

**Lecture 5**

**ALGEBRAIC THEORY VIA VARIETIES**

COHOMOLOGY AND BASE CHANGE

The references for this section is [Har13, III, §12] and Conrad's lecture notes [Con00, §9].

*Setups.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. Assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is flat over  $Y$ , i.e., for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is flat as an  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}$ -module. For any  $y \in Y$ , we denote

$$X_y := X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(k(y))$$

and  $\mathcal{F}_y$  the inverse image of  $\mathcal{F}$  via the morphism  $X_y \rightarrow X$ .

**Goal:** For any  $i \geq 0$ , we want to understand the fiber cohomology  $H^i(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$  as a function of  $y \in Y$ . And the idea is to find relations between the sheaf  $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$  and the cohomology groups  $H^i(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$ .

We assume the following result.

**Theorem 5.1** (Proper base change). *If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a proper morphism of locally noetherian schemes and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules on  $X$ , then the direct image sheaves  $R^p f_* \mathcal{F}$  are coherent sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules for all  $p \geq 0$ .*

When  $f$  is projective, this follows from [Har13, III, Thm 8.8]. As for the general case, it follows from EGA III, see [GD66, III, 3.2.1].

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes with  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$  affine, and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module that is flat over  $Y$ . Then there exists a finite complex  $K^\bullet$ , say*

$$0 \rightarrow K^0 \rightarrow K^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow K^n \rightarrow 0$$

*of finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules and equivalences of functors*

$$H^p(X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec}(\cdot), \mathcal{F} \otimes_A (\cdot)) = H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A (\cdot)), \quad p \geq 0$$

*on the category of  $A$ -algebras. Hence for any  $B \in \operatorname{Alg}_A$ ,*

$$H^p(X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} B, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B) \cong H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A B), \quad p \geq 0.$$

**Problem 5.3.** Here the sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_A B$  is the inverse image sheaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  under the projection  $X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} B \rightarrow X$ . How to give the association  $B \mapsto H^p(X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} B, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B)$  rise to be a functor on the category of  $A$ -algebras? (To remedy this, one can use Čech cohomology, but how to make it formal?)

*Remark 5.4.* (1) Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is flat over  $Y = \operatorname{Spec} A$ , for any affine open subset  $U \subset X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is flat as an  $A$ -module.

(2) Since  $X$  is separated and noetherian, the coherent cohomology  $H^*(X, \mathcal{F})$  can be computed by Čech cohomology with respect to finite affine open coverings, for any quasi-coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ . The same is true for  $X \times_Y \operatorname{Spec} B$ .

(3) As for  $H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A B)$ , it is generally not a finitely generated algebra over  $A$ , and the cohomology does not commute with  $(\cdot) \otimes_A B$  in most cases.

*Proof of Theorem 5.2.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=0,\dots,n}$  be a finite affine open covering of  $X$  and  $(C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), d^\bullet)$  be the Čech cochain complex of alternating cochains with respect to the open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  and the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ . In particular,

$$C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_p \leq n} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0 \dots i_p})$$

is a free  $A$ -module for all  $p$  (being nonzero only when  $0 \leq p \leq n$ ), and the Čech cohomology groups  $H^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  are isomorphic to  $H^\bullet(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

Moreover, for any  $A$ -algebra  $B$ ,  $\{U_i \times_Y \text{Spec } B\}_{i=0,\dots,n}$  is an affine open covering of  $X \times_Y \text{Spec } B$ , and  $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B$  is the Čech cochain complex for this open covering and the sheaf  $\mathcal{F} \otimes_A B$  on  $X \times_Y \text{Spec } B$ . Therefore,

$$H^p(X \times_Y \text{Spec } B, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B) \cong H^p(C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B), \quad p \geq 0,$$

and this isomorphism is functorial for  $B$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $C^\bullet$  be a cochain complex of  $A$ -modules (but each  $C^p$  may not be finitely generated over  $A$ ) such that  $H^i(C^\bullet)$  are finitely generated  $A$ -modules for all  $i \geq 0$ , and such that  $C^\bullet$  is bounded on  $[0, n]$ .<sup>1</sup> Then there exists a complex  $K^\bullet$  of finitely generated  $A$ -modules, bounded on  $[0, n]$  and such that  $K^p$  is free for all  $1 \leq p \leq n$ , and a homomorphism of cochain complexes  $\phi : K^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet$  such that  $\phi$  induces isomorphisms  $H^i(K^\bullet) \rightarrow H^i(C^\bullet)$  for all  $i$ ; namely,  $\phi$  is a quasi-isomorphism.*

*Moreover, if all the  $C^p$ 's are  $A$ -flat, then  $K^0$  will be  $A$ -flat as well.*

*Proof.* We will use descending induction on  $m$  to construct the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K^m & \xrightarrow{d_K^m} & K^{m+1} & \xrightarrow{d_K^{m+1}} & K^{m+2} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ \downarrow \phi_m & & \downarrow \phi_{m+1} & & \downarrow \phi_{m+2} & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & C^m & \xrightarrow{d_C^m} & C^{m+1} & \xrightarrow{d_C^{m+1}} & C^{m+2} \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

with the following properties:

- (1)  $d_K^{p+1} \circ d_K^p = 0$  for  $p \geq m+1$ ;
- (2)  $\phi_{p+1} \circ d_K^p = d_C^p \circ \phi_p$  for  $p \geq m+1$ ;
- (3)  $\phi_p$  induces an isomorphism of cohomology groups  $H^p(K^\bullet) \rightarrow H^p(C^\bullet)$  for  $p \geq m+2$  and a surjective homomorphism  $\text{Ker}(d_K^{m+1}) \rightarrow H^{m+1}(C^\bullet)$ ;
- (4)  $K^p$  is a finite free  $A$ -module for  $p \geq m+1$ .

We are going to construct  $K^m$ ,  $d_K^m$ ,  $\phi_m$  with the above properties. One can find finite free  $A$ -modules  $(K')^m$  and  $(K'')^m$ , and surjective maps of  $A$ -modules:

$$\begin{aligned} (K')^m &\twoheadrightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{Ker}(d_K^{m+1}) \rightarrow H^{m+1}(C^\bullet)), \\ (K'')^m &\twoheadrightarrow H^m(C^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

Roughly speaking, the first surjection is to make  $\phi_{m+1}$  into an isomorphism between cohomology groups; and the second surjection is to force  $\phi_m$  to satisfy the desired property.

By construction, we have an inclusion  $i'_m : (K')^m \rightarrow (K'')^{m+1}$  that factors through  $\text{Ker}(d_K^{m+1})$ . Define

$$K^m := (K')^m \oplus (K'')^m, \quad d_K^m = (i'_m, 0) : K^m \rightarrow K^{m+1}.$$

<sup>1</sup>This is not a standard notation to say that  $C^p \neq 0$  implies  $0 \leq p \leq n$ . Indeed, using the truncation functor, one may replace  $C^\bullet$  with  $\tau_{\geq 0} \tau_{\leq n} C^\bullet$ .

Then property (1) and (4) hold for  $p = m$ , and  $\phi_{m+1}$  induces an isomorphism  $H^{m+1}(K^\bullet) \rightarrow H^{m+1}(C^\bullet)$ . Since  $(K'')^m$  is projective, we can lift the map  $(K'')^m \rightarrow H^m(C^\bullet)$  to a map

$$\phi_m'' : (K'')^m \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_C^m) \rightarrow C^m.$$

On the other hand, the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (K')^m & \xrightarrow{i'_m} & K^{m+1} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{m+1}} & C^{m+1} \\ & \searrow i'_m & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & \text{Ker}(d_K^{m+1}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_{m+1}} & \text{Ker}(d_C^{m+1}) \end{array}$$

lies in  $\text{Ker}(d_C^{m+1})$  and is 0 in  $H^{m+1}(C^\bullet)$ . Then

$$(K')^m \xrightarrow{i'_m} \text{Ker}(d_K^{m+1}) \xrightarrow{\phi_{m+1}} \text{Ker}(d_C^{m+1})$$

factors through  $\text{im}(d_C^m)$ . Since  $(K')^m$  is projective, we can lift the map  $(K')^m \rightarrow \text{im}(d_C^m)$  to a map  $\phi_m' : (K')^m \rightarrow C^m$  by the universal property. Finally we define

$$\phi_m = (\phi_m', \phi_m'') : K^m \longrightarrow C^m.$$

It is straightforward to verify that  $\phi_{m+1} \circ d_K^m = d_C^m \circ \phi_m$  and  $\phi_m$  induces a surjective map

$$\text{Ker}(d_K^m) = (K'')^m \longrightarrow H^m(C^\bullet).$$

This finishes the construction for  $m$ . Now we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K^0 & \xrightarrow{d_K^0} & K^1 & \xrightarrow{d_K^1} & \dots \\ \downarrow \phi_0 & & \downarrow \phi_1 & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C^0 & \xrightarrow{d_C^0} & C^1 & \xrightarrow{d_C^1} & \dots \end{array}$$

that satisfies (1)-(4) above. We replace  $K^0$  by  $K^0/(\text{Ker}(d_K^0) \cap \text{Ker}(\phi_0))$  and  $d_K^0, \phi_0$  by their induced maps. Then the new diagram satisfies all the properties (1)-(4) except that  $K^0$  is no longer free.

We still need to prove that  $K^0$  is  $A$ -flat. Let  $C[-1]^\bullet$  be the complex shifted by  $-1$  of the cochain complex  $C^\bullet$ , i.e.,

$$C[-1]^p := C^{p-1}, \quad d_{C[-1]}^p := -d_C^{p-1}.$$

Consider the mapping cone of the morphism  $\phi : K^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet$ , which is defined as follows:

$$\text{Cone}(\phi)^p := K^p \oplus C^{p-1} = K^p \oplus C[-1]^p,$$

together with<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^p : K^p \oplus C^{p-1} &\longrightarrow K^{p+1} \oplus C^p \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto (d_K^p(x), \phi_p(x) - d_C^{p-1}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that  $(\text{Cone}(\phi)^p, d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^p)_p$  is a cochain complex. Moreover, we have an exact sequence of cochain complexes for each  $p$ , say

---

<sup>2</sup>There is an alternative (and decorated) way to write the differential map as

$$d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^p : K^p \oplus C[-1]^p \rightarrow K^{p+1} \oplus C[-1]^{p+1}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} d_K^p & 0 \\ \phi_p & d_{C[-1]}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & C[-1]^p & \longrightarrow & K^p \oplus C[-1]^p & \longrightarrow & K^p \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & y & \longmapsto & (0, y) & & \\
& & & & (x, y) & \longmapsto & x
\end{array}$$

And we have a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H^p(C[-1]^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & H^p(\text{Cone}(\phi)^\bullet) & \longrightarrow & H^p(K^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\delta^p} H^{p+1}(C[-1]^\bullet) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & \parallel & & & & \parallel \\
& & H^{p-1}(C^\bullet) & & & & H^p(C^\bullet)
\end{array}$$

Again, it is easy to verify that under the isomorphism  $H^{p+1}(C[-1]^\bullet) \cong H^p(C^\bullet)$ , the corresponding homomorphism  $\delta^p$  is the one induced by the morphism  $\phi_p^*$ , which is an isomorphism as well. Hence

$$H^p(\text{Cone}(\phi)^\bullet) = 0, \quad \forall p.$$

So the cochain complex

$$\text{Cone}(\phi)^\bullet : 0 \rightarrow K^0 = \text{Cone}(\phi)^0 \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\phi)^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\phi)^{n+1} = C^n \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, in which  $\text{Cone}(\phi)^p$  is  $A$ -flat for all  $p \geq 1$ . Also,  $\text{Cone}(\phi)^\bullet$  breaks into  $n$  short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^p) \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\phi)^p \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^{p+1}) \rightarrow 0, \quad p = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since  $\text{Ker}(d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^{n+1}) = C^n$  is  $A$ -flat, so also is  $\text{Ker}(d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^n)$ . We use descending induction and conclude that  $\text{Ker}(d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^0) = K^0$  is  $A$ -flat. This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We apply Lemma 5.5 to the Čech cochain complex  $C^\bullet = C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  and obtain a cochain complex  $K^\bullet$  and a cochain map  $\phi : K^\bullet \rightarrow C^\bullet$  such that

- (1)  $K^\bullet$  is bounded on  $[0, n]$ ;
- (2)  $K^0$  is finite and  $A$ -flat, and  $K^p$  are finite free  $A$ -modules for  $p \geq 1$ ;
- (3)  $\phi$  is a quasi-isomorphism, i.e., for all  $p$ ,  $\phi_p : H^p(K^\bullet) \rightarrow H^p(C^\bullet)$  is an isomorphism.

Granting these conditions, we see  $K^p$  is projective as  $A$ -module for each  $p \geq 0$ . It remains to prove that for any  $A$ -algebra  $B$ ,

$$\phi_B : H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A B) \longrightarrow H^p(C^\bullet \otimes_A B)$$

is an isomorphism for each  $p \geq 0$ .

In fact, recall that the mapping cone  $\text{Cone}(\phi)^\bullet$  of  $\phi$  breaks into short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^p) \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\phi)^p \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^{p+1}) \rightarrow 0, \quad p = 1, \dots, n$$

and all the three terms are flat  $A$ -modules. Consequently, for each  $p = 1, \dots, n$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^p) \otimes_A B \rightarrow \text{Cone}(\phi)^p \otimes_A B \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_{\text{Cone}(\phi)}^{p+1}) \otimes_A B \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact due to the flatness. In particular, the cochain complex  $\text{Cone}(\phi)^\bullet \otimes_A B$  is exact as well. On the other hand,  $\text{Cone}(\phi)^\bullet \otimes_A B$  is the mapping cone of  $\phi_B = \phi \otimes_A B : K^\bullet \otimes_A B \rightarrow C^\bullet \otimes_A B$ . So we have a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\cdots & \longrightarrow & H^p(\text{Cone}(\phi)^\bullet \otimes_A B) & \longrightarrow & H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A B) & \xrightarrow{\phi_B} & H^{p+1}((C^\bullet \otimes_A B)[-1]) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
& & & & & & \parallel \\
& & & & & & H^p(C^\bullet \otimes_A B)
\end{array}$$

Therefore,  $\phi_B$  is an isomorphism for each  $p$ .

Now let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of noetherian schemes and  $\mathcal{F}$  a coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module on  $X$  that is flat over  $Y$ . Recall that for  $y \in Y$ , we define the fiber  $X_y = X \times_Y \text{Spec}(k(y))$  and  $\mathcal{F}_y$  the inverse image of  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X_y$ . (Caution:  $Y$  is not necessarily affine.)

**Corollary 5.6.** *Under the above notations, we have*

- (1) *For every  $p \geq 0$ , the function*

$$Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad y \mapsto \dim_{k(y)} H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$$

*is upper semicontinuous on  $Y$ . A function  $h : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is, by definition, upper semicontinuous, if for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  the set  $\{y \in Y \mid h(y) \geq n\}$  is a closed subset of  $Y$ .*

- (2) *The function*

$$Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad y \mapsto \chi(\mathcal{F}_y) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} (-1)^p \dim_{k(y)} H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$$

*is locally constant on  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* The question is local on  $Y$  so one may assume that  $Y = \text{Spec } A$  is affine. We apply the pervious Theorem 5.2 to the morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ , and obtain a cochain complex  $K^\bullet$  such that

$$H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) \cong H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A k(y)), \quad \forall p \geq 0, y \in Y.$$

Shrinking  $Y$  if necessary, we can assume that  $K^p$  is free for all  $p$  (the idea is to pretend  $K^p$  to be the  $p$ th Čech complex). For  $p \geq 0$ , we define

$$W^p := \text{Coker}(d_K^{p-1} : K^{p-1} \rightarrow K^p).$$

So we have an exact sequence

$$W^p \xrightarrow{d_K^p} K^{p+1} \longrightarrow W^{p+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying the functor  $(\cdot) \otimes_A k(y)$ , we get

$$0 \rightarrow H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A k(y)) \rightarrow W^p \otimes_A k(y) \rightarrow K^{p+1} \otimes_A k(y) \rightarrow W^{p+1} \otimes_A k(y) \rightarrow 0.$$

This is basically because the cokernel commutes with base changes, and so we have

$$W^p \otimes_A k(y) \cong \text{Coker}(d_K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y) : K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y) \rightarrow K^p \otimes_A k(y)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{k(y)} H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A k(y)) &= \dim_{k(y)} W^p \otimes_A k(y) - \dim_{k(y)} K^{p+1} \otimes_A k(y) \\ &\quad + \dim_{k(y)} W^{p+1} \otimes_A k(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since the function

$$y \mapsto \dim_{k(y)} K^{p+1} \otimes_A k(y)$$

is (locally) constant, it suffices to prove that the function

$$y \mapsto \dim_{k(y)} W^p \otimes_A k(y)$$

is upper semicontinuous.

**Claim.** For any finitely generated  $A$ -module  $M$ , the function

$$Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad y \mapsto \dim_{k(y)} M \otimes_A k(y)$$

is upper semicontinuous.

The proof of the claim is leave as an exercise. Granting the claim, (2) follows by taking alternating sum of the dimension equation above.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.7.** *Under the above notations, assume further that  $Y$  is reduced and connected. Then for all  $p$ , the following are equivalent.*

(1) *The function*

$$y \mapsto \dim_{k(y)} H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$$

*is constant.*

(2)  *$R^p f_* \mathcal{F}$  is a locally free sheaf on  $Y$ , and for all  $y \in Y$ , the natural map*

$$R^p f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y) \rightarrow H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*If any one of (1)(2) hold, we also have that*

$$R^{p-1} f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y) \cong H^{p-1}(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$$

*for all  $y \in Y$ .*

We can assume that  $Y = \text{Spec } A$  is affine and let  $K^\bullet$  be the cochain complex in Theorem 5.2. Then (2)  $\implies$  (1) is obvious. So it boils down to prove (1)  $\implies$  (2).

**Lemma 5.8.** *Let  $Y$  be a reduced affine scheme and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $Y$ . If*

$$\dim_{k(y)} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y) = r$$

*for all  $y \in Y$  (as  $k(y)$ -vector spaces), then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module of rank  $r$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y = \text{Spec } A$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$ . Fix  $y \in Y$  that correspond to  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ . We choose  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that the images of  $x_i$ 's in  $M \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p}) := A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  form a basis of this  $k(\mathfrak{p})$ -vector space. By Nakayama's lemma, the  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -linear homomorphism  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\mathfrak{p}}^r \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  determined by  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  is surjective. Then there exists  $a \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that  $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}}$  extends to a surjective  $A_a$ -linear homomorphism  $A_a^r \rightarrow M_a$ . Replacing  $A$  by  $A_a$ , we can assume that there exists a surjective  $A$ -linear map

$$\phi : A^r \twoheadrightarrow M.$$

For any  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } A$ ,  $\phi \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{q})$  is a surjective  $k(\mathfrak{q})$ -linear map of  $k(\mathfrak{q})$ -vector spaces of dimension  $r$ . Then  $\phi \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{q})$  is an isomorphism. Let  $K = \text{Ker}(\phi)$ , and hence

$$K_{\mathfrak{q}} \subset (\mathfrak{q}A_{\mathfrak{q}})^r, \quad \forall \mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } A.$$

Since  $A$  is reduced, we have  $K = 0$ , and then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. So  $M$  is free.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9.** *Let  $Y$  be a reduced noetherian affine scheme, and  $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of finite and locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules. If*

$$\dim_{k(y)} \text{im}(\phi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y))$$

*is locally constant, then we can find a decomposition of finite and locally free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules*

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_2, \quad \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2$$

*such that  $\phi$  factors through  $\mathcal{G}_1$ ,  $\phi|_{\mathcal{F}_1} = 0$ , and  $\phi : \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Write  $Y = \text{Spec } A$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = \widetilde{N}$  for locally free  $A$ -modules  $M, N$  of finite rank;  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is an  $A$ -linear map. For any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$ ,

$$\dim_{k(y)} \text{Coker}(\phi \otimes_A k(y)) = \dim_{k(y)} N \otimes_A k(y) - \dim_{k(y)} \text{im}(\phi \otimes_A k(y))$$

is locally constant. By Lemma 5.8,  $\text{Coker } \phi$  is a locally free  $A$ -module of finite rank. Define

$$N_1 := \text{Ker}(N \rightarrow \text{Coker } \phi) = \text{im } \phi.$$

So we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Coker } \phi \rightarrow 0.$$

We see that  $N_1$  is locally free of finite rank, and there is a decomposition

$$N = N_1 \oplus N_2$$

such that  $N_2 \cong \text{Coker } \phi$  under the natural map  $N \rightarrow \text{Coker } \phi$ . Also define  $M_1 = \text{Ker } \phi$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\phi} N_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that  $M_1$  is locally free of finite rank. Moreover, notice that the exact sequence splits at  $M$ . So there is a decomposition  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  such that  $\phi|_{M_2} : M_2 \rightarrow N_1$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the corollary.

*Proof of Corollary 5.7.* Applying Theorem 5.2 to  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , we attain a cochain complex  $K^\bullet$  such that for each  $p \geq 0$ ,

$$H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) = H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A k(y)).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim_{k(y)} H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) \\ &= \dim_{k(y)} \text{Ker}(d_K^p \otimes_A k(y)) - \dim_{k(y)} \text{im}(d_K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y)) \\ &= \dim_{k(y)} K^p \otimes_A k(y) - \dim_{k(y)} \text{im}(d_K^p \otimes_A k(y)) - \dim_{k(y)} \text{im}(d_K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y)) \end{aligned}$$

is constant. Hence

$$\underbrace{\dim_{k(y)} \text{im}(d_K^p \otimes_A k(y))}_{=\phi_1(y)} - \underbrace{\dim_{k(y)} \text{im}(d_K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y))}_{=\phi_2(y)}$$

is locally constant. Shrinking  $Y$  if necessary, we can assume that  $\phi_1(y) + \phi_2(y) = C$  (constant) on  $Y$ . Since  $\phi_1(y)$  and  $\phi_2(y)$  are lower semicontinuous, there is a natural stratification on  $Y$ , read as

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \bigsqcup_{n=0}^c \{y \in Y \mid \phi_1(y) = n, \phi_2(y) = c - n\} \\ &= \bigsqcup_{n=0}^c \{y \in Y \mid \phi_1(y) \leq n, \phi_2(y) \leq c - n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Y$  is connected,  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are constant on  $Y$ . Now we can apply Lemma 5.9 to  $d_K^p : K^p \rightarrow K^{p+1}$  and  $d_K^{p-1} : K^{p-1} \rightarrow \text{Ker}(d_K^p)$ , to see there is a decomposition of locally free  $A$ -modules of finite rank:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z^{p-1} \oplus (K')^{p-1} & & B^p \oplus H^p \oplus (K')^p & & B^{p+1} \oplus (K')^{p+1} & & \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \\ \dots \longrightarrow & K^{p-1} & \xrightarrow{d_K^{p-1}} & K^p & \xrightarrow{d_K^p} & K^{p+1} & \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{p-1} &= \text{Ker}(d_K^{p-1}), & d_K^{p-1} : (K')^{p-1} &\xrightarrow{\cong} B^p = \text{im}(d_K^{p-1}); \\ B^p \oplus H^p &= \text{Ker}(d_K^p), & d_K^p : (K')^p &\xrightarrow{\cong} B^{p+1} = \text{im}(d_K^p). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any  $A$ -algebra  $B$ ,

$$H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A B) \cong H^p \otimes_A B \cong H^p(K^\bullet) \otimes_A B.$$

Since  $R^p f_* \mathcal{F}$  corresponds to the  $A$ -module

$$H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(K^\bullet) \cong H^p,$$

we have that  $R^p f_* \mathcal{F}$  is a locally free  $A$ -module of finite rank, and

$$(R^p f_* \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B \cong H^p \otimes_A B \cong H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A B) \cong H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y).$$

This proves (2). Moreover, in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} (R^{p-1} f_* \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A k(y) &\cong H^{p-1}(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A k(y) \\ &\cong \text{Ker}(d_K^{p-1}) \otimes_A k(y) / \text{im}(d_K^{p-1}) \otimes_A k(y) \\ &\cong Z^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y) / \text{im}(d_K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y)) \\ &\cong H^{p-1}(K^\bullet \otimes_A k(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(R^{p-1} f_* \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A k(y) \cong H^{p-1}(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$$

for all  $y \in Y$ . □

**Corollary 5.10.** *Under the above notations ( $Y$  may not be reduced or connected), assume that  $H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) = 0$  for some  $p$  and all  $y \in Y$ . Then the rational map*

$$R^{p-1} f_* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{p-1}(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y)$$

is an isomorphism for all  $y \in Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K^\bullet$  be the cochain complex by Theorem 5.2. Fix  $y \in Y$  such that

$$H^p(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) \cong H^p(K^\bullet \otimes_A k(y)) = 0.$$

Then the sequence

$$K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y) \xrightarrow{d_K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y)} K^p \otimes_A k(y) \xrightarrow{d_K^p \otimes_A k(y)} K^{p+1} \otimes_A k(y)$$

is exact. We can decompose the  $k(y)$ -vector space  $K^p \otimes_A k(y)$  as  $\overline{W}_1 \oplus \overline{W}_2$  such that

$$\overline{W}_1 = \text{im}(d_K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y))$$

and  $d_K^p \otimes_A k(y)|_{\overline{W}_2}$  is injective. Let  $\{\overline{x}_1, \dots, \overline{x}_r\}$  be a basis of  $\overline{W}_1$  and  $\{\overline{y}_1, \dots, \overline{y}_s\}$  be a basis of  $\overline{W}_2$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , denote

$$\overline{z}_i = d_K^p \otimes_A k(y)(\overline{y}_i) \in K^{p+1} \otimes_A k(y),$$

and extend  $\{\overline{z}_1, \dots, \overline{z}_s\}$  to a basis  $\{\overline{z}_1, \dots, \overline{z}_n\}$  of  $K^{p+1} \otimes_A k(y)$ . We choose lifting  $x_i \in \text{im}(d_K^{p-1})$  of  $\overline{x}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $y_i \in K^p$  of  $\overline{y}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , and  $z_l \in K^{p+1}$  of  $\overline{z}_l$  for  $l = 1, \dots, n$ . Shrinking  $A$  by a localization  $A_a$  at  $a$  such that  $a(y) \neq 0$ , one may assume that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s\}$  is a basis of  $K^p$ , and  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  is a basis of  $K^{p+1}$ . Let  $W_1, W_2$  be the free modules generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_s$ , respectively. Then  $K^p = W_1 \oplus W_2$ , where  $W_1 \subset \text{im}(d_K^{p-1})$  and  $d_K^p|_{W_2}$  is injective. Hence  $W_1 = \text{im}(d_K^{p-1})$ . As  $W_1$  is free, it is projective. So there is a decomposition  $K^{p-1} = W_1 \oplus \text{Ker}(d_K^{p-1})$ . Now we have two exact sequences

$$K^{p-2} \xrightarrow{d_K^{p-2}} \text{Ker}(d_K^{p-1}) \longrightarrow H^{p-1}(K^\bullet) \cong H^{p-1}(X, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^{p-2} \otimes_A k(y) & \xrightarrow{d_K^{p-2} \otimes_A k(y)} & \text{Ker}(d_K^{p-1} \otimes_A k(y)) \longrightarrow H^{p-1}(K^\bullet \otimes_A k(y)) \longrightarrow 0. \\ & & \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ & & \text{Ker}(d_K^{p-1}) \otimes_A k(y) \qquad \qquad H^{p-1}(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) \\ & & \text{(by } K^{p-1} = W_1 \oplus \text{Ker}(d_K^{p-1})) \end{array}$$



Since the cokernel is stable under base changes, we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{c} \boxed{H^{p-1}(X, \mathcal{F})} \otimes_A k(y) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{p-1}(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) \\ \parallel \\ R^{p-1}f_*\mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.11.** *If  $R^k f_* \mathcal{F} = 0$  for  $k \geq k_0$ , then*

$$H^k(X_y, \mathcal{F}_y) = 0, \quad \forall y \in Y, \quad k \geq k_0.$$

**Corollary 5.12** (Flat base change). *If  $B$  is a flat  $A$ -algebra, then*

$$H^p(X \times_Y \text{Spec } B, \mathcal{F} \otimes_A B) \cong H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \otimes_A B.$$

**Corollary 5.13** (Seesaw's theorem). *Let  $X$  be a complete<sup>3</sup> variety and  $T$  be any variety. Choose a line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X \times T)$ . Then the set*

$$T_1 := \{t \in T \mid \mathcal{L}|_{X \times \{t\}} \text{ is trivial on } X \times \{t\}\}$$

*is closed in  $T$ , and  $\mathcal{L}|_{X \times T_1} \cong p_2^* \mathcal{M}$  for some  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(T_1)$ , where  $p_2 : X \times T_1 \rightarrow T_1$  is the second projection.*

**Lemma 5.14.** *A line bundle (i.e., an invertible sheaf)  $\mathcal{M}$  on a complete variety  $X$  is trivial if and only if*

$$\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{M}) > 0, \quad \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{M}^{-1}) > 0.$$

*Proof.* Exercise.  $\square$

*Proof of Seesaw's Theorem.* It follows from Lemma 5.14 that

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \{t \in T \mid \mathcal{L}|_{X \times \{t\}} \text{ is trivial on } X \times \{t\}\} \\ &= \left\{ t \in T \mid \begin{array}{l} \dim_{k(t)} H^0((X \times T) \times_T \text{Spec}(k(t)), \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_T} k(t)) > 0, \text{ and} \\ \dim_{k(t)} H^0((X \times T) \times_T \text{Spec}(k(t)), \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_T} k(t)) > 0 \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the semicontinuity theorem (Corollary 5.6),  $T_1$  is closed in  $T$ . We regard  $T_1$  as a reduced closed subscheme of  $T$ , and  $p_2 : X \times T_1 \rightarrow T_1$  is a proper morphism of noetherian schemes. Denote for simplicity that  $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{L}|_{X \times T_1}$ . By definition of  $T_1$ , for any  $t \in T_1$ ,

$$\dim_{k(t)} H^0((X \times T_1) \times_{T_1} \text{Spec}(k(t)), \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_1}} k(t)) > 0$$

By Corollary 5.7,  $\mathcal{M} := p_{2,*} \mathcal{L}_1$  is an invertible sheaf on  $T_1$  and the natural map

$$p_{2,*} \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_1}} k(t) \longrightarrow H^0(X \times \{t\}, \mathcal{L}_1|_{X \times \{t\}})$$

is an isomorphism for any  $t \in T_1$ .

We prove that the natural morphism  $p_2^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$  is an isomorphism. In fact, for any  $t \in T_1$ , the sheaf  $p_2^* \mathcal{M}|_{X \times \{t\}}$  is the inverse image of  $\mathcal{M}$  under

$$X \times \{t\} \hookrightarrow X \times T_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} T_2.$$

It is the trivial invertible sheaf on  $X \times \{t\}$  and is the pullback of the  $k(t)$ -vector space  $p_{2,*} \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_1}} k(t)$  under  $X \times \{t\} \rightarrow \{t\} = \text{Spec}(k(t))$ . On the other hand,  $\mathcal{L}_1|_{X \times \{t\}}$  is also trivial and the restriction of  $p_2^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$  on  $X \times \{t\}$  corresponds to the morphism

$$p_{2,*} \mathcal{L}_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{T_1}} k(t) \longrightarrow H^0(X \times \{t\}, \mathcal{L}_1|_{X \times \{t\}})$$

of global sections. Therefore, the restriction of  $p_2^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$  on  $X \times \{t\}$  is an isomorphism for each  $t \in T_1$ . This is enough to show that  $p_2^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1$  is itself an isomorphism.  $\square$

<sup>3</sup>Can be replaced with properness.

*Remark 5.15.* We can assume that  $T$  is a (reduced) scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field  $k$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [Con00] B. Conrad. Grothendieck duality and base change. *Lecture notes in mathematics*, 1750:1, 2000.
- [GD66] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, volume 28. 1966.
- [Har13] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*, volume 52. World Publishing Co., Beijing, China, 2013.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, 100871, BEIJING, CHINA  
*Email address:* `daiwenhan@pku.edu.cn`