

**Apostol p.80 no.2**

$$\text{Put } A = \begin{bmatrix} x & y & z \\ 3 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**a**

$$\text{If } A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then this asks to compute } \det \begin{pmatrix} 2a_1 \\ \frac{1}{2}a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \det(A) = \det(A) = 1.$$

**b**

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ 3a_1 + a_2 \\ a_1 + a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) = 1$$

**c**

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 - a_3 \\ a_2 + a_3 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) = 1$$

**Apostol p.94 no.3**

**a**

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & -3 \\ -2 & \lambda + 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \lambda^2 + \lambda - 6 \\ &= (\lambda + 3)(\lambda - 2) \end{aligned}$$

The matrix is singular for  $\lambda = -3, 2$

**b**

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & \lambda + 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 2 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) &= (\lambda - 1)(\lambda(\lambda + 1) - 4) - 2(2\lambda + 2) \\ &= \lambda^3 - 9\lambda \\ &= \lambda(\lambda + 3)(\lambda - 3) \end{aligned}$$

The matrix is singular for  $\lambda = 0, \pm 3$

**c**

$$\begin{aligned}\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 11 & 2 & -8 \\ -19 & \lambda + 3 & -14 \\ 8 & -2 & \lambda + 5 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= (\lambda - 11)((\lambda + 3)(\lambda + 5) - 28) \\ &\quad - 2(-19(\lambda + 5) + 112) - 8(-38 - 8(\lambda + 3)) \\ &= \lambda^3 - 3\lambda^2 + \lambda - 3 \\ &= (\lambda - 3)(\lambda^2 + 1)\end{aligned}$$

The matrix is singular for  $\lambda = 3$ . If we take matrix over the complex numbers, then it is also singular for  $\lambda = \pm i$ .

### Apostol p.101 no.1

**a**

**Claim.**  $T$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda \implies aT$  has eigenvalue  $a\lambda$ .

*Proof.*  $T$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda \iff \det(T - \lambda I) = 0$ . Using the properties of the determinant, where  $n = \dim(T)$ ,

$$0 = \det(T - \lambda I) = a^n \det(T - \lambda I) = \det(a(T - \lambda I)) = \det(aT - a\lambda I)$$

□

**b**

**Claim.** If  $x$  is an eigenvector for both  $T_1, T_2$ , then  $x$  is a eigenvector for  $aT_1 + bT_2$ .

*Proof.* Consider that  $x$  is an eigenvector for  $T_1, T_2 \implies T_1x = \lambda_1x, T_2x = \lambda_2x$ . Then,  $(aT_1 + bT_2)x = (aT_1)x + (bT_2)x = a(T_1x) + b(T_2x) = a\lambda_1x + b\lambda_2x = (a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2)x$ .

Thus, we have that  $a\lambda_1 + b\lambda_2$  is an eigenvalue for  $aT_1 + bT_2$ , with eigenvector  $x$ . □

### Apostol p.101 no.2

**Claim.**  $T : V \rightarrow V$  has an eigenvector  $x$  belonging to eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then,  $P(T)$  has the same eigenvector belonging to eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* First show that  $T^n$  has eigenvector  $x$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda^n$  with induction.

The base case of  $n = 1$  is trivial. Then, assume that the above holds for  $n = k$ .

$$T^{k+1}x = (TT^k)x = T(T^kx) = T(\lambda^kx) = \lambda^k(Tx) = \lambda^k(\lambda x) = \lambda^{k+1}x$$

Then, let  $P(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$ . Induct on  $n$ .

Note that the case  $n = 0$  has  $P(T) = a_0 T^0 = a_0 I_n$ , which then has eigenvalue  $a_0 = \sum_{i=0}^0 a_i \lambda^i$  for any vector  $x$ , as  $I_n$  has eigenvalue 1 for any vector.

Now suppose that the above claim holds for  $n = k$ . Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i T^i = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i T^i + a_{k+1} T^{k+1}$$

From the inductive hypothesis we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i T^i$  has eigenvector  $x$  belonging to eigenvalue  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \lambda^i$ , and from the earlier problem and claim  $a_{k+1} T^{k+1}$  has eigenvalue  $a_{k+1} \lambda^{k+1}$  with eigenvector  $x$ .

Using the second half of the last problem, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i T^i$  has eigenvector  $x$  and eigenvalue  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \lambda^i + a_{k+1} \lambda^{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i \lambda^i$ .  $\square$

### Apostol p.101 no.4

**Claim.** If  $T : V \rightarrow V$  has that  $T^2$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda^2$ , at least one of  $\lambda$  and  $-\lambda$  is an eigenvalue for  $T$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \det(T^2 - \lambda^2 I) &= \det((T - \lambda I)(T + \lambda I)) \\ &= \det(T - \lambda I) \det(T + \lambda I) \end{aligned}$$

However, we know that  $ab = 0 \iff a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  in a field, so one of  $\det(T - \lambda I)$ ,  $\det(T + \lambda I)$  must be 0 and thus  $T$  must have at least one of  $\pm\lambda$  as an eigenvalue.  $\square$

### Apostol p.101 no.6

**Claim.** If  $V$  is the vector space of all real polynomials of degree  $\leq n$ , and  $q = T(p) \iff q(t) = p(t+1)$  for all real  $t$ , then  $T$  has only the eigenvalue 1.

*Proof.* Let  $T$  have eigenvector  $p$  belonging to eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then, we have that  $T(p) = \lambda p \implies p(t+1) = \lambda p(t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $p$  is a nonzero polynomial of degree  $n$ .

More specifically, we have  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i (t+1)^i = \lambda \sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i$ , where  $a_n \neq 0$ . Taking a look at the highest degree term,  $a_i t^i = \lambda a_i t^i \implies \lambda = 1$ .

We have that the corresponding eigenspace includes the constant functions, as  $p(x) = c = p(x+1)$ .

To prove that these are all possible eigenvectors, note that  $p(r) = 0$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  implies that  $p(r \pm n) = 0$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This generates an infinite amount of zeros, and so  $p$  must not have any zeros (or be the zero polynomial).

Furthermore,  $p'(t) = p(t) - y$  for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  must also have no zeros; consider that  $p'(t+1) = p(t+1) - y = p(t) - y = p'(t)$ , and so  $p'(t)$  is also an eigenvector and must have no zeros (or be the zero polynomial).

Take  $y = -p(x)$  for some arbitrarily selected  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and so  $p'(x)$  has a zero, and thus  $p'(x) = 0$ , in which case  $p(t) = y$ , and it is constant.

Thus, the only eigenvectors are the constant functions (without the zero polynomial). □

### Apostol p.108 no.11

**Claim.** If  $A, B \in M_{n \times n}$ , with  $A$  nonsingular, then  $AB, BA$  have the same set of eigenvalues.

*Proof.* It is shown in Apostol that similar matrices have the same eigenvalues. Then,

$$BA = (A^{-1}A)BA = A^{-1}(AB)A$$

Since  $AB, BA$  are similar, they have the same eigenvalues. □

### Apostol p.113 no.7

**a**

**Claim.** A square matrix  $A$  is nonsingular  $\iff 0$  is not an eigenvalue of  $A$

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) This is the same as proving the contrapositive that if  $0$  is an eigenvalue,  $A$  is singular.

Then, if  $0$  is an eigenvalue, for some  $x \neq 0$ ,  $Ax = 0x = 0$ . This would mean that  $\ker(A) \neq \{0\}$ , and thus  $A$  is singular.

( $\impliedby$ )  $0$  is not an eigenvalue of  $A \iff \det(A - 0I) \neq 0$ . Then  $\det(A) \neq 0$ , so  $A$  is nonsingular. □

**b**

**Claim.** If  $A$  is nonsingular, then the eigenvalues of  $A^{-1}$  are the reciprocals of the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \in M_{n \times n}$  have eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \\ \implies & \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^n \det(A - \lambda I) = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^n 0 \\ \implies & \det\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}A - I\right) = 0 \\ \implies & \det(A^{-1}) \det\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}A - I\right) = \det(A^{-1})0 \\ \implies & \det\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}I - A^{-1}\right) = 0 \\ \implies & \det\left(A^{-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda}I\right) = (-1)^n 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $A^{-1}$  has eigenvalue  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

Note that this shows that  $A$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda \implies A^{-1}$  has eigenvalue  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ , and substituting  $A = A^{-1}, \lambda = \frac{1}{\lambda}$  at the beginning shows that  $A^{-1}$  has eigenvalue  $\frac{1}{\lambda} \implies A$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

### **Apostol p.113 no.8**

We have  $A^2 = -I$ .

**a**

**Claim.**  $A$  is nonsingular.

*Proof.* Consider  $-A$ .  $A(-A) = -(AA) = -(-I) = I$ , and  $(-A)A = -(AA) = I$ . Thus,  $A^{-1} = -A$ .  $\square$

**b**

**Claim.**  $\dim(A) = n$  is even.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} A^2 &= -I \\ \det(A^2) &= \det(-I) \\ \det(A)^2 &= (-1)^n \det(I) \\ \det(A)^2 &= (-1)^n \end{aligned}$$

Since we have that  $\det(A) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(-1)^n \geq 0$ , so  $n$  must be even.  $\square$

**c**

**Claim.**  $A$  has no real eigenvalues.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Ax = \lambda x$ , i.e.  $A$  has some real eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with eigenvector  $x$ . Then,  $-x = -Ix = A^2x = \lambda^2x$ , which would mean that  $\lambda^2 = -1$ , which has no real solutions.  $\Rightarrow$ ,  $A$  has no real eigenvalues.  $\square$

**d**

*Proof.* Consider  $\det(t\lambda - A)$ . We have that this, the characteristic polynomial of  $A$ , has roots exactly the complex eigenvalues of  $A$ . In particular,

$$\det(t\lambda - A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - \lambda_i)$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $A$ . We know that the characteristic polynomial splits completely over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The sign of the leading coefficient can be seen by the permutation formula; the only way to get  $t^n$  from  $\prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)$  is to have the identity permutation, thus taking the product over the diagonal. Then,  $\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Id}) = 1$ , so the leading coefficient is positive.

Taking  $t = 0$ ,

$$\det(-A) = (-1)^n \det(A) = \det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^n (\lambda_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i$$

However, we have that since  $\lambda^2 = -1$  from above, and that the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial must be real,  $\lambda_i = \pm i$  and come in conjugate pairs of  $i, \pm i$ . Thus,  $\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n/2} (i \cdot -i) = 1$ .  $\square$

## Problem 1

**Claim.** Let  $A, B$  be, respectively, column and row vectors of dimension  $n$ .

$$\det(AB) = 0$$

*Proof.* We have that the determinant is linear on each row; further, if any of the rows of its input are identical, then the determinant is 0.

Compute:

$$(AB)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^1 A_{ik} B_{kj} = A_{i1} B_{1j}$$

However, note that the  $i^{th}$  row is

$$[A_{i1}B_{11} \quad A_{i1}B_{12} \quad \dots \quad A_{i1}B_{1n}] = A_{i1} [B_{11} \quad B_{12} \quad \dots \quad B_{1n}]$$

This means that  $\det(AB) = \prod_{i=1}^n A_{i1} \det(B')$  where

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & \dots & B_{1n} \\ B_{11} & B_{12} & \dots & B_{1n} \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ B_{11} & B_{12} & \dots & B_{1n} \end{bmatrix}$$

However, since each row of  $B'$  are identical, then  $\det(B') = 0 \implies \det(AB) = 0$ .  $\square$

### Problem 3

For each, we need to find the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the given matrix.

**a**

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I_n) &= \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} 20 - \lambda & -9 \\ 30 & -13 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= (20 - \lambda)(-13 - \lambda) + 270 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 7\lambda + 10 \\ &= (\lambda - 5)(\lambda - 2) \end{aligned}$$

Since we want the determinant to be 0,

$$\lambda = 2, 5$$

Finding the eigenvectors, we have that

Take  $\lambda = 2$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 18 & -9 \\ 30 & -15 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, this has eigenvector  $(1, 2)$ . Now take  $\lambda = 5$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 15 & -9 \\ 30 & -18 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, this has eigenvector  $(3, 5)$ .

Inverting the column matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ , we arrive at  $\begin{bmatrix} -5 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

Then, we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 20 & -9 \\ 30 & -13 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

**b**

This is not diagonalizable.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I_n) &= \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} 8 - \lambda & 4 \\ -9 & -4 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= (8 - \lambda)(-4 - \lambda) + 36 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4 \\ &= (\lambda - 2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since we want the determinant to be 0,

$$\lambda = 2$$

However, we have that with  $\lambda = 2$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 \\ -9 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenspace is spanned by  $(2, -3)$ , and since if we want a matrix to be diagonalizable the geometric multiplicity must be the algebraic multiplicity, the matrix is not diagonalizable.

**c**

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I_n) &= \det \left( \begin{bmatrix} -1 - \lambda & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & -5 - \lambda & -4 \\ 0 & 8 & 7 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= (-1 - \lambda)((-5 - \lambda)(7 - \lambda) + 32) \\ &= (-1 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 2\lambda - 3) \\ &= (-1 - \lambda)(\lambda - 3)(\lambda + 1) \end{aligned}$$



Since we want the determinant to be 0,

$$\lambda = -1, 3$$

Finding the eigenvectors, we have that

Take  $\lambda = -1$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & -4 \\ 0 & 8 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, this has eigenspace spanned by  $(1, 0, 0), (0, -1, 1)$ . Now take  $\lambda = 3$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & -8 & -4 \\ 0 & 8 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, this has eigenspace spanned by  $(1, -1, 2)$ .

Inverting the column vector matrix,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, we have that

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & -5 & -4 \\ 0 & 8 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Problem 4

**Claim.** If  $A$  is an upper triangular matrix, then the eigenvalues of  $A$  are exactly its diagonal entries.

*Proof.* We first show that the determinant of a diagonal matrix is the product of its diagonal entries.

Let the dimension of  $A$  be  $n$ , and let the elements be  $a_{ij}$  and the corresponding matrix with row  $i$  and column  $j$  removed be  $A_{ij}$ . Induct on  $n$ .

If  $n = 1$ , then  $A = [a_{11}] \implies \det(A) = a_{11}$ , which holds. Now assume the hypothesis for  $n = k$ , such that  $A' \in M_{k \times k}$ ,  $A \in M_{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$  where  $A_k = A_{(k+1)(k+1)}$ :

$$\det(A') = \prod_{i=1}^k a_{ii}$$

Then, from class, the cofactor formula for the determinant has that

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_{(k+1)j} \det(A_{(k+1)j})$$

Since we have that  $A', A$  are upper triangular,  $a_{(k+1)j} = 0$  for  $j < k + 1$ . Then,

$$\det(A) = a_{(k+1)(k+1)} \det(A_{(k+1)(k+1)}) = a_{(k+1)(k+1)} \det(A') = \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} a_{ii}$$

Now, we have that  $A - \lambda I$  is still diagonal, as any element  $(A - \lambda I)_{ij}$  for  $i > j$  is still simply  $0 + \lambda(0) = 0$ .

Then,

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \prod_{i=1}^n (a_{ii} - \lambda)$$

The above product is zero  $\iff \lambda = a_{ii}$  for some  $i \in [1, n]$ . Thus, the eigenvalues are exactly all of the  $a_{ii}$ .  $\square$