### Apostol p.30 no.2

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

Proceed with Gram-Schmidt:

$$u_{1} = (1, 1, 0, 0)$$

$$e_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1, 0, 0) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0)$$

$$u_{2} = (0, 1, 1, 0) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0) = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 0)$$

$$e_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 0) = (-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, 0)$$

$$u_{3} = (0, 0, 1, 1) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{2}{3}, 0) = (\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 1)$$

$$e_{3} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 1) = (\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$$

Note that (1,0,0,1) = (1,1,0,0) + (0,0,1,1) - (0,1,1,0), so it is linearly dependent. Thus,  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  form an orthonormal basis.

b

Proceed with Gram-Schmidt:

$$u_{1} = (1, 1, 0, 1)$$

$$e_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1, 1, 0, 1) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}})$$

$$u_{2} = (1, 0, 2, 1) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}) = (\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 2, \frac{1}{3})$$

$$e_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{14}}(\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 2, \frac{1}{3}) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{42}}, -\frac{2}{\sqrt{42}}, \sqrt{\frac{6}{7}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{42}})$$

Note that (1, 2, -2, 1) = 2(1, 1, 0, 1) - (1, 0, 2, 1), so  $e_1, e_2$  form an orthonormal basis.

# Apostol p.30 no.5

First we will show that  $\int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^n dt = n!$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

With integration by parts,  $\int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{n+1}dt = \left[-e^{-t}t^{n+1}\right]\Big|_0^\infty - n\int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^ndt = n\int_0^\infty -e^{-t}t^ndt.$ 

Inducting on n, for n=0 we have that  $\int_0^\infty = e^{-t}dt = [-e^{-t}]\Big|_0^\infty = 1$ . Then, assuming the above for n=k,  $\int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{k+1}dt = (k+1)\int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^kdt = (k+1)(k!) = (k+1)!$ .

$$y_{0} = 1$$

$$y_{1} = t - \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t}t dt$$

$$= t - 1$$

$$y_{2} = t^{2} - \frac{\langle t^{2}, 1 \rangle}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle} - \frac{\langle t^{2}, t - 1 \rangle}{\langle t - 1, t - 1 \rangle} (t - 1)$$

$$= t^{2} - 2! - \frac{3! - 2!}{2! - 2! + 1!} (t - 1) = t^{2} - 4t + 2$$

$$y_{3} = t^{3} - \frac{\langle t^{3}, 1 \rangle}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle} - \frac{\langle t^{3}, t - 1 \rangle}{\langle t - 1, t - 1 \rangle} (t - 1) - \frac{\langle t^{3}, t^{2} - 4t + 2 \rangle}{\langle t^{2} - 4t + 2 \rangle} (t^{2} - 4t + 2)$$

$$= t^{3} - 3! - (4! - 3!)(t - 1) - \frac{5! - 4(4!) + 2(3!)}{4! - 8(3!) - 20(2!) - 16 + 4} (t^{2} - 4t + 2)$$

$$= t^{3} - 6 - 18(t - 1) - 9(t^{2} - 4t + 2)$$

$$= t^{3} - 9t^{2} + 18t - 6$$

## Problem 1

**Claim.** Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space, and  $U \subseteq V$  any subspace. Then,  $\dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp}) = V$ .

*Proof.* We will show sometimes stronger:

$$U+U^\perp=V$$

where  $U+U^{\perp}=\{u+v\mid u\in U, v\in U^{\perp}\}.$ 

We have from class that for any vector  $v \in V$ ,  $\exists x, x^{\perp} \mid x + x^{\perp} = v$  where  $x \in U, x^{\perp} \in U^{\perp}$ , so  $V \subseteq U + U^{\perp}$ . Further, since  $U, U^{\perp}$  are subspaces of V, if  $u \in U, v \in V^{\perp}, u, v \in V \implies u + v \in V$ , so  $U + U^{\perp} \subseteq V$ .

Then,  $U+U^{\perp}=V$ , and from an ealier homework,  $\dim(U)+\dim(U^{\perp})-\dim(U\cap U^{\perp})=V$ . However, if  $x\in U, U^{\perp}, \langle x,x\rangle=0 \implies x=0$ . Thus,  $\dim(U\cap U^{\perp})=0$ , and the initial claim follows.

### Problem 3

Let  $S: U \to V$  be a linear map with adjoint  $S^*$ .

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

Claim.  $S^*$  has an adjoint and  $(S^*)^* = S$ .

Proof.

$$\langle S(u), v \rangle = \langle u, S^*(v) \rangle \implies \overline{\langle v, S(u) \rangle} = \overline{\langle S^*(v), u \rangle} \implies \langle v, S(u) \rangle = \langle S^*(v), u \rangle$$

The last part holds by taking the complex conjugate of both sides, which is a bijective operation as shown on an earlier homework. Further, since adjoints are unique,  $(S^*)^* = S$ .

b

Claim.

$$\ker(S^*) = (\operatorname{im} S)^{\perp}$$

*Proof.* If  $x \in \ker(S^*)$ , then we have that

$$\langle S(u), x \rangle = \langle u, S(x) \rangle = \langle u, 0 \rangle = 0$$

Then  $x \in (\text{im}S)^{\perp}$ .

Similarly, if  $x \in (\text{im}S)^{\perp}$  then

$$0 = \langle S(u), x \rangle = \langle u, S^*(x) \rangle$$

Then, one of  $u, S^*(x) = 0$ . Since this holds for any u, we have that  $S^*(x) = 0$ .

Thus, 
$$x \in \ker(S^*) \iff x \in (\operatorname{im} S)^{\perp}$$
.

 $\mathbf{c}$ 

Claim.

$$\ker(S) = (\operatorname{im} S^*)^{\perp}$$

*Proof.* This follows from part a and b immediately, as we simply take part b and apply it to  $S^*$ . Then, since we have that  $(S^*)^* = S$ , part b gives that

$$\ker((S^*)^*) = \ker(S) = (\operatorname{im} S^*)^{\perp}$$

 $\mathbf{d}$ 

**Claim.** If S is invertible, then  $S^*$  is invertible,  $S^{-1}$  has an adjoint, and  $(S^*)^{-1} = (S^{-1})^*$ .

*Proof.* We know that the adjoint exists, as it is equivalent to the conjugate transpose of the original matrix representing the linear transformation.

Further, since  $I^T = I$ , and I is a real matrix, then the adjoint of I is still I.

In general, we have that

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, \mathrm{Id}^*(v) \rangle$$

we easily see that  $Id^* = Id$  works, and so  $Id^* = Id$  as adjoints are unique.

Now, from problem 2, we have that

$$(T \circ S)^* = S^* \circ T^*$$

Taking  $T = S^{-1}$ , we have that  $\mathrm{Id}^* = S^* \circ (S^{-1})^*$ . By the definition of inverse, we have that  $(S^{-1})^* = (S^*)^{-1}$ .

### Problem 4

**Claim.** Let  $S:U\to V$  be a linear map between finite inner product spaces. Then,

$$\dim(\mathrm{im}S^*) = \dim(\mathrm{im}S).$$

*Proof.* We have that as  $\ker(S^*) = (\operatorname{im}(S))^{\perp}$ ,  $\dim(\ker(S^*)) = \dim((\operatorname{im}(S))^{\perp})$ . From problem 1, we have that  $\dim((\operatorname{im}(S))^{\perp}) = \dim(V) - \dim(\operatorname{im}(S))$ , and so

$$\dim(\ker(S^*)) = \dim(V) - \dim(\operatorname{im}(S))$$

From rank-nullity, we have that

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\operatorname{im}(S^*)) + \dim(\ker(S^*))$$

Combining the two, we get that  $\dim(\operatorname{im}(S^*)) = \dim(\operatorname{im}(S))$ .

Now, we have from the email that  $S^*$  is the complex conjugate of the transpose of A, where A is the matrix representing S for a choice of basis. Further, put  $\overline{A}$  for the matrix with entries the complex conjugate of A.

Then,  $\dim(\operatorname{im}(S^*)) = \dim(\operatorname{im}(S))$ , where the image of S is simply the column space of A, and the image of  $S^*$  is then the column space of  $\overline{A^T}$  (as this is a property of column spaces shown in class).

Now, we have that the dimension of the span of a set of vectors  $v_1, \ldots v_n$  is the same as the dimension of the space of  $\overline{v_1}, \ldots, \overline{v_n}$ .

To see this, note that for  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i v_i$ ,  $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \overline{v_i}$ , by a property proved on a past homework.

Then, any basis of  $v_1, \ldots v_n$ , say  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ , has a corresponding basis  $\overline{x_1}, \ldots \overline{x_n}$  for  $\overline{v_1}, \ldots \overline{v_n}$ , and so they must be of the same dimension.

Then, the column space of  $A^T$  has the same dimension as the column space of  $\overline{A^T}$ ; since the column rank of  $\overline{A^T} = \dim(\operatorname{im}(S^*))$ , we have that  $\dim(\operatorname{im}(S^*)) = \operatorname{the column rank}$  of  $A^T$ .

The column rank of  $A^T$ , however, is also the row rank of A, by the definition of transposes, and so the row rank of  $A = \dim(\operatorname{im}(S^*)) = \dim(\operatorname{im}(S)) = \operatorname{the column rank of } A$ .

Thus, we have that row and column rank are the same.