Apostol p.155 no.8

Claim. If f is continuous on [a,b], and $\int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx = 0$ for every function g continuous on [a,b], then f(x) = 0.

Proof. Take g(x)=f(x). We have that $\int_a^b (f(x))^2 dx=0$. However, we have that $f(x)^2 \geq 0$. Now, suppose that $f(y)^2 > 0$. Then, we have that, as f is continuous, that $\exists \delta > 0 \mid 0 < |x-y| < \delta \implies |f(x)^2 - f(y)^2| < \frac{1}{2}f(y)^2 \implies f(x)^2 > \frac{1}{2}f(y)^2 > 0$. Thus,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)^{2} dx = \int_{a}^{y-\delta} f(x)^{2} dx + \int_{y-\delta}^{y+\delta} f(x)^{2} dx + \int_{y+\delta}^{b} f(x)^{2} dx$$

$$\geq \int_{y-\delta}^{y+\delta} f(x)^{2} dx$$

$$\geq \int_{y-\delta}^{y+\delta} f(y)^{2} dx$$

$$= 2\delta f(y)^{2} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \leftarrow$$
, so $f(x)^2 = 0 \implies \forall x \in [a, b], f(x) = 0$.

Apostol p.168 no.22

We first show the power rule for rational exponents. We already have that power rule for integral exponents, and for $f(x) = x^{\frac{p}{q}}$, $f(x)^q = x^p$. Further, we have that the chain rule yields $(f(x)^q)' = (qf(x)^{q-1})(f'(x))$. Thus, $(qf(x)^{q-1})(f'(x)) = px^{p-1} \implies f'(x) = \frac{px^{p-1}}{qf(x)^{q-1}} = \frac{p}{q}x^{\frac{p-1}{q}} = \frac{p}{q}x^{\frac{p-1}{q-1}} = \frac{p}{q}x^{\frac{p}{q}-1}$.

Then, we have that $(\sqrt{x})' = (x^{\frac{1}{2}})' = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}$. Further, (1+x)' = (1)' + (x)' = 0 + 1 = 1. The quotient rule then yields that

$$f'(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}(1+x) - \sqrt{x}}{(1+x)^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}(1+x)} - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(1+x)^2} = \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}(1+x)^2}$$

Apostol p.168 no.24

Claim.

$$g' = f_1'(f_2 f_3 \dots f_n) + f_2'(f_1 f_3 \dots f_n) + \dots + f_n'(f_1 f_2 \dots f_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i' \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j \prod_{j=i+1}^n f_j)$$

Proof. Take the base case of n = 1, or $g = f_1$. Then, $g' = f'_1$, as given by the formula, as the products are empty.

Assume that the formula holds for n = k, and put $g_k = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i$. Then,

$$g'_{k+1} = g'_{k} f_{k+1} + f'_{k+1} g_{k}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(f'_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f_{j} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k} f_{j}\right)\right) f_{k+1} + f'_{k+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(f'_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f_{j} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k+1} f_{j}\right) + f'_{k+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \left(f'_{i} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f_{j} \prod_{j=i+1}^{k+1} f_{j}\right)$$

Claim.

$$\frac{g'}{g} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_i'}{f_i}$$

Proof.

Apostol p.174 no.14

Problem 1

Claim. Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be integrable. Then, $\exists c\in[a,b]$ such that

$$\int_{a}^{c} f(x)dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{c} f(x)dx$$

.

Proof. First, we extend the Intermediate Value Theorem to be slightly stronger. The original statement is that for $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ continuous, if f(a)< K< f(b) then $\exists c\in [a,b]\mid f(c)=K$. Further, we will show that if f(b)< K< f(a) then $\exists c\in [a,b]\mid f(c)=K$. To see this, consider g(x)-f(x). We have that g(a)< -K< g(b), so $\exists c\in [a,b]\mid g(c)=-K\implies f(c)=K$.

Consider $g(x): [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}, g(x) = \int_a^x g(t)dt$. Then, we have that $g(a) = \int_a^a g(t)dt = 0, g(b) = \int_a^b g(t)dt$. Further, $\frac{1}{2} \int_a^b f(x)dx = \frac{g(b)}{2} = \frac{g(a)+g(b)}{2}$, and if g(b) > 0 = g(a), then $g(a) < \frac{g(a)+g(b)}{2} < g(b)$, and if g(b) < 0 = g(a), then $g(b) < \frac{g(a)+g(b)}{2} < g(a)$, and so by the Intermediate Value Theorem, $\exists c \in [a,b] \mid g(c) = \frac{g(a)+g(b)}{2} \Longrightarrow \int_a^c f(x)dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b f(x)dx$. \square

Problem 2

Claim. *f* is continuous on [0, 1], and has f(0) = f(1). $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \exists x \in [0, 1 - 1/n] \mid f(x) = f(x + 1/n)$.

Proof. Consider g(x) = f(x) - f(x+1/n). Suppose that g > 0. Then, we have that f(1) > f(0). To see this, consider the set $\{g(k/n) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k \leq n\}$. We then have that $k > 0 \implies f(k/n) > f(0)$, as we can induct on k. If k = 1, then $g(1/n) > 0 \implies f(1/n) - f(0) > 0 \implies f(1/n) > f(0)$. Assume that the hypothesis holds for k < n. Then, $g(k/n) > 0 \implies f(k/n) - f((k+1)/n) > 0 \implies f((k+1)/n) > f(k/n) > f(0)$. This shows that f(k/n) > f(0) for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k \leq n$. Critically, this then means that f(1) > f(0). \implies Now suppose that g < 0. Then, we have that f(1) < f(0). To see this, consider the set $\{g(k/n) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k \leq n\}$. We then have that $k > 0 \implies f(k/n) < f(0)$, as we can induct on k. If k = 1, then $g(1/n) < 0 \implies f(1/n) - f(0) < 0 \implies f(1/n) < f(0)$. Assume that the hypothesis holds for k < n. Then, $g(k/n) < 0 \implies f(k/n) - f((k+1)/n) < 0 \implies f((k+1)/n) < f(k/n) < f(0)$. This shows that f(k/n) < f(0) for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k \leq n$. Critically, this then means that f(1) < f(0). \implies

Thus, we must have that g cannot be positive nor negative everywhere, meaning that $\exists x, y \in [0, 1-1/n] \mid g(x) > 0, g(y) < 0$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem, we have that $\exists z \in [0, 1-1/n] \mid g(z) = 0 \implies \exists z \in [0, 1-1/n] \mid f(z) - f(z+1/n) = 0 \implies f(z) = f(z+1/n)$. \square

Problem 4

a)

Consider the coutner example of

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

We have that f + g = 1, which is differentiable everywhere (f + g)' = 0. However, we have that f, g are nowhere continuous and thus nowhere differentiable (the contrapositive, differentiable \implies continuous was proved in class).

In general, take any function f not differentiable at x. Then, f + (-f) = 0 is differentiable at x, but neither f, -f are.

b)

Claim. If $f(x) \neq 0$, then g is differentiable at x.

Proof. We have that the quotient rule states for functions s,t differentiable at x, then if $t(x) \neq 0$, $(\frac{s}{t})' = \frac{s't-st'}{t^2}$ at x. Taking s = fg, t = f, we have that $f(x) \neq 0 \implies g'(x)$ exists by the quotient rule.

Problem 5

a)

Claim. f(x) = xg(x), g continuous at $0 \implies f$ is differentiable at 0.

Proof.

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(h)}{h}$$

Consider $\lim_{h\to 0} \left(\frac{f(h)}{h} - g(h)\right)$. For any ϵ , take arbitrary $\delta > 0$. We then have that $0 < |x| < \delta \implies x \neq 0 \implies \frac{f(x)}{x} = g(x) \implies \left|\frac{f(x)}{x} - g(x)\right| = 0 < \epsilon$.

Thus, we have that $\lim_{h\to 0} \left(\frac{f(h)}{h} - g(h)\right) = 0 \implies \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = \lim_{h\to 0} g(h) = g(0)$, as g is continuous.

b)

Claim. Suppose that f is differentiable at 0 and f(0) = 0. Then, $\exists g(x) \mid f(x) = xg(x), g$ continuous at 0.

Proof. Consider

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f'(0) & x = 0\\ \frac{f(x)}{x} & x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

which is well defined as we have that f is differentiable at 0.

Then, we have that

$$xg(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0\\ f(x) & x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

This is equal to f(x) everywhere.

Now, to prove that g(x) is continuous, note first that we have that $\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f(h)}{h}=\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f(0+h)-f(0)}{h}=f'(0)$, as we have that f is differentiable at 0. Further, $\lim_{h\to 0}(g(h)-\frac{f(h)}{h}=0)$, as for any $\epsilon>0$, take arbitrary $\delta>0\mid 0<|x|<\delta\implies x\neq 0\implies g(x)=\frac{f(x)}{x}\implies |g(x)-\frac{f(x)}{x}-0|=0<\epsilon$. Finally, we have that $\lim_{h\to 0}g(h)=\lim_{h\to 0}\frac{f(h)}{h}=f'(0)=g(0)$, so g is continuous. \square