CS-600-A/WS Homework 1 - Solution

R-1.7

Order the following list of functions by the big-Oh notation. Group together (for example, by underlining) those functions that are big-Theta of one another.

Hint: When in doubt about two functions f(n) and g(n), consider $\log f(n)$ and $\log g(n)$ or $2^{f(n)}$ and $2^{g(n)}$.

Ordering the functions in the increasing level of their big-Oh complexity:

$$\frac{1}{n} < 2^{100} < \log \log n < \sqrt{\log n} < \log^2 n < n^{0.01} < \frac{\lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil < 3n^{0.5}}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{2^{\log_2 n} < 5n}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{n \log_4 n}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{6n \log n}{\sqrt{n}} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} < 4n^{3/2} < 4^{\log n} < n^2 \log n < n^3 < 2^n < 4^n < 2^{2^n}$$

R-1.9

Bill has an algorithm, find2D, to find an element x in an $n \times n$ array A. The algorithm find2D iterates over the rows of A and calls the algorithm arrayFind, of Algorithm 1.12, on each one, until x is found or it has searched all rows of A. What is the worst-case running time of find2D in terms of n? Is this a linear-time algorithm? Why or why not?

The worst case running time for algorithm find2D will be $O(n^2)$ where the element to be searched will be stored at [n, n]. It is not a linear-time algorithm, instead it is a quadratic algorithm. Here the arrayFind as described in the text has a complexity of O(n) and this algorithm is invoked for n times, making its complexity quadratic time.

Algorithm find2D may be written as follows:

```
Algorithm find2D (x, A):
Input: An element x and an n x n-element array, A.

Output: The index (i, j) such that x = A[i, j] or -1 if no element of A is equal to x.

i \leftarrow 0
while i<n do

j = arrayFind (x,A[i])
if j != -1 then

return (i, j)
else

j = j + 1
return -1;
```

R-1.22

Show that n is $o(n \log n)$.

Let c > 0 be any constant. If we take $n_0 = 2^{1/c}$, $1/c = \log_2 n_0$, $1 = c \log n_0$, $n = cn \log n_0$. Thus, if $n >= n_0$,

 $f(n) = n \le cn \le cn \log n$. Thus, f(n) is $o(n \log n)$.

R-1.23

Show that n^2 is $\omega(n)$.

Let c > 0 be any constant. Thus, if $n >= n_0$, $n^2 >= nn_0$, $n^2 >= cn_0n$ $f(n) = n^2 >= cn_0n$, for $n_0 = c + 1$ Thus, f(n) is $\omega(n)$.

R-1.24

Show that $n^3 \log n$ is $\Omega(n^3)$.

Let c > 0 be any constant. For $n_0 >= 2$, $n^3 \log n >= n^3$.

Thus, if $n \ge n_0$, $f(n) = n^3 \log n \le n^3$, say $n \le n^3$. Thus, f(n) is $\Omega(n^3)$.

R-1.32

Suppose we have a set of n balls and we choose each one independently with probability $1/n^{1/2}$ to go into a basket. Derive an upper bound on the probability that there are more than $3n^{1/2}$ balls in the basket.

Let *X* be the random variable that counts the number of heads.

$$\mu = E(X) = n * n^{\frac{1}{2}} = n^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

By Chernoff bounds, for $\delta = 2$, upper bound is

$$Pr(X \ge (1+\delta)\mu) = P\left(X \ge 3n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) < \left[\frac{e^{\delta}}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right]^{\mu} = \left[\frac{e^{2}}{3^{3}}\right]^{\sqrt{n}}$$

C-1.4

What is the total running time of counting from 1 to n in binary if the time needed to add 1 to the current number i is proportional to the number of bits in the binary expansion of i that must change in going from i to i + 1?

Saying that we have an p bit register which represents $2^p = n$ numbers. Let k represent the number of trailing 0's after the last 1. Studying the nature of changing bits in terms of k.

If $p = 3$,	the	register	will	look	like	follo	wing:
P ,							

k	binary	# of bits	
		changed	
not defined	000	-	
0	001	1	
1	010	2	
0	011	1	
2	100	3	
0	101	1	
1	110	2	
0	111	1	

So, the number of times k = 0, when p is $3 = 4 = 2^2 = 2^{p-k-1}$. In each such scenario, the number of bits changed when k = 0 is 1. So the total bits changed for $n = 2^p$ numbers $= (k + 1)2^{p-k-1}$.

Similarly, number of times k = 1, when p is $3 = 2 = 2^1 = 2^{p-k-1}$. In each such scenario, the number of bits changed when k = 1 is 2. So the total bits changed for $n = 2^p$ numbers $= (k + 1)2^{p-k-1}$. The number of times k = 2, when p is $3 = 1 = 2^0 = 2^{p-k-1}$. In each such scenario, the number of bits changed when k = 2 is 3. So the total bits changed for $n = 2^p$ numbers $= (k + 1)2^{p-k-1}$.

If p = 3, the possible values of k are 0, 1 and 2, i.e. p - 1.

Thus, total time the bits changed can be given by,

$$N = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (k+1)2^{p-k-1}$$

$$\begin{split} N &= 1.\,2^{p-1} + \,2.\,2^{p-2} + 3.\,2^{p-3} + 4.\,2^{p-4} + \cdots + p.\,2^0 \\ N &= 2^{p-1} + \,2^{p-1} + (2+1)2^{p-3} + 2^2.\,2^{p-4} + \cdots + p \\ N &= 2.\,2^{p-1} + \,2^{p-2} + 2^{p-3} + 2^{p-2} + \cdots + p \\ N &= 2^p + \,2^{p-1} + 2^{p-3} + \cdots + p \end{split}$$

As,
$$2^{p+1} = 2^p + 2^{p-1} + 2^{p-2} + 2^{p-3} + \dots + 2^1 + 2^0$$

We can write N as,
 $N = 2^{p+1} - p - 2$

Since
$$2^p = n$$
, $p = log_2 n$, $N = 2n - log_2 n - 2 \Rightarrow O(n)$

C-1.7

Consider the following recurrence equation, defining a function

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & if \ n = 0 \\ 2T(n-1), & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Show, by induction, that $T(n) = 2^n$.

For
$$T(n)$$
, $T(n) = 2.T(n-1)$

By Induction,
$$T(n-1) = 2.T(n-2)$$

Thus, $T(n) = 2.2.T(n-2) = \cdots = 2.2^{n-1}.T(0) = 2^n$.

C-1.22

Show that the summation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lceil \log_2(n/i) \rceil$ is O(n). You may assume that n is a power of 2. Hint: Use induction to reduce the problem to that for n/2.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lceil \log_2 \left(\frac{n}{i}\right) \rceil = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lceil \log_2 n \rceil - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lceil \log_2 i \rceil \qquad , by \log a - \log b = \log a/b$$

$$= n \log n - \int_{i=1}^{n} \log i \ di \qquad , by \log a + \log b = \log ab$$

$$= n \log n - (n \log n - n + c) \qquad , using \int v \ du = uv - \int v \ du$$

$$= n \log n - n \log n + n - c \qquad , by \ Stirling's \ approx, c \Rightarrow O(\log n)$$

$$= n - c \Rightarrow O(n)$$

C-1.30

Consider an implementation of the extendable table, but instead of copying the elements of the table into an array of double the size (that is, from N to 2N) when its capacity is reached, we copy the elements into an array with $\lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil$ additional cells, going from capacity N to $N + \lceil \sqrt{N} \rceil$. Show that performing a sequence of n add operations (that is, insertions at the end) runs in $\Theta(n^{3/2})$ time in this case.

The extendable table implementation here grows form size N to $N+\sqrt{N}$. According to Amortized analysis, each insertion cost on average will take $\frac{N+\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{N}} = \sqrt{N} + 1$. Thus, total insertion cost for such n add operations will cost,

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 + 1 + \sqrt{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 + \sqrt{i} \le 2n + \int_{i=0}^{n} i^{\frac{1}{2}} di = 2n + \frac{2}{3} n^{\frac{3}{2}} = O(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$$

Note that there is no closed formula for this summation that is why we used integration, but it is known that the sum

is greater than
$$\frac{2}{3}n^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}2^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
, and less than $\frac{2}{3}n^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}n^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{6}$.

So, the total cost of performing n add operations is $\theta(n^{\frac{3}{2}})$.

A-1.8

Given an array, A, describe an efficient algorithm for reversing A. For example, if A = [3, 4, 1, 5], then its reversal is A = [5, 1, 4, 3]. You can only use O(1) memory in addition to that used by A itself. What is the running time of your algorithm?

The most efficient way requires a linear time since all the element in the array are going to be accessed once.

```
Algorithm reverse (A):
Input: An n-element array, A.
Output: Reverse of array A.
i \leftarrow 0
j \leftarrow n-1
while i < j do
temp = A[i]
A[i] = A[j]
A[j] = temp
i \leftarrow i+1
j \leftarrow j-1
return A;
```

Since this program requires only an additional space for storing 3 values, i, j and temp => O(1). We have an efficient algorithm with complexity O(n).

A-1.15

Given an integer k > 0 and an array, A, of n bits, describe an efficient algorithm for finding the shortest subarray of A that contains k 1's. What is the running time of your method?

We calculate the index position of one's in the array A and store them in a separate array. Let's call it as index position array, with complexity O(n).

Then we compare the length staring from index position to next k 1's from the index position array & traverses through entire array by updating the length, with complexity O(n).

The shortestSubarray algorithm can be written as following:

```
Algorithm shortestSubarray (A):
Input: An n-element array, A & an integer k>0.
Output: Bounds of the shortest subarray in A containing k 1's.

inc \( \lefta \) 0
for i \( \lefta \) 0 to n-1 do
    index[inc] = i
    inc \( \lefta \) inc +1

for j \( \lefta \) 0 to n-1 do
    pointer \( \lefta \) j+k-1
    length = index[pointer] - index[j]
    if length \( < \text{min_length} \)
    min_length
        start \( \lefta \) j

return (start, start+k-1)
```