

Consider the monotone strictly increasing sequence of nonnegative numbers $X = (x_i)_{i=1}^L$, $L \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider the exponential sum defined by $S_X(z) = \sum_{n=1}^L e^{x_n z}$ and consider its zeroes.

1 On Concavity of Geometric Sequence

This argument needs some small fixing (I misplaced some terms in the computation) but the core idea should still work: show concavity of $F(t) = \log(t \sum e^{kt})$ by looking at level sets of second derivative of $\log(\sum e^{kt})$ and bounding decay via integral approximation. Lots of annoying algebra. But clearly exponentially decaying.

2 On Imaginary Zeroes of Arithmetic Progressions and Symmetries

Theorem 1. *Let the sequence X above be an arbitrary arithmetic progression, ie. of the form $x_1 = d, x_2 = d + c, \dots, x_L = d + (L-1)c$ for arbitrary $d \in \mathbb{R}, c > 0$. Then $S_X(z)$ has zeroes only on the imaginary axis.*

Proof. Write

$$S_X(z) = \sum_{n=1}^L e^{x_n z} = 0 \iff e^{x_1 z} \left(\sum_{n=1}^L e^{(x_n - x_1)z} \right) = 0 \iff \sum_{n=1}^L e^{(x_n - x_1)z} = 0$$

since $e^{x_1 z}$ has no zeroes. So wlog we may assume $x_1 = 0$, since the translation still results in an arithmetic sequence. Then we sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^L e^{x_n z} = \sum_{n=1}^L e^{(n-1)cz} = \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} (e^{cz})^n = \frac{e^{Lcz} - 1}{e^{cz} - 1}$$

So

$$S_X(z) = 0 \implies \frac{e^{Lcz} - 1}{e^{cz} - 1} = 0 \implies e^{Lcz} = 1 \implies z = ib$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact we must have $Lcz = 2\pi n \implies z = \frac{2\pi n}{Lc}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ □

Using Rouché's Theorem we can extend this result to the symmetric case:

Theorem 2. *Consider the sequence $Y = -X \cup X + c$ where X is arithmetic as defined above and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary translation. Then $S_Y(z)$ has zeroes only on the imaginary axis.*

Proof. Via shifting it suffices to consider $c = 0$. Then we argue wlog for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t. $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$, $|S_X(z)| \geq |S_{-X}(z)|$. Since we already know S_X has no zeroes in an arbitrarily small ball around $z \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$, this completes the proof via Rouché.

Simply compute

$$\begin{aligned}
S_X(z) &= \frac{e^{Lcz} - 1}{e^{cz} - 1}, S_{-X}(z) = \frac{e^{-Lcz} - 1}{e^{-cz} - 1} \\
|S_{-X}| \leq |S_X| &\iff \left| \frac{e^{-Lcz} - 1}{e^{-cz} - 1} \right| \leq \left| \frac{e^{Lcz} - 1}{e^{cz} - 1} \right| \iff \left| \frac{e^{-Lcz}}{e^{-cz}} \right| \left| \frac{e^{Lcz} - 1}{e^{cz} - 1} \right| \leq \left| \frac{e^{Lcz} - 1}{e^{cz} - 1} \right| \\
&\iff 1 \leq \left| \frac{e^{Lcz}}{e^{cz}} \right| = e^{Re(z)(L-1)c}
\end{aligned}$$

□

3 On Imaginary Zeroes of Complement Sequences

Let the sequence X defined above be integer valued. We prove

Theorem 3. $S_X(z) = 0 \implies Re(z) = 0 \iff \forall n \in [L], x_n \in X \iff x_L - x_n \in X$ or X is a translation of such a sequence.

Proof. Write

$$S_X(z) = \sum_{n=1}^L e^{x_n z} = 0 \iff e^{x_1 z} \left(\sum_{n=1}^L e^{(x_n - x_1)z} \right) = 0 \iff \sum_{n=1}^L e^{(x_n - x_1)z} = 0$$

since $e^{x_1 z}$ has no zeroes. So wlog we may assume $x_1 = 0$. Then make the change of variables $z = \log(z')$ where we note complex log is surjective since $z = \log(z') \iff e^z = z'$. Also $z = ib \iff \log(z') = ib \iff z' = e^{ib} \implies z' \in \mathbb{S}^1$. Compute

$$S_X(\log(z')) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^L e^{\log((z')^{x_n})} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^L (z')^{x_n} = P_X(z')$$

which is a polynomial in terms of z' . Thus $S_X(z)$ has an imaginary root $\iff P_X(z')$ has a root on the complex unit circle. So S_X has strictly imaginary axis roots iff P_X has strictly unit modulus roots. It is known that the only polynomials which have strictly unit modulus roots are the palindromic polynomials ($a_i = a_{n-i}$) and the anti-palindromic polynomials ($a_i = -a_{n-i}$) [1]. It is clear our polynomial P cannot be anti-palindromic, so to have all roots on \mathbb{S}^1 it must be palindromic. Furthermore note every palindromic polynomial has roots strictly on the unit circle via the following argument:

Define the reciprocal polynomial of P to be $P^*(z) = z^n P(\frac{1}{z})$. Then if z_0 is a root of P , $1/z_0$ is a root of P^* , except for the degeneracy $z_0 = 0$. Fortunately in the palindromic case $a_0 = a_n \neq 0$ and hence this is not a concern. Then since for a palindromic polynomial $P = P^*$, it must be all roots have unit modulus.

Thus S_X has strictly imaginary axis roots $\iff P_X$ is palindromic $\iff \forall$ coefficients $a_k, a_k = 1 \iff a_{x_L - k} = 1$. This translates to the condition $x_n \in X \iff x_L - x_n \in X$ as desired.

□

Remark 4. This result includes a fairly wide class of sequences, including integer arithmetic progressions and their symmetries. Call this class the set of complement sequences \mathcal{C} . So in particular this implies the random variable defined as $P(X = k) = 1/2L$ for $k \in \{-L, \dots, -1\} \cup \{1, \dots, L\}$ is type L (and hence Ultra-Sub Gaussian). This also fully characterizes discrete integer valued uniform type L random variables.

4 On The Zeroes of Other Types of Sequences

Don't yet have a full characterization but we know through testing

- The geometric sequence $(q^i)_{i=1}^L$ does not have strictly imaginary zeroes
- Binomial coefficient sequences(the first half) do not have strictly imaginary zeroes

so this breaks for the full log-concave class.

5 Other Ideas

I came across a theorem from Control Theory? called the Jury Test[2] which tells us when a polynomial has zeroes outside of the unit disc by looking at operations on the coefficients. I don't think this is useful anymore since we now have a complete characterization via these palindromic polynomials, but I'm not sure. It's proved using Rouché's theorem so it may be useful.

I think we should be able to extend this proof involving palindromic polynomials to a wider class via some shifting arguments, but I haven't thought about how very thoroughly yet.

My notation changed several times over the course of writing this out, sorry about that.

References

- [1] Markovsky. I, Shodhan. R, Palindromic Polynomials, Time-Reversible Systems, and Conserved Quantities. <https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/266592/1/Med08.pdf>
- [2] Keel. L, Bhattacharyya. S, A New Proof of the Jury Test. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/703305>