

# Counting Points on Shimura Varieties

## Lecture 5

Tibang Zhu, Aug 18

Last time Rmk: In general, suppose  $E/\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \gamma_0 \in GL_2(\mathbb{Q})$

$E$  is supersingular  $\Leftrightarrow \exists k, \gamma_0^k$  is central.

More precisely,  $\gamma_0^k$  is central

$\Leftrightarrow (\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q} E) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is a quaternion algebra.

There exist examples of ss elliptic curves

st.  $\gamma_0$  is Not central,

but some power of  $\gamma_0$  is central.

e.g.  $q=p=3, \pi=\sqrt{-3}$  Weil 3-number.

$\rightsquigarrow E/\mathbb{F}_3$ ,  $E$  is ss but  $\gamma_0 \sim \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{-3} \end{pmatrix}$  not central,  
 $\gamma_0^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$  central.

But we proved:

$\forall (E, \eta) \in S_K(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , then  $E$  is s.s.  $\Leftrightarrow \gamma_0$  is central.

i.e. examples as above do not extend to a pair  $(E, \eta)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

### §1 General formula

$(G, X)$  Shimura datum.  $\begin{cases} G \text{ der simply connected} \\ z_G \text{ is cuspidal} \end{cases}$

$K = K^P K_P$ ,  $K^P$  "small enough" &  $K_P$  hyperspecial.

$E$  reflex field. Fix a prime  $p$  of  $E$  over  $p$ .

$\rightsquigarrow$  conjectural canonical integral model  $S_K / \mathcal{O}_{E, (p)}$ .

Take some  $q = p^n$  st.  $\mathbb{F}_q \supset$  residue field of  $\mathcal{O}_{E, (p)}$ .

$$\# \mathcal{Z}_K(\mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\text{cong}} \sum_{(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)} \alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) \zeta_K(\delta_0) \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{P}}) T \operatorname{Log}(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{P}})$$

Here:  $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$  runs through  $G(\mathbb{Q}) \times G(\mathbb{A}_f^P) \times G(\mathbb{Q}_{p^n})$   
with  $\gamma_0$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -elliptic

i.e.  $\exists$  maximal torus  $T \subset G_{\mathbb{R}}$  /  $\mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$\gamma_0 \in T(\mathbb{R})$  &  $(T/\mathbb{Z}_G)(\mathbb{R})$  is cpt.

- $\gamma$  is "strictly conjugate" to  $\gamma_0$ .

i.e.  $\gamma \sim \gamma_0$ .  $\xrightarrow{G(\mathbb{A}_f^P)}$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_f^P := A_f^P \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$

Think  $\gamma = (\gamma_\ell)_{\ell \neq p}$ ,  $\gamma_\ell \in G(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ ,  $\gamma_p \xrightarrow{G(\mathbb{A}_f^P)} \gamma_0$ .

- $\delta \in G(\mathbb{Q}_{p^n})$ ,  $\delta \cdot \sigma(\delta) \dots \sigma^{m(\delta)} \delta \sim \gamma_0$

i.e. the stable conjugacy class of  $\gamma_0$   
is the deg n norm of  $\delta$ .

Now, given  $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$  as above. + some hypothesis

One defines a Cartan Galois cohomological invariant

"Kottwitz invariant".

$\alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$  lying in some finite abelian gp  
depending only on  $\gamma_0$ .

In the summation, only those  $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$  w/  $\alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) = 0$  should appear.

\* Summation is over  $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$  satisfying  $\alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) = 0$   
up to an equivalence relation

$$(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) \sim (\gamma'_0, \gamma', \delta')$$

if  $\gamma_0 \xrightarrow{G(\mathbb{A}_f^P)} \gamma'_0$ ,  $\gamma \xrightarrow{G(\mathbb{A}_f^P)} \gamma'$ , and

$\delta$ :  $\sigma$ -conjugate to  $\delta'$  in  $G(\mathbb{Q}_{p^n})$

Key Point if  $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) \sim (\gamma'_0, \gamma', \delta')$ ,

then  $\alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha(\gamma'_0, \gamma', \delta') = 0$ .

Summand  $C_1(\gamma_0, \delta, \gamma) C_2(\beta) O_{\delta}(1_{K^F}) T_{\delta} g(f_n)$

•  $C_1(\gamma_0, \delta, \gamma)$  : given  $(\gamma_0, \delta, \gamma)$ ,

(i) write  $\gamma = (\gamma_\ell)_{\ell \neq p}$ .  $\gamma_\ell \in G(Q_\ell)$ .

$G_{\gamma_0}$  is an inner form of  $(G_{\gamma_0})_{Q_\ell}$

(ii)  $J_{n, \delta}$  is an inner form of  $(G_{\gamma_0})_{Q_p}$ .

Want global inner form  $I$  of  $G_{\gamma_0}/Q$ .

s.t. (i)  $I_{\mathbb{R}}/\mathcal{E}_{G, \mathbb{R}}$  is cpt.

(ii)  $I_{Q_\ell} \cong G_{\gamma_\ell}$  as inner forms of  $(G_{\gamma_0})_{Q_\ell}$ .

(iii)  $I_{Q_p} \cong J_{n, \delta}$  as inner forms of  $(G_{\gamma_0})_{Q_p}$ .

→ Then we define

$$C_1(\gamma_1, \delta, \gamma) = \text{vol}(I(Q) \backslash I(A_F))$$

Note For a general  $(\gamma_0, \delta, \gamma)$ , no reason why global  $I$  should exist.

But if  $\alpha(\gamma_0, \delta, \gamma) = 0$ , then  $I$  exists!

Actually:  $\alpha(\gamma_0, \delta, \gamma) = 0$  is stronger than  $I$  exists.

$$C_2(\gamma_0) := \# \ker(\mathcal{W}(G_{\gamma_0}) \longrightarrow H^1(Q, G)) = \bigoplus_v H^1(Q_v, G_{\gamma_0}) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{"direct sum" of} \\ \text{pointed sets} \end{matrix}$$

where  $\mathcal{W}(G_{\gamma_0}) = \ker(H^1(Q, G_{\gamma_0}) \longrightarrow H^1(A, G_{\gamma_0}))$

$$O_{\delta}(1_{K^F}) = \int_{G(A_F^\times) \backslash G(A_F^\times)} 1_{K^F}(x^{-1} \gamma x) dx$$

$T_{\delta} g(f_n)$  = same as in  $G_2$ .

Here  $f_n: G(Q_{p^n}) \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$  is as follows

•  $\forall h \in X = \text{a } G_{\mathbb{R}}\text{-conjugacy class of homos}$

$$\text{Res}_{C/R} G_m \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

$$\circ h_C: G_m \times G_m \longrightarrow G_C$$

$$\begin{matrix} \uparrow \text{id}: C \hookrightarrow C \\ \uparrow \tilde{\phi}: C \hookrightarrow C \end{matrix}$$

$$\circ \quad \mu_h: G_{m,C} \longrightarrow G_C \quad \text{"Hodge cocharacter of } h\text{"}$$

$$z \longmapsto h_C(z, 1)$$

The  $G(C)$ -conj. class of  $\mu_h$  is def'd/E. (by def'n of E).

$\rightsquigarrow$  In particular if  $F/E$  field ext'n,

we can get a (conj. class of cochars of  $G$ ) /F.

Now if  $G$  is quasi-split /F,

this is the same as a  $G(F)$ -conj. class of  
F-rational cochars:  $G_{m,F} \longrightarrow G_F$ .

Now  $G$  is quasi-split / $\mathbb{Q}_p$

$$\rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \supset E_F \supset F$$

$\rightsquigarrow G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ -conj. class of cochars of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ .

Take one member  $\mu$  which extends to a cochar of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$

Recall  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a red. gp sch/ $\mathbb{Z}_p$  s.t.  $k_p = \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

$f_n = \text{char func'n of } \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)_{\text{exp}} \cdot \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$

(indep. of the choice of  $\mu$ ).

E.g.  $G_{\mathbb{A}}$ ,  $f_n = \text{char func'n } GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)(P_1) GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ .

Rmk  $T\delta(f_n) \neq 0$  then "Kottwitz homomorphism"

$$\chi: G(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^\text{ur}}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(G)_{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

sends  $\delta$  to some fixed elt in  $\pi_1(G)_{\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)}$  (def'd by  $\chi$ ).

Actually, this condition is needed in order to define  $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$

Rmk Why should we expect for  $(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)$ , global I should exist?

In PEL case  $A/\mathbb{F}_q + \text{PEL structure}$

$$\rightsquigarrow \gamma \longleftrightarrow \text{Frob} \in \text{End}(A) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{matrix} \quad \text{---} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{matrix}$$

$\text{TF}^P(A)$

$\rightsquigarrow \gamma \in G(A_{\mathbb{F}_q}^P), \quad \delta \longleftrightarrow \text{abs Frob} \in M_0(A).$

$\xi(r)$ -module is also equipped w/ PE structure.

Global I: is isomorphic to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -gp:

$$\forall \mathbb{Q}\text{-alg. } R \longmapsto ((\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A, \text{PE str.}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R)^{\times})$$

Rmk  $\#\mathcal{S}_K(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q | H^i(\mathcal{S}_K, \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)).$

More generally:

$$\sum_i (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\text{Frob}_q \times f^P | H^i(\dots))$$

with  $f^P \in H(G(A_{\mathbb{F}_q}^P) // K^P).$

Similar formula, where  $O_S(1_{K^P})$  is replaced by  $O_S(f^P)$ .

### §2 Known cases of the conjecture

Kottwitz Around 1990: proved the conj. for

PEL type Shimura varieties of type A, C.

1990 - Now Some sporadic cases beyond PEL type  
but closely related to PEL.

Recent (Kisin - Shin - Zhu)

All abelian type cases

Remove  $\backslash$  Gder simply connected  
 $\backslash Z_G$  is cuspidal.

(See next Lecture).

### §3 Informal introduction to Trace Formulas

Langlands "Compare" the formula for  $\#\mathcal{S}_K(\mathbb{F}_q)$  w/  $\text{TF}$  from rep'n theory.

i.e. stable Arthur-Selberg TF.

Basics "nice" topological gp  $H$

(Hausdorff, locally cpt, unimodular)

discrete subgp  $\Gamma \subseteq H$ ,

$L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)$  as an  $H$ -rep'n.

Here  $H$  acts by right translation

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R: R(\varphi): L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) & \longrightarrow & L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) \\ \uparrow \text{unitary rep'n.} & \varphi \longmapsto & (x \mapsto \varphi(x)) \end{array}$$

Fundamental Question How  $R$  "decomposes" into irreducible unitary rep'n's of  $H$ ?

E.g.  $H = \mathbb{R}, \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Unitary irred. rep'n's of  $H$  parametrized by  $y \in i\mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_y: H & \longrightarrow & GL_1(\mathbb{C}) \\ x & \longmapsto & e^{yx} \end{array}$$

$$L^2(\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim \text{isometry}} L^2(\mathbb{Z})$$

$$\varphi \longmapsto \boxed{\hat{\varphi}: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= n\text{th Fourier coefficient of } \varphi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) e^{-2\pi i nx} dx \end{aligned}$$

$H$ -action on  $L^2(\mathbb{Z})$  is given by

$$x \in H = \mathbb{R}, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

$$(x \cdot \psi)(n) = \underbrace{e^{2\pi i nx}} \cdot \psi(n)$$

$$L^2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_n \mathbb{C} \cong \bigoplus_n \mathbb{C}^{2\pi i n}$$

$$\psi \longleftrightarrow (\psi(n))_n.$$

The  $n$ th copy of  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $\pi_{2\pi i n}$  as an  $H$ -rep'n.

Conclusion  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) \cong \bigoplus_n \pi_{\text{irr}} \text{ as } H\text{-reps}$

We say  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)$  decomposes discretely.

In contrast,  $H = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Gamma = \{0\}$

Fourier transform  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) \cong \left\{ \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \pi_y dy \right\}$ . "continuous spectrum".

Key Whether  $\Gamma \backslash H$  is cpt !!

From now on, assume  $\Gamma \backslash H$  is cpt.  $\xrightarrow{\text{ring under convolution}}$

If: We have an associated  $\mathcal{C}_c(H) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)$

$$R(f) : L^2(\Gamma \backslash H) \longrightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)$$

$$R(f) = \int_H R(h) f(h) dh.$$

$\uparrow$  fixed Haar measure on  $H$ .

$$\text{i.e. } [R(f)](x) = \int_H \varphi(xh) f(h) dh.$$

Now,  $\forall f \in \mathcal{C}_c(H)$   $\xrightarrow{\text{disc. decomp.}}$

$$\text{Tr}(R(f)|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)}) = \sum_{\pi} m_{\pi} \text{tr}(\pi(f)) \quad \text{"spectral expansion".}$$

"Geometric expansion":

$$\text{Tr}(R(f)|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)}) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \text{vol}(\Gamma g H g^{-1}) \cdot O_g(f).$$

$\uparrow$  up to conj.

$$O_g(f) = \int_{HgH} f(x^{-1}gx) dx.$$

Example / Exercise In the case  $\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ :

equality between geom. exp & spectral exp.

amounts to Poisson Summation Formula.

In the case  $\Gamma \backslash H$  non-cpt:

- $\text{Tr}(R(f))|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash H)}$  doesn't make sense
- Geom. exp & spectral exp. don't make sense.

Now: want to apply this idea to  $\Gamma \backslash H = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$   
for some reductive  $G/\mathbb{Q}$ .

BAD news  $G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$  often non-cpt  
even if you replace  $G$  by  $G_{\text{ad}}$  ??  
(E.g.  $G = \text{GL}_2$  on  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$  non-cpt!)

Arthur Invariant TF:

He defines an invariant distribution on  $G(\mathbb{A})$ .

↑ conjugation-invariant.

$$\text{i.e. } I: C_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ with } f \mapsto I(f)$$

" $I$  is like  $f \mapsto \text{Tr}(R(f))|_{L^2(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))}$ ".

$I$  has geometric exp: Assume  $G_{\text{der}}$  is simply connected.

$$I(\cdot) = \sum_{\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q})/\text{conj.}} \text{vol}(G_\gamma(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_\gamma(\mathbb{A})) \cdot O_\gamma(\cdot)$$

↑  
elliptic      ↪  
Tamagawa number  $t(G_\gamma)$  of  $G_\gamma$ .

+ Some much more complicated terms.

$I$  has spectral exp:

$$L^2(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})) = L^2_{\text{disc}} \oplus L^2_{\text{cont.}}$$

$$L^2_{\text{disc}} = \bigoplus_{\pi} m_\pi^{\text{disc}} \cdot \pi.$$

unitary irreps of  $G$

↑  
Not easy to explain.

$$I(\cdot) = \sum_{\pi} m_\pi^{\text{disc}} \cdot \text{tr}(\cdot | \pi) + \boxed{\text{Some much more complicated terms}}$$

Preview: This invariant TF has a problem:

$I(\cdot)$  or  $O_\delta(\cdot)$  or  $\text{tr}(\cdot|\pi)$

are Not invariant under stable conjugacy.