

Laumon sheaf and mod p Langlands correspondence

Laurent Fargues

Introduction

E/\mathbb{Q}_p finite, $l \neq p$. G/E red grp.

FS: $\pi \longmapsto \varphi_\pi^{\text{ss}} \longmapsto \text{ss L-param } W_E \longrightarrow {}^L G(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l \text{ or } \bar{\mathbb{F}}_l).$
(
sm irrep of $\underbrace{G(E)}_{\text{loc cpt}}$ w/ coeffs in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ or $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_l$.
This is a ss LLC.

Standard geom Langlands methods

(Drinfel'd, Laumon, Frankel - Gaiitsgory - Vilonen).

To construct $\varphi \longmapsto \pi(\varphi).$
(
cusp L-param

But Does not directly work in our context.

b/c "descent issue" in mod l case.

However, everything should work well for the p -adic Langlands.

\leadsto use this p -adic case + motivic argument
(
motivic spectral action
to treat any $l \neq p$ or $l = p$.

Starting point Breuil, Colmez, Emerton, Paskunas, ...

\exists good p -adic Langlands corresp. for $GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

\hookrightarrow but not for $GL_2(E)$ for E/\mathbb{Q}_p , $E \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Today A functor $\text{Rep}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \Gamma_E \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} E^* & E \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)$

$$\Gamma_E = \text{Gal}(\bar{E}/E).$$

$$p \longmapsto \pi(p) \Big|_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} E^* & E \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)}.$$

Later * Extend this to a rep of $GL_2(E)$ ("descent")

when p is inert & $\dim p = 2$.

* By mod p^n for all $n \geq 1$, get Banach LLC:

$$\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Q}_p, \text{Rep}_\mathbb{Z} \Gamma_E \longrightarrow \{ \text{Banach reps of } \left(\begin{smallmatrix} E^* & E \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) \}.$$

* Locally analytic version $p \longmapsto \pi(p)^k$.

Using the analytic prismatization of $\text{Spa } E$:

$$\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Gamma_E \hookrightarrow \text{VBs on the analytic pairs of } \text{Spa } E.$$

Key word: Holonomy.

Warm up Recall (Laumon): $X/k = \bar{k}$ sm proj curve.

$\mathcal{E} = \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -irred rk n loc sys / X .

$$d (= [E:\mathbb{Q}_p]) \geq 1.$$

$$\hookrightarrow \pi_d: X^d \longrightarrow \text{Div}_X^d = X^d / \mathcal{E}_d$$

$$(x_1, \dots, x_d) \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^d [x_i]$$

(Cartier Div)

$$\text{Let } S_d \mathcal{E} := (\pi_{d*} \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes d})^{\boxtimes d}$$

$$\text{Fact } S_d \mathcal{E} \in \text{Perv}(\text{Div}_X^d, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l).$$

$$\text{Note } S_d \mathcal{E} = j_* j^* \mathcal{F} \text{ wh}$$

$$\text{ere if } U = \{(x_i)_i \mid \forall i \neq j, x_i \neq x_j\} \subset X^d,$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{loc sys assoc to the } S_d\text{-equiv loc sys } (\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes d})|_U.$$

$$\begin{array}{c} U \\ \downarrow S_d \\ \pi_d(U) \hookrightarrow \text{Div}_X^d. \\ \text{"} \\ \text{multi-free divisors.} \end{array}$$

$$\text{Generally For } \mathcal{E} \text{ VB on } X, S_d \mathcal{E} := (\pi_{d*} \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes d})^{\boxtimes d}.$$

$$\downarrow$$

This is a coh sheaf / Div_X^d .

$$\text{Lem } S_d \mathcal{E} \text{ is a vect bdl on } \text{Div}_X^d.$$

Compatibility with RH

Recall Katz, Emerton-Kisin, Bhatt-Lurie:

$$X = \mathbb{F}_q\text{-sch.}$$

$$\text{RH: } \text{Def}(X, \mathbb{F}_q) \hookrightarrow \text{D}_{\text{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_{X/\mathbb{F}_q})^{\varphi=\text{id}} \text{ fully faithful.}$$

$$\downarrow \quad X^{1/p^\infty} := \varprojlim_{\mathbb{F}_q} X$$

adjoint to Sol (sol'n functor)

$$\text{Sol: } (\mathcal{E}, \varphi) \mapsto \mathcal{E}^{\varphi=\text{id}}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{qcoh on } X^{1/p^\infty} & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \varphi: \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} & & (-) = \text{etaleanness.} \end{array}$$

Prmk Katz corresp.

$$\{\mathbb{H}_q\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{tale loc sys} / X\} \simeq \left\{ (\mathcal{E}, \varphi) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E} \text{ VB} / X^{1/p^\infty} \\ \varphi: \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Prop X curve / \mathbb{H}_q (sm proj), $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{H}_q\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{tale loc sys} / X$

$$\hookrightarrow (\mathcal{E}, \varphi) = \text{RH}(\mathcal{F})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{RH}(S_d \mathcal{F}) = \varprojlim_{\varphi} (S_d \mathcal{E}, \varphi).$$

VB by lem

Prop $\Rightarrow \text{RH}(S_d \mathcal{F})$ is holonomy (i.e. a perfection of some VB).

The real thing E/\mathbb{Q}_p . $d = [E:\mathbb{Q}_p]$, $\mathbb{H}_q = \mathbb{Q}_E/\pi$.

$$\mathbb{B}^{q=\pi}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$* = \text{Spd } \mathbb{H}_q$$

$$\text{absolute BC, } \simeq \text{Spd}(\bar{\mathbb{H}}_q \Pi \tau^{1/p^\infty} \bar{\mathbb{H}}_q).$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{H}_q}(\Gamma_E) = \{ \mathbb{H}_q\text{-}\acute{\text{e}}\text{tale loc sys on } \underbrace{(\mathbb{B}^{q=\pi} \setminus \{0\})}_{\text{"Div" curve}} / \underline{E}^x \}$$

Then $\text{RH}: \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{H}_q}(\Gamma_E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{VB on Div'}$

$$p \longmapsto \underbrace{F_p \otimes_{\mathbb{H}_q} \mathbb{O}}_{\text{étale loc sys } ((\varphi, \tau)\text{-mod})}.$$

$$\mathcal{E}_p = F_p \otimes \mathbb{O} \text{ seen as an } E^x\text{-equiv VB on } \mathbb{B}^{q=\pi} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Symmetrization $\pi_d: (\mathbb{B}^{q=\pi} \setminus \{0\})^d \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{q=\pi^d} \setminus \{0\}$
 $(t_1, \dots, t_d) \mapsto t_1 \cdots t_d.$

Thm (Fargues) $\Lambda_d := \{ (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \in (E^x)^d \mid \prod_{i=1}^d \mu_i = 1 \}.$

Then π_d is quasi-proét surjective,

$$\& \quad (\mathbb{B}^{\varphi=\pi} \setminus \{0\})^d / \underbrace{\Delta_d \rtimes G_d}_{\text{pro-ét quotient}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}^{\varphi=\pi^d} \setminus \{0\}.$$

From now on, $\rho \in \text{Rep}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p}(\Gamma_E) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ étale
 $\hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\rho = \mathbb{F}_p \otimes \mathbb{G}.$

Def $S_d \mathbb{F}_\rho := (\pi_{d*} \mathbb{F}_\rho^{\otimes d})^{\Delta_d \rtimes G_d}$ an étale sheaf.

$S_d \mathcal{E}_\rho := (\pi_{d*} \mathcal{E}_\rho^{\otimes d})^{\Delta_d \rtimes G_d}$ \mathbb{G} -sheaf of \mathbb{G} -mods.

Can prove: $S_d \mathcal{E}_\rho = \underbrace{\text{RH}(S_d \mathbb{F}_\rho)}_{\text{Mann's RH corresp.}}$
 $(\varphi, \Gamma)\text{-mod}$

\Rightarrow " $S_d \mathcal{E}_\rho$ is quasi-coherent".

Thm (Holonomicity) If $d < p$, then

$\exists \mathcal{M} \subset S_d \mathcal{E}_\rho$ stable under φ
 \downarrow
 Sub- \mathbb{G} -mod (i.e. $\varphi(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathcal{M}$)

s.t. \mathcal{M} is a perfect complex

& " $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \varphi^n(\mathcal{M})$ is dense in $S_d \mathcal{E}_\rho$ ".

Thm (Very difficult) If $d < p$

then $S_d \mathcal{E}_\rho$ is generated by its global sections.

Fact $H^0(S_d \mathcal{E}_\rho) = \Gamma(\underbrace{(\mathbb{B}^{\varphi=\pi} \setminus \{0\})^d}_{\text{Stein & perfectoid}}, \underbrace{\mathcal{E}_\rho^{\otimes d}}_{\sqrt{\mathbb{B}}}^{\Delta_d \rtimes G_d} \neq 0$ if $\rho \neq 0$

This can also be very difficult even if $p = x_1 \oplus x_2$, $x_1 \neq x_2$.

Lem $d < p$, k char p field. Then

$$\begin{array}{c} k[x_1, \dots, x_d] / (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{d-1}) \simeq k[\mathcal{O}_d] \\ \cup \\ \mathcal{O}_d \end{array}$$

$$\text{e.g. } d = [E:\mathbb{Q}_p], \quad \begin{array}{c} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q[[E]] \\ \cup \\ E^x \end{array} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{B}^{q^d - 1}) \quad \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ E^x \end{array}$$