

\mathcal{BL} in terms of FF curve. (after Le Bras).

Recall in Colmez' paper, fix $C = \widehat{C}$ in char 0 \rightarrow residue field.

C perfectoid C^\flat tilt.

Jiedong's talk:

define $\mathcal{BL} (\widehat{\mathcal{BL}})$ = smallest ab subcat of $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\text{Perf}_{C, \text{pro\acute{e}t}}) (\text{Ab}(\text{Perf}_{C, \text{pro\acute{e}t}}))$.
contains \mathbb{Q}_p , \mathbb{G}_m and stable under extensions.

Goal of today's talk:

identify $\mathcal{BL} \times \widehat{\mathcal{BL}}$ with subcat of $D = D^b(\text{Coh}(X))$.

X = absolute Fargues-Fontaine curve / C^\flat

3 Facts about (relative, adic) FF curves.

$S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+)$ affinoid, perfectoid $\in \text{Perf}_{C^\flat}$.

$T_S = \text{Spa}(W(R^+)) \setminus V(p[\varpi])$, $\varpi \in R^+$ a pseudo-unif.

T_S = analytic adic space.

$$= \bigcup_{m,n \geq 1} \{ \varpi \in T_S \mid |\varpi|_x^{-n} \leq |p|_x^m \leq |\varpi|_x^{-m} \}.$$

$= \bigcup_{m,n \geq 1} T_{S,m,n}$ - rational open subsets of $\text{Spa}(W(R^+))$.
(affinoid Tate)

$B(S) := \mathcal{O}(T_S)$.

$\varphi: W(R^+)$ induces $\varphi: T_S$ free & properly discontinuous.

$X_S := T_S/\varphi^\sharp$ relative FF curve over S .

$X := X_{\text{Spa}(C^\flat, O_C^\flat)}$, absolute FF curve. studies by Fargues-Fontaine

$B := B(\text{Spa}(C^\flat, O_C^\flat))$, was carefully studies. $B = B_{\text{crys}, \square}$ Koji's lecture

$P = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} B^{\varphi = P^d}$ graded system / $B^{\varphi = \text{Id}} = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Fact: $X^{\text{Sch}} := \text{Proj } P$ is a 1-dim. noetherian regular scheme

$\exists X \longrightarrow X^{\text{Sch}}$ morphism of loc. ring spaces.

Thm (Kedlaya-Liu). $X \rightarrow X^{\text{Sch}}$ induces an equivalence of

$$\text{Bun}_{X^{\text{Sch}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Bun}_X \quad \text{and induces isomorphism}$$

on cohomology groups of vector bundles (GAGA).

(D, φ_0) isogenical / k . Simple of slope $-\lambda$.

define $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ to be the v.b. associated with.

$$\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (D \otimes_{W(k)[\frac{1}{p}]} B)^{\varphi = p^d} \xrightarrow{\text{diagonal.}}$$

$$\hookrightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\lambda)) = B^{\varphi^h = p^d} (= 0 \quad \lambda < 0). \quad \lambda = \frac{d}{h}, (h, d) = 1$$

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(\lambda), \mathcal{O}(\mu)) = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(\mu - \lambda))^{(0)} (= 0 \quad \text{when } \lambda > \mu).$$

Thm (Fayques-Fourqure).

Every vector bundle \mathcal{E} over X^{Sch} , \exists uncar. isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{E} \simeq \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{O}(\lambda)^{m_\lambda(\mathcal{E})}$$

Above thm \Rightarrow given \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow multi-set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $m_\lambda(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$

Called the slopes of \mathcal{E} .

\mathcal{E} is called semi-stable of slope λ if it only has slope λ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I}_{\text{ssch}}^\lambda & \xleftrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Bun}_X^{\text{ss.s.}} \\ D & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{d \geq 0} (D \otimes B)^{\varphi = p^d}} & \xrightarrow{\lambda=0} \text{Vect}_{\mathcal{O}_p} \xleftrightarrow{\sim} \text{Bun}_X^{\text{ss.0}} \\ & & V \mapsto V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \\ & & H^0(X, \mathcal{E}) \hookleftarrow \mathcal{E} \end{array}$$

Paul: $\text{Vect}_{\mathcal{O}_p} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Bun}_X^{\text{ss.0}}$ generalize to relative FF curve, with ss.of 0.

Replaced by pointwise ss. slope 0. by a thm of Kedlaya-Liu.

and: $H^0(X_S, V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_S}) \subseteq \underline{V}(S)$.

\hookleftarrow set of closed pts of X^{Sch}

$|X^{\text{Sch}}| \leftrightarrow$ Cuntis of C^b in char 0? / ~.

$$x \mapsto C_x := x(\pi).$$

s.t. $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{sch}}, \pi} \simeq B_{\text{dR}}^+(C_x)$.

$$\infty \leftrightarrow C \text{ as limit of } C^\flat.$$

Prob: Similar for X_S ; X_S is "the moduli of curves of S "

$$F \in \text{Coh}(X^{\text{sch}}) \quad F \simeq F_{\text{tor}} \oplus F_{\text{free}}.$$

define slope of F_{tor} to be ∞ .

$$\S \quad \text{Coh}(X)^- \quad X = X^{\text{sch}}.$$

$$D = D^\flat \cap \text{Coh}(X).$$

$$\text{Coh}(X)^- = \left\{ F \in D \mid \begin{array}{l} H^i(F) = 0 \text{ if } i \neq 0, -1 \\ H^0(F) \text{ as slopes } \geq 0, H^{-1}(F) \text{ has slopes } < 0 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Prop: $\text{Coh}(X)^-$ is a heart of D (\exists t-str).

Key is that $\text{Hom}(\text{slope} \geq 0, \text{slope} < 0) = 0$.

$\rightsquigarrow \text{Coh}(X)^-$ is an abelian category.

$$\forall F \in \text{Coh}(X)^-$$

$$H^*(F)[1] \rightarrow F \rightarrow H^*(F) \xrightarrow{\perp}$$

is an exact triangle in D .

$$\text{actually: } 0 \rightarrow H^{-1}(F)[1] \rightarrow F \rightarrow H^0(F) \rightarrow 0.$$

is exact in $\text{Coh}(X)^-$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ext}_{\text{Coh}(X)}^1(H^0(F), H^{-1}(F)) \\ &= \text{Ext}_D^1(H^0(F), H^{-1}(F)[1]). \\ &= \text{Ext}_{\text{Coh}}^2(H^0(F), H^{-1}(F)) = 0. \quad \text{since } X \text{ curve}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow F \simeq H^{-1}(F)[1] \oplus H^0(F).$$

$$F = (F^{-1}, F^0) \quad F^{-1} = H^{-1}(F) \quad \text{slope} < 0$$

$$F^0 = H^0(F) \quad \text{slope} \geq 0.$$

Slogan. $\text{Coh}(X)^-$ & $\text{Coh}(X)$ has same objects. (up to isom)
but different morphism.

e.g. $\text{Hom}_{\text{Coh}(X)}((\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{F}^0), (\mathcal{G}^1, \mathcal{O})) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Hom}_{\text{Coh}(X)^-}((\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{F}^0), (\mathcal{G}^1, \mathcal{O})) &= \text{Hom}_D(\mathbb{F}^0, \mathcal{G}^1[1]) \\ &= \text{Ext}_{\text{Coh}}^1(\mathbb{F}^0, \mathcal{G}^1) \neq 0\end{aligned}$$

>Main result.

Def-Lemma: \exists well-defined functor.

$$T: \text{Coh}(X)^- \rightarrow \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}$$

$$\mathbb{F} \simeq (\mathbb{F}^1, \mathbb{F}^0) \mapsto (S = \text{Spa}(R, R^+) \in \text{Perf}_{C^\flat, \text{pro\acute{e}t}}, H^0(X_S, \mathbb{F}_S^0) \oplus H^1(X_S, \mathbb{F}_S^{-1}))$$

Df: $S \mapsto X_S$ is functorial.

$$S \xrightarrow{\text{Spa} C^\flat} (X_S \rightarrow X) \quad \mathbb{F}_S^* \text{ the pull back of } \mathbb{F}^*$$

We will see. $H^i(X_S, \mathbb{F}_S)$ are \mathbb{Q}_p -v.s.

$\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightsquigarrow T(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow T(\mathcal{G})$ automatic \mathbb{Q}_p -linear.

T induces $\text{Coh}(X)^- \simeq \mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} \simeq \widetilde{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}$

$$\textcircled{1}: \mathbb{F} = \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{T} (S \mapsto H^0(X_S, \mathcal{O}_{X_S})) = \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

$$\textcircled{2}: S \in \text{Perf}_{C^\flat} \leftrightarrow S^\# \in \text{Perf}_C$$

$$i: S^\# \rightarrow X_S$$

$$\text{and } H^i(X_S, \iota_{**} \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+, S^\#/\mathbb{F}_p^k) \subseteq H^i(S^\#, \mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+, S^\#/\mathbb{F}_p^k)$$

$$\text{in particular, } T(\iota_{**} C) = \mathbb{G}_a. \quad (\mathbb{B}_{\text{dR}}^+/p \simeq \mathcal{O}_{S^\#})$$

③. To check, essential image is inside $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}$.

$$K_0(\text{Coh}(X)^-) \cong K_0(\text{Coh}(X)) \simeq K_0(\text{Bun}_X).$$

$$[\mathbb{F}] \mapsto [H^0(\mathbb{F})] - [H^1(\mathbb{F})] \quad \begin{matrix} \hookrightarrow \\ \text{rank} \oplus \det \end{matrix}$$

$$\overbrace{\text{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_X] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_X(1)]}^{\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_X] \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(X)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_X] \oplus \text{Pic}(X)$$

$\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{O}_X] \hookrightarrow$ Koji's lecture notes.

So it is enough to check.

$$T(\mathcal{O}_X), T(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) \in \mathcal{BC}.$$

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{Q}_p$$

Lemma: Sympathetic \mathbb{C} -algebras forms a basis for $\text{Perf}_{\mathbb{C}, \text{pro\acute{e}t}}$.

Pf: Colmez' paper. "sympathetic closure". (Yongquan's talk).

$$T(\mathcal{O}_X(R)) = B(R)^{\varphi=id}$$

$$\downarrow \quad \mathbb{W}_1 = T(\mathcal{O}_X(1)).$$

$$\text{will see: } 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{W}_1(R) = B(R)^{\varphi=p} \rightarrow V_1(R) \rightarrow 0$$

$\mathbb{Q}_p(R)$ connected

$$T(\mathcal{O}_X(1)) \in \mathcal{BC}$$

[KL1, Cor 5.2.12]

Then, T induces exact $\text{Coh}(X)^- \simeq \mathcal{BC} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{BC}}$

① T exact. $\text{Coh}(X)^- \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{BC}}$

Assume ①. $\forall \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(X)^-$

T induces

$$(*) \quad \text{Ext}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^i(T(\mathcal{F}), T(\mathcal{G})).$$

(*) is isom. $i=0 \Rightarrow$ fully faithfulness

we know $T(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{Q}_p$ $T(\mathcal{O}_X(C)) \simeq \mathbb{G}_a$

by definition of \mathcal{BC} . it is enough to show

(*) is isom $i=1$.

Lemma: (*) is isom for $\forall \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Coh}(X)^-, i=0, 1$.

Lemma: (*) is isom for $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \{\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{G}_a\}, i=0, 1, 2$.

Pf: one-by-one compare with Ext^i computed by Jiedong.

$$\text{e.g. } \text{Ext}^2 = 0 \text{ . ,}$$

$$\text{e.g. } \text{Ext}^1(i_{\infty,*} C, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^0(X, \text{Ext}^1(i_{\infty,*} C, \mathcal{O}_X)) = C.$$

$$\text{Hom}(i_{\infty,*} C, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0, \text{Ext}^1(i_{\infty,*} C, \mathcal{O}_X) = i_{\infty,*} C.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{O}_X, i_{\infty,*} C) &= \text{Hom}_{B\text{-pairs}}((B_e, B_{de}^+, \text{id}), (0, C, 0)) \\ &= \text{Hom}(C, C) = C. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

\Rightarrow Lemma. If F, G fits

$$0 \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F(G) \rightarrow i_{\infty,*} W \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{then } \text{Ext}^i(F, G) \subseteq \text{Ext}^i(T(F), T(G)) \quad i=0, 1, 2.$$

by five lemma.

for general $F, G \quad i=0, 1$. (*) isom follows from

Lemma: $\forall F \in \text{Coh}(X)^-$ \exists exact seq in $\text{Coh}(X)^-$

$$0 \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

with $F' \in \text{Coh}(X)$, fits into

$$0 \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F' \rightarrow i_{\infty,*} W \rightarrow 0$$

exact in $\text{Coh}(X)$.

if: classification thm. $F \in \text{Coh}(X)$.

$$F \simeq (\bigoplus \mathcal{O}_X) \oplus i_{\infty,*} \frac{B_{de}^+(C^\pi)}{t^k}.$$

Omit details. Lemma 3.7.

$$\text{e.g. } 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-k) \xrightarrow{\times t^k} \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow i_{\infty,*} \frac{B_{de}^+}{t^k} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\rightsquigarrow 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow i_{\infty,*} \frac{B_{de}^+}{t^k} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-k)[1] \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

Remaining to show T is exact.

$S \in \text{Perf}_{C^\flat} \rightsquigarrow X_S$ is finacial.

$S \rightarrow S'$ proét (covering) $\rightarrow X_S \rightarrow X_{S'}$ is also

$$\rightsquigarrow \tau: X_{\text{pro\acute{e}t}} \rightarrow (\text{Perf}_{C, \text{pro\acute{e}t}})^\sim \simeq (\text{Perf}_{C, \text{pro\acute{e}t}})^\sim.$$

$D\mathcal{O}\mathcal{F}$ $\mapsto R\tau_* \mathcal{F}$ complex of sheaves

Observation: 0. $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$, $R\tau_* \mathcal{F}$ is the sheafification $S \mapsto H^i(X_S, \mathcal{F}_S)$

1. T is just $R\tau_*|_{\text{Coh}(X)}$ (use hypercoh spectral seq.).
2. T exact $\Leftrightarrow R^1\tau_* = 0$ on $\text{Coh}(X)$.

Concretely. 2 $\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(X)$.

- 1) if slopes of $\mathcal{F} \geq 0$, $R^1\tau_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ sheaf.
- 2) if slopes of $\mathcal{F} < 0$, $R^0\tau_* \mathcal{F} = 0$.

If classification thm.

can assume $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$

$$\mathcal{F} = \cup_{x, \infty} B^+_x(C_x) / t^k. \quad \checkmark \quad H^i(X_S, \mathcal{F}_S) = 0$$

recall $Y_S = \bigcup_{m,n \geq 1} Y_{S,m,n}$

1) each $Y_{S,m,n}$ affinoid sousperfectoid (Kedlaya-Hansen).

$$\Rightarrow H^i(Y_{S,m,n}, \mathcal{E}) = 0 \quad \mathcal{E} \text{ v.b.}$$

2) transition maps. $\mathcal{O}(Y_{S,m,n})$ has dense image.

Last week. $\Rightarrow R^1\lim = 0$ & $H^i(Y_S, \mathcal{E}) = 0$. $i > 0$.

$H^i(X_S, \mathcal{E})$ is computed by

$$[\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\varphi - \text{id}} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{E})] \xrightarrow{\substack{\varphi^n = \rho^d \\ H^i(X_S, \mathcal{E}) \text{ one gl.v.s}}} \lambda = \frac{d}{n}$$

when $\lambda < 0$.

discussed in Koji lecture.

$$\text{Newton polygon method} \Rightarrow B(S)^{\varphi^n = \rho^d} = W(R^+)^{\varphi^n = \rho^d} \stackrel{d < 0}{=} 0.$$

$$\bullet H^i(\mathcal{O}(\lambda)) = 0. \quad \lambda > 0.$$

replace X_S by $X_{\wp^n, S}$. reduce to show $\lambda = d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$B \xrightarrow{id - p^d \varphi} B.$$

Surjective. KL. Prop 6.2.2.

if $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^\circ)$ is sympathetic.

- $H^1(X_S, \mathcal{O}_{X_S}) = 0$, apply $P(X_S, -)$ to
 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_S} \xrightarrow{\text{st.}} \mathcal{O}_{X_S}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S^\# \rightarrow 0$.

exactness from. $S = \text{Spa}(R, R^\circ)$ sympathetic.

$$t \in H^0(X_S, \mathcal{O}_{X_S}(1)) = B(S)^{p=1}.$$

and FES for sympathetic rings.

D