

Counting Points on Shimura Varieties

Lecture 6

Tihang Zhu, Aug 20

Last time Arthur's invariant TF.

G red. gp/ \mathbb{Q} , G_{der} simply connected.

$I: \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ invariant distribution

If $G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ is cpt. $I(\cdot) = \text{Tr}(R(\cdot) | L^2(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})))$

In general: more complicated.

Geometric exp

$$I(\cdot) = \sum_{\gamma_0 \in G(\mathbb{Q}) / \text{conj}} I(G_{\gamma_0}) \cdot O_{\gamma_0}(\cdot) + \text{more complicated terms}$$

$\gamma_0: \mathbb{Q}\text{-elliptic}$

$= \text{vol}(G_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G_{\gamma_0}(\mathbb{A}))$ w.r.t. a canonical Haar meas.

Spectral exp

$$I(\cdot) = \sum_{\pi} [m_\pi^{\text{disc}}] f_\pi(\cdot | \pi) + \text{more complicated terms}$$

π
unitary irreps of $G(\mathbb{A})$

multiplicity π apps in $L^2(G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}))_{\text{disc}}$

Problem These distributions $I(\cdot)$, $O_{\gamma_0}(\cdot)$, $f_\pi(\cdot | \pi)$ are Not stable.

i.e. invariant under stable conjugacy = $G(\mathbb{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{\mathbb{Q}})$ -conjugacy

Want a stable distribution $S: \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G(\mathbb{A})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$

s.t. $S = \sum$ stable dist'n's of a geom nature.

$\xrightarrow{\text{geom. exp}}$ $\xrightarrow{\text{spec. exp}}$

\sum stable dist'n's of a spectral nature.

§1 An overview of stabilization

Example How to make $I_{\text{ell}} = \sum_{\substack{\gamma_0 \in G(\mathbb{Q}) / \sim \\ \gamma_0 \text{ elliptic}}} T(G_{\gamma_0}) \cdot O_{\gamma_0}(\cdot)$ stable?

Tamagawa number

Step 1 $I_{ell} = \sum_{\substack{\gamma_0 \in G(\mathbb{Q})/\text{stab} \sim \\ \gamma \text{ elliptic}}} \tau(G_{\gamma_0}) \cdot \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in G(\mathbb{A})/\mathcal{K} \\ \gamma \sim \gamma_0}} O_{\gamma}(\cdot)$

$\boxed{\text{inv}(\gamma, \gamma_0) = 0}$

is well-defined for γ_0 up to stab conj. $\underline{\tau(I) = \tau(I')}$ if I is an inner form of I' (Kottwitz)

Here $\text{inv}(\gamma, \gamma_0) \in K(\gamma_0)$ (finite ab. gp).

Point: $\text{inv}(\gamma, \gamma_0) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \gamma$ is $G(\mathbb{A})$ -conj. to some $G(\mathbb{Q})$

Rmk The above formula is very similar to PCE!

$$\sum_{(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta)} C_1 \cdot C_2 \cdot O_{\gamma}(\cdot) \cdot T_{\delta}(\cdot)$$

with $\gamma_0 \in G(\mathbb{Q})/\text{stab conj.}$, γ, δ adelic up to conj./ G -conj.
& $\alpha(\gamma_0, \gamma, \delta) = 0$.

Step 2 Apply Fourier inversion to the finite ab. gp $K(\gamma_0)$

$$I_{ell} = \sum_{\substack{\gamma_0 \text{ up to stab} \\ \gamma \text{ up to conj.} \\ \text{s.t. } \gamma_0 \sim \gamma, \text{inv}(\gamma, \gamma_0) \text{ dies}}} \tau(G_{\gamma_0}) \cdot O_{\gamma} = \sum_{\substack{\gamma_0 \text{ up to stab} \\ \gamma \text{ up to conj.} \\ \text{s.t. } \gamma_0 \sim \gamma}} \frac{\tau(G_{\gamma_0})}{|K(\gamma_0)|} \sum_{K \in K(\gamma_0)^D} \underbrace{\langle K, \text{inv}(\gamma, \gamma_0) \rangle \cdot O_{\gamma}(\cdot)}_{O_{\gamma_0}^K(\cdot)}$$

but No condition on $\text{inv}(\gamma, \gamma_0)$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\gamma_0 \text{ up to stab} \\ \gamma \in G(\mathbb{A})/\sim \\ \gamma \sim \gamma_0}} \frac{\tau(G_{\gamma_0})}{|K(\gamma_0)|} \sum_{K \in K(\gamma_0)^D} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in G(\mathbb{A})/\sim \\ \gamma \sim \gamma_0}} \underbrace{\langle K, \text{inv}(\gamma, \gamma_0) \rangle}_{\text{"}} O_{\gamma}(\cdot)$$

$O_{\gamma_0}^K(\cdot)$ K -orbital integral

e.g. $K = 1$, $O_{\gamma_0}^K(\cdot) = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in G(\mathbb{A})/\sim \\ \gamma \sim \gamma_0}} O_{\gamma}(\cdot) =: S O_{\gamma_0}(\cdot)$
"stable orbital integral".

This is a stable distribution on $G(\mathbb{A})$!

Idea For a non-trivial $O_{\gamma_0}^K(\cdot)$ "comes from" a stable distribution differential group.

More precisely: from (γ_0, K) , construct a new red. gp

H_K/\mathbb{Q} called an endoscopic gp (with additional data relating H_K & G)
& $\gamma_K \in H_K(\mathbb{Q})$ up to stab. conj.
Want to relate $O_{\gamma_K}^K(f)$ w/ $SO_{\gamma_K}(\cdot)$ ↪ a stab distri'n on $H_K(A)$.

Step 3 (Hard!) $\forall f \in \mathcal{E}_c^\infty(G(A))$,

want to find $f^{H_K} \in \mathcal{E}_c^\infty(H_K(A))$

called "Langlands - Shelstad transfer" s.t. $O_{\gamma_K}^K(f) = SO_{\gamma_K}(f^{H_K})$.

Here f^{H_K} should depend only on H_K (+ additional data), not on γ_K .

Involves hard work by L-S, Waldspurger, Lammon, Ngo.
(CF proved by Ngo).

Step 4 Put everything together:

Thm (Kottwitz, assuming Step 3).

$$I_{ell}(f) = \sum_H \zeta(G, H) ST_{ell,*}^H(f^{H_K}) \quad \text{is a stabilization of } I_{ell}(\cdot).$$

• f^H : LS-transfer

• $ST_{ell,*}^H(\cdot)$ = "ell & (G, H) -regular part of stable TF".

$$= \sum_{\gamma_H \in H(\mathbb{Q})/\text{stab.}} \zeta(H) \cdot SO_{\gamma_H}(\cdot)$$

↑ is a stable distribution on H .

Rmk Arthur later stabilizes all the terms in geom & spectral exp's of I

$$\Rightarrow I(f) = \sum_H \zeta(G, H) ST^H(f^{H_K})$$

↑ full stable TF for H .

ST^H is stable distri'n on $H(A)$

which has a geom exp & spectral exp
into smaller stable distri'm.

§2 Back to PCF

$$\sum (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\tilde{f}^P \times \text{Frob}_p | H_{et}^i) \\ \text{Conj. } \# \zeta_K(p^n) = \sum_{\substack{(r,s,\delta) \\ \alpha(r,s,\delta)=0}} c_1(\dots) c_2(\dots) \cdot \frac{\alpha(1,p)}{\alpha(r,s,\delta)} T \text{Og}(\tilde{f}_n) \\ \text{Og}(\tilde{f}^P)$$

Theorem (Kottwitz)

RHS can be stabilized in a similar way as Iell.

i.e. RHS = $\sum_H i(G, H) \cdot \boxed{ST_{ell,*}^H(\tilde{f}_{sh}^H)}$ ← both same as before.
 endoscopic gp of G

$$\tilde{f}_{sh}^H = \begin{matrix} \circlearrowleft & \circlearrowleft & \circlearrowleft \\ \tilde{f}_{sh}^H & \tilde{f}_{sh}^H & \tilde{f}_{sh}^H \\ \circlearrowright & \circlearrowright & \circlearrowright \end{matrix} \in \mathcal{E}_c^\infty(H(A_f^P))$$

$\mathcal{E}^\infty(H(\mathbb{R})) \quad \mathcal{E}_c^\infty(H(\mathbb{Q}_p))$

LS-transfer of $\tilde{f}^P \in \mathcal{E}_c^\infty(G(A_f^P))$.

Expectation 1 When $\text{Sh}_K(G, X)$ is proj.

$$\forall H, ST_{ell,*}^H(\tilde{f}_{sh}^H) = ST^H(\tilde{f}_{sh}^H) \\ \Rightarrow \sum (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\tilde{f}^P \times \text{Frob}_p | H_{et}^i) = \sum_H i(G, H) \circledcirc ST^H(\tilde{f}_{sh}^H).$$

Expectation 2 Non-proj.

$$\boxed{\sum (-1)^i \text{Tr}(\tilde{f}^P \times \text{Frob}_p | H_{et}^i) = \sum (-1)^i \text{Tr}(-| H_c^i) + \text{more terms}} \\ \text{intersection cohom. of BB cpt'n} \quad \sum i(G, H) ST_{ell,*}^H(\tilde{f}_{sh}^H). \\ = \sum i(G, H) ST^H(\tilde{f}_{sh}^H) \quad (*)$$

Morel, Zhu's thesis.

Rmk In general, from (*), we expect to be able to relate LHS of (*) to automorphic L-func'ns.

(need some more ingredients: Arthur's multiplicity conj.)

Point need to relate ST^H back to autom L-func'ns
 for autom rep'ms of G.

For some classical groups, some unitary similitude gps,
everything can be made to work :).

§3 Proof of PCF for (G, x) of Hodge-type.

$$(G, x) \xleftarrow{i} (G_{\text{sp}}(V), H^{\pm}).$$

Stage 1 Canonical integral models:

Fix $K = K^p K_p \subset G(\mathbb{A}_f)$, K_p hyperspecial, K^p small.
up to shrinking K^p , replacing i by different choice.

Can assume:

$$\text{Sh}_{\text{K}}(G, x) \xrightarrow{\text{closed embed.}} \text{Sh}_U(G_{\text{sp}}(V) \times_{\mathbb{Q}} E).$$

$$U = U^p U_p \subset G_{\text{sp}}(V)(\mathbb{A}_f).$$

$$U_p \text{ hypersp. } U_p = G_{\text{sp}}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$$

a self-dual \mathbb{Z}_p -lattice in $V_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.

Sh_U has integral model $\mathfrak{S}_U/\mathbb{Z}_p$

which is a moduli of polarized ab. schs

$$\text{Sh}_{\text{K}} \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}_U \times E \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_U \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{E, (p)}.$$

Def'n $\mathfrak{S}_K :=$ normalization of Zariski closure of Sh_{K} in $\mathfrak{S}_U \times_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{E, (p)}$.

Hard part to prove \mathfrak{S}_K is smooth / $\mathcal{O}_{E, (p)}$.

Step 1 $G \hookrightarrow G_{\text{sp}}(V)$ is the stabilizer of

certain tensors \mathfrak{S}_d on V ($\otimes, \oplus, \text{Sym}^k, \text{Alt}^k$)

We can arrange each \mathfrak{S}_d extends to a \mathbb{Z}_p -linear tensor on $V_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

Moreover, $\mathfrak{S}_d \hookrightarrow G_{\text{sp}}(V_{\mathbb{Z}_p})$ is precisely the stabilizer of \mathfrak{S}_d .

red model/ \mathbb{Z}_p of $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ s.t. $\mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = K_p$.

Step 2 K/\mathbb{Q}_p finite ext'n, res. field \mathbb{Q} .

$$x \in \mathfrak{S}_K(\mathbb{Q}_K), \quad \mathfrak{S}_K \longrightarrow S_v \times \mathcal{O}_{E,\text{gp}}.$$

$\rightsquigarrow A_x$ on \mathbb{Q}_K .

$\rightsquigarrow p\text{-adic rep'n } \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \hookrightarrow T_p(A_{x,\bar{K}})$

This can be identified with $V_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$

In particular, can view S_α as a tensor on this.

Fact S_α is $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -invariant.

By p-adic comparison

S_α "transforms" to tensor $S_{\alpha,0}$ on $M_0(A_{x,k})[\frac{1}{p}]$

Integral p-adic Hodge theory

(Breuil-Kisin modules & the relationship with p-adic gps)

$\Rightarrow S_{\alpha,0}$ is a tensor on $M_0(A_{x,k})$.

Step 3 Use these integral tensors $S_{\alpha,0}$ to write down

a deformation space of $A_{x,\mathbb{R}}[\frac{1}{p^\infty}] + \boxed{S_{\alpha,0}}$

(Faltings) This space is formally smooth / $W(k)$.

Step 4 Relate the space in Step 3 w/ local structure of \mathfrak{S}_K .

$\Rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_K$ is smooth.

Stage 2 Classifying "isogeny classes"

$$\text{Work with } \mathfrak{S}_{K,p}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p) = \varprojlim_{K^p} \boxed{\mathfrak{S}_{K,K^p}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)}$$

If we understand this set + $G(\mathbb{A}_f^P)$ -action + Frob.-action

$\Rightarrow \text{PcF} !$

Def'n $x, x' \in \mathfrak{S}_{K,p}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ are called isogenous, if

\exists quasi-isog. $f: A_x \longrightarrow A_{x'}$ s.t.

f takes each $S_{\lambda,0}$ on $M_0(A_{X'})[\frac{1}{p}]$
 to $S_{\lambda,0}$ on $M_0(A_{X'})[\frac{1}{p}]$
 and f preserves similar tensors on \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} -adic Tate modules

Kisin classified isog. classes in a group theoretic manner
 (essentially similar to Honda-Tate theory).

in the language of Tate's special lifting theorem.

"Every A.V./ \mathbb{F}_p is isog. to red'n of a CM A.V."

Theorem (Kisin)

Every isog class in $S_K(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ contains a point
 which is the reduction of a special part
 i.e. a part on $S_{K,h}$ coming from $S_{h,T,h}$

$$(T, h) \xrightarrow{\quad} (G, X).$$

↑
tors

Stage 3 Parametrize points in a fixed isog. class

Fix $x_0 \in S_{K,p}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. Want to parametrize the isog. class of x_0 .

$x_0 \rightsquigarrow A_{X_0} + \text{tensors on } T^P(A_{X_0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$

tensors on $M_0(A_{X_0}) \supseteq F$.

$$X^P = \{ \text{isoms } V_{A_{X_0}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{T^P(A_{X_0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}}{S_{X_0}} \text{ preserving tensors} \}$$

$$X_P = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{lattices } \Lambda \subset M_0(A_{X_0})[\frac{1}{p}] \\ \text{such that } (\Lambda, F) \text{ is a Dieudonné module} \\ \text{of dimension } \dim A_{X_0} \\ + \text{compatibility w/ } S_{X_0} \end{array} \right\}$$

Rmk X_p is an affine Deligne-Lusztig set.

It has a purely group theoretic description.

\Rightarrow isog. class of $x_0 \longleftrightarrow I_x(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash (X^p \times X_p)$.

$I_x(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{gp of self-quasi-isogenies of } \mathcal{A}_x$
preserving l -adic & crystalline tensors.

I_x : red. gp / \mathbb{Q} (like I_{E_0} in GL_2 case).

In GL_2 case:

$$I_{E_0}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Y^p \times Y_p$$

$$\overset{"}{I}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash Y^p \times Y_p$$

Stage 4 After rewriting $X^p \times X_p$ in a more gp-theoretic way,
we obtain a red. gp / \mathbb{Q} , I

in GL_2 , I is like the gp attached to (\mathfrak{g}_0, δ)

s.t. $I(A_f)$ naturally acts on $X^p \times X_p$.

Also, $I_x(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $X^p \times X_p$

\rightsquigarrow get an embedding $I_x(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow I(A_f) \subset X^p \times X_p$.

Problem $I_x(\mathbb{Q}) \neq I(\mathbb{Q})$

Rather, they are only conjugate by $I^{ad}(A_f)$.

* If $I^{ad}(A_f)$ -conj. is the same as $I(A_f)$ -conj., ok!

E.g. GL_2 -case \therefore .

Upshot In reality, we have $I_x(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X^p \times X_p$

Ideally, we want $I(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash X^p \times X_p$. But they're not the same!

Discrepancy is measured by $\tau_x \in I^{ad}(A_f)$

s.t. $\tau(I_x(\mathbb{Q})) = \text{Int}(\tau_x)(I(\mathbb{Q}))$

Need extra new ideas to "control" the τ_x 's for different isog classes
and to show that with the suitable control,

they don't affect the desired PCF.