

**Apostol p.155 no.8**

**Claim.** If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , and  $\int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx = 0$  for every function  $g$  continuous on  $[a, b]$ , then  $f(x) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Take  $g(x) = f(x)$ . We have that  $\int_a^b (f(x))^2 dx = 0$ . However, we have that  $f(x)^2 \geq 0$ . Now, suppose that  $f(y)^2 > 0$ . Then, we have that, as  $f$  is continuous, that  $\exists \delta > 0 \mid 0 < |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x)^2 - f(y)^2| < \frac{1}{2}f(y)^2 \implies f(x)^2 > \frac{1}{2}f(y)^2 > 0$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(x)^2 dx &= \int_a^{y-\delta} f(x)^2 dx + \int_{y-\delta}^{y+\delta} f(x)^2 dx + \int_{y+\delta}^b f(x)^2 dx \\ &\geq \int_{y-\delta}^{y+\delta} f(x)^2 dx \\ &\geq \int_{y-\delta}^{y+\delta} f(y)^2 dx \\ &= 2\delta f(y)^2 > 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\implies$ , so  $f(x)^2 = 0 \implies \forall x \in [a, b], f(x) = 0$ . □

**Apostol p.168 no.22**

We first show the power rule for rational exponents. We already have that power rule for integral exponents, and for  $f(x) = x^{\frac{p}{q}}$ ,  $f(x)^q = x^p$ . Further, we have that the chain rule yields  $(f(x)^q)' = (qf(x)^{q-1})(f'(x))$ . Thus,  $(qf(x)^{q-1})(f'(x)) = px^{p-1} \implies f'(x) = \frac{px^{p-1}}{qf(x)^{q-1}} = \frac{p}{q} \frac{x^{p-1}}{x^{\frac{p(q-1)}{q}}} = \frac{p}{q} x^{p-1-p+\frac{p}{q}} = \frac{p}{q} x^{\frac{p}{q}-1}$ .

Then, we have that  $(\sqrt{x})' = (x^{\frac{1}{2}})' = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}$ . Further,  $(1+x)' = (1)' + (x)' = 0 + 1 = 1$ .

The quotient rule then yields that

$$f'(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}(1+x) - \sqrt{x}}{(1+x)^2} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}(1+x)} - \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(1+x)^2} = \frac{1-x}{2\sqrt{x}(1+x)^2}$$

**Apostol p.168 no.24**

**Claim.**

$$g' = f'_1(f_2 f_3 \dots f_n) + f'_2(f_1 f_3 \dots f_n) + \dots + f'_n(f_1 f_2 \dots f_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (f'_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j \prod_{j=i+1}^n f_j)$$

*Proof.* Take the base case of  $n = 1$ , or  $g = f_1$ . Then,  $g' = f'_1$ , as given by the formula, as the prodcuts are empty.

Assume that the formula holds for  $n = k$ , and put  $g_k = \prod_{i=1}^k f_i$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{k+1} &= g'_k f_{k+1} + f'_{k+1} g_k \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k (f'_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j \prod_{j=i+1}^k f_j) \right) f_{k+1} + f'_{k+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k f_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k (f'_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j \prod_{j=i+1}^{k+1} f_j) + f'_{k+1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k f_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (f'_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j \prod_{j=i+1}^{k+1} f_j) \end{aligned}$$

□

**Claim.**

$$\frac{g'}{g} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f'_i}{f_i}$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} g' &= \sum_{i=1}^n (f'_i \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} f_j \prod_{j=i+1}^n f_j) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f'_i \prod_{j=1}^n f_j}{f_i} \\ \implies \frac{g'}{g} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f'_i \prod_{j=1}^n f_j}{f_i}}{\prod_{j=1}^n f_j} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f'_i}{\prod_{j=1}^n f_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f'_i}{f_i} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Apostol p.174 no.15**

**a**

**Claim.**

$$f'(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h) - f(a)}{h - a}$$

Put  $h = k + a$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f'(a) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+k) - f(a)}{k} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow a} \frac{f(a + (h-a)) - f(a)}{h-a} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow a} \frac{f(h) - f(a)}{h-a} \end{aligned}$$

**b**

**Claim.**

$$f'(a) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a) - f(a-h)}{h}$$

*Proof.* Put  $h = -k$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f'(a) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+k) - f(a)}{k} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a-h) - f(a)}{-h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a) - f(a-h)}{h} \end{aligned}$$

□

**c**

False. Consider  $f(x) = x$ . Then,  $f'(a) = 1$ . However,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+2t) - f(a)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2t}{t} = 2$$

In general, we have that since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f = \lim_{ct \rightarrow 0} f, c \neq 0$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+2t) - f(a)}{t} = 2 \lim_{2t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+2t) - f(a)}{2t} = 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+t) - f(a)}{t} = 2f'(a)$$

**d**

False. Consider  $f(x) = x$ . Then,  $f'(a) = 1$ . However,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+2t) - f(a+t)}{2t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t}{2t} = \frac{1}{2}$$

In general, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+2t) - f(a+t)}{2t} &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+2t) - f(a)}{t} - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+t) - f(a)}{t} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (2f'(a) - f'(a)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} f'(a)\end{aligned}$$

## Problem 1

**Claim.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable. Then,  $\exists c \in [a, b]$  such that

$$\int_a^c f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

*Proof.* First, we extend the Intermediate Value Theorem to be slightly stronger. The original statement is that for  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  continuous, if  $f(a) < K < f(b)$  then  $\exists c \in [a, b] \mid f(c) = K$ . Further, we will show that if  $f(b) < K < f(a)$  then  $\exists c \in [a, b] \mid f(c) = K$ . To see this, consider  $g(x) = f(x) - K$ . We have that  $g(a) < -K < g(b)$ , so  $\exists c \in [a, b] \mid g(c) = -K \implies f(c) = K$ .

Consider  $g(x) : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$ . Then, we have that  $g(a) = \int_a^a f(t) dt = 0, g(b) = \int_a^b f(t) dt$ . Further,  $\frac{1}{2} \int_a^b f(x) dx = \frac{g(b)}{2} = \frac{g(a)+g(b)}{2}$ , and if  $g(b) > 0 = g(a)$ , then  $g(a) < \frac{g(a)+g(b)}{2} < g(b)$ , and if  $g(b) < 0 = g(a)$ , then  $g(b) < \frac{g(a)+g(b)}{2} < g(a)$ , and so by the Intermediate Value Theorem,  $\exists c \in [a, b] \mid g(c) = \frac{g(a)+g(b)}{2} \implies \int_a^c f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b f(x) dx$ .  $\square$

## Problem 2

**Claim.**  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , and has  $f(0) = f(1)$ .  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \exists x \in [0, 1 - 1/n] \mid f(x) = f(x + 1/n)$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $g(x) = f(x) - f(x + 1/n)$ . Suppose that  $g > 0$ . Then, we have that  $f(1) > f(0)$ . To see this, consider the set  $\{g(k/n) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k \leq n\}$ . We then have that  $k > 0 \implies f(k/n) > f(0)$ , as we can induct on  $k$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $g(1/n) > 0 \implies f(1/n) - f(0) > 0 \implies f(1/n) > f(0)$ . Assume that the hypothesis holds for  $k < n$ . Then,  $g(k/n) > 0 \implies f(k/n) - f((k+1)/n) > 0 \implies f((k+1)/n) > f(k/n) > f(0)$ . This shows that  $f(k/n) > f(0)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k \leq n$ . Critically, this then means that  $f(1) > f(0)$ .  $\Rightarrow$

Now suppose that  $g < 0$ . Then, we have that  $f(1) < f(0)$ . To see this, consider the set  $\{g(k/n) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k \leq n\}$ . We then have that  $k > 0 \implies f(k/n) < f(0)$ , as we can induct

on  $k$ . If  $k = 1$ , then  $g(1/n) < 0 \implies f(1/n) - f(0) < 0 \implies f(1/n) < f(0)$ . Assume that the hypothesis holds for  $k < n$ . Then,  $g(k/n) < 0 \implies f(k/n) - f((k+1)/n) < 0 \implies f((k+1)/n) < f(k/n) < f(0)$ . This shows that  $f(k/n) < f(0)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, k \leq n$ . Critically, this then means that  $f(1) < f(0)$ .  $\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ .

Thus, we must have that  $g$  cannot be positive nor negative everywhere, meaning that  $\exists x, y \in [0, 1 - 1/n] \mid g(x) > 0, g(y) < 0$ . By the Intermediate Value Theorem, we have that  $\exists z \in [0, 1 - 1/n] \mid g(z) = 0 \implies \exists z \in [0, 1 - 1/n] \mid f(z) - f(z + 1/n) = 0 \implies f(z) = f(z + 1/n)$ .  $\square$

## Problem 4

a)

Consider the counter example of

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

We have that  $f + g = 1$ , which is differentiable everywhere  $(f + g)' = 0$ . However, we have that  $f, g$  are nowhere continuous and thus nowhere differentiable (the contrapositive, differentiable  $\implies$  continuous was proved in class).

In general, take any function  $f$  not differentiable at  $x$ . Then,  $f + (-f) = 0$  is differentiable at  $x$ , but neither  $f, -f$  are.

b)

**Claim.** If  $f(x) \neq 0$ , then  $g$  is differentiable at  $x$ .

*Proof.* We have that the quotient rule states for functions  $s, t$  differentiable at  $x$ , then if  $t(x) \neq 0$ ,  $(\frac{s}{t})' = \frac{s't - st'}{t^2}$  at  $x$ . Taking  $s = fg, t = f$ , we have that  $f(x) \neq 0 \implies g'(x)$  exists by the quotient rule.  $\square$

## Problem 5

a)

**Claim.**  $f(x) = xg(x)$ ,  $g$  continuous at 0  $\implies f$  is differentiable at 0.

*Proof.*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h}$$

Consider  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (\frac{f(h)}{h} - g(h))$ . For any  $\epsilon$ , take arbitrary  $\delta > 0$ . We then have that  $0 < |x| < \delta \implies x \neq 0 \implies \frac{f(x)}{x} = g(x) \implies |\frac{f(x)}{x} - g(x)| = 0 < \epsilon$ .

Thus, we have that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (\frac{f(h)}{h} - g(h)) = 0 \implies \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g(h) = g(0)$ , as  $g$  is continuous.  $\square$

b)

**Claim.** Suppose that  $f$  is differentiable at 0 and  $f(0) = 0$ . Then,  $\exists g(x) \mid f(x) = xg(x), g$  continuous at 0.

*Proof.* Consider

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f'(0) & x = 0 \\ \frac{f(x)}{x} & x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

which is well defined as we have that  $f$  is differentiable at 0.

Then, we have that

$$xg(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0 \\ f(x) & x \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

This is equal to  $f(x)$  everywhere.

Now, to prove that  $g(x)$  is continuous, note first that we have that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0+h)-f(0)}{h} = f'(0)$ , as we have that  $f$  is differentiable at 0. Further,  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (g(h) - \frac{f(h)}{h}) = 0$ , as for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , take arbitrary  $\delta > 0 \mid 0 < |x| < \delta \implies x \neq 0 \implies g(x) = \frac{f(x)}{x} \implies |g(x) - \frac{f(x)}{x} - 0| = 0 < \epsilon$ . Finally, we have that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} g(h) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = f'(0) = g(0)$ , so  $g$  is continuous.  $\square$