

## Optimization Models in Engineering—Homework 2

1. Consider the subspace  $\mathcal{S} = \text{span}(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)})$ , where

$$x^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad x^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

i) Find the dimension of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

ii) Calculate the projection of the point  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$  on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Solution:**

i)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

$$\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{R2} \rightarrow \text{R2} - \text{R1}, \text{R3} \rightarrow \text{R3} - \text{R1}) \quad (3)$$

$$\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{R3} \rightarrow \text{R3} - 2\text{R2}) \quad (4)$$

(5)

We've now reached row echelon form. The rank of the matrix is equal to the number of non-zero rows in this form, which is 2. Therefore,  $\dim(A) = 2$ .

ii)

1.1. Apply Gram-Schmidt process

$$\vec{u}_1 = \vec{x}_1 = [1 \ 1 \ 1]$$

$$\vec{u}_2 = \vec{x}_2 - \text{proj}_{\vec{u}_1}(\vec{x}_2) = [-1 \ 0 \ 1] - \frac{\vec{x}_2 \cdot \vec{u}_1}{|\vec{u}_1|^2} \vec{u}_1 = [-1 \ 0 \ 1] - 0\vec{u}_1 = [-1 \ 0 \ 1]$$

$$\vec{u}_3 = \vec{x}_3 - \text{proj}_{\vec{u}_1}(\vec{x}_3) - \text{proj}_{\vec{u}_2}(\vec{x}_3) = [0 \ 1 \ 2] - \frac{\vec{x}_3 \cdot \vec{u}_1}{|\vec{u}_1|^2} \vec{u}_1 - \frac{\vec{x}_3 \cdot \vec{u}_2}{|\vec{u}_2|^2} \vec{u}_2 = [0 \ 1 \ 2] - \frac{3}{3} [1 \ 1 \ 1] - \frac{2}{2} [-1 \ 0 \ 1] = [0 \ 1 \ 2] - [1 \ 1 \ 1] - [-1 \ 0 \ 1] = [0 \ 0 \ 0]$$

1.2. Normalize the basis vectors

$$\vec{v}_1 = \frac{\vec{u}_1}{|\vec{u}_1|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [1 \ 1 \ 1]$$

$$\vec{v}_2 = \frac{\vec{u}_2}{|\vec{u}_2|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [-1 \ 0 \ 1]$$

Note that  $\vec{u}_3 = \vec{0}$ , so we only have two orthonormal basis vectors.

Now we can compute the projection using the formula:  $\text{proj}_{\mathcal{S}}(\vec{b}) = \langle \vec{b}, \vec{v}_1 \rangle \vec{v}_1 + \langle \vec{b}, \vec{v}_2 \rangle \vec{v}_2$

1.3. Calculate dot products

$$\langle \vec{b}, \vec{v}_1 \rangle = [1 \ 2 \ 4] \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [1 \ 1 \ 1] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (1 + 2 + 4) = \frac{7}{\sqrt{3}}$$

$$\langle \vec{b}, \vec{v}_2 \rangle = [1 \ 2 \ 4] \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [-1 \ 0 \ 1] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (-1 + 0 + 4) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$$

1.4. Calculate the projection

$$\text{proj}_S(\vec{b}) = \frac{7}{\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [1 \ 1 \ 1] + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [-1 \ 0 \ 1] = \frac{7}{3} [1 \ 1 \ 1] + \frac{3}{2} [-1 \ 0 \ 1] = \left[ \frac{7}{3} \ \frac{7}{3} \ \frac{7}{3} \right] + \left[ -\frac{3}{2} \ 0 \ \frac{3}{2} \right] = \left[ \frac{7}{3} - \frac{3}{2} \ \frac{7}{3} \ \frac{7}{3} + \frac{3}{2} \right] = \left[ \frac{29}{6} \ \frac{31}{6} \ \frac{34}{6} \right]$$

2. Consider the box  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and ball  $\mathcal{S}_2$  defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -2 \leq x_1 \leq 2, -0.5 \leq x_2 \leq 0.5\}, \quad \mathcal{S}_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1\} \quad (6)$$

Given a point  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , write an optimization problem in a standard form that finds the projection of  $z$  onto the set  $\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2$  (i.e., the solution of the optimization problem should correspond to the closest point in  $\mathcal{S}_1 \cap \mathcal{S}_2$  to  $z$ ; note that you do not need to solve the optimization problem).

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \|z - z^*\|_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & z_1^{*2} + z_2^{*2} \leq 1 \\ & z_1^* \leq 2 \\ & -z_1^* \leq 2 \\ & z_2^* \leq 0.5 \\ & -z_2^* \leq 0.5 \end{aligned}$$

3. A company has  $n$  factories. Factory  $i$  (for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is located at point  $(a_i, b_i)$  in the two-dimensional plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The company wants to locate a warehouse at a point  $(x_1, x_2)$  that minimizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\text{distance from factory } i \text{ to the warehouse})^2$$

Find all possible values of the point  $(x_1^*, x_2^*)$  that satisfy the necessary condition for local optimality.

**Solution:**

Let formulate the minimization above as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (\text{distance from factory } i \text{ to the warehouse})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n [(a_i - x_1)^2 + (b_i - x_2)^2] \quad (7)$$

$$(8)$$

We need to solve for the necessary condition of local optimality where  $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2nx_1 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \\ 2nx_2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \end{bmatrix} = 0$

$$\text{This means } \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{n} \\ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i}{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Given a natural number  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ , a symmetric matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , a vector  $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a scalar  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , consider the optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (x^\top P x)^k + q^\top x + r \quad (9)$$

Assume that  $q$  is a nonzero vector.

- i) Calculate the gradient of the function  $q^\top x$ .
- ii) Calculate the gradient of the function  $x^\top Px$ .
- iii) Calculate the gradient of the objective function of the optimization problem (9).
- iv) Given a point  $x^*$ , write the necessary optimality condition for  $x^*$  to be a local minimum of the optimization problem (9).
- v) Assume that  $q$  is not in the range of  $P$ . Prove that the optimization problem (9) cannot have any local minimum (hint: show that the necessary optimality condition has no solution).
- vi) Assume that  $P$  is invertible. Given a local minimum  $x^*$  of the optimization problem (9), show that there is a scalar  $\alpha$  such that  $x^* = \alpha P^{-1}q$ .
- vii) Again assume that  $P$  is invertible. Solve for  $\alpha$  in Part (vi) and calculate it in terms of only the known parameters  $P, q, r, k$  (hint: Substitute the formula  $x^* = \alpha P^{-1}q$  into the optimality condition and write it in terms of  $\alpha$ ).

**Solution:**

i)  $\nabla q^\top x = q$

ii)  $\nabla x^\top Px$

Step 1: Expand the quadratic form

First, let's write out what  $x^\top Px$  means:  $x^\top Px = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i p_{ij} x_j$  where  $p_{ij}$  is the element in the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column of  $P$ .

Step 2: Calculate the partial derivatives

To find the gradient, we need to calculate the partial derivative with respect to each  $x_k$ :  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(x^\top Px) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n x_i p_{ij} x_j$

Using the product rule and noting that  $p_{ij}$  is constant:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(x^\top Px) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ik} x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n p_{kj} x_j$

Step 3: Simplify using matrix notation

We can write this more compactly as:  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(x^\top Px) = (Px)_k + (P^\top x)_k$  where  $(Px)_k$  denotes the  $k$ -th element of the vector  $Px$ .

Step 4: Combine results for all  $k$

Combining the results for all  $k$ , we get the gradient vector:  $\nabla x^\top Px = Px + P^\top x = 2Px$  as  $P$  is symmetric.

iii)  $\nabla(x^\top Px)^k + q^\top x + r = 2k(x^\top Px)^{k-1}Px + q$

iv)  $2k(x^{*\top}Px^*)^{k-1}Px^* + q = 0$

v)

Let assume that (9) has a local minimum. This means (iv) has a solution.

This means there exist a  $x^*$  st.  $2k(x^{*\top}Px^*)^{k-1}Px^* + q = 0 \Rightarrow -2k(x^{*\top}Px^*)^{k-1}Px^* = q$

This means that  $q$  is in the range of  $P$  which is a contradiction.

vi)

$2k(x^{*\top}Px^*)^{k-1}Px^* + q = 0 \Rightarrow -2k(x^{*\top}Px^*)^{k-1}Px^* = q$

Since  $P$  is invertible, we have  $x^* = \frac{1}{-2k(x^{*\top}Px^*)^{k-1}}P^{-1}q$

$\alpha = \frac{1}{-2k(x^{*\top}Px^*)^{k-1}}$