

Source data

Data presented in Chapter 4 is based on analyses of the same 1000 genomes project phase 3 dataset of 2533 low coverage WGS samples as used in Chapter 3. For alignment pipeline details, pileup parsing and reference & non-reference read frequency calculation see codebase for Chapter 3.

Pipeline for context dependent sequencing error rate measurement: MATLAB

All inputs used in the key scripts associated with Chapter 4 were generated as part of the analyses described in Chapter 3, for details see the codebase for Chapter 3.

Step 1: Generate motif spaces of interest

‘Motif space’ refers to the complete set of all possible sequence motifs of a given length. In our analyses we are assess sequencing error rate association for 2bp to 8bp motifs. This step generates the required motif spaces.

Code: SCRIPT_1_Motif_space_generator.m

| Outputs | | Dimensions & data type | | |
|------------------|----|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Motif_spaces.mat | N2 | 16 x 1 | strings (length: 2) | Struct with 7 fields, each containing full set of motifs representing one motif space of a given length from 2bp ('N2') to 8bp ('N8'). |
| | N3 | 64 x 1 | strings (length: 3) | |
| | N4 | 256 x 1 | strings (length: 4) | |
| | N5 | 1024 x 1 | strings (length: 5) | |
| | N6 | 4096 x 1 | strings (length: 6) | |
| | N7 | 16384 x 1 | strings (length: 7) | |
| | N8 | 65536 x 1 | strings (length: 8) | |

Algorithm structure

```
>
>>
>>>
```

Step 2: Find sequence motif locations in MT genome sequences

Find all locations of each motif from a specific motif space within our analysed sample MT consensus sequences. Each location represents the sequence position of the 3'-most base of that motif instance. Script input variable `motif_spaces` is generated in the previous step (step 1), whereas the other inputs `SampleIDs` and `MT_consensus_sequences` were produced as part of the analysis described in chapter 3.

Code: `SCRIPT_2_Motif_location_finder`

| Inputs | | Dimensions & data type | |
|------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------|
| Motif_spaces | N2 to N8 | $4^N \times 1$ | string |
| MT_consensus_sequences | | 2533 x 16569 | char |
| SampleIDs | | 2533 x 1 | string |

| Outputs for each motif space | | | Dimensions & data type | |
|------------------------------|----------|---------|---|---|
| Motif_counts | L_strand | | 4 ^N x 2533 double | Number of instances of each motif (row) that were found in mtDNA of each sample (column) <i>N – motif length</i> |
| | H_strand | | | |
| | Total | | | |
| Motif_locations | L_strand | [motif] | Structure with 4 ^N fields Each field: 2533 x 1 cell | Motif-specific cell arrays contain indices of L or H strand locations of that motif in each sample |
| | H_strand | [motif] | | |
| Motif_table | | | Table with 4 ^N rows, 1 row per motif | Full motif list, samples each motif was found in & total motif instances found across all samples |

Algorithm structure

```
>
  >>
    >>>
```

Step 3: Calculate sequencing error rates associated with different motifs

Using motif locations generated in the previous step, extract the read counts for reference base matches and mismatches in forward and reverse sequencing directions relative to the motif orientation, and calculate the sum forward and reverse match and mismatch counts across all motif instances, and calculate the motif associated error rates as the difference between forward and reverse read mismatch fractions. Thus motif error rate measurement approach is based on research by Allhoff et al., 2010. For details see the main thesis text.

Script input variable `motif_spaces` is generated in the earlier step 1 and variables `Motif_locations` and `Motif_table` are generated in step 2, whereas inputs `SampleIDs`, `Reads`, `Reads_Ref` and `Reads_NonRef` were produced as part of the analysis described in Chapter 3.

Code: `SCRIPT_3_motif_error_rate_calculator`

| Inputs | | Dimensions & data type | | |
|---|----------|--|--------|---|
| SampleIDs | | 2533 x 1 | string | List of sample IDs |
| Motif_spaces | N2 to N8 | $4^N \times 1$ | string | Sets of all possible 2bp to 8bp motifs |
| Motif_table (for each motif space) | | Table with 4^N rows, 1 row per motif | | Full motif list, samples each motif was found in & total motif instances found across all samples |
| Motif_locations (for each motif space) | L_strand | Structure with 4^N fields Each field: 2533 x 1 cell | | Motif-specific cell arrays contain indices of L or H strand locations of that motif in each sample |
| | H_strand | | | |
| Reads | Forward | 2533 x 16569 | double | Total A + C + G + T base call counts in each sequencing direction |
| | Reverse | | | |
| Reads_Ref | Forward | 2533 x 16569 | double | Number of reads in each sequencing direction that match the consensus sequence base type |
| | Reverse | | | |
| Reads_NonRef | Forward | 2533 x 16569 | double | Total reads in each sequencing direction supporting base types other than the consensus sequence base |
| | Reverse | | | |

| Outputs for each motif space | | Dimensions & data type | | |
|------------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------|---|
| Motif_counts | L_strand | $4^N \times 2533$ | double | Analysed motif instances in each sample on L and H strands and in total across both strands. Rows – motifs, columns – samples |
| | H_strand | | | |
| | Total | | | |
| Readcount_totals | FM | $4^N \times 2533$ | double | Sample-level FM/FMM/RM/RMM read count totals across all analysed instances of each motif within each sample. Rows – motifs, columns – samples |
| | FMM | | | |
| | RM | | | |
| | RMM | | | |
| Sample_MER | | $4^N \times 2533$ | table | Calculated motif sample-level error rates. Rows – motifs, columns – samples |
| Population_MER | | $4^N \times 14$ | table | Total motif instances analysed across samples, population-level FM/FMM/RM/RMM read count totals and the overall calculated motif error rate. |

FM (Forward Match) – reads in the same orientation as the motif that support reference base

FMM (Forward MisMatch) – reads in the same orientation as the motif that support a non-reference base.

RM (Reverse Match) – reads in the opposite orientation to the motif that support reference base

RMM (Reverse MisMatch) – reads in the opposite orientation to the motif that support a non-reference base.

RER (Reverse Error Rate) = $RMM / (RM + RMM)$ – mismatch fraction in reverse direction (relative to motif orientation)

FER (Forward Error Rate) = $FMM / (FM + FMM)$ – mismatch fraction in forward direction (relative to motif orientation)

ERD (Error Rate Difference) = $FER - RER$ – difference between forward and reverse mismatch fractions

MER (Motif Error Rate) – term used instead of ERD in thesis text. Both terms are used interchangeably.

‘F’ and ‘R’ used in the FM/FMM/RM/RMM notation refer to the read direction relative to the motif orientation, whereas ‘L’ and ‘H’ strand notation is used for distinguishing between forward and reverse sequencing directions relative to the mtDNA reference sequence orientation.

Algorithm structure

```
>
>>
>>>
```

Step 4: Determine whether sequencing error rates associated with different motifs

For each analysed motif, determine the statistical significance of the association between the reference base mismatch rates and the sequencing read direction relative to motif orientation using Fisher's exact and Chi squared tests. Testing is performed on 2x2 contingency tables consisting of FM/FMM/RM/RMM read counts. Fishers exact test is used preferentially, except where contingency table values exceed 10^7 . Due to the limitations of Matlab fishertest() function, Chi squared test is used for contingency tables with values above 10^7 instead.

All script inputs are variables generated in the previous step (step 3) described above.

Code: SCRIPT_4_Motif_error_rate_significance

| Inputs for each motif space | | Dimensions & data type | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|------------------------|--------|--|
| Readcount_totals | FM | $4^N \times 2533$ | double | Sample-level FM/FMM/RM/RMM read count totals across motif instances in each sample Rows – motifs, columns – samples |
| | FMM | | | |
| | RM | | | |
| | RMM | | | |
| Sample_MER | | $4^N \times 2533$ | table | Calculated sample-level motif error rates |
| Population_MER | | $4^N \times 14$ | table | Population-level FM/FMM/RM/RMM read count and the overall calculated motif error rate |

| Outputs for each motif space | | Dimensions & data type | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|---------|---|
| MER_stats_summary | | $4^N \times 2533$ | table | Population-level MER and its significance testing results, plus sample MER summary statistics and sample-level significance test result summary |
| MER_Sample_level_stats | Result | $4^N \times 2533$ | double | Sample-level MER significance testing results: Whether the motif was analysed (TRUE/FALSE), test type (FT/X2) and significance level used, test result (1/0/NaN) and the calculated p values. Rows – motifs, columns – samples |
| | P_values | $4^N \times 2533$ | double | |
| | Test_type | $4^N \times 2533$ | string | |
| | Analysed | $4^N \times 2533$ | logical | |
| | Alpha | 1×1 | double | |
| MER_Global_stats | Result | $4^N \times 1$ | double | Population-level MER significance testing results: Whether motif was analysed (TRUE/FALSE), test type (FT/X2) and significance level used, test result (1/0/NaN) and the calculated p values. Rows – motifs |
| | P_values | $4^N \times 1$ | double | |
| | Test_type | $4^N \times 1$ | string | |
| | Analysed | $4^N \times 1$ | logical | |
| | Alpha | 1×1 | double | |

Algorithm structure

```
>  
>>  
>>>
```