

Cohomology of Quasicoherent Sheaves

Wenhan Dai

3.1 A Fundamental Theorem about Affine Schemes

(and a Bogus Proof).

4th fund thm: X affine sch, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Qcoh}(X)$.

$\Rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0, \forall i \geq 0$ i.e. \mathcal{F} acyclic.
 ↑
 sheaf cohom.

(!)Bogus Proof. $X = \text{Spec } A$, $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{M}$, $M \in \text{Mod}_A$.

What's wrong? {

- ↳ $M \rightarrow I$ mono sf. I inj. $A\text{-mod}$.
- ↳ $0 \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{I} \rightarrow \tilde{I}/\tilde{M} \rightarrow 0$
- ($\Leftrightarrow 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I \rightarrow I/M \rightarrow 0$ taking $\Gamma(X, -)$)
- ↳ in cohom long exact seq. $\delta^i = 0, i \geq 0$.
- Also, $H^i(X, \tilde{I}) = 0, \forall i > 0 \Rightarrow H^i(X, \tilde{M}) = 0$.
- Moreover, $\forall i > 1, H^i(X, \tilde{M}) \cong H^{i-1}(X, \tilde{I}/\tilde{M})$
- ↳ proved by dim shifting. \square

I inj. in $\text{Mod}_A \Rightarrow \tilde{I}$ inj. in $\text{Qcoh}(\text{Mod}_{A_X})$

~~↳ \tilde{I} inj. in $\text{Sh}(\text{Mod}_{A_X})$~~

In particular: I inj. $\Rightarrow \tilde{I}$ flasque

Two Ways to Fix

(1) in nt rings, inj. \Rightarrow flasque. (c.f. Hartshorne Prop II.5.6)

(2) (EGA) compute \check{H} instead of H .

Lemma $X = \text{Spec } A$, $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow 0$ exact / $\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$.

s.t. $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \text{Qcoh}$, \mathcal{F} & \mathcal{F}_2 arbitrary.

$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow 0$ exact.

This implies that $\delta^*: H^0(X, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_1)$ is zero

$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F})$ inj.

If \mathcal{F} inj. $\Rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{F}_1) = 0$.

S2 Applications

Cor $X \in \text{Sch}$, $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ open cover. $\forall J \subseteq I$ finite, $U_J = \bigcap_{i \in J} U_i$ affine.
 $\Rightarrow \forall \mathcal{F} \in \text{Qcoh}(X)$, sh cohom of \mathcal{F} is given by Čech cohom:
 $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$.

Recall X separate $\Rightarrow \text{Spec } A \cap \text{Spec } B_j = \text{Spec } B_j$.

$\text{aff} \cap \text{aff} = \text{aff}$ (opens) Useful in computing

Cor X sep sch. $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ open cover. $\left. \begin{array}{l} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \\ \text{(next notes)} \end{array} \right\} \xrightarrow{\boxed{H^i(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}(n))}}$.

Unless cor $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$ (ring), $(1) = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$.

$\hookrightarrow \mathcal{U} = \{D(f_i)\}$ open cover of $X = \text{Spec } A$

$\Rightarrow \forall M \in \text{Mod}_A$, $\check{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \tilde{M}) = M$, $\check{H}^i(\mathcal{U}, M) = 0$ ($i > 0$).

S3 A Correct Proof

Step 1 Show that $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow \dots$ exact.

$\xrightarrow{\Gamma(X, -)} 0 \rightarrow \tilde{M} \rightarrow \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow \dots$ (as Qcoh's).

the 2nd seq'ce is exact by computing at stalks.
Moreover, constituent sheaves are quasicoherent.

$$\text{b/c } \check{C}^i(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{M}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \cup = \bigcap_{i \in J} U_i \text{ for some } J \subseteq I \text{ finite}}} j_{U*}(\tilde{M}|_U) = \tilde{M}_g.$$

$$= D(g), g \in A$$

Step 2 $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \check{C}^0(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow \check{C}^1(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{M}) \rightarrow \dots$ exact.

$$\Rightarrow \check{H}^0(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{M}) = M, \check{H}^i(\mathcal{A}, \tilde{M}) = 0 \quad (i > 0).$$

\Rightarrow by taking $\lim_{\substack{\leftarrow \\ \text{all}}}$ under all opens

$$\check{H}^0(X, \tilde{M}) = M, \check{H}^i(X, \tilde{M}) = 0 \quad (i > 0).$$

(every \mathcal{A} can be refined to a finite cover
by distinguished opens).

[Caveat X not Hausdorff here]

(can't use $\lim_{\substack{\longleftarrow \\ \text{refinements}}} \check{H}^i(U, \mathcal{F}) = \check{H}^i(X, \mathcal{F})$)

$$\Rightarrow H^0(X, \tilde{M}) = M, H^i(X, \tilde{M}) = 0 \quad (i > 0)$$

by the following thm of Cartan.

Thm (Cartan) $X \in \text{Top}$. B a basis of X , $U_i, U_j \in B$ for $U_i, U_j \in B$.

$\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ s.t. $\check{H}^i(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0, \forall U \in B$.

$$\Rightarrow \check{H}^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F}), \forall i \geq 0.$$

§4 Comparison of Čech and Sheaf Cohomology

On flasque sheaves:

Lemma $X \in \text{Top}$, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ s.t. $\check{H}^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. Then
for any $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$ exact,

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact.}$$

Proof. Check right surjectivity. $\forall s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H})$,

$$\exists H = \{U_i\}_{i \in I} \text{ s.t. } \forall i \in I, t_i \mapsto s|_{U_i}$$

$$\Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{H}).$$

$\forall i, j \in I$, put $w_{ij} = t_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} - t_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{G})$.
 Čech 1-cocycle of \mathcal{F} .

(also view w_{ij} as elt in $\Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{F})$)
 since $w_{ij} \mapsto 0 \in \Gamma(U_i \cap U_j, \mathcal{G})$.

Now $H^1(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{ID refinements}$

s.t. w_{ij} becomes a Čech coboundary

$$\text{i.e. } v_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} - v_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} = w_{ij} \quad (\forall i, j \in I)$$

$(v_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{F}), \forall i)$

$$\Rightarrow w_i = t_i - v_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{G}).$$

$$\Rightarrow w_i|_{U_i \cap U_j} - w_j|_{U_i \cap U_j} = 0 \quad (\text{by computation})$$

$$\Rightarrow w \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{G}) \text{ lifting } s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}).$$

Proof of Cartan's Comparison

Induction on i & dim shifting.

① $i=0$: given by sheaf axioms.

② Fix $i > 0$ & assume $H^k(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^k(X, \mathcal{G})$, $\forall k < i$.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0, \quad \mathcal{G} \text{ flasque}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact}$$

$(\forall U \in \mathcal{B} \text{ by lemma}),$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ cover of X by $U_i \in \mathcal{B}$.

\mathcal{B} is closed under \cap and \cup :

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \check{C}(M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}(M, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \check{C}(M, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact.}$$

Note any open cover refines to basis opens

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{taking lim on all opens} \\ \Leftrightarrow \text{taking lim on all basic opens} \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \check{C}(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \check{C}(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ exact.}$$

$$\mathcal{G} \text{ flasque} \Rightarrow \check{H}^i(X, \mathcal{G}) = H^i(X, \mathcal{G}) = 0 \quad (i > 0)$$

$$\rightarrow \check{H}^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \check{H}^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \check{H}^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^i(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow = \qquad \downarrow \cong \xrightarrow{\text{5-lem}} \cong \downarrow \qquad \downarrow = \\ \rightarrow H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \\ \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \end{array}$$

inductive hyp.

□