# MATH 4041 HW 7

## David Chen, dc3451

### October 25, 2020

I use that  $a \mid b \implies |a| \le |b|$  for nonzero b a few times in this homework, and I can't recall if it was shown in class. I'll just show it here at the top of the problem set:  $a \mid b \implies b = ak$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; then, since b is nonzero, k cannot be 0, so  $k \ne 0$ , then  $k \ge 1$  or  $k \le -1$ , so we have that |ak| - |a| = (|k| - 1)|a|, which is either 0 if  $k = \pm 1$  or positive otherwise, so  $|b| = |ak| \ge |a|$ .

# Problem 1

1.

$$11 = 5 \cdot 2 + 1$$
$$1 = 11 - 5 \cdot 2$$

so we can take  $a^{-1}=-2,9,$  or more generally any  $x\equiv -2\equiv 9\mod 11.$ 

2.  $21^{-1} \mod 28$  does not exist, since gcd(21, 28) = 7, and so there is no integer solution to 21x = 1 + 28y.

3.

$$101 = 2 \cdot 50 + 1$$
$$1 = 101 - 2 \cdot 50$$

so we can take  $a^{-1}=-50,51,$  or more generally any  $x\equiv -50\equiv 51\mod 101.$ 

4.

$$101 = 4 \cdot 25 + 1$$
$$1 = 101 - 4 \cdot 25$$

so we can take  $a^{-1} = -25, 75$ , or more generally any  $x \equiv -25 \equiv 76 \mod 101$ .

# Problem 2

When n = 2k is even, then  $gcd(2, n) \ge 2$  since  $2 \mid 2$  and  $2 \mid 2k$ , so we have that 2x = 1 + ny has no integer solutions, and so no multiplicative inverse exists for 2 modulo n.

If n = 2k + 1 instead, note that  $2k + 1 = n \implies 2k + 2 = n + 1 \implies 2(k + 1) \equiv 1 \mod n$ , so k + 1 is a suitable inverse; in general, any  $x \equiv k + 1 \mod n$  is a suitable inverse.

## Problem 3

We can show that the least positive integer a such that when viewed as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\langle m \rangle = \langle a \rangle$  is  $\gcd(n,m)$ . In particular, we already have from class that  $\langle m \rangle = \langle \gcd(n,m) \rangle$ ; note that if  $\gcd(n,m) = 1$ , we are done as 1 is the least positive integer. If there is some  $1 \leq a < \gcd(n,m)$  such that  $\langle \gcd(n,m) \rangle = \langle a \rangle$ , then we have that  $a \in \langle \gcd(n,m) \rangle \implies a \equiv \gcd(n,m)x \mod n$  for some x, so  $a = \gcd(n,m)x + ny$  for some  $x,y \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; however, since  $a < \gcd(n,m) \implies \gcd(\gcd(n,m),n) = \gcd(n,m) \nmid a$ , this has no solutions, so  $\implies$  and  $\gcd(n,m)$  is the least positive integer that generates  $\langle m \rangle$  as an element of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

### i

The order is 12, as we saw in class that the order is  $36/\gcd(21,36)$ , and similarly we have  $a = \gcd(21,36) = 3$ .

#### ii

The order is 3, as we saw in class that the order is  $45/\gcd(30,45)$ , and similarly we have  $a = \gcd(30,45) = 15$ .

#### iii

By earlier homeworks, the order is lcm(12,3) = 12.

## Problem 4

For  $0 \le a < 11$ ,  $[a]_{11} \in (\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^*$  only if gcd(a, 11) = 1. Since 11 is prime, this is everything  $1 \le a \le 10$ , so the order is 10, as  $[1]_{11}, [2]_{11}, \dots, [10]_{11} \in (\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^*$ .

We can find an explicit generator, so  $(\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^*$  is cyclic and thus isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$  (brackets dropped in the table):

The order of any subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^* \cong \mathbb{Z}/10\mathbb{Z}$  has order dividing 10, and this subgroup is the unique subgroup with that order.

Then, we have that the subgroups of  $(\mathbb{Z}/11\mathbb{Z})^*$  are  $\langle 1 \rangle, \langle 2 \rangle, \langle 4 \rangle$ , and  $\langle 10 \rangle$ , which have orders 1, 10, 5, 2 respectively, as can be checked in the table; this is given since the subgroup of order d is generated by  $2^{n/d}$ , as seen in class for any divisor d of 10.

# Problem 5

From class, every subgroup can be given by the form  $\langle d \rangle$  for some divisor d of n, as for any a,  $\langle a \rangle = \langle \gcd(a, n) \rangle$ . Then, since there is at most one subgroup of any given order in  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , the subgroups are (generators are computed by taking all  $1 \leq g \leq 18$  with the same order  $\gcd(g, n)$ ):

- 1.  $\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z} = \langle 1 \rangle = \langle 5 \rangle = \langle 7 \rangle = \langle 11 \rangle = \langle 13 \rangle = \langle 17 \rangle$  which has order 18. Also,  $\varphi(18) = 6$
- 2.  $\langle 2 \rangle = \langle 4 \rangle = \langle 8 \rangle = \langle 10 \rangle = \langle 14 \rangle = \langle 16 \rangle$  which has order 9. Also,  $\varphi(9) = 6$ .
- 3.  $\langle 3 \rangle = \langle 15 \rangle$  which has order 6. Also,  $\varphi(6) = 2$ .
- 4.  $\langle 6 \rangle = \langle 12 \rangle$  which has order 3. Also,  $\varphi(3) = 2$ .
- 5.  $\langle 9 \rangle$  which has order 2. Also,  $\varphi(2) = 1$ .
- 6.  $\langle 18 \rangle = \langle 0 \rangle$  which has order 1. Also,  $\varphi(1) = 1$ .

the totient of n is calculated by counting the amount of generators of order n, which is an equivalence shown in class. Adding, we have that  $\sum_{d|18} \varphi(d) = 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 6 + 6 = 18$ .

# Problem 6

a

( $\Longrightarrow$ ) We have that  $d \mid a \Longrightarrow a = dk$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,  $[d]_n^k = k \cdot [d]_n = [kd]_n = [a]_n \Longrightarrow [a]_n \in \langle [d]_n \rangle$ , which was what we wanted.

( $\iff$ ) We have that  $[a]_n \in \langle [d]_n \rangle \implies [a]_n = t \cdot [d]_n$  for  $t \in \mathbb{Z} \implies [a]_n = [td]_n$ . Then, by the construction of these equivalence classes, a = td + nu for  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ . However, we have that  $d \mid n \implies n = dv$  for  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Finally, we arrive at a = td + uvd = d(t + uv), so  $d \mid a$ .

#### b

 $(\Longrightarrow) a \equiv a' \mod n \Longrightarrow a = a' + nt$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow a = a' + tud$  as  $d \mid n \Longrightarrow n = du$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; then,  $d \mid a \Longrightarrow a = vd$  for  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so a' = vd - tud = d(v - tu) so  $d \mid a'$ .  $(\Longleftrightarrow)$  The above proof is symmetric; replace a with a' and vice versa.  $a' \equiv a \mod n \Longrightarrow a' = a + nt$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{Z} \Longrightarrow a' = a + tud$  as  $d \mid n \Longrightarrow n = du$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; then,  $d \mid a' \Longrightarrow a' = vd$  for  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so a = vd - tud = d(v - tu) so  $d \mid a$ .

#### $\mathbf{c}$

Let a' = a + nk for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, if  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid n$ , we have that  $d \mid a + nk = a'$ ; similarly, if  $d \mid a'$  and  $d \mid n$ ,  $d \mid a' - nk = a$ , so we have that for any integer d, that  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid n \implies d \mid a'$ , as well as  $d \min a'$  and  $d \mid n \implies d \mid a$ . Then take  $d = \gcd(a, n)$  so by definition of the gcd, we have that  $\gcd(a, n) \mid a$ ,  $\gcd(a, n) \mid n$ , so  $\gcd(a, n) \mid a'$ . However, this then gives that  $\gcd(a, n) \mid \gcd(a', n)$ , and since they are both positive,  $\gcd(a, n) \leq \gcd(a', n)$ . Taking  $d = \gcd(a', n)$ , we see that  $\gcd(a', n) \mid a$  as well, so  $\gcd(a', n) \mid \gcd(a, n)$ , and  $\gcd(a', n) \leq \gcd(a, n)$ , so combining with before,  $\gcd(a, n) = \gcd(a', n)$ .

## Problem 7

Note that the existence of integers x, y such that 1 = ax + by gives that  $gcd(a, b) \mid 1$ , but the only positive divisor of 1 is 1, so gcd(a, b) = 1.

### i

Since a, b are relatively prime, 1 = ax + by for some x, y; then, for any divisor d of a, a = dk for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so 1 = dkx + by, so there are integers kx, y satisfying 1 = d(kx) + by, so from class  $\gcd(d, b) = 1$ .

#### ii

Since a is relatively prime to n, m, we can write 1 = ax + ny = aw + mz; then, we have that  $1 = (ax + ny)(aw + mz) = a^2xw + awny + axmz + nmyz = a(axw + wny + xmz) + nm(yz)$ , so by class,  $1 = \gcd(a, nm)$ .

If a is relatively prime to mn, then 1 = ax + nmy, so there are integers x, my such that 1 = ax + n(my), so gcd(a, n) = 1; similarly, there are integers x, ny such that 1 = ax + m(xy), so gcd(a, m) = 1.

## Problem 8

### i

We can define lcm(a, b) to be a positive integer m such that  $a \mid m$  and  $b \mid m$ ; further, if  $a \mid n$  and  $b \mid n$  for some integer n, then  $m \mid n$  as well.

To see that this is unique, suppose that m, m' have the above property. Then,  $m \mid m' \implies |m| \le |m'|$  and  $m' \mid m \implies |m'| \le |m|$  Since both  $|m| \le |m'|$  and  $|m'| \le |m|$ , and both are positive, m = m'.

### ii

We have that any element  $mk \in \langle m \rangle$  satisfies that  $mk \in \langle a \rangle \implies mk = ak'$  and  $n \in \langle b \rangle \implies mk = bk''$  for  $k', k'' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so all elements of  $\langle m \rangle$  are common multiples of a, b. In particular, if k = 1, then m = ak' = bk'', so  $a \mid m$  and  $b \mid m$ . Further, any common multiple of a, b is an element of  $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle$ : a common multiple is some number l such that l = ak' = bk'', but this is exactly the condition to be in  $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle$ , since  $l = ak' \implies l \in \langle a \rangle$ , and  $l = bk'' \implies l \in \langle b \rangle$ . Further, there is no element n in  $\langle m \rangle$  such that  $1 \leq n < m$  as then  $m \nmid n$  (since  $m \mid n \implies m \geq n$ ), so m is the least positive integer in the list of common multiples of a, b, which we just saw to be  $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle$ , and in that sense is the least common multiple.

Then, clearly  $m \mid mk$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so this also satisfies the definition of part i, as  $m \mid mk = ak' = bk''$  (since for any n,  $a \mid n$ ,  $b \mid n \implies n = ak'$ , n = bk'', k',  $k'' \in \mathbb{Z} \implies n = mk$  for some integer k, as shown earlier).

### iii

If  $a \mid bk$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $a \mid k$  by a lemma from class since a, b are relatively prime. Now, for any common multiple n, if  $a \mid n$  and  $b \mid n$ , we have that n = bk for some k, so n = b(ak') = (ab)k' for some  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$  since  $a \mid n = bk$  and thus  $a \mid k$ . Then,  $ab \mid n \Longrightarrow |ab| \mid n$ . Furthermore, clearly  $a \mid |ab|$  and  $b \mid |ab|$ . This gives that ab satisfies all the conditions in part i of the lcm.

### iv

Suppose that  $e = \gcd\left(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{b}{d}\right) > 1$ . Then,  $e \mid a/d \implies e = (a/d)k \implies ed \mid a$ ; similarly,  $e \mid b/d \implies ed \mid b$ . However, we now have that ed is a common factor of a, b, but since  $e > 1 \implies ed > d$ ,  $ed \nmid d$  (as  $ed \mid d \implies ed \leq d$ , since both are positive), so d cannot be the gcd of a, b.  $\implies$ , so e = 1, and a, b are relatively prime.

 $\mathbf{V}$ 

Put  $e = \operatorname{lcm}\left(\frac{a}{k}, \frac{b}{k}\right)$ . Then,  $a/k \mid e \implies a/k = ek' \implies a = ekk' \implies a \mid ek$  and  $b/k \mid e \implies b \mid ek$  similarly, so ek is a common multiple.

Now let n be any common multiple, so  $a \mid n$  and  $b \mid n$ . Note that  $a \mid n, k \mid a \implies k \mid n$ . Let n = kx, a = ky, so n/k = x, a/k = y. Further,  $a \mid n \implies n = ak', k' \in \mathbb{Z} \implies kx = kyk' \implies x = yk' \implies n/k = (a/k)k' \implies a/k \mid n/k$ . Similarly,  $b \mid n \implies b/k \mid n/k$ , so n/k is a common multiple of a/k and b/k. Then, since  $e = \operatorname{lcm}\left(\frac{a}{k}, \frac{b}{k}\right)$ , we have that  $e \mid n/k \implies e = (n/k)k', k' \in \mathbb{Z}, \implies ek = nk' \implies ek \mid n$ , which was what we wanted.

## $\mathbf{vi}$

From above, we have that  $\operatorname{lcm}(a,b) = \gcd(a,b) \operatorname{lcm}\left(\frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)}, \frac{b}{\gcd(a,b)}\right)$ . From iv, we have that  $\frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)}, \frac{b}{\gcd(a,b)}$  are relatively prime, and so from iii,  $\operatorname{lcm}\left(\frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)}, \frac{b}{\gcd(a,b)}\right) = \left|\frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)} \frac{b}{\gcd(a,b)}\right| = \frac{|ab|}{\gcd(a,b)^2}$ . Then, finally, we get that  $\operatorname{lcm}(a,b) = \gcd(a,b) \operatorname{lcm}\left(\frac{a}{\gcd(a,b)}, \frac{b}{\gcd(a,b)}\right) = \frac{|ab|}{\gcd(a,b)}$ .

#### vii

If  $a = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{r_i}$ ,  $b = \prod_{i=1}^{m} q_i^{s_i}$ . Consider  $\{u \mid u = p_i, 1 \le i \le n, \text{ or } u = q_i, 1 \le i \le m\}$ . Then, let

$$t_{u} = \begin{cases} \max(r_{i}, s_{j}) & u = p_{i}, u = q_{j} \\ r_{i} & u = p_{i}, u \neq q_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m \\ s_{i} & u = q_{i}, u \neq p_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n \end{cases}$$

We then have the following, if  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is some ordering of the earlier set:

$$lcm(a,b) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} u_i^{t_{u_i}}$$

Morally, this is just saying that the lcm is the product of all the primes in factorizations of a, b with the exponent chosen to be the greater of the two exponents in the factorizations of a, b (if it only shows up in one factorization, pick the exponent in the one it shows up in).