## The certegorical local Langlands program David Hansen (Joint with L. Mann)

Setup Fix E non arch local field. res clarp.

Let G quasi-split red grp /E.

Fix l +p.

Have Burg = b + BLG) [\*/Gb(E)]

( D(Burg, Qe) = 2b D(Gb(E), Qe)

ib! fully faithful

& D(Burg) is glued from these cats.

Take Parg = Stack of l-adically Conti maps  $W_E \to {}^LG(\overline{ne})$ .

I (reduced be Artin Stacks of pure dim o).

+ a Canonical map Parg  $\xrightarrow{\Phi} X_G^{pec}$ .

Thm (Fargues - Scholze) I canonical @-action

QCoh(Parg) G D(Bung)

4

4

A

5

A

6

F\*A.

normalized by "V\*(-) = Tv" (Hecke op).

Fix (B=Tu, f) Whitteker datum

Co Ny:=indu(F) f.

 Conj (IIC. updated ver) ay is fully feithful & I an equil D(Bung) — Ind Coh (Parc) ay )

(Uch (Parg)

( Whoh (Para) ~ Ind Perf (Para)).

Prop ly is unique if it exists.

It exists  $\iff$   $C_{y}$  = the right adj of  $C_{y}$  restricts to an equiv  $C_{y}$ :  $D(Burg)^{W} \longrightarrow C_{y}h(Parg)$ .

Goal Prove the conj in some cases.

Key working hypothesis Assume Cy is compatible W/ Eis series.

· Proved by Linus for Ghr.

· General Case: Work in progress, Hanann-Hansen-Hann.

This CHC is true for GLz.

a Specialization for much more general results.

Comment GLIC out of reach in general.

Def G is well-understood if FS L-param

ss action of a known LLC with good properties.

Thm Assume G is well-understood.

(1) I Caronical alj functors

D(Burg) Ry Ind Coh (Parg).

and both functors preserve cpt objs.

- (6) If any is fully faithful, then Ry fully faithful.
- (3) If G = GLn, ly · i<sub>1,!</sub> = Den-Eri-Chen-Helm-Nadler functor

  L ay is fully faithful.

Key new Constr'n An "explicit" poerfiel right adj to ly + a "formula" for Ry on some subcat.

Let X a reasonable berived Stuck / Q.

Fact If CLLC is true, Adm(Parg) ~ D(Burg) WA.

Dadm G Ind Coh (X) by RHom (G, Dadm F)

115 RHom (DGS, G, F).

Grotherlieck-Serre Quality.

Dadn is a ferfect duality on Adm(X).

The Adm (Para) or Coh (Para) = Coh (Para) fin

Supp on finite fibres of 9: Para -> Xo.

& Y F & Adm (Pare), Dadm F & QCoh (Pare) = IndCoh (Pare).

Def ty: Coh (Para) fin → D(Buna) & QCoh

T → Dreve ay Dfm, alm F.

Thm If G nell-understood, then

ty has image & D(Burc)fin.

Ry | Coh(Para)fin = try.

Thus Assume G is well-understood. Then

CLIC for G ( ty: Cah(Parc) in ~ D(Burc) fin equiv.

Endgame for GL. Check that ty is essentially surj into  $D(Burch_s)_{fin}$ .

This is only hard at  $\phi \sim (',)$  or  $\phi \sim ('',)$ .

Use a good local model and then use tables computed by Bertoloni-Mehi - Koshikawa.