

# Cohen-Macaulay Schemes and Serre Duality

Wenhan Dai

Goal Extend Serre Duality to CM sch.

## §1 Cohen-Macaulay Schemes and Duality

Choose  $\omega_X^\vee$  dualizing sheaf,  $\dim X = n$

$$\hookrightarrow H^n(X, \omega_X^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} k$$

$$\hookrightarrow \theta^i: \operatorname{Ext}_X^i(\mathcal{F}, \omega_X^\vee) \rightarrow H^{n-i}(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee.$$

both sides are  $\delta$ -functors in  $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)^{\text{op}}$

note  $\operatorname{Ext}_X^i(\bigoplus \mathcal{O}_X(m), \omega_X^\vee) = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_X^i(-, \omega_X^\vee)$  effaceable.

By def'n,  $\theta^0$  isom.

local rings  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$   
are all CM,  $\forall x \in X$

Thm TFAE: (a)  $X$  equidim & CM

i.e. irred comps have the same dim

(b)  $\theta^i (i \geq 0)$  isom,  $\forall \mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$ .

Punchline (a) is a local condition whereas (b) seems not.

Indeed, a reg loc ring is always CM.

Cor  $X/k$  sm, then  $\theta^i$  isom,  $\forall i \geq 0$  &  $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Coh}(X)$ .

## §2 Proof of the Duality (I)

Start with (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  some loc condition.

Lemma TFAE to (b):

(c)  $\forall \mathcal{F}$  loc free,  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(-q)) = 0, \forall i < n, q \gg 0$ .

(c')  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-q)) = 0, \forall i < n, q \gg 0$ .

Recall Serre vanishing:  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(q)) = 0, \forall i > 0, q \gg 0$

(c) is some opposite sort of it.

Proof. (b)  $\Rightarrow \forall \mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$  loc free,  $\forall i < n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(-q)) &= \text{Ext}_X^{n-i}(\mathcal{F}(-q), \omega_X^\vee) \\ &= \text{Ext}_X^{n-i}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \omega_X^\vee(q))^\vee \quad \text{by loc. free} \\ &= H^{n-i}(X, \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \omega_X^\vee(q))^\vee \end{aligned}$$

Serre vanishing  $\Rightarrow$  it vanishes when  $q \gg 0, n-i > 0$   
 $\Rightarrow$  (c).

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (c'): clear.

(c')  $\Rightarrow H^{n-i}(X, -)^\vee$  effaceable,  $\forall i > 0$

(since  $\mathcal{F}$  can be covered by  $\bigoplus \mathcal{O}_X(n_i)$ )

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}^i$  natural b/w two univ  $\delta$ -functors

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}^i$  isom  $\Rightarrow$  (b).  $\square$

Next: Reformulate in local terms

Lemma (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d)  $\forall i < n, \text{Ext}_P^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_X, \omega_P) = 0, j: X \hookrightarrow P$  closed imm.

Recall whatever  $X$  is,  $\text{Ext}_P^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_X, \omega_P) = 0, \forall i > n$ .

(see notes for dualizing sheaf).

Proof. Serre duality on  $P$  (choosing  $H^N(P, \omega_P) \cong k$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-q)) &\cong H^i(P, j_* \mathcal{O}_X(-q)) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_P^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_X(-q), \omega_P)^\vee \\ &= \text{Ext}_P^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_X, \omega_P(q))^\vee \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$  (c)  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}_P^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_X, \omega_P(q)) = 0, q \gg 0, i < n$ .

Also, recall that for  $q \gg 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{P}}^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_x, \omega_{\mathcal{P}}(q)) &= \Gamma(\mathcal{P}, \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{P}}^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_x, \omega_{\mathcal{P}}(q))) \\ &= \Gamma(\mathcal{P}, \underbrace{\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{P}}^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_x, \omega_{\mathcal{P}})}_{\text{coherent on } \mathcal{P}}(q)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left( \begin{aligned} &\Gamma(\mathcal{P}, \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{P}}^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_x, \omega_{\mathcal{P}})(q)) = 0, \quad q \gg 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{P}}^{N-i}(j_* \mathcal{O}_x, \omega_{\mathcal{P}}) = 0. \end{aligned} \right) \quad \square$$

Lemma (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (e):

$$\left( \begin{aligned} &\forall x \in X, A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}, x}, I \subseteq A \text{ ideal defining } X \text{ at } x, \\ &\Rightarrow \forall i < n, \text{Ext}_A^{N-i}(A/I, A) = 0 \end{aligned} \right)$$

local condition, but still refers to the position of  $X$  in  $\mathcal{P}$   
(given by  $I$  here).

### §3 The Cohen-Macaulay Condition

To get rid of the relative geom  $X \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ .

Prop  $A$  reg loc ring,  $M \in \text{Mod}_A$  f.g. Then  $\forall n \geq 0$ , TFAE:

(a)  $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, A) = 0, \forall i > n$

(b)  $\forall N \in \text{Mod}_A, \text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = 0, \forall i > n.$

(c)  $\exists$  proj resolution  $0 \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$   
of  $M$  at length  $\leq n$ .

Proof. Hartshorne Prop III.6.10A, Ex III.6.6.

Minimal length in (c) =  $\text{pdim}_A(M)$ , proj dim of  $M$ .

e.g.  $M$  proj  $\Leftrightarrow \text{pdim}_A(M) = 0$ .

Regular sequence:  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ,  $x_i \in A$  s.t.

$x_i$  not a zero div on  $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M$ .

A l.c. ring  $\hookrightarrow \text{depth } M = \text{max'l length of reg seq with } x_i \in \mathfrak{m}_A$ .

Prop  $A$  reg local,  $M \in \text{Mod } A$ ,

$$[\text{pd}_A(M) + \text{depth}_A(M) = \dim(A)].$$

Proof. Hartshorne III.6.12A (& Matsumura).

Recall (e)  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{P,x} = A$ ,  $I \subseteq A$  defining  $X$  at  $x$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{N-i}(A/I, A) = 0$ ,  $i < n$ .

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{pd}_A(A/I) \leq N - n \Leftrightarrow \text{depth}_A(A/I) \geq n.$$

$\uparrow$   
 $\dim A = \dim P$ .

Trick:  $M \in \text{Mod } A/I \Rightarrow \text{depth}_A(M) = \text{depth}_{A/I}(M)$

Lemma (b)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (e)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (f):  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $B = \mathcal{O}_{x,x}$ , then  $\text{depth}_B(B) \geq n$ .

On the other hand, always  $\text{depth}_B(B) \leq \dim B \leq n$   
 $\hookrightarrow$  equiv to require  $\text{depth}_B(B) = \dim B = n$ .  
 "Cohen-Macaulay".

Fact Any regular l.c. ring is CM

(generators of cot space as a reg sequence).

But CM is more permissive:

e.g. local complete intersection  $\Rightarrow$  CM.