

# Lecture 10 Cohomology of automorphic bundles and étale local systems

## §1 Langlands dual group

Assume for the rest of today:  $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G)$  is compact/proper  
 $(\Leftrightarrow G \text{ is anisotropic, i.e. } G^{\mathrm{ad}} \text{ does not contain a } \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q}))$

- $T \subseteq B \subseteq G_F \rightsquigarrow (X^*(T), \Phi, \Delta), (X_*(T), \Phi^\vee, \Delta^\vee)$

E.g.  $GL_{n,F} \cong \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} / F \quad A_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ$

$$\rightsquigarrow (X^*(T) = \mathbb{Z}^n, \Phi = \left\{ \alpha_i - \alpha_j ; i \neq j \right\}, \Delta = \left\{ \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n \right\})$$

$$\alpha_i = (0, \dots, \overset{i}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$X_*(T) = \mathbb{Z}^n, \Phi^\vee = \left\{ \alpha_i^\vee - \alpha_j^\vee ; i \neq j \right\}, \Delta = \left\{ \alpha_1^\vee - \alpha_2^\vee, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}^\vee - \alpha_n^\vee \right\}$$

$$G = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^r}/\mathbb{Q}_p}(GL_{n,\mathbb{Q}_{p^r}}), \quad X^*(T) = (\mathbb{Z}^n)^r, \quad \Phi = \left\{ \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Frob. permutation}}}{\alpha_i^{(s)} - \alpha_j^{(s)}} ; i \neq j, s=1, \dots, r \right\}$$

$$\Delta = \left\{ \alpha_i^{(s)} - \alpha_{i+1}^{(s)} ; i=1, \dots, n-1, s=1, \dots, r \right\}$$

$$X_*(T) = (\mathbb{Z}^n)^r, \Phi^\vee = \left\{ \alpha_i^{(s),v} - \alpha_j^{(s),v} ; i \neq j, s=1, \dots, r \right\}, \Delta^\vee = \left\{ \alpha_i^{(s),v} - \alpha_{i+1}^{(s),v} ; i=1, \dots, n-1, s=1, \dots, r \right\}$$

$U_{n,\mathbb{Q}_p}$  unramified  $X^*(T) = \mathbb{Z}^n \quad \Phi = \left\{ \alpha_i - \alpha_j ; i \neq j \right\}$

Frob<sub>p</sub> acts by  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (-a_n, \dots, -a_1)$

$$\Delta = \left\{ \alpha_1 - \alpha_2, \alpha_2 - \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_{n-2} - \alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n \right\}$$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Frob}_p}$

$\rightsquigarrow$  dual group  $(\hat{T} \subset \hat{B} \subset \hat{G})$

s.t.  $(X^*(T), \Phi, X_*(T), \Phi^\vee, \Delta, \Delta^\vee) \cong (X_*(\hat{T}), \Phi^\vee(\hat{T}), X^*(\hat{T}), \Phi(\hat{T}), \Delta^\vee(\hat{T}), \Delta(\hat{T}))$

E.g. •  $G = GL_n/F \rightsquigarrow \hat{G} = GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong \hat{B} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \cong \hat{T} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$

•  $G = \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^r}/\mathbb{Q}_p} GL_n, \quad \hat{G} = GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Frob}_p \text{ cyclic permute factors}}$

• If  $G = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} G_0, \quad \hat{G} = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})}^{\mathrm{Gal}(F)} \widehat{G}_0 \trianglelefteq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$

$\cong \widehat{G}_0 \times \dots \times \widehat{G}_0 \quad [F:\mathbb{Q}] \text{ copies.}$

•  $G = U_n/\mathbb{Q}_p, \quad \hat{G} = GL_{n,\mathbb{C}} \hookrightarrow \dots \times \left( \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \dots \oplus \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1}$

$$\sigma \text{ sends } \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t_1^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} t_n^{-1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Why the signs? Preserves the "positive direction" in each simple root space

$$\text{e.g. } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{but } \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Langlands dual  $G/F$  is  ${}^L G := \hat{G} \rtimes \underline{\text{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)}$

often, we replace this by  $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ ,  
where  $E = \text{an extn of } F$  over which  
 $G$  splits.

## §2 Expected Galois cohomology of étale local system

Satake isomorphism revisited (split version)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \pi \swarrow & \downarrow i \\ T & \xrightarrow{\quad B \quad} & G \end{array} \qquad \hat{T} //_{\mathbb{W}} \simeq \hat{G} / \text{Ad}(\hat{G})$$

$$\text{Sat}_G: \mathbb{Hk}_G \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathbb{Hk}_B \xrightarrow{\pi!} \mathbb{Hk}_T$$

$$\text{Sat}_G: \mathbb{Hk}_G \xrightarrow{\text{II}} \mathbb{Hk}_B \xrightarrow{\text{UI}} \mathbb{Hk}_T$$

$$\mathbb{C}_c \left[ \frac{G(\mathbb{Q}_p)}{G(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{unram}} \mathbb{Hk}_T^W \cong \mathbb{C}[X_*(\hat{T})]^W \cong \mathbb{C}[X^*(\hat{T})]^W$$

$$\mathbb{C}[\hat{T} //_{\mathbb{W}}] \simeq \mathbb{C}[\hat{G}]^{\text{Ad}(\hat{G})}$$

So a character of  $\mathbb{Hk}_G$   $\leadsto$  a conjugacy class in  $\hat{G}$ .

$$\text{e.g. } \mathbb{Hk}_G \subset \left( \text{m-Ind}_{B^{\text{unram}}}^G \chi \right)^{G(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \leadsto \gamma_p = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(p) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \chi_n(p) \end{pmatrix}$$

reflex field

Kottwitz: Given a Shimura datum  $(G, X)$   $\leadsto \mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_C$  def'd over  $E$ .

Can conjugate so that  $\mu$  is a dominant cocharacter in  $X_*(\hat{T}) = X^*(\hat{T})$

↪ highest weight rep'n  $r_\mu: \hat{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\mu)$

Fact  $r_\mu$  extends to a rep'n  $r_\mu: \hat{G} \times \mathrm{Gal}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\mu)$

Given an unramified rep'n  $\pi_p \rightsquigarrow \gamma_{\pi_p} \sigma \in \hat{G} \times \langle \sigma \rangle$  element in the coset  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  For a  $p$ -adic place  $v$  of  $E$ , get an unram. rep'n.  
 $\hat{G}\sigma$ , unique up to conjugation by  $\hat{G}$

$$\mathrm{Gal}_{E_v} \longrightarrow \hat{G} \times \mathrm{Gal}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/E_v} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\mu)(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$$

$$\sigma_v = \sigma_p^m \longmapsto (\gamma_{\pi_p} \sigma)^m \longmapsto r_\mu((\gamma_{\pi_p} \sigma)^m)$$

Twist:  $\chi: \mathrm{Gal}_{E_v} \longrightarrow (\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times)^{\oplus m}$   
 $\sigma_v \longmapsto (\sqrt[p]{\sigma})^{\dim \mathrm{Sh}_G \cdot [E_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]}$

Expectation: Given a "nice" automorphic rep'n  $\pi$ ,

$$H_{\text{ét}}^{\text{mid}}\left(\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f), \underline{V}(\lambda)\right) = \bigoplus_{\pi} \left(\pi_f^{K_f}\right)^{\oplus m(\pi)} \otimes W(\pi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}_E$$

↑ some  $l$ -adic local system

$W(\pi) \simeq V_\mu$  is unramified at where  $K_p$  is hyperspecial. &

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Gal}_{E_v} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V_\mu) \\ \sigma_v &\longmapsto r_\mu((\gamma_{\pi_p} \sigma)^m) \otimes \chi(\sigma_v). \end{aligned}$$

### §3 Cohomology of automorphic vector bundle

Recall Given a rep'n  $W$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  ↼ locally free coherent sheaf  $\underline{W}$  of  $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G)$ .

Goal: Compute  $H^i(\mathrm{Sh}_K(G), \underline{W})$  (e.g.  $H^i(M_K^*, \omega^k)$ )

Fact:  $\Omega_{\check{D}}^1 \cong \Omega_{G_e/\mathbb{Q}}^1 = (\underline{P}^+)^*$  (for the adjoint  $\mathbb{Q}$ -action)

$$\Rightarrow \Omega_{\mathrm{Sh}_K(G)}^1 = \underline{P}^-$$

Assume that  $\mathrm{Sh}_K(G)$  is compact.

Consider the resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Sh}_K(G)}^{\mathrm{hol}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{C}}^\infty \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \underline{\Omega}^1 \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \underline{\Omega}^2 \rightarrow \dots \xrightarrow{\bar{\Omega}^{\dim \mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f)}} 0$$

$\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\infty \otimes (\underline{\mathbb{P}}^-)^*$      $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^\infty \otimes \wedge^2(\underline{\mathbb{P}}^-)^*$   
 b/c we've learned  $\underline{\Omega}^1 \cong (\underline{\mathbb{P}}^+)^* \Rightarrow \underline{\Omega}^1 \cong (\underline{\mathbb{P}}^-)^*$   
 → tensor with  $\underline{W}$  → resolution of  $\underline{W}^{\mathrm{hol}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow H^*(\mathrm{Sh}_G(K_f), \underline{W}) &= H^*\left(\left(C^\infty_{(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(A)/K)} \otimes W \rightarrow C^\infty_{(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(A)/K)} \otimes (\underline{\mathbb{P}}^-)^* \otimes W \rightarrow \dots\right)^{K_\infty}\right) \\ &= H^*\left(\bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\otimes m(\pi)} \otimes \left(\pi_\infty \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^*(\underline{\mathbb{P}}^-), W)\right)^{K_\infty}\right) \\ &=: \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\otimes m(\pi)} \underbrace{\otimes H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W)}_{(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)\text{-cohomology.}} \end{aligned}$$

\* What is  $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$ -cohomology? (E.g. [Borel-Wallach, Chap 1])

### ① Lie algebra cohomology

$\mathfrak{g}$  Lie algebra  $\hookrightarrow V$  vector space.

Define  $C^q(\mathfrak{g}; V) := \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^q(\mathfrak{g}), V)$

$d : C^q(\mathfrak{g}; V) \rightarrow C^{q+1}(\mathfrak{g}; V)$  is given by

$$df(x_0, \dots, x_q) = \sum_i (-1)^i x_i \cdot f(x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_q) + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} f([x_i, x_j], x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, \hat{x}_j, \dots, x_q)$$

The cohomology is  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}; V)$  with  $H^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) = V^{d=0}$ .

### ② Relative Lie algebra cohomology

$\mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  Lie subalgebra  $\hookrightarrow V$  vector space

Define  $C^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\wedge^q(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}), V) \hookrightarrow C^q(\mathfrak{g}; V)$

$$\left\{ f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V ; \text{s.t. } \begin{array}{l} f(x_1, \dots, x_q) \text{ depends only on each } x_i \in \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} \\ \sum_i f(x, \dots, [x, x_i], \dots, x_q) = x \cdot f(x_1, \dots, x_q) \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{k} \end{array} \right\}$$

Can show that  $d$  sends  $C^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)$  into  $C^{q+1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)$

$\rightsquigarrow$  The cohomology is  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)$ .

③  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -cohomology and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ -cohomology.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie alg, not necessarily reductive (so either  $\mathfrak{g}$  or  $\mathfrak{g}^\circ$ ).

$K := \text{max'l compact subgroup } (K = K_\infty) \ni K^\circ = \text{connected component of } K$

Assume that  $K$  is reductive.

Define  $C^q(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) := \text{Hom}_K(\Lambda^q(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}), V) \cong C^q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)^{K/K^\circ}$

So we have  $H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K; V) := H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}; V)^{K/K^\circ}$

Theorem.  $H^*(Sh_G(K_f), W) = \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\otimes m(\pi)} \otimes H^*(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W)$

Example  $G = GL_2$ ,  $\pi_\infty$  discrete series  $\pi_{k_1} \oplus \pi_{k_{k+2}} \oplus \dots$

$$\rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} H^0(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes W_{-k_1}) = 1\text{-dim'l} \\ H^1(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty; \underbrace{\pi_\infty \otimes W_{k+2}}_{\parallel} \oplus \dots \oplus \underbrace{\pi_{k_{k+2}} \otimes X_{k+2}}_{wt=-4} \oplus \underbrace{\pi_k \otimes X_{k+2}}_{wt=-2}) = 1\text{-dim'l} \\ \quad \otimes \left( \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\cdot} \mathfrak{p}^+ \right)^{wt=2} \end{cases}$$

Example:  $F = \text{totally real field}$ .  $G = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} PGL_2$

weight  $\underline{k} = (k_\tau)_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})}$ ,  $k_\tau$  all even.

$$n_0 := \# \{ \tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R}) ; k_\tau \leq 0 \}$$

$$H^*(Sh_G(K_f), \omega^{\underline{k}_\tau}) = \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f}) \otimes \bigotimes_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{R})} H^*(\mathfrak{g}_\tau, K_{\infty, \tau}; \pi_\tau \otimes X_{-k_\tau})$$

multiplicity one  
 holds for  $PGL_n$

concentrated in one degree & dim 1.  
 in degree 0 if  $k_\tau \geq 2$   
 in degree 1 if  $k_\tau \leq 0$ .

So  $H^*(Sh_G(K_f), \omega^{\underline{k}_\tau})$  is concentrated in degree  $n_0$ .

When  $V$  is an algebraic  $\mathbb{C}$ -rep'n of  $G$  (def'd over a number field)

$\rightsquigarrow \underline{V} = \text{locally constant sheaf assoc. to } V$

↓

$$\text{Sh}_G(K_f) \quad \bullet \quad \mathcal{V} := \underline{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{Sh}_G(K_f)} \leftarrow \text{de Rham local system}$$

$$(1 \otimes \nabla_{\text{Sh}_G(K_f)})$$

$$\text{Get } H^*_{\text{Betti}}(\text{Sh}_G(K_f)(\mathbb{C}), \underline{\mathcal{L}(V)}) = H^*(\text{Sh}_G(K_f), \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \nabla} \mathcal{V} \otimes \Omega^1_{\text{Sh}_G(K_f)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \otimes \underline{\Omega^d_{\text{Sh}_G(K_f)}})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= H^*(\text{Sh}_G(K_f), \underbrace{\mathcal{V} \otimes \underline{\Omega^{\bullet \bullet}}_{\text{Sh}_G(K_f)}}_{C^\infty \text{-resolution}}) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\oplus m(\pi)} \otimes H^* \left( (\pi_\infty \otimes \text{Hom}(\wedge^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{p}^+) \otimes \wedge^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{p}^-), V))^{\mathbb{K}_\infty} \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{\pi} (\pi_f^{K_f})^{\oplus m(\pi)} \otimes H^*(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K}_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes V) \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{\text{Langlands observation: }} \dim H^{\text{mid}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K}_\infty; \pi_\infty \otimes V(\lambda)) = \dim (\text{rep of } \hat{G} \text{ of highest wt } \mu)$$

or rather this is how Langlands discovered the dual group.