

Lecture 9-11

ALGEBRAIC THEORY VIA SCHEMES

9. BASIC THEORY OF GROUP SCHEMES

9.1. Categorical Perspective of Schemes. Fix an algebraically closed field k . We use \mathbf{Sch}_k to denote the category of schemes of finite type over k . For two objects X, S in \mathbf{Sch}_k , we define an S -valued point of X to be a morphism $S \rightarrow X$ in \mathbf{Sch}_k . Denote

$$\underline{X}(S) := \mathrm{Hom}_k(S, X).$$

The association $S \mapsto \underline{X}(S)$ defines a contravariant functor $\underline{X} : \mathbf{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}$ from the opposite category of \mathbf{Sch}_k . When X varies, we get a functor $\mathbf{Sch}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Sets})$, which is fully faithful. Granting this, we can view \mathbf{Sch}_k as a full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathbf{Sets})$. Similarly, if we use \mathbf{Alg}_k to denote the category of finitely generated k -algebras, then any $X \in \mathbf{Sch}_k$ defines a covariant functor

$$\underline{X} : \mathbf{Alg}_k \rightarrow \mathbf{Sets}, \quad \underline{X}(R) := \underline{X}(\mathrm{Spec} R) = \mathrm{Hom}_k(\mathrm{Spec} R, X).$$

The functor

$$\mathbf{Sch}_k \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{Alg}_k, \mathbf{Sets}), \quad X \mapsto \underline{X}$$

is fully faithful and we can view \mathbf{Sch}_k as a full subcategory of $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{Alg}_k, \mathbf{Sets})$.

Definition 9.1 (Group scheme). A **group scheme** is a scheme G of finite type over k together with

- a multiplication morphism $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$,
- an identity point $e : \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow G$, and
- an inverse morphism $i : G \rightarrow G$,

such that the following axioms hold.

- (1) (Associativity) The diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{m \times 1_G} & G \times G \\ 1_G \times m \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ G \times G & \xrightarrow{m} & G \end{array}$$

- (2) (Axiom of the identity section) The diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times \mathrm{Spec} k & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_G \times e} & G \times G \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow m \\ G & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_G} & G \\ \cong \downarrow & & \uparrow m \\ G \times \mathrm{Spec} k & \xrightarrow{e \times \mathrm{id}_G} & G \times G \end{array}$$

- (3) The diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & G \times G & & \\
& \nearrow^{(\text{id}_G, i)} & & \searrow_m & \\
G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{e} & G \\
& \searrow_{(i, \text{id}_G)} & & \nearrow_m & \\
& & G \times G & &
\end{array}$$

Remark 9.2. (1) We use \underline{G} to denote the functor $\text{Sch}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$ associated to G . Then G is a group scheme if and only if \underline{G} factors through the forgetful functor $\text{Grp} \rightarrow \text{Sets}$, i.e., \underline{G} is the composite

$$\underline{G} : \text{Sch}_k^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Grp} \rightarrow \text{Sets}.$$

- (2) For a closed point $x \in G(k)$, we can define the right translation R_x to be the composite

$$G \cong G \times \text{Spec } k \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_G, x)} G \times G \xrightarrow{m} G$$

and we define the left translation L_x similarly. In general, for a k -scheme $S \in \text{Sch}_k$ and an S -point $x \in \underline{G}(S)$, we define the right translation R_x to be the S -morphism

$$G \times S \xrightarrow{(m \circ (\text{id}_G \times x), p_2)} G \times S.$$

One can check that $R_{xy} = R_y \circ R_x$ and define L_x in a similar way.

9.2. Lie Algebras. Let $X \in \text{Sch}_k$ and $\Omega_X = \Omega_{X/k}^1$ be the sheaf of relative differentials in X/k .

Definition 9.3. (1) A **vector field** D on X is a k -linear map $D : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ such that for any open subset U of X ,

$$(D(U) : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)) \in \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X(U), \mathcal{O}_X(U)).$$

In other words, $D : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is the composite

$$\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_X$$

where d is the canonical derivation and f is an \mathcal{O}_X -linear map.

- (2) A **tangent vector** d of X at a closed point $x \in X$ (cf. [Har13, II, Exer 2.8]) is a k -derivation $d : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k \in \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, k)$, for which

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, k) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/k}^1, k) \\
&\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}}(\Omega_{X,x}, k) \\
&\cong \text{Hom}_k(\Omega_{X,x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} k, k) \\
&\cong \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2, k),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_x \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is the maximal ideal. The last isomorphism is from [Har13, II, Prop 8.7]. Therefore, to give a tangent vector at x , say $d : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k$, is equivalent to giving a k -linear map $t : \mathfrak{m}_x / \mathfrak{m}_x^2 \rightarrow k$.

- (3) For a vector field $D : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ we define its **value** at $x \in X$ to be the tangent vector $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \xrightarrow{D_x} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k$.

For two schemes $X, Y \in \text{Sch}_k$, we have a canonical isomorphism $\Omega_{X \times Y} \cong p_1^* \Omega_X \oplus p_2^* \Omega_Y$ where $p_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_2 : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are natural projections. Let

$$D : \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_X \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{O}_X$$

be a vector field on X , we define a vector field $D \otimes 1$ on $X \times Y$ that corresponds to the $\mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}$ -linear map

$$\Omega_{X \times Y} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^* \Omega_X \oplus p_2^* \Omega_Y \xrightarrow{(p_1^*(f), 0)} \mathcal{O}_{X \times Y}$$

Definition 9.4. Let G be a group scheme over k . A vector field D on G is called **left invariant** if the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_G & \xrightarrow{D} & \mathcal{O}_G \\ m^* \downarrow & & \downarrow m^* \\ \mathcal{O}_{G \times G} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes D} & \mathcal{O}_{G \times G} \end{array}$$

Proposition 9.5. For any tangent vector t at e_G to G , there is a unique left invariant vector field on G whose value at e_G is exactly t .

Proof. First we give another expression of tangent vectors and vector fields. Let $\Lambda = k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon)^2$. Let A be a k -algebra and B be an A -algebra. Then we have a bijection between sets, say

$$\begin{aligned} D &\longmapsto \varphi(a) = a \cdot 1_B + D(a)\varepsilon \\ \text{Der}_k(A, B) &\longleftrightarrow \left\{ \varphi : A \rightarrow B \otimes_k \Lambda \left| \begin{array}{l} \varphi \text{ is a } k\text{-algebra homomorphism} \\ \text{such that } \bar{\varphi} : A \rightarrow B \text{ is the structure map} \\ \text{read as } \bar{\varphi} : A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \otimes_k \Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{mod } \varepsilon} B \end{array} \right. \right\} \\ &\quad \updownarrow \\ &\left\{ \varphi' : A \otimes_k \Lambda \rightarrow B \otimes_k \Lambda \left| \begin{array}{l} \varphi' \text{ is a } \Lambda\text{-algebra homomorphism such that} \\ \varphi' \otimes_k \Lambda : A \rightarrow B \text{ is the structure map} \end{array} \right. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Under the above bijections:

- (i) a tangent vector t to X at $x \in X$ corresponds to a morphism $\tilde{t} : \text{Spec } \Lambda \rightarrow X$ such that the composite $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } \Lambda \xrightarrow{\tilde{t}} X$ is basically the point $x \in X$.
- (ii) a vector field D on X corresponds to a morphism over $\text{Spec } \Lambda$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times \text{Spec } \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}} & X \times \text{Spec } \Lambda \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \text{Spec } \Lambda & \end{array}$$

such that $\tilde{D} \times_{\text{Spec } \Lambda} \text{Spec } k : X \rightarrow X$ is id_X .

- (iii) for a vector field D on X , and t_x the value of D at $x \in X$, the morphism \tilde{t}_x corresponds to the morphism

$$\text{Spec } \Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Spec } k \times \text{Spec } \Lambda \xrightarrow{(x, \text{id}_X)} X \times \text{Spec } \Lambda \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}} X \times \text{Spec } \Lambda \xrightarrow{p_1} X$$

Under the above expressions, we see that a vector field D on G is left invariant if and only if the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}_G \times \tilde{D}} & G \times G \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda \\ m \times \operatorname{id}_\Lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow m \times \operatorname{id}_\Lambda \\ G \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}} & G \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda \end{array}$$

Here all arrows are morphisms over $\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda$. We use \tilde{D}_1 to denote the composite

$$\tilde{D}_1 : G \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\tilde{D}} G \times G \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda \xrightarrow{p_1} G.$$

Then D is left invariant if and only if for any $S \in \operatorname{Sch}_k$, $x, y \in \underline{G}(S)$, and $l \in \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda(S)$, $\tilde{D}_1(xy, l) = x\tilde{D}(y, l)$. (Caveat: one should be very careful about the order.) Alternatively, this is equivalent to say

$$\tilde{D}_1(x, l) = x\tilde{D}_1(e_G(S), l),$$

where $e_G(S) \in \underline{G}(S)$ is the identity element; note that $(e_G(S), l)$ is the value of \tilde{D} at e_G .

Now given a tangent vector t of G at e_G , we define a vector field D on X that corresponds to the following with $\tilde{t} : \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda \rightarrow G$,

$$\tilde{D} : G \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda \xrightarrow{(\operatorname{id}_G, \tilde{t}, \operatorname{id}_\Lambda)} G \times G \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda \xrightarrow{(m, \operatorname{id}_\Lambda)} G \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda.$$

In other words, \tilde{D}_1 satisfies $\tilde{D}_1(x, l) = x\tilde{D}_1(e_G(S), l)$ for each $S \in \operatorname{Sch}_k$, $x \in \underline{G}(S)$, and $l \in (\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda)(S)$. It renders that \tilde{D} is left invariant and has value t at e_G . Hence the uniqueness follows obviously. \square

Let D_1, D_2 be two vector fields on X . Their **Poisson bracket**

$$[D_1, D_2] = D_1 D_2 - D_2 D_1$$

is also a vector field on X . When $\operatorname{char}(k) = p > 0$, D_1^p is a vector field on X . When $X = G$ is a group scheme, the above two operators preserve left invariant vector fields.

Definition 9.6. The **Lie algebra of a group scheme** G is the k -vector space of left invariant vector fields, together with the operation of Poisson bracket (and the p th power operation if $\operatorname{char}(k) = p > 0$).

Proposition 9.7. *If G is a commutative group scheme, then its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is abelian, i.e., $[D_1, D_2] = 0$ for all $D_1, D_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. We first make the following observation. Let $X \in \operatorname{Sch}_k$, D_1, D_2 be vector fields on X , and $D_3 = [D_1, D_2]$. Let $\tilde{D}_i : X \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda \rightarrow X \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda$ be the morphism corresponding to D_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. I claim that $x_3 = x_1 x_2 x_1^{-1} x_2^{-1}$. The question is local on X so we can assume that $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ is affine. The automorphism χ_i of $X \times \operatorname{Spec} \Lambda'$ over $\operatorname{Spec} \Lambda'$ corresponds to the Λ' -algebra automorphism

$$f_i : A[\varepsilon, \varepsilon'] / (\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon'^2) \longrightarrow A[\varepsilon, \varepsilon'] / (\varepsilon^2, \varepsilon'^2)$$

that is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 : a_1 + a_2\varepsilon + a_3\varepsilon' + a_4\varepsilon\varepsilon' &\longmapsto a_1 + (D_1(a_1) + a_2)\varepsilon + a_3\varepsilon' + (D_1(a_3) + a_4)\varepsilon\varepsilon', \\ f_2 : a_1 + a_2\varepsilon + a_3\varepsilon' + a_4\varepsilon\varepsilon' &\longmapsto a_1 + a_2\varepsilon + (D_2(a_1) + a_3)\varepsilon' + (D_2(a_2) + a_4)\varepsilon\varepsilon', \\ f_3 : a_1 + a_2\varepsilon + a_3\varepsilon' + a_4\varepsilon\varepsilon' &\longmapsto a_1 + a_2\varepsilon + a_3\varepsilon' + (D_3(a_1) + a_4)\varepsilon\varepsilon'; \end{aligned}$$

also, f_i^{-1} is given by replacing D_i by $-D_i$ in the above formulas. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_3^{-1} &= f_2^{-1} \circ f_1^{-1} \circ f_2 \circ f_1 : A \otimes_k \Lambda' \rightarrow A \otimes_k \Lambda', \\ \rightsquigarrow \quad \chi_3^{-1} &= \chi_1 \circ \chi_2 \circ \chi_1^{-1} \circ \chi_2^{-1} : X \times \text{Spec } \Lambda' \rightarrow X \times \text{Spec } \Lambda'. \end{aligned}$$

Now let D_1, D_2 be two left invariant vector fields on a commutative group scheme G that corresponds to the tangent vector $t_i : \text{Spec } \Lambda \rightarrow G$ with $i = 1, 2$. Define $D_3 = [D_1, D_2]$ and \tilde{t}_3 that corresponds to D_3 . For $i = 1, 2, 3$, define $T_i \in \underline{G}(\Lambda')$ to be the composite

$$T_i : \text{Spec } \Lambda' \xrightarrow{\sigma_i} \text{Spec } \Lambda \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_i} G.$$

Thus, $\chi_i : G \times \text{Spec } \Lambda' \rightarrow G \times \text{Spec } \Lambda'$ be the right translation by $T_i \in \underline{G}(\Lambda')$. Then

$$\chi_3^{-1} = \chi_1 \circ \chi_2 \circ \chi_1^{-1} \circ \chi_2^{-1},$$

but $\chi_1 \circ \chi_2 \circ \chi_1^{-1} \circ \chi_2^{-1}$ is the right translation by $T_2^{-1} \cdot T_1^{-1} \cdot T_2 \cdot T_1 \in \underline{G}(\Lambda')$, which is $e_G(\Lambda')$ as G is commutative. It follows that χ_3^{-1} (and hence χ_3) is the identity morphism so that $D_3 = [D_1, D_2] = 0$. \square

Theorem 9.8. *Any group scheme over a field k of characteristic 0 is automatically smooth (and in particular reduced).*

Proof. We can assume that k is algebraically closed and it suffices to prove the group scheme G is smooth at $e \in G$, the identity point. For simplicity, denote $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{G,e}$, $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{O}$ the maximal ideal, and $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of \mathcal{O} . Denote $n = \dim_k \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$. The multiplication map $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ induces a continuous homomorphism

$$m^* : \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \widehat{\otimes}_k \widehat{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Here $\widehat{\otimes}$ is the complete tensor product; that is, the $(1 \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} + \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes 1)$ -adic completion of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_k \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$. Since the two composites

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}_G, e)} & \\ G & \searrow \xrightarrow{(e, \text{id}_G)} & G \times G \xrightarrow{m} G \\ & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

are both identity, the composites

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} &\xrightarrow{m^*} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \widehat{\otimes}_k \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \widehat{\otimes}_k k \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{O}} &\xrightarrow{m^*} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \widehat{\otimes}_k \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow k \widehat{\otimes}_k \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \end{aligned}$$

are both identity as well. Thus, for any $a \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$,

$$m^*(a) \in 1 \otimes a + a \otimes 1 + \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} \widehat{\otimes}_k \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Claim. *For any k -linear map $f : \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^2 \rightarrow k$, there is a k -derivation $D : \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ such that $f = D|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}} \bmod \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$.*

Since we have a decomposition of k -vector spaces, $\widehat{\mathcal{O}} = k \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$. A k -linear map $F : \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow k$ could be found such that $F|_k = 0$ and $F|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is the composite $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^2 \xrightarrow{f} k$. We define D to be the composite

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{m^*} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \widehat{\otimes}_k \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes F} \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \widehat{\otimes}_k k \xrightarrow{\cong} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}.$$

Clearly D is k -linear and $D(k) \equiv 0$ as $F(k) \equiv 0$. For $a \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$,

$$D(a) = (1 \otimes F)(1 \otimes a + a \otimes 1 + b) = F(a) + (1 \otimes F)(b)$$

for some $b \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} \widehat{\otimes}_k \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$. Consequently, $D(a) \bmod \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} = F(a) = f(a \bmod \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^2)$. This proves the claim that $f = D|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}} \bmod \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$.

We still need to verify that D is a derivation, i.e., for all $a, b \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$, we have $D(ab) = aD(b) + bD(a)$. By a direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(ab) &= (a \otimes 1)m^*(b) + (b \otimes 1)m^*(a) \\ &\quad + (1 \otimes ab - ab \otimes 1 + S(1 \otimes a) + R(1 \otimes b) + RS). \end{aligned}$$

If we write $m^*(a) = 1 \otimes a + a \otimes 1 + R$, $m^*(b) = 1 \otimes b + b \otimes 1 + S$. In particular, $R, S \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} \widehat{\otimes}_k \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$ and

$$T = 1 \otimes ab - ab \otimes 1 + S(1 \otimes a) + R(1 \otimes b) + RS \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \otimes 1 + \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^2.$$

We infer that

$$\begin{aligned} D(ab) &= (1 \otimes F)(m^*(ab)) \\ &= a(1 \otimes F)(m^*(b)) + b(1 \otimes F)(m^*(a)) + \underbrace{(1 \otimes F)(T)}_{=0} \\ &= aD(b) + bD(a). \end{aligned}$$

Here $(1 \otimes F)(T) = 0$ because of $T \in \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \otimes 1 + \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^2$. Choose $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that $\{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n\}$ forms a k -basis of $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^2$ and $\{f_i : \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}/\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}^2 \rightarrow k \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be the dual basis. Let $D_i : \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ be the k -derivation such that $D_i|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}} \bmod \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} = f_i$ for each i . In particular, we have $D_i(x_j) \bmod \widehat{\mathfrak{m}} = \delta_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Define a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\alpha : k[[t_1, \dots, t_n]] \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}, \quad t_i \mapsto x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ generates $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$ by Nakayama's lemma, α is surjective. Define another k -algebra homomorphism

$$\beta : \widehat{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow k[[t_1, \dots, t_n]], \quad f \mapsto \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} \overline{\left(\frac{D^\alpha f}{\alpha!} \right)} \cdot t^\alpha.$$

Here the operator $\overline{(\cdot)}$ means modulo $\widehat{\mathfrak{m}}$ (so that the coefficients are elements in k) the power series is defined through

$$\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!, \quad D^\alpha f = D_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots D_n^{\alpha_n} f, \quad t^\alpha = t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_n^{\alpha_n}.$$

By Leibniz's formula, one can check that β is a continuous homomorphism. Moreover,

$$\beta(x_i) \equiv t_i \pmod{(t_1, \dots, t_n)^2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence β is surjective. The composite $\beta \circ \alpha : k[[t_1, \dots, t_n]] \rightarrow k[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ is onto and satisfies $\beta \circ \alpha \equiv \text{id} \pmod{(t_1, \dots, t_n)^2}$. Therefore, $\beta \circ \alpha$ is an isomorphism.¹ So α is injective and hence an isomorphism as well. This shows

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \cong k[[t_1, \dots, t_n]],$$

which implies that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ is regular, and so also is \mathcal{O} itself. This proves G is smooth at e . \square

¹For this implication, see [Eis13, §7].

10. QUOTIENTS BY FINITE GROUP SCHEMES

10.1. The Group Scheme Action on Scheme.

Definition 10.1 (Left action of schemes). A **left action of a group scheme G on a scheme X** is a morphism $\mu : G \times X \rightarrow X$ such that

- (1) the composite

$$X \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Spec } k \times X \xrightarrow{e_G \times 1_X} G \times X \xrightarrow{\mu} X$$

is the identity morphism;

- (2) the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{m \times 1_X} & G \times X \\ 1_G \times \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \end{array}$$

is commutative;

Remark 10.2. Indeed, we have the following equivalent characterization of a G -action on X .

- (1) For any affine² scheme S we have a (left) $\underline{G}(S)$ -action on $\underline{X}(S)$, which is functorial in S .
 (2) More explicitly, for any $x \in \underline{G}(S)$, we have an automorphism over S ; say the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times S & \xrightarrow{T_x} & X \times S \\ & \searrow p_2 & \swarrow p_2 \\ & S & \end{array}$$

commutes and is such that

- (i) $T_x \circ T_y = T_{xy}$ for all $x, y \in \underline{G}(S)$;
 (ii) for any morphism $f : S \rightarrow S'$ in Sch_k and $x \in \underline{G}(S')$, $x \circ f \in \underline{G}(S)$.

We have another commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times S & \xrightarrow{T_{x \circ f}} & X \times S \\ 1_X \times f \downarrow & & \downarrow 1_X \times f \\ X \times S' & \xrightarrow{T_x} & X \times S' \end{array}$$

For $x \in \underline{G}(S)$, the morphism $T_x : X \times S \rightarrow X \times S$ is given by (T'_x, p_2) where $T'_x : X \times S \rightarrow X$ is the composite

$$X \times S \cong S \times X \xrightarrow{f \times 1_X} G \times X \xrightarrow{\mu} X.$$

Conversely, the morphism μ can be recovered from the above datum. Take $S = G$ and $x = \text{id}_G \in \underline{G}(G)$. Then μ is the composite

$$G \times X \xrightarrow{\cong} X \times G \xrightarrow{T_x} X \times G \xrightarrow{p_1} X.$$

Definition 10.3. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called **G -invariant** if the following diagram is commutative³

²Note that the problem is local.

³When $Y = \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } k[T]$, one can talk about the G -invariant sections.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\
p_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
\end{array}$$

More explicitly, for each $S \in \text{Sch}_k$, $g \in \underline{G}(S)$, $x \in \underline{X}(S)$, we have $f(\mu(g, x)) = f(x)$.

The action of G on X is **free** if the morphism $(\mu, p_2) : G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is a closed immersion.

Definition 10.4. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . A **lift of the action μ to \mathcal{F}** is an isomorphism

$$\lambda : p_2^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu^* \mathcal{F}$$

of sheaves on $G \times X$ such that the following diagram of sheaves on $G \times G \times X$ is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
p_3^* \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{(p_2, p_3)^*(\lambda)} & \xi^* \mathcal{F} \\
(m \times 1_X)^*(\lambda) \searrow & & \swarrow (1_G \times \mu)^*(\lambda) \\
& \eta^* \mathcal{F} &
\end{array}$$

Here p_1, p_2, p_3 are natural projections from $G \times G \times X$ and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\xi : G \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{(p_2, p_3)} & G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\
\eta : G \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{1_G \times \mu} & G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\
& \searrow m \times 1_X & & \nearrow \mu & \\
& & G \times X & &
\end{array}$$

10.2. Classification of Quotients.

Theorem 10.5 (Quotients by finite group schemes, somehow tedious).

(A) Let G be a finite group scheme acting on a scheme X such that the orbit of any point is contained in an affine open subset of X . Then there is a pair (Y, π) where Y is a scheme and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism, satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) as a topological space, (Y, π) is the quotient of X for the action of the underlying finite group;
- (2) the morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is G -invariant, and if $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)^G$ denotes the subsheaf of $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ of G -invariant functions, the natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)^G$ is an isomorphism. The pair (Y, π) is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by these conditions. The morphism π is finite and surjective; Y will be denoted X/G , and it has the functorial property that for any G -invariant morphism $f : X \rightarrow Z$, there is a unique morphism $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $f = g \circ \pi$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y \\
f \searrow & & \swarrow \exists! g \\
& Z &
\end{array}$$

(B) Suppose further that the action of G is free and $G = \text{Spec } R$, $n = \dim_k R$. Then π is a flat morphism of degree n , i.e., $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_Y -module of rank n , and the subscheme of $X \times X$ defined by the closed immersion

$$(\mu, p_2) : G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$$

is equal to the subscheme $X \times_Y X \subset X \times X$. Finally, if \mathcal{F} is a coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module, $\pi_* \mathcal{F}$ has a natural defined G -action lifting that on X , and $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \pi^* \mathcal{F}$ is an equivalence between the category of coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -modules (resp. locally free \mathcal{O}_Y -modules of finite rank) and the category of coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules with G -action (resp. locally free \mathcal{O}_X -modules of finite rank with G -action).

Remark 10.6. (1) The assumption that the orbit of any point is contained in an affine open subset of X can be expressed as follows: for any closed point $x \in X(k)$, the morphism

$$G \cong G \times \operatorname{Spec} k \xrightarrow{1_G \times x} G \times X \xrightarrow{\mu} X$$

factors through an open affine subset of X , i.e., we obtain $G \rightarrow U \subset X$. This holds for X quasi-projective over k .

- (2) $G_{\text{red}} = \operatorname{Spec}(R_{\text{red}})$ is a closed subgroup scheme of G , and the action of G on X induces an action

$$\mu_{\text{red}} : G_{\text{red}} \times X \rightarrow X$$

of G_{red} on X . As a scheme over k ,

$$G_{\text{red}} \cong \bigsqcup_{g \in G(k)} \operatorname{Spec} k,$$

and we are in the situation of varieties. Theorem 10.5 (A)(1) says that as a topological space, (Y, π) only depends on the action μ_{red} of G_{red} on X .

- (3) Under the assumption of (B), we have an isomorphism

$$(\mu, p_2) : G \times X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_Y X,$$

and $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ is faithfully flat. Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Under the above isomorphism, a lift of the action μ to \mathcal{F} becomes an isomorphism $\lambda : p_2^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^* \mathcal{F}$ (sheaves on $X \times_Y X$) such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_3^* \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{p_{23}^*(\lambda)} & p_2^* \mathcal{F} \\ & \searrow p_{13}^*(\lambda) \quad \swarrow p_{12}^*(\lambda) & \\ & p_1^* \mathcal{F} & \end{array}$$

commutes.⁴

10.3. Proof of Theorem (A).

Proof. We can reduce to the case for $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ affine. Recall that $G = \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $n = \dim_k R$. Consider the k -algebra homomorphisms in the following correspondences:

Algebraic Homomorphisms	Geometric Morphisms
$\varepsilon : R \rightarrow k$	$e : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow G$
$m^* : R \rightarrow R \otimes_k R$	$m : G \times G \rightarrow G$
$\mu^* : A \rightarrow R \otimes_k A$	$\mu : G \times X \rightarrow X$

⁴This is the standard descent theory. See [MA67, Chap VII].

For any k -algebra S , $R \otimes_k S$ is a free S -module of rank n . We have a norm map $\text{Nm}_S : R \otimes_k S \rightarrow S$, i.e., for any $x \in R \otimes_k S$ the multiplication by x defines an S -linear map $l_x : R \otimes_k S \rightarrow R \otimes_k S$, and $\text{Nm}_S(x) = \det l_x$. Also,

$$\text{Nm}_S(ax) = a^n \text{Nm}_S(x), \quad \forall a \in S, x \in R \otimes_k S,$$

and Nm_S is multiplicative.

Also define $B = \{a \in A \mid \mu^*(a) = 1 \otimes a\} \subset A$ to be the k -subalgebra of A consisting of G -invariant sections; that is, for $a \in A$, the morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ corresponding to $k[T] \rightarrow A$, $T \mapsto G$ is G -invariant if and only if $a \in B$. Define the composite

$$N : A \xrightarrow{\mu^*} R \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_A} A.$$

Note that N is multiplicative and k -homogeneous of degree n .

Claim. $N(A) \subset B$, i.e., $\mu^*(N(a)) = 1 \otimes N(a)$ for each $a \in A$.

Proof of Claim. We define two k -algebra homomorphisms (with their geometric correspondences) as follows:

$$\phi : A \rightarrow R \otimes_k A, \quad a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$$

corresponding to

$$p_2 : G \times X \rightarrow X, \quad (g, x) \mapsto x;$$

and

$$\psi : R \otimes_k R \otimes_k A \rightarrow R \otimes_k R \otimes_k A, \quad x \otimes y \otimes a \mapsto (m^*(x) \otimes 1) \cdot (1 \otimes y \otimes a)$$

corresponding to

$$\text{Spec } \psi : G \times G \times X \rightarrow G \times G \times X, \quad (g, h, x) \mapsto (gh, h, x).$$

Firstly, we make a remark. If $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ is a k -algebra homomorphism, then the diagram commutes, namely, $f \circ \text{Nm}_{S_1} = \text{Nm}_{S_2} \circ (1_R \otimes f)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R \otimes_k S_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_{S_1}} & S_1 \\ 1_R \otimes f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ R \otimes_k S_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}_{S_2}} & S_2 \end{array}$$

So, by the above remark, we obtain that

$$\mu^* \circ N = \mu^* \circ \text{Nm}_A \circ \mu^* = \text{Nm}_{R \otimes_k A} \circ (1_R \otimes \mu^*) \circ \mu^*.$$

Moreover,

$$\text{Nm}_{R \otimes_k A} \circ (1_R \otimes \mu^*) \circ \mu^* = \text{Nm}_{R \otimes_k A} \circ (m^* \otimes 1_A) \circ \mu^* = \text{Nm}_{R \otimes_k A} \circ \psi \circ (1_R \otimes \phi) \circ \mu^*$$

because of the two diagrams are commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{1_G \times \mu} & G \times X \\ m \times 1_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{1_G \times \mu} & G \times G \times X \xrightarrow{\text{id}_G \times p_2} G \times X \\ m \times 1_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \swarrow \mu \\ G \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \end{array}$$

Let us regard $R \otimes_k (R \otimes_k A)$ as an $R \otimes_k A$ -algebra via the last two factors, i.e., via the k -algebra homomorphism

$$R \otimes_k A \rightarrow R \otimes_k R \otimes_k A, \quad r \otimes a \mapsto 1 \otimes r \otimes a.$$

Then $\psi : R \otimes_k R \otimes_k A \rightarrow R \otimes_k R \otimes_k A$ is an $R \otimes_k A$ -algebra automorphism; equivalently, we need to verify that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G \times X & \xrightarrow{\text{Spec } \psi} & G \times G \times X \\ & \searrow p_{23} \quad \swarrow p_{23} & \\ & G \times X & \end{array}$$

is an automorphism. Thus,

$$\text{Nm}_{R \otimes_k A} \circ \psi = \text{Nm}_{R \otimes_k A}.$$

And therefore,

$$\mu^* \circ N = \text{Nm}_{R \otimes_k A} \circ (1_R \otimes \phi) \circ \mu^* = \phi \circ \text{Nm}_A \circ \mu^* = 1 \otimes N.$$

This proves our claim. \square

We extend the G -action on X to $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ such that G acts trivially on \mathbb{A}^1 with $\mu \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1} : G \times X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{A}^1$. Correspondingly, $\mu^* : A \rightarrow R \otimes_k A$ can be extended to a k -algebra homomorphism $A[T] \rightarrow R \otimes_k A[T]$. So we can extend the map $N : A \rightarrow A$ to $N : A[T] \rightarrow A[T]$. For $a \in A$, we set $\chi_a(T) = N(T - a)$ and we can extend χ_a to a k -algebra homomorphism $k[T] \rightarrow A[T]$ (and hence determines a morphism $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$).

It is straightforward to verify that $\chi_a(T) \in A[T]$ is the characteristic polynomial of the A -linear map

$$l_{\mu^*(a)} : R \otimes_k A \rightarrow R \otimes_k A$$

that is induced by the multiplication by $\mu^*(a)$, and $\chi_a(T)$ is G -invariant, i.e., the morphism $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ determined by $\chi_a(T)$ is G -invariant. So

$$\chi_a(T) = T^n + s_1 T^{n-1} + \cdots + s_n \in A[T]$$

is monic of degree n , and $s_i \in B$ for all i ; namely, $\chi_a(T) \in B[T]$.

Fix $a \in A$. The map $\varepsilon : R \rightarrow k$ corresponding to the section $e : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow G$ extends to an A -linear map $\varepsilon \otimes 1_A : R \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$ such that the composite $A \xrightarrow{\mu^*} R \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \otimes 1_A} A$ is nothing but id_A . Thus the A -linear map $l_{\mu^*(a)-a} : R \otimes_k A \rightarrow R \otimes_k A$ induces the zero map on the quotient $\varepsilon \otimes 1_A : R \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$. It follows that

$$\chi_a(a) = \det(l_{a-\mu^*(a)}) = 0,$$

namely, a is integral over B . Hence A is integral over B . Since A is a finitely generated k -algebra, there exists a finitely generated k -subalgebra $B' \subset B$ such that A is integral and finite over B' . Then B is finite over B' . Hence B is a finitely generated k -algebra. If we use $\pi : X \rightarrow Y = \text{Spec } B$ to denote the morphism corresponding to the inclusion $B \hookrightarrow A$, then π is definitely finite and surjective.

Now we prove that π separates orbits, i.e. for two closed points $x_1, x_2 \in X(k)$, if $G_{\text{red}}(k) = G'$ and $G' \cdot x_1 \cap G' \cdot x_2 = \emptyset$, then $\pi(x_1) \neq \pi(x_2)$. Define

$$N_{\text{red}} : A \xrightarrow{\mu_{\text{red}}^*} R_{\text{red}} \otimes_k A \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} A.$$

By the argument in the previous lectures for Chapter II, we can find $a \in A$ such that $a(g'x_1) = 1$, $a(g'x_2) = 0$ for all $g' \in G'$. Granting this, we obtain

$$N_{\text{red}}(a)(x_1) = 1, \quad N_{\text{red}}(a)(x_2) = 0.$$

From the commutative diagrams (with $\alpha \in R \otimes_k A$ arbitrarily fixed):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\mu^*} & R \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{l_\alpha} & R \otimes_k A \\ & \searrow \mu_{\text{red}}^* & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & R_{\text{red}} \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{l_{\bar{\alpha}}} & R_{\text{red}} \otimes_k A \end{array}$$

We can verify that $N(a)(x_1) \neq 0$, $N(a)(x_2) = 0$. Their implications are that $l_{\bar{\alpha}}(x_1)$ is an isomorphism (hence is surjective), and $l_{\bar{\alpha}}(x_2)$ is not surjective, respectively. One can actually show

$$N_{\text{red}}(T - a)(x_1) = (T - 1)^n, \quad N_{\text{red}}(T - a)(x_2) = T^n.$$

On the other hand, since $N(a) \in B$, it forces $\pi(x_1) \neq \pi(x_2)$.

By definition, $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)^G$ is the kernel of the \mathcal{O}_Y -linear map

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k R, \quad f \mapsto \mu^*(f) - f \otimes 1.$$

Then $\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)^G$ is coherent on Y , and $\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)^G$. Finally, the universal property of (Y, π) naturally follows from the construction. This finishes the proof of (A). \square

10.4. Proof of Theorem (B).

Proof. Given a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on Y , we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\lambda : p_2^*(\pi^* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mu^*(\pi^* \mathcal{F})$$

as the two composites

$$G \times X \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mu} \\ \xrightarrow{p_2} \end{array} X \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$$

are equal. One can verify that this defined a lift of μ to $\pi^* \mathcal{F}$, i.e., can check the diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_3^* \pi^* \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \xi^* \pi^* \mathcal{F} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \eta^* \pi^* \mathcal{F} & \end{array}$$

Conversely, let \mathcal{G} be a coherent sheaf on X and we have a lift of μ to \mathcal{G} . In case when $Y = \text{Spec } B$ and $X = \text{Spec } A$ are affine, $\mathcal{G} = \tilde{N}$ for some A -module N . We define $\pi_*(\mathcal{G})^G$ to be the coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module corresponding to the B -module

$$N^G = \{n \in N \mid \lambda(\underbrace{1 \otimes n}_{p_2^*(n)}) = \mu^*(n) = n \otimes_{A, \mu^*} 1 \in N \otimes_{A, \mu^*} (R \otimes_k A)\}.$$

We run this construction for all open affine G -stable subsets of X , and can define $\pi_*(\mathcal{G})^G$ in general.

Now we assume that the action of G on X is free. The requirement is to prove:

- (1) π is flat; alternatively, $B \rightarrow A$ is flat;
- (2) $G \times X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \times_Y X$ is an isomorphism;
- (3) the functors

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{(G, \mathcal{O}_X)}, \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \pi^* \mathcal{F}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{(G, \mathcal{O}_X)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_Y}, \quad \mathcal{G} \mapsto \pi_*(\mathcal{G})^G$$

are inverses to each other. For this, it suffices to show $T(\mathcal{G}) : \pi^* \pi_*(\mathcal{G})^G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is an isomorphism for each (G, \mathcal{O}_X) -module \mathcal{G} .

Now we assume $X = \mathrm{Spec} A$ is affine. As the G -action is free, $(\mu, p_2) : G \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ is a closed immersion. Since it factors through $X \times_Y X$, we get a surjective k -algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow R \otimes_k A, \quad a_1 \otimes a_2 \mapsto \mu^*(a_1)(1 \otimes a_2).$$

Then it boils down to prove that

- (1') A is flat over $B = A^G$, and φ is injective;
- (2') for each coherent (G, A) -module M , the natural map $M^G \otimes_B A \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism;
- (3') if M is a projective A -module, M^G is projective as a B -module.

We first explain that (1')(2') imply (3'). It suffices to show that M^G is flat as a B -module, or equivalently, the functor

$$(\cdot) \otimes_B M^G : \mathrm{Mod}_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_B$$

is exact. Since $B \rightarrow A$ is faithfully flat by (1'), this is to prove that the functor

$$((\cdot) \otimes_B M^G) \otimes_B A : \mathrm{Mod}_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_A$$

is exact. For any B -module N , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (N \otimes_B M^G) \otimes_B A &\cong (N \otimes_B A) \otimes_A (A \otimes_B M^G) \\ &\cong (N \otimes_B A) \otimes_A M \quad \text{by granting (2')} \\ &\cong N \otimes_B M. \end{aligned}$$

And since M is A -flat with A being B -flat, the functor is morally exact. Therefore, we are left to prove (1')(2').

- (1') Replacing B by $B_{\mathfrak{m}}$ where $\mathfrak{m} \subset B$ is the maximal ideal and A by $A \otimes_B B_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we may assume, without loss of generality, that B is local and A is semi-local. Regard $A \otimes_B A$ and $R \otimes_k A$ as A -algebras via the second factor. The map

$$\varphi : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow R \otimes_k A, \quad a_1 \otimes a_2 \mapsto \mu^*(a_1)(1 \otimes a_2)$$

is a homomorphism of A -algebras. Since φ is onto, $R \otimes_k A$ is generated by $\mu^*(a)$ with $a \in A$ as an A -algebra. Since A is semi-local one can find $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ in A such that $\{\mu^*(a_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ form a basis of $R \otimes_k A$ as an A -module.⁵

⁵Here are more details about this step of argument. Since $R \otimes_k A$ is a free A -module of rank n , it suffices to show that $\{\mu^*(a_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ generates $R \otimes_k A$ as an A -module for some suitable $\{a_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$. By Nakayama's lemma, it reduces to the case where $A = \prod_{i=1}^m k$. We are to prove the following: if M is a free A -module of rank n , and a k -subspace $\Sigma \subset M$ is a set of generators of M , then there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Sigma$

Claim. For $a, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in A$,

$$(*) \quad \mu^*(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 \otimes \lambda_i) \cdot \mu^*(a_i) \iff a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \cdot a_i \text{ with } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in B.$$

For (\Leftarrow) , apply μ^* to $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \cdot a_i$ and use the fact that $\mu^*(\lambda_i) = 1 \otimes \lambda_i$ as $\lambda_i \in B$. For (\Rightarrow) , since $(1_R \otimes \mu^*)(\mu^*a) = (m^* \otimes 1_A)(\mu^*a)$ in $R \otimes_k R \otimes_k A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n (1 \otimes \mu^*(\lambda_i))(1_R \otimes \mu^*)(\mu^*(a_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda_i)(m^* \otimes 1_A)(\mu^*(a_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda_i)(1_R \otimes \mu^*)(\mu^*(a_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\mu^*(a_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a basis of $R \otimes_k A$ as an A -module, $(1_R \otimes \mu^*)(\mu^*(a_i))$ is a basis of $R \otimes_k R \otimes_k A$ as an $R \otimes_k A$ -module via the last two factors. (Here we have used $(R \otimes_k A) \otimes_{A, \mu^*} (R \otimes_k A) \cong R \otimes_k R \otimes_k A$.) Thus, in $R \otimes_k R \otimes_k A$,

$$1 \otimes \mu^*(\lambda_i) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda_i.$$

So all λ_i 's land in B . Apply $\varepsilon \otimes 1$ to $\mu^*(a) = \sum_{i=1}^n (1 \otimes \lambda_i)(\mu^*(a_i))$, we have $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \cdot a_i$. So we have proved $(*)$. However, $(*)$ implies that A is a free B -module with basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$. This shows A is flat over B . Moreover, the A -linear map $\varphi : A \otimes_B A \rightarrow R \otimes_k A$ is a map between free A -modules of rank n and takes a basis $\{a_i \otimes 1\}$ to a basis $\{\mu^*(a_i)\}$. So φ is an isomorphism.

(2') Morally, this follows from the general descent theory. We only list out a sketch. View $M \otimes_B A$ and $A \otimes_B M$ as $A \otimes_B A$ -modules in the obvious way. Then a G -action on M is an isomorphism of $A \otimes_B A$ -modules $\tau : A \otimes_B M \rightarrow M \otimes_B A$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A \otimes A \otimes M & \\ \swarrow 1_A \otimes \tau & \downarrow (p_1 \circ \tau) \otimes 1_A \otimes (p_2 \circ \tau) & \\ A \otimes M \otimes A & & M \otimes A \otimes A \\ \searrow \tau \otimes 1_A & & \end{array}$$

Note that the right vertical map is given by τ on the first and the third factors together with 1_A on the second factor.

such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of M as an A -module. To see this, one can use induction on $n = \text{rank}_A M$. When $n = 1$, it suffices to find an element $x \in \Sigma$ such that if $x = (x^1, \dots, x^m)$ then $x^i \neq 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. We can prove this by induction on m and use the fact that $k = \bar{k}$ is algebraically closed. And hence k is infinite. In general, suppose the statement holds for n and M is a free A -module of rank $n + 1$. Then one may find $x_1 \in M$ if we write $x_1 = (x_1^1, \dots, x_1^m)$ under the decomposition $A = \prod_{i=1}^m k$. Thus $x_1^i \neq 0$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, i.e., $Ax_1 \subset M$ is a free A -submodule of rank 1. Since A is isomorphic to m -copies of k , any (finitely generated) A -module is locally free and hence projective. Therefore, there exists $M_1 \subset M$ that is free of rank n such that $M = Ax_1 \oplus M_1$. Apply the inductive hypothesis to M_1 and get the desired $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}\}$.

Define

$$N = \{m \in M \mid \tau(1 \otimes m) = m \otimes 1\}.$$

We need to show that $N \otimes_B A \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism. Notice that

$$N = \text{Ker}(\phi : M \rightarrow M \otimes_B A), \quad m \mapsto m \otimes 1 - \tau(1 \otimes m)$$

and $B \rightarrow A$ is flat, we have

$$N \otimes_B A = \left\{ \sum_i m_i \otimes a_i \in M \otimes_B A \mid \sum_i m_i \otimes 1 \otimes a_i = \sum_i \tau(1 \otimes m_i) \otimes a_i \right\}.$$

Applying the commutative diagram above to $1 \otimes 1 \otimes m \in A \otimes A \otimes M$, we have

$$\tau(1 \otimes M) \subset N \otimes_B A$$

as subsets in $M \otimes_B A$. We view $M \otimes_B A$ as an A -module via the second factor, then $\tau(1 \otimes M)$ and $N \otimes_B A$ are A -submodules of $M \otimes_B A$; and $N \otimes_B A$ is generated by those $n \otimes 1$ with $n \in N$. Since $n \otimes 1 = \tau(1 \otimes n) \in \tau(1 \otimes M)$, we have $M \otimes_B A = \tau(1 \otimes M)$. On the other hand, as $B \rightarrow A$ is faithfully flat, the map $M \rightarrow A \otimes_B M$ is injective and we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\quad \sim \quad} & \\ M \longrightarrow 1 \otimes M & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \tau(1 \otimes M) \\ m \longmapsto & 1 \otimes m & \end{array}$$

so we get a canonical isomorphism $N \otimes_B A \cong M$.

We have accomplished the proof of (B). □

INTERLUDE: ON SEESAW'S THEOREM

This is a preliminary part of the upcoming lecture which recalls and generalizes the classical Seesaw's theorem we have mentioned in Chapter II.

Theorem 10.7 (Seesaw). *Let X be a complete variety, T any variety, and \mathcal{M} a line bundle on $X \times T$. Then the set*

$$T_1 = \{t \in T \mid \mathcal{M}|_{X \times \{t\}} \text{ is trivial on } X \times \{t\}\}$$

is closed in T , and if $p_2 : X \times T_1 \rightarrow T_1$ is the second projection, then $\mathcal{M}|_{X \times T_1} \cong p_2^ \mathcal{N}$ for some line bundle \mathcal{N} on T_1 . Also, T_1 has the reduced closed subscheme structure.*

Proposition 10.8 (Generalized Seesaw). *Let X be a complete variety, Y a scheme, and \mathcal{M} a line bundle on $X \times Y$. Then there exists a unique and closed subscheme $Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y$ with the following properties.*

- (1) *If $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}|_{X \times Y_1}$, there is a line bundle \mathcal{N}_1 on Y_1 and an isomorphism $p_2^* \mathcal{N}_1 \cong \mathcal{M}_1$ on $X \times Y_1$; or alternatively, if $i_1 : Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y$ denotes the first natural closed immersion, we obtain $p_2^* \mathcal{N}_1 \cong (1_X \times i_1)^* \mathcal{M}$.*
- (2) *If $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism such that there exists a line bundle \mathcal{K} on Z and an isomorphism $p_2^* \mathcal{K} \cong (1_X \times f)^* \mathcal{M}$ on $X \times Z$, then f factors as*

$$f : Z \rightarrow Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y.$$

Remark 10.9. For any closed point $y_1 \in Y_1(k)$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}|_{X \times \{y_1\}} \cong \mathcal{M}_1|_{X \times \{y_1\}} \cong (p_2^* \mathcal{N}_1)|_{X \times \{y_1\}}$$

being trivial. So the closed subvarieties given by the above two Seesaw's are homeomorphic as topological spaces. But the closed subscheme Y_1 in the second proposition may have nonreduced closed subscheme structure so that the universal property (2) holds.

We refer the closed subscheme Y_1 of Y in Proposition 10.8 as the maximal closed subscheme of Y over which \mathcal{M} is trivial. (Caveat: the notation is a little misleading as $\mathcal{M}|_{X \times Y_1}$ is NOT a trivial line bundle in general. Sorry for this!)

11. THE DUAL ABELIAN VARIETY IN ANY CHARACTERISTIC

In Chapter II, we defined a reduced closed subscheme $K(\mathcal{L})$ of X , for every line bundle \mathcal{L} on an abelian variety X , i.e.,

$$K(\mathcal{L}) = \{x \in X(k) \mid T_x^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}\}.$$

We want to make $K(\mathcal{L})$ as a (nonreduced) closed subgroup scheme of X .

Definition 11.1. Consider the line bundle $\mathcal{M} = m^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ on $X \times X$. We define $K(\mathcal{L})$ to be the maximal closed subscheme of X such that $\mathcal{M}|_{X \times K(\mathcal{L})}$ is trivial.

Remark 11.2. We apply the generalized Seesaw theorem (Proposition 10.8) to $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(X \times X)$ and get a line bundle \mathcal{N}_1 on $K(\mathcal{L})$ and an isomorphism $p_2^* \mathcal{N}_1 \cong \mathcal{M}|_{X \times K(\mathcal{L})}$. But $\mathcal{N}_1 \cong (p_2^* \mathcal{N}_1)|_{\{e_X\} \times K(\mathcal{L})} \cong \mathcal{M}|_{\{e_X\} \times K(\mathcal{L})}$ is trivial as $\mathcal{M}|_{\{e_X\} \times K(\mathcal{L})}$ is trivial. So that $\mathcal{M}|_{X \times K(\mathcal{L})}$ is trivial as well.

In the upcoming context we are to verify that $K(\mathcal{L})$ is a *closed subgroup scheme* of X . Recall we have defined the “translation by f ” morphism, say T_f , as an automorphism over S as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times S =: X_S & \xrightarrow{T_f} & X_S \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & S & \end{array}$$

Also, $p_1 \circ T_f : X_S \rightarrow X$ is the composite

$$X \times S \xrightarrow{1_X \times f} X \times X \xrightarrow{m} X.$$

Here X is a commutative group scheme, so there is no difference between left and right translations.

Lemma 11.3. Set $\mathcal{L}_S = p_1^* \mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X_S)$. Then $f \in K(\mathcal{L})(S)$ if and only if $T_f^* \mathcal{L}_S \cong \mathcal{L}_S \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{N}$ for some $\mathcal{N} \in \text{Pic}(S)$.

Proof. By direct computation, we have

$$T_f^* \mathcal{L}_S = T_f^* p_1^* \mathcal{L} \cong (1_X \times f)^* (m^* \mathcal{L}),$$

and hence $T_f^* \mathcal{L}_S|_{\{e_X\} \times S} \cong f^* \mathcal{L}$; the restriction $\mathcal{L}_S|_{\{e_X\} \times S}$ is trivial. So if $T_f^* \mathcal{L}_S \cong \mathcal{L}_S \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{N}$ for some $\mathcal{N} \in \text{Pic}(S)$, by restricting to $\{e_X\} \times S$, we have $\mathcal{N} \cong f^* \mathcal{L}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_f^* \mathcal{L}_S &\cong \mathcal{L}_S \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{N} \\ \iff (1_X \times f)^* m^* \mathcal{L} &\cong p_1^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_2^* f^* \mathcal{L} \\ \iff (1_X \times f)^* m^* \mathcal{L} \otimes p_1^* \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes p_2^* (f^* \mathcal{L})^{-1} &\cong (1_X \times f)^* \mathcal{M} \text{ is trivial on } X \times S \\ \iff f &\text{ factors through } K(\mathcal{L}). \end{aligned}$$

This is equivalent to say $f \in K(\mathcal{L})(S)$. □

It follows from Lemma 11.3 that $K(\mathcal{L})(S)$ is a subgroup of $X(S)$.

Hence $K(\mathcal{L})$ is a subgroup scheme of X . Now we are ready to construct the dual abelian variety over any characteristic. Fix an ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on X . Then $K(\mathcal{L})$ is a closed finite subgroup scheme of X . Define $\hat{X} = X/K(\mathcal{L})$ where $K(\mathcal{L})$ acts on X via translation

and $\pi : X \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ is the natural morphism. One can verify that \widehat{X} is also an abelian variety and π is an isogeny of abelian varieties, i.e., a finite surjective homomorphism. Consequently,

$$\widehat{X}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} X(k)/K(\mathcal{L})(k) \xrightarrow[\phi_{\mathcal{L}}]{\sim} \text{Pic}^0(X).$$

There is another isomorphism of abelian groups $\widehat{X}(k) \cong \text{Pic}^0(X)$. As before, we want to define the Poincaré bundle $P \in \text{Pic}(X \times \widehat{X})$ such that $(1_X \times \pi)^*(P) = \mathcal{M}$, via the isogeny $1_X \times \pi : X \times X \rightarrow X \times \widehat{X}$ with its kernel $K = 1 \times K(\mathcal{L})$. So it suffices to define a lift of the action of K on $X \times X$ to \mathcal{M} . More precisely, we need to find an isomorphism

$$\lambda : p_2^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mu^* \mathcal{M}$$

where $p_2 : K \times (X \times X) \rightarrow X \times X$ is the natural projection. (Also recall that $\mu : K \times (X \times X) \rightarrow X \times X$ is the translation morphism.

In general, for a scheme S and an S -valued point $(e, x) : S \rightarrow K = 1 \times K(\mathcal{L})$ of K (so $x \in K(\mathcal{L})(S)$), let

$$T_{(e,x)} : X_S \times_S X_S \rightarrow X_S \times_S X_S$$

be the translation by $(e, x) \in K(S) \subset (X \times X)(S)$ and $T_x : X_S \rightarrow X_S$ be the translation by $x \in X(S)$. Let \mathcal{M}_S be the inverse image of \mathcal{M} under the projection $X_S \times_S X_S \cong S \times X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ and \mathcal{L}_S the image of \mathcal{L} under $X_S = X \times S \rightarrow X$. Then we have $T_{(e,x)}^* \mathcal{M}_S \cong m_S^* T_x^* \mathcal{L}_S \otimes p_{1,S}^* \mathcal{L}_S^{-1}$. Since $x \in K(\mathcal{L})(S)$ we have an isomorphism

$$T_x^* \mathcal{L}_S \cong \mathcal{L}_S \otimes p_S^* \mathcal{N}, \quad \text{for some } \mathcal{N} \in \text{Pic}(S).$$

Here $p_S : X_S = X \times S \rightarrow S$ is the natural projection. Fix such an isomorphism and we obtain an isomorphism on $X_S \times_S X_S$:

$$\lambda_S : \mathcal{M}_S \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{(e,x)}^* \mathcal{M}_S.$$

In particular we take $S = 1 \times K(\mathcal{L}) = K$ and $(e, x) \in K(S)$ to be the identity morphism. We get an isomorphism

$$\lambda : p_2^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mu^* \mathcal{M}$$

as before. Here λ cannot be chosen arbitrarily as it must satisfy some extra condition. In general, we want to have a “canonical” isomorphism $\lambda_S : \mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow T_{(e,x)}^* \mathcal{M}_S$ on $X_S \times_S X_S$ for all S . Fortunately, this can be done by restricting to $e_S \times_S S \hookrightarrow X_S \times_S X_S$. (Check this; as an exercise).

As a consequence, we obtain a Poincaré bundle P on $X \times \widehat{X}$ such that $P|_{\{e_X\} \times \widehat{X}}$ is trivial and for all $\alpha \in \widehat{X}(k)$, $P|_{X \times \{\alpha\}}$ corresponds to the element in $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ under the isomorphism $\widehat{X}(k) \cong \text{Pic}^0(X)$, i.e., (\widehat{X}, P) satisfies the first property of Theorem 8.3, in Chapter II, that characterizes \widehat{X} . But some modification towards the second property is required. It generalizes as follows.

Theorem 11.4. *Let S be any scheme. Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X \times S)$ be such that $\mathcal{L}|_{\{e_X\} \times S}$ is trivial and $\mathcal{L}|_{X \times \{s\}} \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ for each closed point $s \in S(k)$. Then there exists a unique morphism $\phi : S \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \cong (1_X \times \phi)^* P$.*

Proof. As before, we consider the line bundle $\mathcal{M} = p_{13}^*P \otimes p_{12}^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ on $X \times S \times \widehat{X}$ and let Γ_S be the maximal closed subscheme of $S \times \widehat{X}$ over which \mathcal{M} is trivial.⁶ The goal is to show

$$f : \Gamma_S \hookrightarrow S \times \widehat{X} \xrightarrow{p_1} S$$

is an isomorphism. We know f is a homeomorphism on the underlying topological spaces. It suffices to show that for any closed subscheme S_0 of S such that $|S_0|$ is a single point of S . Then the morphism

$$f \times_S S_0 : \Gamma_S \times_S S_0 \rightarrow S_0$$

is an isomorphism. In fact, if this is valid, then f is bijective on closed points, and hence f is quasi-finite. Since f is proper, we have f being finite by the Zariski Main Theorem.

The statement follows from the fact. let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and B a finite A -algebra. If $A/\mathfrak{m}^n \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{m}^n B$ is an isomorphism for any n , then $A \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism. So we may assume $S = \text{Spec } B$ where B is a finite local k -algebra and $S = \{s\}$ a single point. Moreover, we can assume that $\mathcal{L}|_{X \times \{s\}}$ is trivial. Consider the line bundle $\mathcal{M} = p_{13}^*P \otimes p_{12}^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ on $X \times S \times \widehat{X}$. Since $\mathcal{M}|_{\{e_X\} \times \{s\} \times \widehat{X}} \cong P|_{\{e_X\} \times \widehat{X}}$ is trivial (and hence belongs to $\text{Pic}^0(\widehat{X})$), we have $\mathcal{M}|_{\{x\} \times \{s\} \times \widehat{X}} \in \text{Pic}^0(\widehat{X})$ for all $x \in X(k)$. On the other hand,

$$\pi^*(\mathcal{M}|_{\{x\} \times \{s\} \times \widehat{X}}) \cong (T_x^* \mathcal{L}_a) \otimes \mathcal{L}_a^{-1},$$

where \mathcal{L}_a is the ample line bundle on X we have chosen before to construct \widehat{X} . So there are only finitely many $x \in X(k)$ such that $\mathcal{M}|_{\{x\} \times \{s\} \times \widehat{X}}$ is trivial.

Since $H^i(\widehat{X}, \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $0 \neq \mathcal{L}_{\widehat{X}} \in \text{Pic}^0(\widehat{X})$, the support of $R^i p_{12,*} \mathcal{M}$ on $X \times S$ is the disjoint union of finitely many closed points. So

$$H^n(X \times S, R^i p_{12,*} \mathcal{M}) = 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

By the Leray spectral sequence

$$H^i(X \times S, R^j p_{12,*} \mathcal{M}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X \times S \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{M})$$

we have the canonical isomorphisms

$$H^n(X \times S \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{M}) \cong H^0(X \times S, R^n p_{12,*} \mathcal{M}).$$

Now apply the projection formula (cf. [Har13, III, Exer 8.3]),

$$\begin{aligned} R^n p_{12,*} \mathcal{M} &= R^n p_{12,*} (p_{13}^*P \otimes p_{12}^*\mathcal{L}^{-1}) \\ &\cong R^n p_{12,*} p_{13}^*P \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \\ &\cong R^n p_{12,*} p_{13}^*P. \end{aligned}$$

The last step above uses the fact that $R^n p_{12,*} p_{13}^*P$ has support on finitely many closed points. Therefore, in summary,

$$H^n(X \times S \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{M}) \cong H^n(X \times S \times \widehat{X}, p_{13}^*P) \boxed{\cong} H^n(X \times \widehat{X}, P) \otimes_k B.$$

\uparrow
 by flat base change theorem

⁶Here recall the fact at work that $\mathcal{M}|_{\{e_X\} \times S \times \widehat{X}}$ is trivial.

In particular, $H^n(X \times S \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{M})$ are free B -modules for all $n \geq 0$. Similarly, we consider the projection $p_{23} : X \times S \times \widehat{X} \rightarrow S \times \widehat{X}$. As $\mathcal{L}|_{X \times \{s\}}$ is trivial by our assumption, we get

$$\mathcal{M}|_{X \times \{s\} \times \{\alpha\}} \cong P|_{X \times \{\alpha\}} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}|_{X \times \{s\}} \cong P|_{X \times \{\alpha\}},$$

which further implies that $M|_{X \times \{s\} \times \{\alpha\}} \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ for all $\alpha \in \widehat{X}(k)$ and it is trivial if and only if $\alpha = e_{\widehat{X}}$. We infer that $R^i p_{23,*} \mathcal{M}$ is supported at the point $(s, e_{\widehat{X}})$ of $S \times \widehat{X}$. Then

$$H^n(X \times S \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{M}) \cong H^0(S \times \widehat{X}, R^n p_{23,*} \mathcal{M}) = (R^n p_{23,*} \mathcal{M})_{(s, e_{\widehat{X}})},$$

the stalk of the sheaf at the closed point $(s, e_{\widehat{X}})$. For simplicity, we use \mathcal{O} to denote the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}, e_{\widehat{X}}}$ of \widehat{X} at $e_{\widehat{X}}$. Then the stalk A of $S \times \widehat{X}$ at $(s, e_{\widehat{X}})$ is given by $B \otimes_k \mathcal{O}$. Consider the following Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_A & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{M} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X \times \text{Spec } A & \longrightarrow & X \times S \times \widehat{X} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{23} \\ \text{Spec } A & \longrightarrow & S \times \widehat{X} \end{array}$$

and we have $R^i p_{23,*} \mathcal{M}|_{(s, e_{\widehat{X}})} \cong R^i p_* \mathcal{M}_A$. Since $p : X \times \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ is proper and flat, and \mathcal{M}_A is a line bundle on $X \times \text{Spec } A$, by the main theorem (Theorem 5.2) in *Cohomology and base change*, there is a finite complex

$$K^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^g \rightarrow 0$$

of finitely generated free A -modules such that

$$H^i(K^\bullet) \cong R^i p_{23,*} \mathcal{M}|_{(s, e_{\widehat{X}})} \cong R^i p_* \mathcal{M}_A = H^i(X \times \text{Spec } A, \mathcal{M}_A),$$

where $g = \dim X = \dim \mathcal{O}$. This is crucial in the computation of cohomology groups of P and \mathcal{O}_X .⁷

Lemma 11.5. *Let \mathcal{O} be a regular local ring of $\dim g$, and*

$$0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^g \rightarrow 0$$

be a complex of finitely generated free \mathcal{O} -modules. If $H^i(K^\bullet)$ are artinian \mathcal{O} -modules, we have $H^i(K^\bullet) = 0$ for each $0 \leq i < g$.

Resume on. By this lemma, we see that $R^i p_{23,*} \mathcal{M} = 0$ for each $0 \leq i < g$, and we get an exact sequence of A -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^g \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

such that $N = (R^g p_{23,*} \mathcal{M})_{(s, e_{\widehat{X}})} \cong H^g(X \times S \times \widehat{X}, \mathcal{M})$ which is a free B -module.

Now we apply $\text{Hom}_A(\cdot, A)$ to the complex K^\bullet , and get another complex

$$\widehat{K}^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow \widehat{K}^g \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0 \rightarrow 0$$

and by the lemma above, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{K}^g \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$$

⁷Exercise: we only know K^\bullet should be bounded by the theorem. Why is it bounded on $[0, g]$?

of A -modules. Since

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(K^\bullet \otimes_A k) &\cong H^0(X \times \{s\} \times \{e_{\widehat{X}}\}, \mathcal{M}|_{X \times \{s\} \times \{e_{\widehat{X}}\}}) \\ &\cong k = \text{Ker}(K^0 \otimes_A k \rightarrow K^1 \otimes_A k), \end{aligned}$$

we see $K \otimes_A k = \text{Ker}(\widehat{K}^1 \otimes_A k \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0 \otimes_A k)$ is 1-dimensional over k . Thus, for some ideal I , there is an isomorphism of A -modules $K \cong A/I$. Then one can show the closed subscheme Γ_S of $S \times \widehat{X}$ is the closed subscheme of $\text{Spec } A$ defined by the ideal I and the map $B \rightarrow B \otimes_k \mathcal{O} = A \rightarrow A/I$ is an isomorphism. In other words, the composite $\Gamma_S \hookrightarrow S \times \widehat{X} \xrightarrow{p_1} S$ is an isomorphism. So we get a morphism

$$\phi : S \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_S \xrightarrow{p_2} \widehat{X}$$

which is the unique morphism we need. \square

The importance of the proof is that it helps us to compute the cohomology groups of P and \mathcal{O}_X .

Corollary 11.6. *As for the cohomology groups of P , we have*

$$H^i(X \times \widehat{X}, P) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq g = \dim X; \\ k, & i = g = \dim X. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the previous proof, we take $S = \text{Spec } k$ and \mathcal{L} is trivial. So that

$$H^n(X \times \widehat{X}, P) \cong H^n(K^\bullet), \quad n \geq 0.$$

In this case $\Gamma_S = \text{Spec } k$ and $\phi : S \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ is given by $e_{\widehat{X}}$. Thus, $K \cong k$ and we have an exact sequence of A -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{K}^g \rightarrow \widehat{K}^{g-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0,$$

i.e., \widehat{K}^\bullet is a free resolution of k . Since $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}, e_{\widehat{X}}}$ is a regular local ring of dimension g , the \mathcal{O} -module k has a standard resolution by free \mathcal{O} -modules that is called the Koszul complex L_\bullet and is defined as follows.

Let (x_1, \dots, x_g) be a system of generators of \mathcal{O} . Take

$$L_k := \text{free } \mathcal{O}\text{-modules with basis } \{e_{i_1 \dots i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq g\},$$

and the differentials

$$d_k : L_k \rightarrow L_{k-1}, \quad e_{i_1 \dots i_k} \mapsto \sum_{l=1}^k (-1)^l \chi_{i_l} e_{i_1 \dots \widehat{i_l} \dots i_k}.$$

Then we have a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow L_g \rightarrow L_{g-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

of k . Hence L_\bullet is homotopic to \widehat{K}^\bullet as chain complexes. Therefore,

$$H^i(X \times \widehat{X}, P) \cong H^i(K^\bullet) \cong H_{g-i}(L_\bullet) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq g; \\ k, & i = g. \end{cases}$$

For more details, see [Mat80, §18]. \square

Corollary 11.7. *Let $g = \dim X$. Then*

$$\dim_k H^p(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \binom{g}{p}.$$

Proof. Using the same notation as in the proof of Corollary 11.6 above. We have

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A k) \cong H^p(L_\bullet \otimes_A k) = L_{g-p}.$$

Hence

$$\dim_k H^p(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \binom{g}{g-p} = \binom{g}{p}.$$

□

Corollary 11.8. *There is a canonical isomorphism between the tangent space at $e_{\widehat{X}}$ on \widehat{X} and $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. That is,*

$$\mathrm{Lie} \widehat{X} \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Proof. Trivial. □

REFERENCES

- [Eis13] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra: with a view toward algebraic geometry*, volume 150 of *Graduate Text in Mathematics*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Har13] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52. World Publishing Co., Beijing, China, 2013.
- [MA67] Jacob P. Murre and Siva Anantharaman. Lectures on an introduction to Grothendieck's theory of the fundamental group. 1967.
- [Mat80] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*. Benjamin/Cummings Pub. Co, Reading, Mass, 2nd edition, 1980.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 100871, BEIJING, CHINA
Email address: `daiwenhan@pku.edu.cn`