

What can categorical local Langlands do for You?

David Hansen

Setup Fix E/\mathbb{Q}_p , G/E connected quasi-split.

Fix also a pinning, a nontriv char $\chi: E \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ ($l \neq p$ fixed).

Have $Bun_G =$ moduli stack of G -bundles on the FF curve

$$= \coprod_{b \in B(G)} \mathcal{B}un_G^b \approx [*/G_b(E)].$$

$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{D}(Bun_G) = \mathcal{D}_{lis}(Bun_G, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \supseteq \mathcal{D}_{\text{verd}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{BZ}}$

$$\downarrow \dashv \uparrow \quad i_b! \uparrow \dashv i_b^* \uparrow \dashv i_b^* \uparrow \dashv i_b^* \quad \text{Bernstein-Zelevinsky.}$$

$\mathcal{D}_{lis}(Bun_G, \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \cong \mathcal{D}(G_b(E), \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \supseteq \mathcal{D}_{\text{DM}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text{coh}}$

Important For $i \in \{!, \#, *\}$,

$$i_b^{\text{ren}} A = i_b!(A \otimes \delta_b^{1/2} [-\langle \cdot, \rho_G, H_b \rangle])$$

modulus^q char of dynamic parabolic of V_b .

$\mathcal{P}_{\text{ar}_G} = \mathcal{Z}^1(W_E, \hat{G})_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}/\hat{G}$ stack of L-parameters

$$q \downarrow$$

$X_G^{\text{Spec}} = \mathcal{Z}^1(W_E, \hat{G})_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} // \hat{G}$ coarse moduli of semisimple L-parameters.

$$q^{-1}(X_\emptyset) = \bigcup_{\emptyset \sim \emptyset'} \mathcal{B}S_{\emptyset'}.$$

Fargues-Scholze There's a natural \mathbb{Q} -action of $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{ar}_G})$

on $\mathcal{D}(Bun_G)$ extending the action of Hecke operators.

Conj There is a natural equiv

$$\text{Coh}^{\text{qc}}(\text{Par}_G) \cong \mathcal{D}(\text{Bun}_G)^{\omega}$$

cpt objs
↓

whose restriction to $\text{Perf}^{\text{qc}}(\text{Par}_G)$ is given by

acting on $i_! : \underline{\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{U}(E)}^{G(E)}} \dashv$.

W_F Whittaker.

Question What is this have to do with ACTUAL local Langlands?

Most optimistic guess This equiv is t-exact for some t-str
on both sides \Rightarrow bij on irred objects.

Note • Irred obj on Bun_G side $\approx (b, \pi)$
where $b \in \mathcal{B}(G)$ & π sm irrep of $G_b(F)$.

• Irred obj on Par_G side $\approx (\phi, P)$
where ϕ Frob ss parameter & $P \in \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$.

Starting point A bij between such pairs $(b, \pi) \leftrightarrow (\phi, P)$

should exist canonically

and depends only on the choice of Whittaker datum.

Recall $\mathcal{B}(G)_{\text{basic}}$, LLC (Kaletha, Kottwitz)

Given ϕ , expect a natural bijection,

$$\coprod_{b \in \mathcal{B}(G)_{\text{bas}}} \mathcal{I}\Gamma_\phi(G_b) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Irr}(S_\phi^\#) \quad S_\phi^\# = S_\phi / (\widehat{G}_{\text{der}} \cap S_\phi)^\circ.$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{B}(G)_{\text{bas}} \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{kottwitz } K} X^*(Z(\widehat{G})^\Gamma)$$

depends only on Whittaker datum.

Then (Bertolini Meli-O)

Assume $B(G)_{\text{ess}}$ LHC for G and all of its standard Levi subgp.

Then \exists a natural bij

$$\coprod_{b \in B(G)} \overline{\text{Irr}_b(G_b)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Irr}(S_\phi)$$

fiber of $\text{Irr}(G_b) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{I}}(G_b) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{I}}(G)$.

Thus, varying ϕ , get a canonical bij

$$\{\text{pairs } (b, \pi)\} \longleftrightarrow \{(\phi, P)\}.$$

Def'n A ss L-parameter ϕ is generous if $\overset{\uparrow}{\text{f}}(X_\phi) = \text{BS}\phi$

generic + nice to you "

e.g. $G = \text{GL}_2$, ϕ is generous $\Leftrightarrow \phi \simeq \begin{pmatrix} X & \\ & X \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} X & \\ & X \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Prop (1) If ϕ is generous, then S_ϕ° is a torus

(2) If ϕ is generous, then $i_\phi: \text{BS}\phi \hookrightarrow \text{Par}_G$ is a reg immersion
 $\&$ Par_G is sm in a nbhd of it.

Conj 1 Let ϕ be a generous L-parameter, and

$$(b, \pi) \longleftrightarrow (\phi, P)$$

match under BM-O bij. Then

$$i_{\phi^* P} * i_{\mathbb{I}, !} W_P \cong i_{b!}^{\text{ren}} \pi.$$

Conj 2 Suppose $(b, \pi) \longleftrightarrow (\phi, P)$ with ϕ generous.

$$\text{Then } i_{b!}^{\text{ren}} \pi \simeq i_{b^*}^{\text{ren}} \pi \simeq i_{bH}^{\text{ren}} \pi.$$

Conj 3 Let ϕ be generic. Then

$$F_\phi := \bigoplus_{b \in B(G)} \bigoplus_{\pi \in T_b^{\text{ren}}(G_b)} i_{b!}^{\text{ren}} \pi^{\oplus \dim Z_\phi(b, \pi)}.$$

is a perverse Hecke eigensheaf w/ eigenvalue ϕ .

Remarks (1) Conj 1 + Computability with classical LLC with duality

\Rightarrow Conj 2.

(2) Conj 1 + Conj 2 \Rightarrow Conj 3.

(3) Conj 1 tells you how to compute $T_v i_{b!}^{\text{ren}} \pi$

\Rightarrow Conj 1 implies the Kottwitz Conj.

What's known?

(1) ϕ supercusp $\Rightarrow S_\phi = S_\phi^\#$, $B(G) - B(G)_\text{basic}$ doesn't contribute.

(2) All 3 conj's ok for GL_n & unram U_{2m+1}/\mathbb{Q}_p .

(3) Conj 2 & 3 ok for GSp_4 .

Beyond ϕ Supercusp:

Hamann Assume G q -split, ϕ total & generic.

Then Conj 2 & 3 are true.

If $G = GL_n$, Hamann-Hansen \Rightarrow Conj 1 true.

Assume G split, ϕ is the trivial L-parameter.

Then $S_\phi = \widehat{G}$, so $\text{Irr } S_\phi = X^*(\widehat{T})^+ = X^*(T)^+ \ni \lambda$

BM-O bij : $(\text{triv}, \lambda) \longleftrightarrow (\overset{\text{"}}{b\lambda}, \overset{\text{"}}{\pi_\lambda})$
 $\lambda(\infty) \overset{\text{"}}{i_B} \overset{\text{"}}{i_B}(1)$

$$q^{-1}(X_{\text{triv}}) = \mathcal{N}/\hat{G} \xleftarrow{\pi} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}/\hat{G} \cong \widehat{U}/\widehat{B} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \pi/\widehat{B}$$

\downarrow

Par_G .

$A_\lambda := \pi_* \eta^* \mathbb{L}_\lambda \in \text{Coh}(\mathcal{N}/\hat{G})$ Anderson-Tantzen sheaves.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Conj} & \nu_* A_\lambda * i_1^! W_{\mathbb{L}} \simeq \mathbb{L}_{b\lambda}^{ren} \# \pi_* \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ \mu_* A_{\text{wt}(\lambda)} * i_1^! W_{\mathbb{L}} \simeq \mathbb{L}_{b\lambda}^{ren} \# \pi_* \end{array}$$

Forced on you by expected compatibility of cat'cal LHC
with duality and Eisenstein series.