

Introduction (II)

Yichao Tian

Jan 2

§1 ULA-sheaves (continued)

Theorem An object $A \in D(Bun_G, \Lambda)$ is ULA (for $Bun_G \rightarrow *$)

iff $\forall b \in B(G)$, $i_b^* A \in D(G_b(\mathbb{Q}_p), \Lambda)$ is admissible

i.e. $\forall K \subseteq G_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ pro-p subgroup, open compact,
 $(i_b^* A)^K$ is perfect.

Remark $D(Bun_G, \Lambda)$ is relatively easy to construct for $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$.

For $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ or $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ it is more difficult

→ they used ideas from condensed mathematics.

Key ingredients local charts for Bun_G , denoted by M_b .

$$G = GL_n, \quad X_{\infty}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}^+ = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$$

with $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_n$ (→ upper-triangular Borel).

$$\lambda = \dots = \lambda_{i_1} > \lambda_{i_1+1} = \dots = \lambda_{i_2} > \dots > \lambda_{i_{k-1}+1} = \dots = \lambda_n = \lambda_{i_k}$$

→ $M_b : \text{Perf}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \longrightarrow \text{Groupoids}$

$$S \longmapsto \left\{ (\mathcal{E}, \text{Fil}|\mathcal{E}) \mid \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{E} \text{ vector bundle of rank } n \text{ on } X_S \\ \text{Fil}|\mathcal{E} \text{ an increasing exhaustive filtration} \end{array} \right\}$$

with $\text{gr}_j \mathcal{E}$ semi-simple of slope $\lambda_{i_{k+1}-j}$.

$$(\mathcal{E}, \text{Fil}|\mathcal{E}) \longmapsto \mathcal{E}$$

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\pi_b} Bun_G$$

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{i_b^*} M_b$$

$$\text{gr}_j \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{i_b^*} [*\!/G_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$$

$$\text{gr}_j \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{i_b^*} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Fil}_i \mathcal{E} / \text{Fil}_{i-1} \mathcal{E}$$

G_b : inner form of a Levi of G .

Thm (1) π_b, φ_b are partially proper, representable in loc spatial diamonds
and coh smooth of rel $\dim \langle \mathcal{O}_b, V_b \rangle$

(2) $\text{Im}(\pi_b)$ consists of the generalizations of $f \in \text{B}(G) = |\text{Bun}_G|$.
(cf. [FS] Prop V.3.5, Thm V.3.7)

To prove the smoothness of π_b ,

they use a certain "Jacobian criterion".

Example $G = G_{\mathbb{A}^1}$, $b = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}^1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}_b = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$.

$$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}_b: S \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{extension of v.b. on } X_S \\ \deg \mathcal{L} = 0, \deg \mathcal{L}' = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_b \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_b$ the $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times \times \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ -torsors

on parametrizing $\mathcal{L} = 0, \mathcal{L}' \cong \mathcal{O}(1)$ over $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_b$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_b = \text{BC}(\mathcal{O}(-1)[1]): S \mapsto H^1(X_S, \mathcal{O}_{X_S}(-1))$ Baranach-Colmez.

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{b,c} \cong (\mathcal{A}_G^1)^{\delta}/\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)$$

$\forall x \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_b(c), 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{x_c} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{x_c}(1) \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_x &\cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \boxed{\mathcal{O}_{x_c}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{x_c}} \\ \boxed{\mathcal{O}_{x_c}(\frac{1}{2})} \end{array} \right\} \\ \pi_b^*(\mathcal{O}_{x_c}(\frac{1}{2})) &= \text{BC}(\mathcal{O}_{x_c}(\frac{1}{2}) \setminus \{0\}) / \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \\ \pi_b^*(\mathcal{O}_{x_c}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{x_c}) &= \text{BC}(\mathcal{O}_{x_c} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{x_c}(1) \setminus \{0\}) / \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \\ &= (\mathbb{Q}_p \times \text{BC}(\mathcal{O}_{x_c}(1) \setminus \{0\})) / \mathbb{Q}_p^\times. \end{aligned}$$

For any $K \subseteq G_b(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ open compact pro- p subgrp,
 $\varphi_{b,K}: [\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_b/K] \longrightarrow \text{Bun}_G$,

$$A_K^b := Rf_{b,K,!}(Rf_{b,K}^!(\Lambda)).$$

Thm $(A_K^b)_{K,b}$ form a set of compact generators of $D(Bun_G, \Lambda)$.

§2 Story on Galois side

Def's Let Λ be a \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -alg. An L-parameter for G with coeff in Λ is a "condensed" 1-cocycle

$$\varphi: W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \widehat{G}(\Lambda).$$

Here "condensed" means φ take values in $\widehat{G}(L)$ for some finite \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -subalg $L \subseteq \Lambda$, and is const.

Thm \exists scheme $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})/\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ parametrizing L-parameters.

It is a disjoint union of affine subschemes of finite type / \mathbb{Z}_ℓ , flat and lci, of rel dim = $\dim G$.

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{G}.$$

implying cat LLC, LLC, etc.

Main conjecture (refined ver of categorical LLC)

G quasi-split. Fix a Whittaker datum

$$G \supseteq B \supseteq \boxed{U} \leftarrow \text{unipotent radical of } B$$

$$\psi: U(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell^\times, [L: \mathbb{Q}_\ell] < \infty.$$

Then \exists a canonical equivalence of stable ∞ -cat's

$$D(Bun_G, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{\boxed{w}} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{coh, \mathrm{perf}}(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}/\widehat{G}).$$

Compact object

Perf($\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})/\widehat{G}$)

$$j^! (c\text{-Ind}_{U(\mathbb{Q}_p)}^{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \psi)$$

perfect complexes

where $j^!: Bun_G \hookrightarrow Bun_G$.

Main theorem (Fargues-Scholze)

Assume ℓ is "very good" for G

(e.g. if $G = GL_n$, any ℓ is very good ;

if G is classical, $\ell > 2$ very good ;

if G is split & semisimple, then $\ell > 5$ very good.)

Then \exists canonical spectral action

$$\text{Perf}(\mathcal{Z}'(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})/\widehat{G}) \hookrightarrow D(Bun_G, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\omega}$$

Remark If work with \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} , then any ℓ will be allowed.

§3 From spectral action to L-parameters

Defn (1) The spectral Bernstein center

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z}^{\text{spec}}(G, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) &:= \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}'(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})/\widehat{G}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}'(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})).\end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \mathcal{Z}^{\text{geom}}(G, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) := \pi_0(\text{End}(\text{id}_{D(Bun_G, \wedge)}))$$

$$(3) \mathcal{Z}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) := \varprojlim_{K \in G(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[K \backslash G(\mathbb{Q}_p)/K]).$$

open compact pro-p subgroup

Cor \exists canonical map

$$\mathcal{Z}^{\text{spec}}(G, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \xrightarrow{\quad \cong \quad} \mathcal{Z}^{\text{geom}}(G, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \xrightarrow{\quad \cong \quad} \mathcal{Z}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}).$$

$$\text{End}(\mathcal{O}\mathcal{Z}'(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})/\widehat{G}) \xrightarrow{\quad \cong \quad} \pi_0(\text{End}(\text{id}_{D(Bun_G, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{\omega})}))$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Bun_G^1 & \xrightarrow{j^1} & D(Bun_G, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\omega} \xrightarrow{j^{1*}} D(Bun_G^1, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\omega} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & D(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\omega}. \end{array}$$

Def'n An L-parameter $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}'(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})$ is semi-simple

if whenever φ factors through a parabolic $\widehat{P} \subseteq \widehat{G}$,
it then factors through a Levi $\widehat{M} \subseteq \widehat{P}$.

Remark For $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}'(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$,

φ semi-simple $\Leftrightarrow \varphi$ is continuous for the adic top on $\widehat{G}(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$.

Cor (Construction of semi-simple L-parameters)

$K = \bar{\mathbb{F}}_\ell$ or $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, π irred smooth K -rep'n of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a semi-simple

$\varphi_\pi: W_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \widehat{G}(K)$ up to conj by \widehat{G} .

proof $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{Spec}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(G(\mathbb{Q}_p), K) \rightarrow \text{End}_K(\pi) = K$.

$\varphi_\pi \in \text{Spec}(\mathcal{Z}^{\text{Spec}})(K) = (\mathcal{Z}'(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})/\widehat{G})(K)$

categorical quotient.

□

§4 Construction of the spectral action

Fix a finite quotient Q of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ s.t.

the action of $W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on \widehat{G} factors through this Q .

Thm Assume \mathfrak{l} is "very good". Λ is a \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -alg.

Let \mathcal{C} be a small \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -linear independent compact stable ∞ -cat.

Then gluing a "compactly supported" Λ -linear action
of $\text{Perf}(\mathcal{Z}'(W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \widehat{G})/\widehat{G})$ on \mathcal{C}

\Leftrightarrow giving for any finite set I ,

an exact $\text{Rep}_\Lambda(Q^I)$ -linear monoidal functor

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Rep}_\Lambda(\widehat{G} \times Q)^I} \text{Rep}_\Lambda(\widehat{G} \times Q)^I \longrightarrow \text{End}_\Lambda(\mathcal{C})^{B_{W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^I}$$

finite presentation

that is functorial in I
i.e. $\forall V \in \text{Rep}_{\lambda}^{\text{fp}}(\widehat{G} \times \mathbb{Q})^I$,
 $\exists T_V: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{B\text{Wap}^I} := \{X \in \mathcal{C}, \text{ with action by } W_{\text{ap}}^I \text{ on } X\}$,
satisfying certain compatibilities
(e.g. $T_V \circ W = T_W \circ T_V$).

by Nadler-Yun (2019), Gaitsgory-Kazhdan-Rozenblyum-Varchasky (≥ 2020).
Use this as a blackbox.

Want $\forall V \in \text{Rep}_{\lambda}^{\text{fp}}(\widehat{G} \times \mathbb{Q})^I$, \exists a functor
 $T_V: D(B_{\text{dR}}, \wedge)^{\wedge} \rightarrow D(B_{\text{dR}}, \wedge)^{\wedge, B\text{Wap}^I}$
(Use geom Satake + Hecke correspondence.)

$\text{Div}^1 := \text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$: $S \mapsto \{S^{\#} : \text{untilt of } S\}/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}$
 $\{ \text{degree 1 Cartier divisors on } X_S \}$

$\rightsquigarrow LG: S \mapsto \{(S^{\#}, g) \mid S^{\#} \in \text{Div}^1(S), g \in G(B_{\text{dR}}(S^{\#}))\}$.

$L_{\text{Div}^1(G)} \underset{\text{Spec } C}{\sim}$

$\rightsquigarrow LG \supseteq L^+G: S \mapsto \{(S^{\#}, g) \mid S^{\#} \in \text{Div}^1(S), g \in G(B_{\text{dR}}^+(S^{\#}))\}$.

Define $G_{\mathbb{G}} := LG/L^+G \longrightarrow \text{Div}^1$

$HKG := L^+G \backslash LG / L^+G = L^+G/G_{\mathbb{G}}$.

Now for each finite set I , have $HKG^I \longrightarrow (\text{Div}^1)^I$.

Upshot \exists a good notion of "perverse sheaves" on HKG^I .

$\rightsquigarrow \text{Sat}_G^I(\Lambda) := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Lambda\text{-flat perverse sheaves on } HKG^I \\ \text{ULA over } (\text{Div}^1)^I \end{array} \right\}$.

Then If $\sqrt{p} \in \Lambda$ is fixed, then \exists equivalence of cats

$$\text{Sat}_G^I(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}_{\Lambda}^{\text{fp}}(\widehat{G} \rtimes W_{\mathbb{Q}_p})^I.$$

$$S_v \longleftrightarrow V$$

Construction of T_v :

$$\widetilde{HK}_G^I := \left\{ (\xi_1, \xi_2, (x_i)_{i \in I}, f) \mid \begin{array}{l} \cdot \xi_i \text{ } G\text{-bundles} \\ \cdot (x_i)_{i \in I} \in (\text{Div}^1)^I \\ \cdot \varphi: \xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2 \text{ modifications at } (x_i)_{i \in I} \end{array} \right\}$$

$\leadsto \exists$ obvious maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widetilde{HK}_G^I & \\ h_1 \swarrow & & \searrow h_2 \\ \text{Bun}_G & & \text{Bun}_G \times (\text{Div}^1)^I. \end{array}$$

Define operator

$$T_v := R_{h_2, *} (h_1^*(-) \otimes_{\Lambda} S_v): D(\text{Bun}_G, \Lambda) \rightarrow D(\text{Bun}_G \times (\text{Div}^1)^I, \Lambda)$$

$$\downarrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow$$

$$T_v \dashrightarrow D(\text{Bun}_G, \Lambda)^{\text{BW}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^I}.$$

$$\leadsto \pi_1(\text{Div}^1) = \pi_1(Spd \mathbb{Q}_p / \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}}) = W_{\mathbb{Q}_p}.$$

$\leadsto \text{Im } T_v$ lies in the subset of objects

that are locally const in $(\text{Div}^1)^I$ -direction.

Then T_v preserves compact objects and ULT sheaves.