(Westlake Lecture 6) Introduction to the Bogomolor Conjecture

谢俊逸

81 Statement

k=k. B=irred proj ver/k. Lim B=m 21.
M= ample line bundle on B.

K = either number field or k(B).

A = abelian var / K.

Fix L = symmetric ample line bundle over A.

Note that when k is a number field.

Th(x)=0 (=) x is forsion.

Caution: Not true for K= k(B).

(Ex A = A'Opk isotrivial up enumerous constant sections)

wo K-pts from k-pts have hto.)

essentially the only counterexample.

To describe this explicitly, we introduce

· a trace poir $(A^{\overline{K}/R}, tr) = final object of category of (c, f)$ where C = AV/R, $f : C \otimes_{\overline{K}} \overline{K} \to A_{\overline{K}}$.

chark = 0 => (AKA) maximal isotrivial subvar of A /A.

chark=p>o => fr purely inseparable (not embedding)

(AFIR, tr) "almost" maximal isotrivial.

Prop When K=k(B), x ∈ A(K), $f(x) = 0 \iff x \in (\underline{A}(\underline{K})_{tor} + \underline{tr}(\underline{A}_{\underline{K}/\underline{K}}(\underline{K})))$ torsion part isotrivial part over K & a over & very large For an irred subver X: AK, Ero. define $X_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in X(\overline{k}) | \widehat{h}(x) < \xi\}$. Say X special if X=tr(YK)+T, for Y/k, T=torsion exet. is Note if X special, then (x) {x \in X(\overline{k}) | \hat{h(x)=0} is Eariski dense in X and hence KE, YEro. Bogomolov Conjecture (the negative of (x)) If X is not special, then 3 800 s.t. $\chi_{\epsilon} \neq \chi$. · K = Number field: proved by Ullmo- 2 hang (1998) · Finally proved by lie-Tuan (2021). 32 History & Recent process Special cuses (1) K=number field, C > Jac(C) Bogomolow (1980)} statement. (2) K=R(B), Jamaki (2013) (In geniously, (2) is more difficult.) (1) Equidistribution: Ullmo-Zhang, K/Q. (2007) (2007) K=k(B), 3 a totally degenerate place (enumorous information). · Tanaki: Reduce to everywhere-good-reduction. (2006) wo <u>Xie-Yuan</u>: Yanaki's thm + Mann-Munford conjecture (1st technical line).

```
(2) (2nd tech line) Betti map: chark=0.
          · Habegger 2013 : A= E1 x E2
                     (with an exotic idea,
                      but the result is weaken than Cuble 2007).
          · Gas-Habegger 2019: dim B=1
          · Cantel-Gao-Habegger-Xie 2021: chark=0, dinB>0,
                 (use some dynamic system + diffe geom.)
                a slightly easier new proof.
    (3) (3nd tech line) Admissible metrics: curve - Jacobian.
              (can construct effective versions).
          · Zhang 2010: reduce to graph theory
                                                            f chark=0
          · Faber 2009: g(c) < 4.
          · Cinkir 2011: totally proved for C => Jac(c)
        Rock when cher k=p, Robin de Jong's trick
                    to modify the argument in chark=0.
Thm (Zhang, Cinkir)
        K=R(B), LimB=1. Pick & ePic(c).
           Define id: C > J=Jae(c)

x > x-d.

Népon-Tate height.
               e(C, \alpha) := \sup_{F \in C(K)} \inf_{X \in C(K)\setminus F} (\widehat{\iota}_{\alpha}(X))
   The if C is not isotrivial.
          then e(c, \alpha) \ge const (computable).
                          depending on g.
```

Construction of admissible metric

(1) Formal and abstract way.

Sotups · gr : Prob measure on Xan.

· g_s: (c²)^{an}/Δ^{an} → R Symmetric Green function.

us define a metric II·II_s on O₂(Δ) (a line bundle)

where α: Speck'* C → C~C.

· w ~ ~ (w, ||· ||x).

Now for any line bundle L on X & metric II: II on L.
II: II is admissible if C.(L, II: II) = deg L. dy.

(2) Graph-theoretic way.