Preface to Mr. Yang Jiao

The Heart Tablet is dedicated to the thinkers and victims of the "Gates of Hell."

One

Reading the "Dictionary of Victim Names in 1957" edited by Mr. Wu Yisan, my feelings

of confusion and worry about history and reality were once again deeply shocked and

activated, and the vivid faces of many victims who knew or contacted came to mind.

Among them, as teachers, there are Feng Xuefeng, Wang Zhong (director of the

Journalism Department of Fudan University and a famous journalist), Peng Zigang (a

famous female reporter of the Ta Kung Pao, an editorial board member of the Shanghai

Wen Wei Po, and the editor-in-chief of the Beijing "Traveler"), Du Guang (a famous

theoretician of the CPC Central Committee), and so on; as classmates of the same $\$

generation, there are Lin Xiling, Lin Zhao, Gan Pu, Ding Hong, and so on; they are all

figures who cannot be ignored in the study of "1957 Studies." Except for Mr. Du Guang, $\,$

who is over ninety years old and still alive, most of the rest have passed away one after

another, and there are not many living victims. Thinking of them reminds me of the

unforgettable dark era of "Qin Shi Huang + Stalin"!

How many victims of the 1957 "Anti-Rightist Movement" were there? There are still

mysteries to be solved. Usually said to be 550,000 (more than 3 million, one says more),

people often mistakenly think that this is the number of people who were "corrected"

under the auspices of Hu Yaobang in 1979, until decades later In 2016, I read a chilling

figure from the 8th issue of Yanhuang Chunqiu: "Only more than 100,000 of the 550,000

rightists can survive to the point of injustice." (Meng Banrong: "It turns out that "Zhang

Luo" is such an "alliance"), that is to say, there are 40 Tens of thousands of "rightists"

were persecuted in various political movements and tortured to death in "labor reforms." $\,$

If you count more than 3 million, the number of dead is staggering. On May 18, 2017,

Mr. Du Guang wrote to the Party Central Committee in his "Proposal calling for the

complete negation of the Anti-Rightist Movement": "Most of the members of this group

of victims have passed away, and there are probably not enough survivors." $\acute{\text{O}}\textsc{?}$ (Du

Guangwencun, 2017.4--6).

This kind of era background highlights the merits of Mr. Wu Yisan and the historical

value of this dictionary. As we all know, the officials in charge of ideology on the

mainland generally suffer from the bad habit of A-Q, as long as they mention the taboo

"light", "light", "lamp" Words like "candle" will be associated with the scar on their head,

so they should "look at the whole scar with red eyes" also. The difference is that no one

takes Ah Q's "angry eyes" seriously, but these cynical officials can evolve "angry eyes"

into Cultural authoritarianism, in the form of "red-headed documents", stipulates that

"party policies such as "gossip" are not allowed Such "policies" have never been taken

into account, which is completely contrary to the fact that they falsely propagate

"observance of the Constitution." The "Anti-Rightist Movement" is one of the main

contents of their explicit stipulation that they are not allowed to "talk nonsense," and this

is a case-checked case. In the case of such a "iron house" that is imprisoned in this kind

of thinking, it is necessary to search for the "anti-rightist movement" of more than 60

years ago from the massive text bit by bit like a big wave of sand The material of the

victims is not easy to talk about! Without the pure feelings of worrying about the country $\,$

and the people, without the enthusiasm for pursuing the truth, without the realistic spirit

of restoring the truth, to complete this kind of state-level scientific research project that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

should have been completed by using national strength, $\operatorname{Mr.}$ Wu Yisan completed it with

thin civilian strength, and it was completed under the pressure of the "security force" of

the iron wall of copper walls around him. This is truly admirable and appreciated!

Therefore, whenever I think of this mr . Wu Yisan, who has never met a face, I see such a

group portrait: they are the Chinese Luodan of the new era, climbing on a steep and

desolate granite day and night, wielding the hammer and chisel in their hands hard, and

sculpting a "thinker" for China's "Gate of Hell" in one after another $\mbox{\fontfamily{\fontfamily{188}{\cap}}}$

victims; their labor reminds the living of and knows again these forgotten souls who have

been sealed behind the scenes of history. The groans they made at the "Gates of Hell"

gradually formed a thunderous cry: calling for the awakening of those sleeping in the

"Iron House". The "victims" listed by Mr. Wu Yisan here are actually

"thinkers" who

stand at the "gates of hell" in China And the victims; their groans, the cries of the

forerunners imprisoned in the "iron house"!

Two

Among the victims of my classmates I know, there is Lin Zhao, who was shot dead in the

"Cultural Revolution" who strongly resisted Mao Zedong's "Qin Shi Huang + Stalin"

ruling concept The only girl in the student rightist who has been "reformed through

labor" together. She is upright, strong, intelligent and beautiful, and we all affectionately

call her "Sister Lin"; she originally studied at Peking University and then collectively

transferred to the journalism department of the National People's Congress. She was

classified as a "rightist" for daring to speak out, and was convicted and imprisoned for

"adhering to a reactionary position." Her elderly mother tearfully persuaded her, "Admit

it, or they'll kill you." Her answer was: "I'm not wrong!" Imprisoned in a Shanghai prison

for many years, she knew that she was about to be killed, and used her own blood to write

a Mingzhi poem on the cover of the Central Party's Party magazine "Red Flag", which

included a sentence: "Leave your heart and soul, and pay the ashes of your body." He had

saffron hair and recognized blood stains. "Just over a month after writing this poem, on

April 29, 1968, she was shot and the executioner demanded five cents of ammunition

from her mother; when she was taken to the execution ground, the whole prison, the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{prison}}$

whole of Shanghai, the whole of China, All heard her last fierce cry: "Mom, where are $\$

you!" Ó

Among the teacher victims I knowÑ

Feng Xuefeng, who joined the Communist Party in 1927, is a famous literary critic. Lu

 ${\tt Xun's}$ close friend, ${\tt Xu}$ Guangping praised him as the person who understood ${\tt Lu}$ ${\tt Xun}$ the

most. He died on January 23, 1976"

When I was admitted to the Department of Journalism at Chinese \min University in 1956,

I was in my early 20s and was enthusiastic about student literary club activities, such as $\,$

participating in the deputy editor and writing of the "Renmin University Weekly" literary $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Weekly}}$ "

and artistic editor, and communicating with literary celebrities. I once wrote to Feng

Xuefeng to ask Lu Xun about his research problems and exchanged letters with $\mbox{him.}$ In

the tide of anti-rightism, I was ordered by the leaders to hand over the private letters of

Peng Zigang and Feng Xuefeng, all of which were lost.

Mr. Du Guang, who is over 90 years old, is a well-known scholar who is still alive and is

deeply respected and loved by people. As early as his youth at Peking

University before

the founding of the People's Republic of China, he actively participated in the student

movement against the corrupt rule of the Kuomintang, and after the founding of the $\,$

People's Republic of China, he engaged in scientific research and teaching work at the

Party School of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China, and has always

been deeply concerned about the fate of the country and the people, and constantly

explored and pursued the truth. Because his scientific research activities are not to seek a

"stepping stone" for an official and a half-position, not to comment on the "golden rule"

of the imperial dynasty, Therefore, he failed to "align" with the ruling ideology, and his

scientific research activities were surnamed "Section" instead of "Party". This brings a

lifetime of doom. He was first branded a "rightist" in 1957, rehabilitated and returned to

the Central Party School in 1979, and then in the June Fourth Movement For sympathy

and support for the students, he was purged and removed from his position. In his later

years, he devoted himself to exposing the "scientific meanness" under the banner of

"Marxism" and advocating the "1957 study" advocated by Professor Qian Liqun He has

dedicated his groundbreaking scientific research achievements, received warm welcome

and support from people with conscience in the academic community, and is a leading

elder. I used his name as a joint praise for his humanistic virtues: "Du Yu cries at night,

the light and the wind and the moon." In fact, his real name was "Lin ${\tt Daomao}$ ", and in

order to avoid the pursuit of the Kuomintang military and police, he changed his name

and surname and defected to the "Liberated Areas" Joined the Communist Party. It is $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

such an upright, sincere and kind old scholar who is still repeatedly imprisoned by all $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

kinds of tangible and intangible ideas today, until he uses coercive means to stifle his

voice space. At that time, the Kuomintang military and police tried unsuccessfully to

imprison him, but now the Communist Party has "imprisoned" the thoughts and actions

of this old Communist Party member in another way.

It is not difficult to see from me what kind of "anti-party and antisocialist bourgeois

rightists" they are and what kind of absurd laws the rulers have convicted them. If it were

possible, when we traced the ins and outs of each victim one by one from this "Dictionary

of The Victims of 1957" and knew their humanistic character and life trajectory, what a

thrilling and appalling and tragic picture would appear in front of us! This reminds me of December 11, 1980, when some cultural celebrities in

Beijing,

teachers and students of the Journalism Department of Peking University and renmin

university, and Lin Zhao, who were friendly before his death, held a small memorial

service against the official will and risked politics: on the front black curtain was a

banner written by wu Shangyu, a friend of the same window and a victim Comrade Lin

Zhao's memorial service", in the middle of which hung a large statue of Lin Zhao

surrounded by white flowers, and the two sides were hung with white ribbons.

Particularly striking of these is the fact that the proximal side of the statue is wordless,

and two large symbols are drawn: on the right is a question mark "? ", on the left is an

exclamation point"! Ó? This kind of meaning that "silence is better than sound at this

time" is just like Lu Xun's poem: "The heart is vast and even Guangyu, and the thunder is

heard in the silent place." From the "Anti-Rightist Movement" in 1957 to today, a large

number of sad incidents have occurred in China that make people shed blood and tears

and cannot look back, but the "party leaders" have collectively lost their voices.

Pretending to be deaf and dumb, "Wang Gu said it left and right." What exactly caused

this blood-debted party to lose its memory? This can also be used to save the link with

this wordless picture"? "and"! To think, people will find earth-shattering answers in the

questioning of "heavenly questions".

Three

When senior officials solemnly commemorated this and that anniversary, ${\tt Mr.}\ {\tt Du}\ {\tt Guang}$,

who was ignorant of current affairs and inappropriate, wrote to the Party Central

complete negation of the "Anti-Rightist Movement" If it can be completely negated, it

will not only completely correct the party's mistakes on this issue, eliminate regrets, and

relieve the burden, but will also greatly improve the party's influence among the broad

masses of the people and its international image. He made six suggestions for this:

(i) To refute the assertion that the Anti-Rightist Movement is a socialist revolution on the $\,$

political and ideological front;

2. To change the erroneous conclusion made by the $\mbox{Anti-Rightist}$ Movement in the

Resolution on Several Historical Questions Concerning the Party Since the Founding of

the People's Republic of China (i.e., the Anti-Rightist Movement is correct, necessary,

overturned;

- (3) Financial compensation to the victims of the Anti-Rightist Movement;
- 4. All those who have not yet been corrected shall be corrected and rehabilitated;
- 5. Establish the "Monument to the Victims of Ding You" in places of commemorative $\,$

significance;

6. Non-governmental organizations spontaneously established to study ding younian's

anti-rightist unjust cases, as well as the organization of academic and commemorative

activities related to them, shall be supported in accordance with the provisions of the

Constitution and shall not interfere or obstruct.

Republic of China, it is entirely certain that Mr. Du Guang's sincere suggestions will not

yield any results, not even a single receipt; this kind of fruitless result is the real result.

Why? Because Mao Zedong said that the anti-Rightist movement "is a great socialist

revolution on the political and ideological fronts", and the Party Central Committee in the

"historical resolution" that "in the process of rectification, It is absolutely correct and

necessary for a very small number of bourgeois rightists to take the opportunity to

advocate the so-called "loud and loud" and to launch a wanton attack on the Communist

Party and the nascent socialism in a vain attempt to replace the leadership of the

Communist Party. However, the conclusion that the struggle against the Rightists has

been seriously expanded" is contrary to objective facts and rational logic, and is

completely untenable. From the perspective of rational logic, the above assertions and

conclusions are completely confused and invert the normal relationship between part and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

whole, individual and general, quantitative change and qualitative change. Here, one

hundred percent error does not change the one hundred percent "correct" prescriptiveness

of the a priori; from the objective facts, the above assertions and conclusions are not

abstracted from external objective existence, but are intrinsically a priori in the mind and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

then imposed on objective existence Therefore, this thesis has become the starting point

by objective existence. It can be seen that high-ranking officials still adhere to this

unreasonable method of argumentation for the sake of political interests, which is the

"rules", "traditions", and "conventions" established by Mao Zedong "It's the same.

Can the "constitution provisions" be used to persuade the "party leadership" who have

repeatedly propagated the "constitution"? The answer is no. In China at this stage, in fact,

there is an "iron wall" of "the party is greater than the law", and the "compliance with the

constitution" advocated by the mainstream consciousness Such beautiful words are

nothing more than the tricks of the powerful to deceive the people, and they have never

stood the test of practice; if they do not believe it, let them try to start from the simplest

and unmistakable permission for freedom of speech and the press. The official hypocrisy $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

of "abiding by the Constitution" is perfectly consistent with Mao's self-proclaimed nature

of "monks playing umbrellas $\mbox{\it D}$ lawlessness." It is recorded in literature that as early as his

speech at the 1954 Constitution Seminar and his speech at the 1957 Qinhuangdao Central

Work Conference, Mao Zedong made no secret of his fundamental views on the

Constitution: "The formulation of the Constitution is essentially a denial of the Party's

leadership and is extremely harmful politically \dots . The formulation of the Constitution is

formulated, and the implementation is not implemented, and to what extent it is

implemented, it must also be subject to the Party's instructions. Only fools and anti-Party

elements will break away from the Leadership of the Party and implement the $\,$

Constitution. It can be seen from this that the Constitution is nothing more than a trick

played by the Communist Party to play "politics" and "democracy", and if it is useful, it

will be used, otherwise it will be discarded, and everything will be beneficial or not

"Party leadership" is the principle. Listening to Mao Zedong's "private remarks" and

looking at a large number of well-known facts, their claim that "the Communist Party

wants to carry out activities within the scope of the Constitution" is really completely

deceptive. It is not difficult to understand why Liu Shaoqi, the "president of the country,"

held up the "book" of the Constitution in his hand during the "Cultural Revolution." In

order to protect himself, he encountered mao Zedong, who had always been "lawless",

the "chairman of the party", who was drunk on the head, and in Mao's eyes, "the

constitution." "For Liu, it is a straw that cannot save his life." In this way, Liu Shaoqi, as

the "second in command" who was trusted by Mao Zedong for advocating "Mao Zedong

Thought", finally became a blood sacrifice at the cost of his own life." Mao Zedong

Thought "Sacrifice before the altar." A certain top party official declared that "the party is

greater than the law" is a false proposition that should be denied. However, countless

bloody facts have proved that "the party is greater than the law" is an ironclad fact, and it

is those who should be denied the "party leadership" who dare not face the facts. Their

repeated emphasis on the "absolute leadership of the Party", which is inviolable under the

current system and is the source of all corruption, confirms the universal assertion of the

British historian Lord Acton (1834-1902). "Power tends to be corrupt, absolute power is

absolutely corrupt". When someone calls it "the absolute leadership of the Party," they

seem to have forgotten even common sense. A rational appeal to these bureaucrats for the

victims of May 7, the answer is still two large silent symbols hanging high in front of Lin

Zhao's portrait: "? ÓÒ? Ó

Four

Things are certainly not exactly as pessimistic as I said. After Mao Zedong's "death",

what he did since the founding of the People's Republic of China gradually became clear

with the awakening of the people, and the victims who first stood up to fight him in 1957

were gradually understood by the people who had suffered in the ten years of catastrophe.

The "class struggle as the guideline" ended with the end of Mao Zedong's life, although

his ghost was like the ghost fire of the grave, which often flashed in the dark night, but in

broad daylight, he had no choice but to hide in the dark depths of the grave. Thus, the

"correct" and "necessary" conclusions of the "Anti-Rightist Movement" and Mao

Zedong's statement that it was political The thesis of the socialist revolution on the

ideological front was completely rejected by the ridicule of the people after tea. There is a

universal social phenomenon worth savoring: the reference to the "bourgeois right" who

was once regarded as a "pariah" and an "enemy" Now it is often praised as a "good man"

and a "capable man"; and once a resounding "proletarian leftist" Now it has almost

become a swear word, and if you want to say something bad behind your back, it is

enough to call who is "leftist". Who now dares to pat themselves on the chest and call $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

themselves "leftists" as they did during the Cultural Revolution? But things often make me pessimistic. In the fifth point of the "Proposal calling for the

complete negation of the Anti-Rightist Movement" issued by the CPC Central

Committee, Mr. Du Guanglao mentioned the establishment of a "Monument to the

Victims of Ding You" in places of commemorative significance. He said: "The anti-

rightist movement is a major unjust case in China's modern and modern history and has

brought great disasters to the Chinese nation. It has not only knocked a

large number of

intellectuals into the bottom of society, making it impossible for them to make due

contributions to social construction, and many outstanding sons and daughters of china

have died in the course of labor reform, but it has also destroyed the fine traditions of

intellectuals and corrupted the social atmosphere. This historical lesson deserves to be

remembered forever. In order to enable future generations to remember this tragic lesson

and avoid repeating similar mistakes, the 'Monument to the Victims of Ding You' should

be erected on university campuses where a large number of rightists have been carved out

and in places where a large number of rightists have died. Ó

This proposal is completely reasonable, conforms to the will of the people, conforms to

the will of the people, and is absolutely necessary and feasible. However, under the

"party's leadership" system that has been going on all day, even Mao Zedong said in the

"Cultural Revolution" that "the party leads everything in the east, west, south, and north."

Not to mention that the mainstream consciousness is still unwilling to give up the

"orthodox" mantle of the Third International, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

seriously face history and draw lessons from history. Therefore, the establishment of such

a monument is undoubtedly equivalent to removing the felt hat on Ah Q's head, exposing $\,$

the scar on his head to the sun, and damaging his image of "Guangwei Zheng"; the

emperor of the feudal dynasty was fashionable and able to issue "sin
against himself"

How can we, the "party leaders", who are full of "revolution", seem to have not even had

this grace, and how can they agree to build such a monument!

This is exactly what happened. For example, Elder Ba Jin once proposed the construction

of a "Cultural Revolution" museum, which would never get the "leadership of the Party"

with the background of "Chairman Mao's Red Guards" So decades have passed, and the

old man Ba Jin has passed away with regret, and this suggestion is still "no news of mud

cattle entering the sea"; a "Cultural Revolution Museum" spontaneously established by

the people is also there Smashed under the "leadership of the Party." According to Yang

Xianhui's 2003 book "Chronicles of Jiabiangou", a labor reform farm called "Jiabiangou"

in Jiuquan, Gansu Province, once concentrated 3,000 rightists, and the last survivors were

only a few hundred. Gansu's Friends of the May Seventh Martyrs raised funds to build a

monument in Jiabiangou, which was soon destroyed under the command of the "Party

leader"...

The most thought-provoking thing is that when Chinese mainland still repeats Mao

Zedong's "a cannon sound of the October Revolution..." to this day, the Russians have

already correctly named the "October Revolution" as the "October Coup." \acute{o} ? Some

politicians in our country who are keen on "the leadership of the Party" still deeply regret

the collapse of the Soviet Union and lament that "not a single one of them is a man", but

the Russians openly accuse Lenin" The Bolsheviks "betrayed the country and constantly

exposed the violent dictatorship of Stalin's "dictatorship of leaders." When Chinese

mainland the "party leaders" refused to establish a "Cultural Revolution Museum" and

smashed the "Jiabiangou" At the time of the Monument to the Victims of the Right-Wing

Labor Reform, Russia decided in the 1991 Parliament that October 30 of each year $\,$

should be "Memorial Day for the Victims of Political Repression". The official ceremony

is to hold a grand commemoration ceremony; on October 30, 2017, the unveiling

ceremony of the "Wall of Sorrow" specially built to commemorate the victims of political $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

persecution was also held. State leader Putin attended the ceremony and delivered a

speech. It is a curved monolithic wall, $35\ \mathrm{meters}\ \mathrm{long}\ \mathrm{and}\ 6\ \mathrm{meters}\ \mathrm{high}$, on which are

carved many bumpy and blurred figures, forming a huge sickle figure, symbolizing the $\,$

state apparatus under the "leadership of the Party" $\mbox{\sc How}$ to cut grass like a sickle.

The artistic expression of the "Wall of Sorrow" reminds me once again of Rodin's bronze

sculpture The Gates of Hell. It is Rodin's work based on Dante's Divine Comedy, and in

the middle of the upper part of the door, there is a strong and powerful male giant, who is

crouched at the entrance of hell, full of feelings and cheeks, contemplating "thinker", he

hangs his head and silently gazes at 187 human bodies, and in the midst of painful

struggle, the tide of the eerie and terrifying hell. The theme is: "You have come here to

give up all hope!" Ó

Perhaps it is a coincidence that the day of the unveiling of the "wall of sorrow" in

Moscow by the Russians was the centenary of the "cannon of the October Revolution" $\,$

that Mao Zedong praised and affected the fate of Chinese. We have repeatedly

emphasized "taking Russia as a teacher", and the founding of the country is even more

insisting on "total Sovietization", why can the Russians now "take history as a mirror"

We have built a "wall of sorrow" to commemorate the victims of political persecution,

but we refuse to build a "Cultural Revolution Museum" and want to destroy

the

monument to the victims of the rightist faction?

Five

Or: We have already built the "Monument to the People's Heroes" in Beijing's Tiananmen $\,$

Square, and what similar monuments need to be built?

Of course, because these are two different things of a completely different era and of

different natures, the former cannot replace the latter at all.

The "Monument to the People's Heroes" was established on September 30, $1949 \ \mathrm{by}$

resolution of the First Plenary Session of the Chinese People's Political Consultative

Conference, and a groundbreaking ceremony was held in the afternoon of the same day,

which can be said to be related to the "People's Republic of China." It bears a strong

political connotation: it marks the end of the Kuomintang-ruled "Republic of China" on

the mainland and the Communist Party-ruled "People's Republic of China." The

beginning. This 37.94-meter-high stone stele is surrounded by two layers of Han white

jade railings and steps, and the stele is inlaid with eight huge Han white jade reliefs,

reflecting historical events such as: "Humen Ban Ban" and "Jintian Uprising" , "Wuchang

Uprising", "May Fourth Movement", "May Thirtieth Movement", "Nanchang Uprising",

"Anti-Japanese Guerrilla War", "Victory Across the Yangtze River" On both sides of the

relief sculpture of "Victory Crossing the Yangtze River", there are decorative features of

"Supporting the Front Line" and "Welcoming the People's Liberation Army" $\mbox{\it Relief.}$ On

the front of the stele is Mao Zedong's inscription: "The People's Hero Is Immortal", and

on the back there is an inscription written by Mao Zedong and Zhou Enlai: For three years, the people who have sacrificed themselves in the People's War of

Liberation and the People's Revolution

Heroes are immortal!

Over the past thirty years, the people's heroes who sacrificed their lives in the People's

Liberation War and the People's Revolution will never die!

From this point on, back to 1,840 years, in order to oppose internal and external enemies,

to strive for national independence and the freedom and happiness of the people, the

people's heroes who died in successive struggles will never die! Of course, we cannot demand that a monumental stele be exactly equivalent to history,

but the inscriptions and reliefs here have unmistakable historical connotations:

highlighting the freeze-frame of history from the Opium War in 1840 to the founding of

the People's Republic of China in 1949, which was not included in the category of

commemoration before and since. As historians have widely acknowledged, history is

written by the victors. Therefore, this monument embodies the victor's view of history,

emphasizes the revolutionary merits of the Communist Party, and the ruling concept of

"power out of the barrel of a gun". For example, the reliefs express the War of Resistance

Against Japan with the "guerrilla war" behind enemy lines, which inevitably makes

people confused: where are the frontal battlefields and front lines of the War of

Resistance Against Japan that lasted for more than ten years? Was its victory won solely

by guerrilla warfare? We do not need to delve into such a question, because at least

"guerrilla warfare" is also a military operation of the Anti-Japanese Resistance.

Therefore, when we see the dignitaries of the party and the state solemnly holding flower

laying ceremonies at the "Monument to the People's Heroes" on major festivals, we can

only have this impression: this monument was built for a specific era and a specific

object, it can be said to be a monument to the founding of the People's Republic of China,

and the message conveyed is that the republic is the world laid down by the Communist

Party!

The question that needs to be explored now is whether, 70 years after the founding of the

People's Republic of China, when the basic contradictions in the society on the mainland

are no longer the contradictions between the broad masses of the people and the rule of $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$

national independence and the people's freedom and happiness." ? In addition to the

armed struggle against the outside world, what is the main connotation of the struggle in

peacetime, and how should the worthy of commemoration and remembrance of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

victims produced in the struggle be recognized and treated?

All of these go beyond the specific era and specific objects stipulated in the "Monument

to the People's Heroes" and require new answers, so they have farreaching and

memorable historical significance.

Six

Let's start with two official authoritative documents.

In the "Resolution on Several Historical Issues Concerning the Party Since the Founding $\,$

of the People's Republic of China," the Communist Party of China has a few sentences

that provide us with clues worthy of in-depth consideration in exploring the above issues:

China is a country with a long history of feudalism, and our Party has waged the most

resolute and thorough struggle against feudalism, especially against the feudal land

system and the gentry and bullies, and has cultivated a fine democratic

tradition in the

anti-feudal struggle; however, the poison of long-term feudal absolutism in ideological

and political affairs is still not very easy to eliminate, and various historical reasons have

prevented us from institutionalizing and legalizing inner-Party democracy and democracy

in the political and social life of the country, or although laws have been formulated, they

have not had the authority they deserve.

On August 18, 1980, Deng Xiaoping gave a speech at the enlarged meeting of the

Politburo of the Central Committee entitled "Reform of the Party and State Leadership

System", which was published by the Politburo on August 31, 1980 Day discussion

adopted. In this speech, when talking about the leadership system and the cadre system of

the party-state, he also said the following: "The main drawbacks are the phenomenon of

bureaucracy, the phenomenon of excessive concentration of power, the phenomenon of

paternalism, the phenomenon of the lifelong system of cadre leadership positions, and the

phenomenon of various privileges. He made a brief analysis of these phenomena:

I. Bureaucratic phenomena: "It is closely related to our long-standing belief that the

socialist system and the planned management system must implement a highly

centralized management system for the economy, politics, culture and society";

Second, the phenomenon of excessive concentration of power: "Under the slogan of

strengthening the unified leadership of the Party, all power is improperly and unanalyzed

to the Party Committee, and the power of the Party Committee is often concentrated in a

few secretaries, especially in the First Secretary, and the First Secretary must be in charge

of everything." The unified leadership of the Party is often transformed into individual

leadership as a result"; "This phenomenon is related to the influence of feudal absolutism

in the history of our country, and to the tradition of high centralization of power by

individual leaders in the work of the Parties in various countries, which was practiced

during the period of the Communist International"

The phenomenon of patriarchy: "In addition to making the individual highly centralized,

it also puts the individual above the organization, and the organization becomes the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

instrument of the individual \dots Paternalistic phenomena such as monolithicism, individual

decisions on major issues, cults of personality, and the supremacy of the individual over

the organization are constantly breeding";

Fourth, the phenomenon of the lifelong system of cadre leadership positions: "It has a

certain relationship with the influence of feudalism, ... The appointment of any leading

cadre cannot be indefinite."

V. The Phenomenon of Privilege: This phenomenon "has caused great disasters to the

masses" and "some cadres do not regard themselves as servants of the people, but as $\frac{1}{2}$

masters of the people. . It is bound to corrupt our cadre ranks. The privilege against

which we are opposed today is the right to be politically and economically outside the

law and the system. The practice of privilege is a manifestation of the unpurgeded

influence of feudalism."

The information revealed by the above two official authoritative documents tacitly,

consciously or unconsciously, makes people see the shadow of Mao Zedong, which is

almost an open secret. Its main value lies in the fact that it was an early recognition of the

Communist regime after the founding of the People's Republic of China in the form of

official authoritative documents after the almost simultaneous end of Mao Zedong's life

and his beloved "Cultural Revolution", which is inextricably linked to feudal absolutism

in history, but it is only a kind of "residual poison" , "remnants" and "influences" are just

that.

Is it really that simple? The answer is no.

Although the above arguments are not theoretically argued, they are more of a description $\ensuremath{\mathsf{A}}$

of phenomena and facts, but they all outline the constituent elements of feudal absolutism $\ \ \,$

and the basic form of its political system, which reminds me of the Analects A passage

from Yan Yuan: "Qi Jinggong asked Confucius about the government. Confucius said:

King, subject, father, son. Gong Yue: Zenya! Faith is like a king who is not a king, a

subject is not a subject, a father is not a father, a son is not a son, although there is millet,

I have to eat it? After that, these eight words of Confucius became the basic requirement

of "government" in feudal society, that is, the reason why the king is the king, the reason

why the subject is the subject, the reason why the father is the father, and the reason why

the son is the son. The two kinds of relations involved here, the relationship between

prescriptiveness that makes them "why", have their legal and ethical reasons to maintain,

and on this basis evolve into "the king wants the subject to die, the subject has to die; the

father wants the son to die, and the son has to die." Ó? Between this "king, subject,

father, and son", each has a principle that must be followed for "reasons". On the basis of

these two relationships, two systems are constituted: the monarchy and the patriarchy, the

latter is the basis of the former, and the former is the development of the latter at the

national level, so the king is called the father, the people are called the son, and the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

"king's father and the people's son" is how it comes from. "Patriarchy" can be said to be

an early slavery, that is, the head of the family has absolute control over family members

and private property, and the monarchy has absolute control over the members of the

state and the "world"; Under the whole world, mo fei king land, leading the coast of the

soldiers, mo fei wang subjects" concept of rule. As a result, the autocratic centralized

political system centered on the monarchy and the patriarchal organization centered on $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

the patriarchal power were combined into a set of feudal political patterns with the ideal

of "Ming Jun, Xian chen, and shunmin".

The feudal autocratic regime formed after Qin Shi Huang unified China did not change

fundamentally in the long historical development, and on the basis of the basic social

contradiction of the antagonism between the officials and the people, the "change of

dynasties" characterized by "fighting the world and sitting on the world", the replacement

of each dynasty was carried out under the same feudal autocratic form. Until the $\,$

"Republic of China", this was still the case, and the difference was only "packaging";

"one heavenly son and one courtier" The transfer of the monarch's power to oppress and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

dominate the people through civil and military officials does not change the basic form of

the old form of government. Under this form of autocracy, each dynasty incorporated a

wide range of socio-cultural phenomena, such as ethics, religion, law, art, academic,

ideological, educational, and other socio-cultural fields, into the "heavenly net" pattern of

its monarchy, and became a political tool to serve the rulers. Therefore, cultural

absolutism, which began with Qin Shi Huang's "book burning pit Confucianism," enabled

all dynasties to run through the "one-in-one" existence of "exaltation." The "guiding

ideology" of status has created various forms of "literal prisons", in which the Chinese

people have lived for generations." In the official doctrine, he also cultivated a spirit and

temperament that was particularly suitable for feudal absolutism, and was accustomed to

handing over his fate to the "True Son of Heaven" and the "Prime Minister of Peace.",

the "rule of man" tradition dominated by the "Qingtian Lord". Under this kind of

authoritarian system, there is no "democracy" to speak of, and the

"democracy" in ancient

Chinese literature refers to "making decisions for the people." That is, deng xiaoping said

"the master of the people", not "Mr. De" after "learning from the West and gradually

moving from the East" Ó? Deng Xiaoping pointed out above as "bureaucracy", "high

centralization of power", and "individual leadership", "Patriarchy", "One Word", "Cult

of Personality", "leadership positions for life", "privilege", "corruption." "And so on, we

can find its historical origin in the characteristics of feudal autocratic society."

Seven

At the request of Professor Joseph Needham, who is famous for his study of the history

of Chinese science and technology in Britain, Mr. Wang Yanan, a political scientist,

economist and translator of Capital, published the book "Studies on Chinese Bureaucratic

Politics" in 1948 after several years of research, which discussed in detail the feudal

autocracy we briefly mentioned above. In 1949, the Kuomintang Chiang family dynasty

collapsed on the mainland and lost Taiwan. At the end of the book, Wang Yanan made $\$

the following prophecy with great emotion: The fifties will be a scientific and people's

era, when the feudal autocratic system that is the basis of China's bureaucratic political

society has been eliminated, the social identity relationship between officials and the $\,$

people has been washed away, the people, especially the workers and peasants, have

consciously participated in the political reform movement, and bureaucratic politics will

come to a real end.

Since then, he has enthusiastically devoted himself to the cause of building New China,

full of enthusiasm and expectation for his original predictions, and has not made further

research on China's bureaucratic politics. However, such an upright, honest and

accomplished scientist was brutally politically persecuted during the Cultural Revolution,

at the height of the Cultural Revolution in $\,$ November 1969 He died in Shanghai on the

13th. In the last days, he revised the prophecies he had made about the "scientific and

people's era" and said in bitter language that a Western philosopher in the past had said

that there were only two kinds of people under the autocratic system: one was a liar and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{L}}$

the other was a mute; in present China, there is the flavor of an authoritarian system, and

a small number of liars rule over the majority of dumb people!

Xie Tao, who was "appointed" by Mao Zedong as a "backbone member of the Hu Feng

counter-revolutionary clique" and threw him into the "party prison", was my teacher, and

after his rehabilitation, he became the executive vice president of Chinese Min

University. He is also the "top boss" of the post I hold, a theoretician who took the lead in

exploring "democratic socialism" in China, and a well-known scholar at home and abroad

who wrote the preface to "On the Balance of History" written by my colleague and friend

Ding Hong. He advocated and inscribed the title "Traces of the Past", accumulating a

large number of first-hand real precious histories in order to study the truth of the "Anti-

Rightist Movement" and explore its causes, as well as to discover the "Rightists" who

have been gradually forgotten by people Material. With his support, his mother Lu Yu $\,$

and Mr. Tie Liu (Huang Xiaofeng) presided over the daily editing of "Traces of the Past".

She once used her own personal experience full of sorrow and indignation to tell the

same feelings as Mr. Wang Yanan:

I remember that on October 1, 1949, when the "great man" was in the Tiananmen Tower,

he solemnly declared to the people of the whole world: "Chinese people have since stood

up!" For a moment, the north and south cheered, and the heavens and the earth moved.

The younger generation of us is even more excited, tearful, surging heart, singing and

dancing. Everyone clenched their fists and raised their hands and swore that for the

prosperity of the motherland, for the happiness and well-being of the people, and for the $\$

sake of the motherland to embark on the smooth road of democracy and freedom, we

would not give up even if we spilled our blood and threw our heads! Unexpectedly,

loyalty and confession were exchanged for insults and criticism, and what was obtained

by selfless dedication was a hellish life, more than twenty years of weeping blood and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

injustice, and half a life of hunger and fatigue. In that vast and boundless night, in that

barren and impoverished land, you are asking, he is asking, I am asking, everyone is

asking: What we are trying to create is a red world, why do we get a dark and heavy hell?

All the good people who have come from that era and have not lost their conscience are

asking such questions and shouting out the cry of awakening. Thousands of people who

have been killed and died are also in the groan of the tragic hell, appealing to history, to

future generations, and to those who uphold the dignity of human life throughout the $\,$

world, to pursue the truth of suffering, and to explore the causes of suffering. Survivors

and the dead are asking: Who are the real sinners of history? All of this, with the fact of blood and tears, confirms the assertion

made by Feng

Shengping, a political scientist in the United States, in a letter to Xi Jinping: "For a

century, the communist movement has attracted countless outstanding elements around

the world who yearn for freedom and liberation, but as a result, it has opened up a road to

slavery. It promises people heaven, but it takes them to hell. Ó

I will not dwell on the great famine that took place in the Soviet Union to deprive the

peasants of their right to control land and grain, and the "personal superstition" created by

Stalin and the "great madness" of his bloody massacres in the name of the "dictatorship

of the proletariat." Nor how the cultural absolutism that he has long practiced against

intellectuals has completely deprived the people of their right to $\operatorname{democracy}$ and $\operatorname{freedom}$,

so that the bureaucratic ruling clique has completely collapsed due to complete corruption

and complete abandonment by the people

I will not mention here why the "socialist" countries in Eastern Europe, which were

established according to the "Soviet model" and controlled by the political and military

control of the Soviet Union, eventually collapsed as a result of the domino effect of the

collapse of the Soviet Union

I will not mention here how North Korea, under the leadership of the Communist Party

(Workers' Party), claimed to build a "socialist" society in which the people could enjoy

happiness, but with the support of Stalin and Mao Zedong, brazenly launched a war of

aggression against South Korea, which not only caused the North Korean people to suffer

deeply and still live a life of hunger and cold, but also by the "dictatorship of the

proletariat." The "party world" has degenerated into the "family world"
of the "three

dynasties of the Jin family" characterized by personal dictatorship and hereditary

ancestors and grandchildren Ó?

Nor is it said here that after the armed seizure of power by the little $Communist\ Party\ of$

Cambodia ("Khmer Rouge"), its leader, Burbout, declared: "Chairman Mao of China is

also our Chairman!" He personally went to Beijing to listen to Mao Zedong's instructions

to build a "socialism" without commodities and without money according to "Mao

Zedong Thought." Society, as a result, not only caused great destruction of production

and life, but also shocked the world by slaughtering millions of people, and finally was

crushed and judged, crucified on the pillar of shame of history...

These political events that occurred in different eras and in different countries all have

common characteristics that are intrinsically linked: common political beliefs, common

"party leadership", common governing philosophy, common disregard for

human rights,

and finally a common complete collapse!

Finding regularity from these common characteristics and avoiding the recurrence of

similar human tragedies is a major issue before the people of the world and the historians

and political scientists.

Eight

This is first and foremost a major issue facing the Chinese.

On the eve of the establishment of the "People's Republic of China", on June 3, 1949, it

had already "entered Beijing to catch the examination" Mao Zedong, in the tone of a

victor, announced his ruling philosophy in the article "On the People's Democratic

Dictatorship": "We practice the people's democratic dictatorship, or the people's

democratic dictatorship"; and quoted the Song Dynasty philosopher Zhu Xi as saying,

this is called "treating people in the way of others and governing others." $\acute{0}$? The so-

called "Tao" here, Mao Zedong's interpretation is "dictatorship" or "totalitarianism", and

it shows that this is from "Chiang Kai-shek's counter-revolutionary dictatorship" was

learned there.

Here are two points worth noting:

I. With regard to "democracy", which I have already mentioned, I would like to repeat a

few more words: in the political language of the Communist Party, "democracy" can be

interpreted in the written sense as "the people are the masters of their own affairs." , that

is, "Mr. Democracy" after "learning from the West to the East", and in practical $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

application, this is not the meaning, Refers to the concept of "democracy" that has long

appeared in ancient Chinese literature, which should be interpreted as "the lord of the

people", or "you are in charge, I am the master" Deng Xiaoping interpreted it as "seeing

himself as the master of the people", and very accurately expounded the "democracy" of

the Communist Party in practical application. According to a large number of facts after

the founding of the People's Republic of China, what Mao Zedong called "people's

democratic dictatorship" is actually "the dictatorship of those who are the masters of the

people."

Second, Mao Zedong once explained in the language of poetry that the feudal centralized

autocratic system established by Qin Shi Huang was a political system that has been

consistent in ancient and modern times, and this is the true meaning of Mao Zedong's

chanting of "Qin politics for hundreds of generations". This is true not only of successive

dynasties, but also of the "presidents" of the "Republic of China", and of the "People's

Republic of China". The same is true of the Chairman, which is what he calls "the

people's democratic dictatorship" (i.e., the "dictatorship of the lord of the people") is from

"Chiang Kai-shek's counter-revolutionary dictatorship" was "learned" and was actually

the basis for "inheritance."

Later, Mao Zedong used unmistakable language and unabashedly admitted that his ruling

philosophy can be summarized in one sentence: "Qin Shi Huang + Marx". When pointing

out the excessive concentration of power in the state leadership system, Deng Xiaoping

said: "The unified leadership of the Party has thus become individual leadership in the

past, and "this phenomenon is related to the influence of feudal absolutism in our $\ensuremath{\mathsf{S}}$

history." It is also related to the tradition of high centralization of personal power by $\$

individual leaders in the work of the parties of various countries, as practiced during the $\,$

period of the Communist International." The "Comintern" mentioned here is obviously

not Marx's "International", but Lenin stalin's "Third International" Therefore, scholars

have interpreted Mao Zedong's sentence very unanimously and unanimously as "Qin Shi

Huang + Stalin", which is more in line with Mao Zedong's practical activities.

In this way, Deng Xiaoping completely correctly explained the nature of the state

leadership system upheld by Mao Zedong: "Qin Shi Huang" meant "feudal absolutism"

and "Stalin" It refers to the "dictatorship of the proletariat" universally pursued and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

implemented by the Communist International. According to Lenin, the "dictatorship of

the proletariat" is a cruel and bloody state power that is not subject to any restrictions or

laws or laws, and is directly ruled by violence. Mao Zedong's "Qin Shi Huang + Stalin" is

actually "feudal absolutism + proletarian dictatorship." After the end of the "Cultural

Revolution", the academic circles quite unanimously referred to "Qin Shi Huang +

Stalin" as " feudal fascism"; the "Cultural Revolution" in China and the "great madness"

of the Soviet Union, They are all very typical manifestations of this fascist terror and

dictatorship with feudal characteristics. Do you want to understand what the "dictatorship

of the proletariat" is? Look at the "proletarian left" in the "Cultural Revolution" who are

"infinitely loyal to Chairman Mao's revolutionary line." We know what we have done,

and it provides us with a very good living specimen of the dissection of the "dictatorship

of the proletariat"!

Now, after 70 years of bloody storms and at the cost of sacrificing the lives of millions of

people, we have finally understood the true meaning of what Mao Zedong called

"people's democratic dictatorship" and "people's democratic dictatorship."

A dictatorship of this nature can never produce social ideals and democratic regimes in

the modern sense. The Communist Party of China, which claims to be the vanguard of

the proletariat, is taking the road of encircling the cities with the countryside and seizing

power by force; in fact, it is a violent peasant uprising under the banner of proletarian

socialism, and it has strong characteristics of a small peasant economy in terms of

ideology and mode of behavior. In the "historical resolution" of the CPC, it is said: "Our

party has waged the most resolute and thorough struggle against feudalism, especially

against the feudal land system and the gentry and bullies.", inadvertently revealing the

news: Such "anti-feudalism" It is only "beating up local tycoons and dividing up the

land" that appeared on the basis of the small peasant economy, and it is impossible to

shake the foundation of feudalism, nor can it be "completely" satisfied, once it is

satisfied." Thirty acres of land, a cow, wives and children hot kiln head" private wish,

feudalism will be revived in another way, which is proved by the historical facts of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

peasant revolutionary war in successive generations. A particularly thought-provoking

and prominent example is the unprecedented public declaration by the $Central\ Committee$

of the Communist Party of China in its red-headed document on the resolution on the

establishment of rural people's communes that the realization of a communist society in

China is not too far away. This social concept of basing communism on a backward

small-scale peasant economy is nothing more than a modern version of the "Taiping

Heavenly Kingdom," even more "heavenly kingdom" than the "kingdom of heaven." $\acute{0}$?

What can be adapted to this kind of communism based on the economy of small peasants

can only be the social concept with a strong sense of feudalism, that is, the "change of

dynasty" of "fighting the world and sitting in the world." Is there any problem with the

result of the revolutionary struggle being nothing more than the emergence of a new

feudal dynasty, and the person who sits on the dragon chair of the palace must be an

"emperor" with absolute power, who is not subject to law or mass supervision?

And that's exactly what happened. Mao Zedong once said privately to his relative Mao

Yuanxin: "Want to supervise the Communist Party? Joke! Who fought the world?

Because it is "private", it most fully exposes Mao Zedong's "party world" mentality, the

so-called "east, west, south, south and north, the party is the leader of everything." It is

the same meaning as the historical "under the whole world, mo fei wang land, rate the

land coast, mo fei wang chen" is the same meaning. During the Cultural Revolution,

Mao Zedong's absolute power reached its peak, and his words became synonymous with

the "Holy Will." Mao Zedong Thought has become the "golden rule." Therefore, the

establishment of the "People's Republic of China" did not appear as Mr. Wang Yanan

predicted, a "scientific and people's era", and the feudal absolutism system that was the $\,$

basis of China's bureaucratic political society was not eliminated, but passed through

The packaging of "Marxism-Leninism and Mao Zedong Thought" has been strengthened;

the basic contradictions between the government and the people, although the official $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$

repeatedly covered up by "class contradictions", are actually becoming more and more

acute." There are also more and more incidents of officials forcing the people to rebel.

After a brief and illusory joy, the people soon find themselves still standing in front of a

new "gate of hell", and what awaits them is an even more tragic fate! Therefore, the "historical resolution" of the CPC Central Committee and Deng Xiaoping's

speech on the reform of the leadership system of the party-state, when referring to "feudal

and the like do not make sense. The "catastrophe" of the ten-year-long "Cultural

Revolution" alone is a little bit of "poison" Are "remnants" and "influences" enough?

"dictatorship of the proletariat" in the Soviet Union, which was "combined with the earth

and the west" and was handed over to Mao Zedong The ruling concept of "Qin Shi

Huang + Stalin" has formed a "party world" mixed-race freak with strong feudal

absolutism; so far, it is still easy for us to find this kind of existence in real life The freak

shadow of "Chinese characteristics".

This should be the basic foothold for us to observe the nature of the "anti-rightist"

movement".

Nine

Mao Zedong characterized the 1957 "Anti-Rightist Movement" as a "great socialist

revolution on the political and ideological fronts"; in November of the same year On the

17th, he further said: "The victory of the real socialist revolution in our country is

considered by some to be in 1956, but I think it was actually in 1957. It was relatively

easy to change ownership in 1956, and it was only in 1957 that the socialist revolution $\,$

was won politically and ideologically."

Mao Zedong thought that he insisted on Marxism as the guiding ideology, but all of the $\,$

above statements fundamentally deviated from Marx. In the preface to the Critique of

Political Economy, Marx made a classic assertion: "No social formation will perish until

all the productive forces they can accommodate are brought into play; and the new higher

relations of productive forces will never appear until the material conditions of its

existence have matured in the womb of the old society." So man has always proposed

only the tasks which he can solve, for a closer examination can reveal that the task itself,

only when the material conditions for solving it already exist or at least in the process of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

formation, will arise." ? This assertion is made very clear; to put it simply, it is that what

is growing does not decay when it is far from reaching the limits of development, and

that what is being conceived will not be born before it matures. The decay and birth of

things have their own laws of ripening and falling, and they cannot interfere with their $\,$

processes with external human actions. What Mao Tse-tung did was to artificially carry out the so-called "socialist transformation" of agriculture, handicrafts

and private industry
and commerce under conditions of the socialist productive forces of the

unless they possessed them, that is, to artificially strangle the things that were

developing in their cradles; and to "socialism" that had not yet been conceived But like

forcing a virgin child to hope to give birth to a heroic Ning Xin'er. Such a result can only cause great damage to

the productive forces, and therefore cannot be called a "socialist revolution" at all;

economically, politically and ideologically, the "anti-rightist movement" of 1957 was put

into effect. Calling it "the great socialist revolution on the political and ideological fronts"

is like treating the distorted image in the mirror as the entity itself. The absurdity of the $\ensuremath{\text{S}}$

understanding of the economic base inevitably leads to the absurdity of the understanding $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

of the superstructure. Mao Zedong willingly imposed his illusory "socialism" with a

small peasant consciousness on the heads of the Chinese people who had just shaken off

the corrupt rule of the Kuomintang, so that the basic contradiction between the

government and the people was developed in a new form with increasing

intensity.

During the civil war, in order to overthrow the Kuomintang's one-party dictatorship of

"one doctrine, one political party, and one leader," the Communist Party called for

promising to establish a "coalition government" and practicing democracy and freedom

After the founding of the People's Republic of China, the Communist Party will fulfill its

promise to enter the "era of science and the people" predicted by ${\tt Mr.}$ Wang Yanan.

Unexpectedly, Mao Zedong poured cold water on this expectation, and his promise not

only failed to be fulfilled, but announced the establishment of the republic, marking the

completion of the democratic revolution and the transition to socialism under the $\,$

"leadership of the Party". In this regard, even Soong Ching-ling, who has always strongly

supported the Communist Party, also raised questions: Does the "common program" that

has been politically consulted together by the democratic parties still count? Mao Zedong

replied with his own actions: It does not count. He came up with a "general line of

transition" unilaterally put forward by him without democratic political consultation, and

forcibly promoted a series of policies for the transition to socialism. People soon discovered that after the Communist Party violently overthrew the "one-

party dictatorship" of the Kuomintang's "one doctrine, one political party, one leader" and

established the republic, it immediately put the Kuomintang into a "one-party

dictatorship." The political legacy was completely inherited, and the socialist system of

the "Soviet model" was vigorously promoted throughout the country: First, with the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{country}}$

"leadership of the Party" and " The dictatorship of the proletariat is an all-round political $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

monopoly with the core; second, a comprehensive economic monopoly with the core of

"abolishing private property" and "implementing a planned economy"; and third, it is a

comprehensive economic monopoly with "eliminating private property" and "implementing a planned economy" as the core "Following Marxism-Leninism, Mao

Zedong Thought as the Guide" and "Cultural Authoritarianism" as the core of the

comprehensive ideological monopoly. In this way, Mao Zedong's ruling concept of " Qin

Shi Huang (feudal absolutism) + Stalin (dictatorship of the proletariat)" was fully

implemented, and the people completely lost the last shred of space for democracy and

freedom, and all of them were alienated for the party to drive Taming tools" (actually

talking tools \mbox{D} slaves), anyone, especially intellectuals, has "Party leadership" and "Mao

Zedong Thought" hanging high above their heads This kind of "Shang Fang

Sword" has

become an inhuman without dignity!

Against the background of this era, revolutionary struggle on the political and ideological

front is inevitable. But this is not a "socialist revolution" at all, its essence is a great

struggle between the feudal absolutism on one side represented by Mao Zedong and the $\,$

democracy on the other side represented by the "thinkers", the awakened among the

intellectuals!

Ten

The "Anti-Rightist Movement" took place in 1957, but as early as the founding of the

People's Republic of China, Mao Zedong had not yet used the political concept of

"rightist" to summarize the resistance to "feudal absolutism" Before the revolutionaries,

the pioneers of the democratic revolution had already begun to think, and ${\tt Mao}$ ${\tt Zedong}$

began to persecute his critics by dictatorial means, but it had not yet reached the scale of

the "movement". At this time, the critics of the revolution themselves were in a state of

incomplete self-consciousness, there were still many illusions in their minds of expecting

a party-state, and the struggle only appeared in a few areas in the form of "scattered

soldiers and brave soldiers". For example, Gu Zhun is one of the most representative and

worthy of the remembrance of future generations, and may also be the only revolutionary $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

in the country who has been beaten as a "rightist" twice (1957 and 1965). In the early

1930s, an old Communist Party member who pursued the truth and threw himself into the

revolution engaged in economic work in Shanghai after the founding of the People's

Republic of China and held an important position. In 1952, he was detained as

"unorganized, violating the Party's policies and principles, and confronting the Party

ideologically and organizationally," and was held to the Mao-style "code." The

inexplicable crime of "egregious elements" was dismissed from his post. At this time, his

thinking and criticism were still limited to the economic field.

Economist Sun Yefang, in

academic thinking, has always insisted on acting according to the law of value and

emphasizing the importance of the market economy, and has said that "a thousand laws,

ten thousand laws, and the law of value is the first law", so it has been besieged and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

criticized for a long time. In his later years, he lamented: "My academic thinking was $\,$

initially influenced by Gu Zhun." From this sentence, we can guess the reason why Gu

Zhun was accused of "violating the party's policies and principles and confronting the

party ideologically and organizationally", which actually shows that he is resisting the

"planned economy" as a characteristic In the "economic monopoly", the first shot was

fired. With the deepening of his thinking, his criticism gradually expanded into a wide

range of fields such as philosophy, history, and politics, radiating a stronger ideological

brilliance of the democratic revolution and the opposition to feudal autocracy. He was $\,$

persecuted by the "party leaders" and his wife was separated, and his family was

destroyed, but in his later years he remained unyielding and unyielding, and more clearly

declared his conviction in politics: "When today people, in the name of martyrs,

transform revolutionary idealism into conservative reactionary absolutism, I resolutely

take the position of thorough empiricism and pluralism, and I must fight to the end $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

against this kind of authoritarianism! "Authoritarianism stifles progress, authoritarianism

is incompatible with the spirit of science, and democracy is the method that must be

adopted." Only the spirit of science is sufficient to ensure the progress of mankind, and

only the spirit of science is enough to break the democracy that authoritarianism and the

gifts of authoritarianism are underpinned. He finally died lonely in 1974 at the age of less

than sixty. It is not difficult for us to see from him what kind of so-called "rightists" who

stand on the great shore on the land of China are a group of people with democratic

revolutionary ideals and lofty sentiments!

If Gu Zhun was the first to oppose "economic monopoly" in the early days of the

founding of the People's Republic of China, Hu Feng and his followers in 1955 were the

first representatives to challenge "ideological monopoly" and cultural authoritarianism, $\$

which Mao Zedong called The "Hu Feng elements" are actually "rightists" who have

been characterized by Mao Zedong as "counter-revolutionaries." Hats of the "rightists".

This is a group of idealistic, moral, and talented literary and art workers, many of whom

supported or even joined the revolution and joined the Communist Party in the struggle

against the Kuomintang's "one-party dictatorship"; Hu Feng was a progressive writer

trusted by Lu Xun, whose achievements in literary and artistic theory were "the leaders of

the party." The "big figures" in the literary and artistic circles are beyond their reach, so

they are respected by many young writers. Their thinking and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$

"monopoly of ideas" is limited to the literary and artistic level, does not involve a wide

range of cultural and political fields, and adopts only the normal form

of written

suggestions "for reference only". The problem is that the crux of Hu Feng's proposal is

that he violated the fundamental guiding ideology that is officially regarded as the

"golden rule" and must be followed, namely Mao Zedong's speech at the $Yan'an\ Forum$

on Literature and Art. In his private correspondence or conversation, Hu Feng dismissed

Mao's speech as a "pamphlet that was regarded as a 'totem' by people", and his

suggestions for the prosperity of literature and art also violated the specific arrangements

made by reality to implement the spirit of the speech. This directly hit Mao Zedong in the

face, so Long Yan was furious, took the case and "rode the royal conquest", and the result

was that a new variety of "counter-revolution" appeared in China, that is, by Mao Zedong

The "Hu Feng counter-revolutionary clique" of the King's Court" and threw them into the

"party prison" by dictatorial means without trial in the courts The evidence of the crime

was nothing more than private letters that had never been made public, and Hu Feng and

his followers had been wronged for more than two decades, and when they were

rehabilitated after Mao Zedong's death, Hu Feng was not far from heaven. Mao Zedong's

imperial pen completely ruined the life of this group of outstanding talents!

After only two years, the political air is still filled with the smoke of criticism of the "Hu

Feng elements," and the "Anti-Rightist Movement" of 1957 "made a shining debut."

Finish. It was an unprecedented political conspiracy, which Mao Zedong called "Yang

Conspiracy," which, according to the jargon of the public security system, was "fishing

for law enforcement," that is, seducing you with fraud, hypocrisy, and lies." Crime", after

you are "hooked", he will exercise "dictatorship" on you as a "law enforcer" Ó?

Not long ago, in his "secret report" to the Twentieth Congress of the Communist Party of

the Soviet Union, Khrushchev criticized the "personal superstition" that had long

prevailed in the Party against Stalin and exposed Stalin's use of this superstition to "lead

dictatorship." The "great madness" created by the tyranny imposed Let the truth of the

horrific bloody massacre come to light. Many people have begun to have unprecedented

doubts about the glorious image of the Communist Party, the advanced nature of the

socialist system in the Soviet Union, the happy life of democracy and freedom enjoyed

by the Soviet people, and so on. Stalin, the leader of the international communist

movement and Lenin's successor, had gradually begun to collapse in the

minds of the

progressives who aspired to a socialist society, especially among intellectuals, and there

was growing confusion about the "dictatorship of the proletariat", which had always

flaunted unparalleled superiority. Of course, the Communist Party of China, which has

always prided itself on being the best branch of the "Third International," has been

preaching "one-sidedness" and "total Sovietization" since the founding of the People's

Republic of China Enthusiastically instilled in Chinese "socialism" that "today in the

Soviet Union is our tomorrow." Ideally, Stalin, who had been inextricably linked to the

Communist Party of the Soviet Union and the leaders of the international communist

movement for many years, and Mao Zedong, who had inextricable ideological and

organizational ties, inevitably had to re-examine and re-examine and think in light of the

various shortcomings that the CPSU and Stalin had exposed since the founding of the

People's Republic of China, and it turned out that there were so many similarities

between the two, involving a wide range of fields such as politics, economics, and

ideology, that he gradually woke up from the fog of concealment and deception and

began to explore the truth of the facts.

Even so, people have not completely compared the two, because of the inertia of history,

they still trust and support the Communist Party, and are willing to accept the leadership

of the Party. Therefore, when the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China

decided to carry out rectification against bureaucracy, sectarianism and $\operatorname{subjectivism}$,

people sincerely hoped that the party could overcome these shortcomings and be full of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

"helping the party rectify the work style." The goodwill has put forward many

constructive criticisms of the problems existing in the party. Even if some of the language

is a little sharper and the attitude is a little fiercer, no one wants to overthrow the $\$

leadership of the Communist Party and negate the socialist system, and no one has the $\$

political ambition to replace it; their sharp language and fierce attitude can only show

their sincere trust in the Communist Party, especially the intellectuals, many of whom

have the tradition of being a scholar who worries about the country and the people, but

they have the old habit of respecting and staying away from the economic career, and

they do not want to seek their place and participate in their politics. It is only hoped that

the party, after improving the existing shortcomings, will better lead the people of the

whole country to build the country well and let the people live a good life. In order to

prove the necessity and correctness of the "anti-rightist" campaign, Deng Xiaoping

claimed that "rightists are murderous" but the "rightists" who are not allowed to take off

their hats are not allowed to take off their hats. It is a "very small minority" again, and

how can this "very small minority" create a "murderous spirit" The atmosphere is

coming? This kind of unfamiliar language and logic only proves that Mao Zedong and

the Communist Party were completely unable to listen to the correct criticism from the $\ensuremath{\text{c}}$

people at that time. It reflects that the "party leaders" who have always been accustomed

to "one word" are deeply afraid and uneasy about the awakening of the $\operatorname{democratic}$

consciousness of the masses.

The fundamental problem is that the criticism and suggestions from the masses far

exceed the "bureaucratic, sectarian, and subjectivist" barriers set by the Communist Party,

and have a distinct overall nature, that is, they involve not only the cultural, ideological

and economic fields, but also a wide range of political fields. From the point of view of

individual people, their opinions are certainly incomplete and one-sided, but if the

opinions of all individuals are examined in a comprehensive manner, it will be an

"encyclopedia" reflecting the wisdom of the masses on governing the country , not only $\ \ \,$

was it highly targeted at the time, but it also had its value worthy of attention to now.

After decades of testing, looking at their opinions today, they still have a strong sense of

reality, and they talk not only about then but also about the present, and many of them

have far-sightedness, such as the possibility of the Communist Party's privileges and

corruption, and the early warning of the possible consequences of Mao Zedong's personal

superstitions, which proves that their opinions are completely correct. More importantly, those criticisms involving the political field point quite centrally to the

connection between feudal absolutism and the leadership of the Party, to the relationship

between Mao Zedong's personal superstition and the dictatorship of the leader, and have

a distinct anti-feudal absolutist democratic color. This encountered Mao Zedong's "red

line." Just what I saw and heard in Beijing at that time, Beijing Normal University has a

title entitled "'Democracy'? "Party leader"? After many years, the content of the writing

has been blurred, but the question raised by this title is still a pending case to be solved:

we talk about "democracy" in our mouths, and we actually do "party leaders" in action

"Party master" is manifested in the name of "party leadership", which directly negates

true "democracy" ? This questioning of the contradiction between "democracy" and $\ensuremath{\text{This}}$

"party master" echoes what Chu Anping called "the party world." , quite accurately

answered the essence of the question. A large-character newspaper at Tsinghua with the

words "People will understand, I will not sign" directly referred to Mao Zedong as "the

emperor" and said: "Emperor Yo! How many people's ideals and aspirations have been

sacrificed by your words! Emperor Yo! Compared with you, Qin Shi Huang can only be

a no-name pawn, and it is no wonder that he said: 'Qin Emperor Han Wu, slightly lost

literary style', and you are many times better than Qin Shi Huang's 'book burning pit

Confucianism' in suppressing the people. This directly exposes the true face of $\mbox{\it Mao}$

Zedong's "Qin Shi Huang + Stalin". During the Cultural Revolution, Mao Zedong's

actions were even more "emperors" than "emperors". It has brought deep disasters to the

people of the Chinese. Although many of the shortcomings mentioned in Deng

Xiaoping's speech "Reform of the Party and State Leadership System" delivered at the

meeting of the Politburo of the CPC Central Committee in 1980 were obviously related to

Mao Zedong, he only mentioned the "influence of feudal absolutism" lightly and did not

dare to directly associate these shortcomings with Mao Zedong "Qin Shi Huang + Stalin"

is linked, and as early as 1957 the "rightist remarks" These shortcomings have been

clearly pointed out, and they are more profound, comprehensive, and distinctly predictive

than what Deng Xiaoping said. But all these profound, comprehensive, and predictable

and the people who put forward the opinions became the ones "Class enemy". What Chu

Anping called "the world of the party" is now also an open secret that is widely known,

but this democratic progressive who fought against the corrupt rule of the Kuomintang

before the founding of the People's Republic of China, the "Observation" magazine

edited by him, was later known for "the world under the party." "The criticism was

persecuted to death!

The "Anti-Rightist Movement" completely reversed the concept of right and wrong, good

and evil about people and things, and was characterized as a "counter-revolutionary"

under the guidance of Mao Zedong's absurd "class position" that we must support

whatever the enemy opposes and that we must oppose where the enemy

supports. The so-

called "rightists" with the "class enemies" have become a sign of the judgment between

right and wrong, good and evil: the correct opinions they advocate are "reactionary." On

the contrary, all the shortcomings they criticize are "revolutionary" and "correct." ". In

Mao Zedong's view, this absurd total reversal of right and wrong, good and evil, became

what they called a "great socialist revolution on the political and ideological fronts," and

under mao's guiding ideology, many shortcomings that should have been overcome were

not only failed to be overcome, but were treated as such." Revolutionary" and "correct"

things are protected and carried forward; what is supposed to be protected and carried

forward is not only not protected and carried forward, but is regarded as "reactionary"

The "wrong" things are denied and persecuted. As a result, Mao Zedong went farther and

farther down the evil road and fell deeper and deeper into the quagmire of error, until he

detonated the decade-long catastrophe of the "Cultural Revolution" called "feudal

fascism" in academic circles, and Chinese people were pushed into misery The "Gates of

Hell", it was only because of his death that the people gradually returned to earth. So

someone wrote publicly that he should have died a long time ago. Eleven

In 1979, the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China decided to "correct" the

"enlarged" "rightists" for 22 years The anti-rightist unjust case of the past year has in fact $\frac{1}{2}$

been denied, although there is still a disproportionate little tail of "returning to the

ancestors". This proves that in 1957 the so-called "rightists" rose up to fight against the

"feudal absolutism" represented by Mao Zedong Many of them were brutally persecuted

until their lives were taken, but their spirit was far-reaching. The basic spirit that runs $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

through it is to oppose feudal absolutism and appeal to democracy and freedom.

Throughout the history of the 70 years since the founding of the People's Republic of

China, this spiritual expression has become clearer and more and more conscious, from

the small number of self-conscious people such as Gu Zhun who first appeared in the

early days of the founding of the People's Republic of China as early as before the "Anti-

Rightist Movement" to 1955 with a group nature "Hu Feng counter-revolutionary clique";

and "anti-rightist movement" followed by "anti-right opportunists" in 1959 1976 "IV Five

"movement, and 1989 got "six \acute{a} Fourth, the "movement" and so on, the purpose of this

kind of appeal is getting stronger and stronger, the scale is getting

bigger and bigger, and

we can see the influence of the "right wing" from it. This cannot but arouse great panic

among the "party leaders", who, in addition to using force to carry out inhumane and

bloody repression, are also strictly controlled ideologically, and while they falsely blow

and beat up declarations of observance of the Constitution, they use official "red-headed

documents" The form explicitly refers to the "Anti-Rightist Movement" of 1957, the

Great Famine of 1959-1961, and the Period of 1966-1976 The "Cultural Revolution" and

the 1989 "Juneá The four massacres are listed as "four forbidden areas", and people are

not allowed to "talk nonsense" about this "Arbitrarily deliberating on the central

authorities", so much so that they did not hesitate to use dictatorial means to suppress the

the use of despicable "Red Guards" The method of seizing power" and violently

occupying "Yanhuang Chunqiu", which dares to tell a little truth, turned a popular private

magazine into an unpopular "party journal." This faceless and shameless official behavior

has reached a record level. More and more facts have proved that although ${\tt Mao}$ ${\tt Zedong}$

died, his ghost has been "idly strolling" on the mainland, and the phenomenon of

"returning to the ancestors" has become more and more obvious. The specter of "Qin Shi

Huang + Stalin" also pervaded the political arena of Chinese mainland, and the air was

filled with a strong atmosphere of restoration, and after putting ${\tt Mao}$ ${\tt Zedong}$ on the altar

again, he was trying in vain according to his image." Clone " to create a new "great

savior"!

This kind of "trick-or-treating" technique is really effective, so that many young people

do not know what the so-called "four forbidden areas" are, and try to use "forgetting" in

vain The method is to erase the real history, but history cannot be erased. No matter how

the official covers up the historical truth, the truth will eventually come out to the world.

This is closely related to the following two situations: First, the spiritual influence of the

"rightists" has been deeply rooted in the hearts of the people. Under this influence, the

chain reaction generated by the "anti-rightist movement" gradually spread to other fields.

For example, the official has vigorously publicized that "the anti-Rightist political flower

in 1957 produced the fruits of the Great Economic Leap Forward in 1958", but it was

followed by a three-year famine, starving tens of millions of people, and some politicians

and literati have been playing the lie strategy of advocating that no one is starving to

death. They were also exposed on the spot and declared bankrupt, which proved the

correctness of the criticism of the "rightists" in those years and the serious consequences

brought about by the "anti-rightism"; second, we have a group of people who are brave

enough to pursue the truth without fear of rape." Chinese Backbone" type of figure.

Among them, many of them rushed out of the old camps, belonged to the old cadres and

party members in the system, and because they personally experienced the "whole book"

process after the founding of the country, the proliferation of feudal absolutism and ${\tt Mao}$

Zedong's "Qin Shi Huang + Stalin." The disasters brought to the country and the people

are extremely painful, so the "deviant" counterattack is often particularly accurate and

powerful. Under their impetus, many fictional histories have been exposed, many real

histories have been restored, and a large number of real historical materials covered up

and distorted by the rulers have been collected and sorted out, creating favorable

conditions for future generations to understand the face of our times. In addition to the book "Dictionary of Victim Names in 1957" edited by Mr. Wu Yisan,

the main literature I came into contact with alone was:

"Traces of the Past" Xie Taoshi inscribed the title of the journal, and Tie Liu and his

mother Lu Yu presided over the editing work. The masthead proclaimed the purpose of

the journal in sixteen characters: "refuse to forget, face up to history, support reform, and

promote democracy." Mr. Du Guang's comment was: "It reflects the suffering and

awakening of the 'rightist' refugees, and exposes the atrocities and evils of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{e}}$

arrested, and he was over eighty years old and was expelled from Beijing and handcuffed

to his hometown in Sichuan

"A Brief Record of Ten Thousand Rightists" edited by Cen Chaonan and wrote the

preface. The preface says that the so-called "rightists" of 1957, whose demands are anti-

feudal and anti-dictatorship, represent the democratic demands of the broad masses of

workers and peasants, and are naturally the real "leftists." They are fighters who are not

afraid of power, love the people, love the motherland, and strive for democracy; they

should be properly named "May Seventh Democracy Factions, and those who

innocently executed and tortured to death should be posthumously regarded as "May

Seventh Democracy Martyrs." The 1957 rectification and anti-rightist

movement was an

important milestone in the Chinese democratic movement and the largest literal prison in

the history of the world, which further dragged China into the abyss of authoritarian

dictatorship, the "Cultural Revolution" and the "Sixth" \acute{a} IV. "The conditions for the

massacre were prepared and brought great disasters to the Chinese nation The Revolution and Counter-Revolution of 1957 by Du Guang. This book is a collection

of essays, a study and discussion of the anti-rightist movement in 1957, foreworded by

Professor Qian Liqun, an advocate of "1957 Studies". The author believes that the

democracy movement in 1957 was the first reaction to the establishment of a one-party

dictatorship in New China, which not only inherited the tradition of the democratic

movement represented by May Fourth, but also demonstrated the first democratic

movement after the establishment of the republic, and opened the precedent of the

endless democratic movement in the future. Qian Liqun emphasized the three main

contributions of the book: first, he made conscious efforts to reveal the truth and

distinguish between right and wrong; second, to examine the 1957 democracy movement

at the historical height of China's democratic revolution and give a historical evaluation;

third, the continuous attention to the right-wing rights defense movement in recent years $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +$

showed that it not only led to 1957 The authoritarian ideas, thinking and institutions of

the historical tragedy of the past few years continue, and the flames of resistance and

struggle have not been extinguished, and they have continued. The above three points are

"Last Night's West Wind Withered Bishu - A Personal Experience of the Anti-Rightist

Movement at Chinese University" by Fang Wenzhai. The author is my classmate and

friend, and is now a professional writer. The inscription on the title page reads:

"Dedicated to the martyrs and victims who sacrificed their lives in the 1957 democracy

movement". He said that the purpose of writing such a text was to "save memory,

preserve historical materials, and let the younger generation know how sinister and tragic

the suffering and atonement career of the older generation of intellectuals are." He $\,$

believes that if the authorities are enlightened, have a slight sense of remorse, stop

covering their ears and ringing bells, and treat history correctly, there is no need for me to $\ \ \,$

nag. In his writing, he often sighed and sighed in order to touch the sad place, and burst

into tears;

"Tombstone" by Yang Jisheng. On the basis of in-depth investigation and research, the

authors analyze the great famine that occurred from 1959 to 1961, and cite the research

results of Chinese and foreign demographers, and believe that about 36 million people

starved to death during the famine years (which is the middle number of the number of

people who died of starvation proposed by various researchers). In the article, he told

such a story: In the 1980s, Zhou Boping, as deputy director of the State Family Planning

Commission, mentioned in a report of the Population Institute of the Chinese Academy of

Social Sciences that in 1961 he was ordered to let the provinces fill out a statistical table

on grain and population changes, and after summarizing, the national population $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

decreased by tens of millions! After Zhou Enlai saw it, he immediately informed: it

should be destroyed immediately and must not be passed on. In the book, Yang Jisheng

makes a figurative analogy of 36 million, which is equivalent to 450 times the number of

atomic bombs killed by the atomic bomb dropped on Nagasaki on August 9, 1945;

"I Cry for my compatriots who were starved to death" compiled by Zou Wenkui. This is a

compilation of thematic materials on the "Great Famine", which was compiled by the

editors on the basis of reading a large number of newspapers and periodicals. On the front

page of the book is the letter written by the compiler to the Party Central Committee, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($

making three requests: First, request the Party Central Committee to verify the number of

people who have been starved to death in three years; second, request the Party Central

Committee to make a clear conclusion that it is the incident of man-made disasters that

caused the starvation of people and is a serious mistake in the work of the Party Central $\,$

Committee, and Mao Zedong should bear full responsibility for this; third, we should

sincerely apologize to the starving dead, so that the family or soul of the deceased can

have a comfort, and many old people who are alive are also relieved in their hearts

"The Divine Words of Blood- The Record of the Massacre of the Cultural Revolution in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

Xiangxi DaoXian In 1967 AD" by Tan He, with Zhou Shi and Yang Jisheng as the

preface. At the turn of summer and autumn at the height of the Cultural Revolution in

1967, a massacre shocked the world in the counties and cities surrounding Hunan

Province. Based on the millions of words of information collected and nearly 400 cases,

the author wrote this 550,000-word documentary reportage, which truly

reproduced the

bloody massacre that occurred at the climax of the "Cultural Revolution". The facts and

circumstances of the writing are unbearable, and when I read this book, I can't help but

cry and burst into tears. After reading the whole book, I was deeply impressed: this was a

"revolution" under the guidance of "Mao Zedong Thought" and the use of the means of

"dictatorship of the proletariat." "In the name of a leading, organized, and planned

massacre of the masses of people living at the bottom, from top to bottom. Although it is

only the tip of the iceberg of the "Cultural Revolution", it clearly exposes the "Cultural

Revolution" The reactionary nature. In his preface, Yang Jisheng pointed out that the root

cause of the massacre was the authoritarian system corresponding to Mao Zedong's $\,$

totalitarianism, which was characterized by a strong central rule, and through the

monopoly of economy, politics, truth and information, imprisoned the entire society in

the state apparatus and exercised pervasive rule over the entire life of the people;

although the "Cultural Revolution" was over, But the state still inherits the pre-Cultural

Revolution bureaucracy. How to arouse the people to think about and change the $\$

bureaucracy that still produces various social ills through the lessons of blood is the basic

value of this book

"Forgotten Commemoration - Documentary of the 1989 Democracy Movement",

by Zhou Liangxiao and Gu Juying, the title page has "Compatriots who died for the

freedom and democracy of the Chinese nation, the soul returns!" The inscription is

preceded by Xu Liangying's "Reflections on the Decade of '89", and the author's "Self-

Introduction". The book collects various written materials during the period of the

democracy movement, including the author's own personal experiences, leaflets and news

reports at that time, as well as official and non-governmental works published at home

and abroad eight or nine years later, which is a relatively comprehensive and systematic

collection of materials for studying this historical event. Xu Liangying held that the 1989

student-centered democracy movement, with "anti-corruption and striving for

democracy" as its main demand, shook the world with its earth-shattering tragedy and

heroism; this time, a singable and weeping mass spontaneous movement that $\operatorname{embodied}$

the excellent qualities of the Chinese nation, actually ended with the bloodbath of

Chang'an Avenue. The author also says in the preface, "Six "The patriotic democratic

movement of unprecedented scale in China's history, with its large number of

participants, its scope, its clear political slogans, its duration, and the tragic generosity of

its entire process, even the historical May Fourth Movement can hardly compare with it;

its great role in world history cannot be overestimated." The book records a conversation

by Zhao Ziyang that "the use of hundreds of thousands of troops to deal with unarmed $\,$

students and the broad masses of the people with tanks and machine guns, that is, the so-

called people's army to suppress the people, not only caused the Chinese to lose their

faith in the Communist Party, caused the Communist Party to lose the party's heart and

the people's hearts, but also caused the Communist Party's organization to be scattered or

exist in name only, thus creating a crisis of faith." The book also records the relevant

information of my classmate Ding Zilin in the Journalism Department of the National

People's Congress, who rose up to fight because her underage child was shot and killed

on June Fourth, $\,$ but was expelled from the party and deprived of her teaching rights, and

later became a well-known person at home and abroad." Tiananmen Mother", which was

also designated by the state security department as a "special control object". Ding \mbox{Hong} ,

a fellow window and friend of the victim, had these words in a letter to Ding Zilin before

his death: "'Six In the more than twenty years since the fourth century, human society has

erected a spiritual statue on the land of China, that is, the 'Tiananmen Mother', and his

representative figure is Ding Zilin. Just imagine, if your own children are innocently hurt,

as a mother does not make a sound, does not dare to make a sound, can not make a sound, $\ensuremath{\mathsf{make}}$

what kind of society is this? \dots The problem is that the tyrannical forces in power are

very afraid of this kind of maternal love, especially on the day of June Fourth. This is a

sign of the essential attributes and stages of development of society. Ó "The Soul of The Great North - Lin Zhao and "Six." IV" is written by Gan , and the title

page of the book has the inscription "Dedicated to the Heroic Soul Dedicated to the

Struggle for Democracy and Freedom". In the preface, the author wrote: In 1989, an

unprecedented student patriotic democracy movement broke out in Beijing, putting

forward the slogan of democracy and freedom. The wave of patriotic democracy among $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

students shook the whole world and was the most spectacular democracy movement since $\$

China's May Fourth Movement. This reminded him of the scene in 1957, remembered

Lin Zhao, and believed that the magical charm of Lin Zhao lay in her

spirit of adhering to

the truth, stubbornly fighting, and not regretting until death, that is, science, democracy

and freedom, that is, seeking, being new, and moving upwards, that is, bravely,

tenaciously, and unyieldingly fighting against the old forces $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$ this is the spirit of $\ensuremath{\text{Lin}}$

Zhao embodied." Northern Soul". The author uses the writing method of interlacing time

and space to classify him and Lin Zhao as "rightists" at the same time in 1957, and met in

"labor reform". The touching tragedy of loving each other until life and death is

intertwined with the "mix-and-match" of the student democracy movement that occurred

in Tiananmen Square in 1989, which makes people feel that although the two are 32

years apart, there is still a deep internal connection. That is to resist the feudal fascist

dictatorship. The 1957 democratic revolution was suppressed by the "antirightist"

movement", and the 1989 democratic revolution was carried out to save the former, but

was unexpectedly slaughtered in bloodshed. The flesh-and-blood connection between the

two is exactly what Lin Zhao said in his statement after the verdict on June 1, 1965: "The

official judgment of the Court of History will soon be announced to the world and the

future generations!" You totalitarian rulers and hypocrites $\tilde{\mathbf{N}}$ gangsters, scoundrels,

thieves and thieves will not only be real defendants but also indicted sinners! $\acute{\text{O}}$

Wait a minute. The above list is some of the literature that I have come into contact with, $\$

which has obviously involved the "four forbidden areas" that are strictly investigated by

the authorities, and from these contents, which are mainly disseminated in the form of

"cottage culture", it is not difficult to understand the reasons for the official prohibition, $\ \ \,$

because they are clearly exposed. The feudal absolutism that the authorities insisted upon

and the deep suffering it inflicted on the people. But their ban cannot prevent these real

historical events from being disseminated among the masses of the people through their

respective channels and taking deep root in people's hearts. This fact also strongly proves

that the so-called "rightists" in 1957 were revolutionaries rooted in the masses of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}$

proposed it to the Communist Party and Mao Zedong The critical significance of this is a

complete reflection of the aspirations of the masses of the people and a true insight that

conforms to the law of social development. If the rulers were a little wiser and could

listen to a little different voices, why did they cause man-made

disasters and starve tens

of millions of people to death, so that they eventually led to the uncontrollable

catastrophe of the "Cultural Revolution"? Therefore, how to understand the "rightists" of

that year is not only related to their personal experiences, but more importantly, it is

related to the fate of the country and the people that is manifested from them.

Therefore, the "1957 Dictionary of Victim Names" edited by Mr. Wu Yisan is not only

an informative reference book, but also an important classic of contemporary history.

Standing on the commanding heights of history, it sees the transmutation and

continuation of contemporary history in its entirety: Looking backwards, it is the people

who are striving to resist the Kuomintang's dictatorship of "one doctrine, one political

party, and one leader"; looking forward, it is the people who are rebelling against the

"party world" belonging to the same political system Dictatorship; the "dictatorship" of

the Kuomintang's "one-party dictatorship" and the Communist Party's "party world"

"Dictatorship and despotism" are not only the opponents of "you die and I live", but also

the same "bloodline" as close as family The brotherhood of the Communist Party, Mao

Zedong, inherited the mantle of Chiang Kai-shek of the Kuomintang, who was ousted

from power by the masses of the people, and this was the fundamental reason why the $\,$

victims suffered in 1957. From this commanding height in history, we can not only

discover the causes of various social ills, but also explore the path that China should take

in the future and find a way to fundamentally eliminate feudal absolutism. Because the

victims in the book, in addition to their surnames and names, also list as much as possible

the "rightist remarks" of each victim, when we use them to observe reality, we cannot but

marvel at their foresight, so that this book has both a thick historical connotation and a $\,$

strong practical significance. The victims of 1957, alive or dead, are truly memorable

heroes!

This is why I compared Mr. Wu Yisan to The Chinese Rodin at the beginning of this

article, striving to sculpt the "thinkers" and victims in front of China's "Gates of Hell.".

Mr. Du Guang's proposal to build a monument to the victims of Ding You's victims is

completely in line with the people's will, and the current "party leaders" will never accept

it. But the content of $my\ elaboration$ in this article proves that the monument to the

Victims of Ding You actually exists, and the "Dictionary of Names of the Victims in

1957" edited by Mr. Wu Yisan is a historical monument commemorating the victims of

Ding You. The Chinese "Gate of Hell" sculpted by Mr. Wu Yisan is not expressed as a

material bronze and stone stele, but as a spiritual remembrance and memorial, and all the

victims of Ding You and all the victims connected with his flesh and blood will find their

historical position in this carefully forged monument. The material inscription will

eventually have a day of complete erosion, and the spiritual inscription will last forever,

which is a heart monument deeply rooted in the spiritual world of the people!

The Monument of the Heart is dedicated to the thinkers and victims of China's "Gate of

Hell"!

The thinkers and victims of anti-feudal absolutism and rooted in the hearts and minds of

the people are immortal!

(March 22, 2019 on the shores of East Lake in Wuhan.) At that time, there were five out

of eighty years, and it had been seventy years since he had joined the army. ?

HYPERLINK "mailto:yangmeiyun2007@163.com" yangmeiyun2007@163.com

[Yang Jiao, who was admitted to Renmin University in China in 1956, published articles

such as "Contradictions between the Personality Development of Youth and the State

System" and "The Party Has the Germ of Degeneration and Corruption" in 1957, and was

classified as a rightist and subject to the second punishment: staying in school to study.]]