$J : Y \rightarrow Z$ is bounded (and hence continuous) via $\int_0^1 |u(y)| dy \leq \max_{x \in [0,1]} |u(x)| = \|u\|_Y$

□

Question 5:

Let A be a bounded self-adjoint operator on Hilbert \mathcal{H} and set

$$M = \sup\{|(u, Au)| : u \in \mathcal{H}, \|u\| = 1\}$$

Claim: $M = \|A\|$

Proof. First note for unit $u \in \mathcal{H}$

$$|(u, Au)| \leq \|Au\| \|u\| \leq \|A\| \|u\|^2 = \|A\|$$

so $M \leq \|A\|$. We now show $\|A\| \leq M$.

Note that if $|(v, Au)| \leq M$ for arbitrary $\|v\| = \|u\| = 1$ then take for arbitrary u with $\|u\| = 1$

$$\|Au\| = \frac{(Au, Au)}{\|Au\|} = |(\frac{Au}{\|Au\|}, Au)| \leq M \implies \|A\| \leq M$$

so it suffices to show the hypothesis. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} |(v, Au)| &= \sqrt{(\operatorname{Re}(v, Au))^2 + (\operatorname{Im}(v, Au))^2} \leq |\operatorname{Re}(v, Au)| + |\operatorname{Im}(v, Au)| = \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{4}[(v+u, A(v+u)) - (v-u, A(v-u))] \right| + \left| \frac{1}{4}[(v+u, iA(v+u)) - (v-u, iA(v-u))] \right| \leq \\ &= \frac{1}{4}[M\|v+u\|^2 + M\|v-u\|^2 + M\|v+u\|^2 + M\|v-u\|^2] = M(\|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2)/2 \end{aligned}$$

as desired

□