

Estimating Fractional Brownian Motion with Noise

July 31, 2021

Suppose we have some fBM with stochastic volatility, X_t , and some noisy process that we are able to observe: $Y_t = X_t + \rho Z_t$ where $\rho > 0$ and $(Z_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are i.i.d. $N(0, 1)$ variables. We would like to be able to extract the signal from the noise, and to somehow estimate both H and σ . To do so, we will consider weighted averages of Y_t at different time-points to eliminate the noise. In particular, we will take the following:

Definition. For $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and some stochastic process X_t , put

$$k_n = \frac{n^\kappa}{\theta} \quad (1)$$

$$g_j^n = g\left(\frac{j}{k_n}\right) \quad (2)$$

$$\bar{Y}(g)_i^n = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n-1} g_j^n \left(Y_{\frac{i+j-1}{n}} - Y_{\frac{i+j-1}{n}} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n-1} g_j^n \Delta_{i+j-1}^n Y \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{Y}(g)_i^n = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} (g_j^n - g_{j-1}^n)^2 (\Delta_{i+j-1}^n Y)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} (\Delta g_j^n)^2 (\Delta_{i+j-1}^n Y)^2 \quad (4)$$

We will be interested in the following variation,

$$V(g)_T^{n,f}(Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} f\left(\frac{\bar{Y}(g)_i^n}{(k_n/n)^H}, \frac{\hat{Y}(g)_i^n}{(k_n/n)^{2H}}\right) \quad (5)$$

where f is some function of our choosing.

Our final goal is the next theorem:

Theorem. *Given some fBM with measurement error,*

$$Y_t = X_t + \rho Z_t \quad (6)$$

where

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t b_s ds + K_H^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^t (t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \sigma_s dB_s \quad (7)$$

if we have the following conditions,

1. $f : \mathbb{R}^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^2 with all partial derivatives up to order 2 of at most polynomial growth.
2. b, σ is of size 1 (that is, $\|b_s\|_{L_p}, \|\sigma_s\|_{L_p}$ are bounded) and adapted.
3. σ is L^2 -continuous.

the we get the following convergence: *TODO*

DRAFTS AND SNIPPETS: NOT FINAL OR EVEN COHERENT!

Truncation is taken as follows:

$$\Delta_{i+j-1}^{n,\epsilon} Y = \int_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon}^{\frac{i+j-1}{n}} \left[\left(\frac{i+j-1}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{i+j-1}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \sigma_s dB_s + \rho \Delta_{i+j-1}^n Z \quad (8)$$

At some point, I may refer to the fBM part here as X .

Similarly,

$$\bar{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n-1} g_j^n \Delta_{i+j-1}^{n,\epsilon} Y \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n-1} (\Delta g_j^n)^2 (\Delta_{i+j-1}^{n,\epsilon} Y)^2 \quad (10)$$

$$V(g)_T^{n,f}(Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} f \left(\frac{\bar{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H}, \frac{\hat{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \right) \quad (11)$$

where ν_H is the appropriate normalization term, dependent on H .

We want to show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| V(g)_T^{n,f}(Y) - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\lfloor n\epsilon \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} f \left(\frac{\bar{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon}}{\nu_H}, \frac{\hat{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon}}{\nu_H} \right) \right| \right] < C\epsilon$$

The difference is composed of two parts:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n\epsilon \rfloor} f \left(\frac{\bar{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H}, \frac{\hat{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\lfloor n\epsilon \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} \left[f \left(\frac{\bar{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H}, \frac{\hat{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \right) - f \left(\frac{\bar{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon}}{\nu_H}, \frac{\hat{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon}}{\nu_H} \right) \right] \quad (13)$$

(5) has that $f(\cdot)$ is of size 1, since f is assumed to be of polynomial growth and we showed in “step 0” that the arguments are at worst of size 1. Then, $\exists C > 0$ such that (5) $< C\epsilon$. Then, we want to show that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, (6) $\rightarrow 0$.

MVT reduces (6) to

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\lfloor n\epsilon \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} (\partial_1 f(\xi_{1,i}^n) \quad \partial_2 f(\xi_{2,i}^n)) \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} - \bar{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \quad \frac{\hat{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} - \hat{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \right) \quad (14)$$

In particular, we have that the partial derivatives are of size 1, so all that matters is that the differences on the right $\rightarrow 0$.

For reference/clarity,

$$\frac{\overline{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} - \overline{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\widehat{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} - \widehat{Y}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \quad (16)$$

$$\overline{Y}(g)_i^n - \overline{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n-1} g_i^n (\Delta_{i+j-1}^n Y - \Delta_{i+j-1}^{n,\epsilon} Y) \quad (17)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k_n-1} g_i^n \int_0^{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} \left[\left(\frac{i+j-1}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{i+j-1}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right] \sigma_s dB_s \quad (18)$$

BDG:

$$\|\overline{Y}(g)_i^n - \overline{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon}\|_{L_p} \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} [\dots]^2 \sigma_s^2 ds \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (19)$$

$$\leq \left(\int_0^{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} [\dots]^2 \|\sigma_s^2\|_{L_{p/2}} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (20)$$

From fBM proof:

Since σ is of size 1,

$$\leq C_p \left(\int_0^{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} \left(\left(\frac{i+l}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{i+l-1}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (21)$$

Substituting $r = \frac{i+l-1}{n} - s$,

$$= C_p \left(\int_{\epsilon+\frac{l-1}{n}}^{\frac{i+l-1}{n}} \left(\left(r + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - r^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (22)$$

$$\leq C_p \left(\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \left(\left(r + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - r^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (23)$$

By MVT,

$$\leq C_p \left(\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \left(H - \frac{1}{2} \right) r^{H-\frac{3}{2}} \right)^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (24)$$

$$\leq C_p \frac{1}{n} \left| H - \frac{1}{2} \right| \left(\int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \left(r^{H-\frac{3}{2}} \right)^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (25)$$

$$= C_{\epsilon} \frac{1}{n} \quad (26)$$

Then, considering the size of (10), it is $\sim k_n \cdot 1 \cdot n^{-1}$.

Next,

$$\widehat{Y}(g)_i^n - \widehat{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n-1} (\Delta g_i^n)^2 ((\Delta_{i+j-1}^n Y)^2 - (\Delta_{i+j-1}^{n,\epsilon} Y)^2) \quad (27)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k_n-1} (\Delta g_i^n)^2 (\Delta_{i+j-1}^n Y - \Delta_{i+j-1}^{n,\epsilon} Y)(\Delta_{i+j-1}^n Y + \Delta_{i+j-1}^{n,\epsilon} Y) \quad (28)$$

$$\sim k_n \cdot \frac{1}{k_n^2} \cdot n^{-1} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{k_n \cdot n} \quad (29)$$

Now we bring back the normalization term ν_H . Recall:

We will see that we actually need strict inequalities for the upper bounds.

If $H \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$: (8) has size $k_n \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{n^H}{\sqrt{k_n}} = \sqrt{k_n} \cdot n^{H-1} = n^{H-1+\frac{\kappa}{2}}$. Since $\kappa < 2 - 2H$, we have that $H - 1 + \frac{\kappa}{2} < 0$.

Simiarly, (9) has size $\frac{1}{k_n \cdot n} \cdot \frac{n^H}{\sqrt{k_n}} = \frac{n^{H-1}}{k_n^{\frac{3}{2}}} = n^{H-1-\frac{3}{2}\kappa}$ which is $o(1)$.

If $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$: (8) has size $k_n \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{n^H}{k_n^H} = k_n^{1-H} \cdot n^{H-1} = n^{(1-\kappa)(H-1)}$. Since $\kappa < 1$, we have that $1 - \kappa > 0, H - 1 < 0$.

Simiarly, (9) has size $\frac{1}{k_n \cdot n} \cdot \frac{n^H}{k_n^H} = \frac{n^{H-1}}{k_n^{H+1}} = n^{H-1-(H+1)\kappa}$ which is $o(1)$.

i am hungry :(

Next step is to discretize σ and remove the fBM portion from the second argument; we wish to show that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\lfloor n\epsilon \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} \left[f \left(\frac{\overline{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon}}{\nu_H}, \frac{\widehat{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon}}{\nu_H} \right) - f \left(\frac{\sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} \overline{B^H}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} + \rho \overline{Z}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H}, \frac{\rho^2 \widehat{Z}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \right) \right] \right| \right] \quad (30)$$

is bounded by some continuity condition on σ , probably dependent on ϵ .

Via MVT, the difference becomes

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\lfloor n\epsilon \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor nT \rfloor} (\partial_1 f(\xi_{1,i}^n) - \partial_2 f(\xi_{2,i}^n)) \cdot \left(\frac{\overline{X}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} - \sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} \overline{B^H}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon}}{\nu_H} - \frac{\widehat{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} - \rho^2 \widehat{Z}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \right) \quad (31)$$

Again, all we care about are the differences:

$$\frac{\overline{X}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} - \sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} \overline{B^H}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon}}{\nu_H} \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{\widehat{Y}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} - \rho^2 \widehat{Z}(g)_i^n}{\nu_H} \quad (33)$$

Handling (25) first, we have that

$$\overline{X}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} - \sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} \overline{B^H}(g)_i^{n,\epsilon} = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n-1} g_i^n \left(\Delta_{i+j-1}^{n,\epsilon} X - \sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} \Delta_{i+j-1}^{n,\epsilon} B^H \right) \quad (34)$$

$$\left\| \Delta_{i+l}^{n,\epsilon} X - \sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon} \Delta_{i+l}^{n,\epsilon} B^H \right\|_{L_2} = \left\| \int_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon}^{\frac{i+l}{n}} \left(\left(\frac{i+l}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{i+l-1}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right) (\sigma_s - \sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon}) dB_s \right\|_{L_2} \quad (35)$$

By Ito's,

$$= \left(\int_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon}^{\frac{i+l}{n}} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\left(\frac{i+l}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{i+l-1}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 (\sigma_s - \sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon})^2 \right] ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (36)$$

$$= \left(\int_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon}^{\frac{i+l}{n}} \left(\left(\frac{i+l}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{i+l-1}{n} - s \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \mathbb{E} \left[(\sigma_s - \sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon})^2 \right] ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (37)$$

Note that for sufficiently large n , $\frac{l}{n} < \epsilon$, so we have that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[(\sigma_s - \sigma_{\frac{i}{n}-\epsilon})^2 \right] \leq \sup_{\substack{0 \leq r, s \leq T \\ |r-s| \leq 2\epsilon}} \mathbb{E} \left[(\sigma_s - \sigma_r)^2 \right] \quad (38)$$

Abbreviate this last supremum to S and take $r = \frac{i+l}{n} - s$:

$$\leq S^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^{\epsilon + \frac{l}{n}} \left(\left(r - \frac{1}{n} \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - r^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (39)$$

With $u = nr$,

$$= S^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^{n\epsilon+1} \left(\left(\frac{u}{n} - \frac{1}{n} \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\frac{u}{n} \right)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 n^{-1} du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (40)$$

$$= S^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-H} \left(\int_0^{n\epsilon+1} \left((u-1)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - u^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (41)$$

$$\leq S^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-H} \left(\int_0^\infty \left((u-1)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} - u^{H-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 du \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (42)$$

$$= CS^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-H} \quad (43)$$

PROBLEM! Returning to (27), we get that the L_2 norm will be bounded by $\sum^{k_n-1} CS^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{-H}$. ν_H^{-1} , which $\rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if you fix ϵ .