## 80 Motivation

Theorem (Mordell-Weil) Let A be an abelian variety over a number field F. Then A(F) is a finitely generated obelian group. Comment: this is also true for function fields.

(Two-step proof)

Step 1 (Weak Mordell-Weil) YneIN, # A(F)/nA(F) < +00.

extend A proper smooth

| t cann fiber (good reduction). Spec F - Spec 6F[N]

By "Kummer theory": A(F)/nA(F) > H'([Cafe,Nn], A[n])

(from Gal cohom:)

Cal grap of maxil ext's of F currounified outside Nn. Fast H'(G, A[n]) is finite if it ramifies over finitely many places.

Step ? Using height theory to understand the "pts" on A.

Eq.  $\psi^{+}\psi = \chi^{-}\chi$ ,  $P = (o, o) \in F(G)$ .

<b>1</b> 1/\	numerator x-coordinate of m?
2	$\approx  _{\text{eq}}   \cdot   \sim O(m^2)$
4	116
6	1 1 1756
8	8385/2 feedratic
(0	239785 He hL([2]x) = h[2]*L(x)
12	$59997896$ = $h_{41}(x) = 4h_{1}(x)$ .
14	1849037896
16	27-89644 3865
18	16683000076735

81 Wei height Defin The standard height on P(O) is h: P(O) - R h([xo, ..., xn]) := [ or max | |xo|,..., |xn| (assume god (xo, ..., xn) = 1). Better Defor h(x) = \( \super \log \max \left\{ \text{xol} \super \super \text{vel} \) rever need gcd condition. We Pr(F), h(x) = 1 F: Que of F log max flxolu. ... I xolut. If we have  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n$  subvar, then  $h|_{X}: \chi(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Bosic properties @ For  $\phi \in PGL_{n+1}(Q) \subseteq P^n$ ,  $h(\phi(x)) = h(x) + Q(1)$  without by  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log_{i} n_{i} = h(x) + Q(1)$ . 1 X closed subvar in Pr st. Thax = 0  $\{\Gamma_0, \dots, \sigma, \times_{n-1}, \dots, \times_n\}$ If idea: check for r=0. I homogeneous poly F st. F(0,...,0,1) \$0 st. F=0 on X => 4 x eX, x + x + x (...) + ... = 0  $\mathfrak D$  For  $\phi: \mathbb P^n \to \mathbb P^n$  given by homogeneous polys of deg m. Then.  $h(\phi(x)) = mh(x) + O(1)$ P(m)-1 linear p) the O(1) term comes from this linear rational map. [xo, -... Xm] -> monormals of deg m in Xi's. Theorem (Northcatt) Let n.d. M be integers > 1. Then

Theorem (Northcatt) Let n,d, M be integers > 1. Then

# {x = [x\_0, ..., x\_n] \in \mathbb{P}(\overline{D}) | h(x) \le M, \deg(x) := [\overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{j} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in d\deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in d\deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D} \in \deg(x) \cdot = \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z} = 0, ..., r), \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}) \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}) \overline{D}(\frac{Xi}{Xj}, \bar{z}, \bar{z}) \overline{D}(\fr

h(x) = M => Vi, |xi|=eM => finite. When d>1. consider Pr.... P/Sd quotient by Sd-cution.
d-copies "End. tact ∑nd → Projective var, N=N(n.d). For each x=[xo..., xn] & P(F), xi &F, [F: D]=d. Consider d'embeddings F = 5 D.

And  $X^d = [\sigma_{\alpha}(x_0), ..., \sigma_{\alpha}(x_n)] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}), \quad \alpha = 1, ..., d$ from an F-pt.  $\forall \tau \in C_{1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \ \tau(x', \dots, x') = (x^{\tau(1)}, \dots, x^{\tau(d)}) = (x', \dots, x').$  $\Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(F) \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{1}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

82 Néron-Tate height

The height can be defid not only on Pn.

Defin If I is a very ample line bundle over XIQ. define  $\chi(\bar{\Phi}) \stackrel{\underline{h}}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{P}'(\bar{\Phi}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H}'(\chi, L)')(\bar{\Phi})$  $u = h_L(x) := h(\phi_L(x))$  well-def d up to O(1). the only ambiguity lies in the choice of basis in the linear system.

There's a wrique map ho : Pic(X) - | Functions on X(Q) }/O(1) s.t. (1) when I is very ample, he is defid on above. (2) here = her + her + O(1). (homomorphism). Proof Uniqueness: YL. L=L-Le with Livery ample.

Existence: It suffices to check: if L, Lo very ample, then (2) hold.  $H^{\circ}(X, L) \otimes H^{\circ}(X, L) \longrightarrow H^{\circ}(X, L \otimes L)$ . \*P(H°(X,L)) \* P(H°(X,L)) -Hope: canonical height? Theorem (Noron-Tate) Let A be an abelian variety / Q. There's a unque map Pic (A) - Stunctions A (To) - R s.f. (1)  $\int_{L}^{\infty} = \int_{L}^{\infty} + O(1)$ (2) hugh = hi + hu. (3) Y: B -> A morphism of AV, hyx (x) = h\_(+(x)). Proof First suppose L is symmetric, i.e. I-IIx (L) = L.

Fact: [m]x(L) = Loom. Define:  $h_{L}(x) = L$   $\frac{h_{L}(x)}{h_{L}(x)} = h_{L}(x)$ (Upotrot: 14hx(x)-hx([2]A(x)) < c wiformly.) Similarly, if L is anti-symmetric, [-1]\*(L) = Lord and [m]\*(L) = Lom. And any line bundle L= Lsym-Lantisym.  $\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{R}_{mR} & o \to \mathbb{P}_{ic}(A) \to \mathbb{P}_{ic}(A) \to \overline{\mathbb{NS}(A)} \to o \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & & \\
\mathbb{C} & & & & \\
\mathbb{C$ 

Theorem (1) If L is symmetric, then he is a quadratic function on A(Q̄)

(if L is anti-sym. he is linear).

→ can define a pairing BL: A(Q) ×A(Q) → R by BL(x,y) = hL(x+y) - hL(x) - hL(y). (more canonically <,>NT:A(Q) ×A(Q) → R) Poincaré duality. (2) (Positionty) Moreover, if L is symmetric and ample, The(x) >0 and  $\int_{\mathcal{L}} (x) = 0 \iff x \in \mathcal{A}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  for. So Br(-,-) is a positive-definite symmetric bilinear form on A(\$\bar{Q}) & D. Proof (1) Need to show  $\hat{h}_{L}(x+y) - \hat{h}_{L}(x-y) = 2\hat{h}_{L}(x) + 2\hat{h}_{L}(y)$ . Theorem of cube A \* A \* A \( \frac{P\_1.P\_2.P\_3}{L} \) Ine bundle over A.

Then  $(p_1+p_2+p_3)^*L \otimes (p_1+p_2)^*L^{\otimes -1} \otimes (p_1+p_3)^*L^{\otimes -1} \otimes (p_2+p_3)^*L^{\otimes -1}$  $(x,y,3) \longmapsto x+y+3 \qquad \text{op}_{1}^{*}L \text{op}_{2}^{*}L \text{op}_{3}^{*}L \simeq \text{Triv bun.}$ Consider  $A \times A \longrightarrow A \times A \times A$ . Eg.  $f_{1}q_{2}+p_{3}^{*}L (x,y) = f_{1}(x+y)$ . ~ h\_(x+y-y)-h\_(x+y)-h\_(x-y)-h\_(x-y)+h\_(x)+h\_(x)+h\_(y)+h\_(-y)=0. PE-17+ (4) = Pr(x) (2) If xe A (QHor. then he(x) = he he([n]x)=0 finitely many options · if xeA(F)\A(F)tor, then {x,[2]x,[3]x,...} & A(F) can't all have small height by Northcott.  $= N = 1. [N](x) > 0 \Rightarrow \int_{L}(x) = \frac{1}{N^2} \int_{L}([N]x) > 0.$ 

83 Complete proof of Monkell-Weil Fix m>2 symmetric ample line bundle L. Have proved ( A(F)/mA(F) is finite @ Yc>o, {xeA(F) | h\_(x) < c} finite. Pick Q1, ..., Or respectively, A(F)/2A(F). Take C= max{h\_L(Q1), ..., h\_L(Qn)}

~ A(F) N=CH = {P1... Ps}

Claim Pr. ..., Pa, Qr. ..., Qr generate A(F).

Pf. YPEA(F) = P=2P-Q;

 $\hat{h}_{L}(P') = \frac{1}{4} (\hat{h}_{L}(P-Q_{i})) \leq \frac{1}{4} (2\hat{h}_{L}(P) + 2\hat{h}_{L}(Q_{i})) \leq \frac{1}{2} \hat{h}_{L}(P) + \frac{1}{2}C$ Rock This groof downit rely on any property of Névor-Tate height.