

First-Order Logic Part1

Dr. Igor Ivkovic

iivkovic@uwaterloo.ca

[with material from “Mathematical Logic for Computer Science”, by Zhongwan, published by World Scientific]

Objectives

- Introduction to First-Order Logic
- Terms and WFFs for First-Order Logic
- First-Order Interpretations and Satisfiability

Alphabet

- The **alphabet** of the language of first-order logic consists of the following symbols.
 - **Constant Symbols:** $c, d, c_1, c_2, \dots, d_1, d_2, \dots$
 - **Function Symbols:** $f, g, h, f_1, f_2, \dots, g_1, g_2, \dots$
 - **Variables:** $x, y, z, x_1, x_2, \dots, y_1, y_2, \dots$
 - **Predicate (Relational) Symbols:** $P, Q, P_1, P_2, \dots, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$
 - **Logical Connectives:** $\neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow$
 - **Quantifiers:** \forall (“for all” or “for each”), \exists (“there exists”)
 - **Punctuation:** “(”, “)”, “,” and “.”.

Arity

- Each predicate symbol P and each function symbol f is associated with a natural number called its **arity**
 - $ar(P)$ and $ar(f)$
- Predicate and function symbols with arity 1 (2, 3) are called unary (binary, ternary) respectively
- The constant, functional, and predicate symbols are called the non-logical symbols (or parameters)
- Predicate symbols with arity 0 are essentially **propositional symbols**, and function symbols with arity 0 are essentially **constants**

Terms

- Let CS be a set of constant symbols, FS a set of function symbols, and VS a set of variables
- Define the **set of terms** TS inductively as:
 1. $CS \subseteq TS$,
 2. $VS \subseteq TS$, and
 3. if $f \in FS$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in TS$, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in TS$, where $n = \text{ar}(f)$;
- No other strings are terms

Well-Formed Formulæ (WFFs)

- Let PS be a set of predicate symbols, TS a set of terms, and VS a set of variables
- Define the **set of formulæ** of first-order logic (WFF) inductively as follows:
 1. if $P \in \text{PS}$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \text{TS}$, where $n = \text{ar}(P)$, then $P(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \text{WFF}$;
 2. if $\varphi \in \text{WFF}$, then $(\neg\varphi) \in \text{WFF}$;
 3. if $\varphi, \psi \in \text{WFF}$, then $(\varphi \star \psi) \in \text{WFF}$ for each $\star \in \{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow\}$;
 4. if $x \in \text{VS}$ and $\varphi \in \text{WFF}$, then $(\forall x.\varphi) \in \text{WFF}$ and $(\exists x.\varphi) \in \text{WFF}$;
- No other strings are elements of WFF

Free and Bound Variables

- Assume the following shorthands:

$\varphi \vee \psi$ for $(\neg\varphi) \rightarrow \psi$, $\varphi \wedge \psi$ for $\neg(\varphi \rightarrow (\neg\psi))$, and $(\exists x.\varphi)$ for $\neg(\forall x.(\neg\varphi))$

- Let $\varphi \in \text{WFF}$

- Define the **set of free variables** of φ , denoted $\text{FV}(\varphi)$

1. If $\varphi = P(t_1, \dots, t_{\text{ar}(P)})$, then $\text{FV}(\varphi) = \{x \mid x \text{ appears in } t_i \text{ for some } 0 < i \leq \text{ar}(P)\}$;
2. if $\varphi = (\neg\psi)$, then $\text{FV}(\varphi) = \text{FV}(\psi)$;
3. if $\varphi = (\psi \rightarrow \eta)$, then $\text{FV}(\varphi) = \text{FV}(\psi) \cup \text{FV}(\eta)$; and
4. if $\varphi = (\forall x.\psi)$, then $\text{FV}(\varphi) = \text{FV}(\psi) - \{x\}$.

- Variables in the set $\text{FV}(\varphi)$ are called *free (in φ)*; other variables that occur in φ are called *bound (in φ)*.

- For a set of formulæ Σ , can define $\text{FV}(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \Sigma} \text{FV}(\varphi)$

Closed Formulæ (Sentences)

- A first-order formula $\varphi \in \text{WFF}$ is **closed** (or a **sentence**)
iff $FV(\varphi) = \emptyset$

First-Order Interpretations (Structures)

- A **first-order interpretation** (or **structure**) I is a pair

$(D, (.)^I)$ where:

- D is a non-empty set, called the domain (or universe) and
- $(.)^I$ is an interpretation function that maps

constant symbols $c \in \text{CS}$ to individuals $(c)^I \in D$,

function symbols $f \in \text{FS}$ to functions $(f)^I : D^{\text{ar}(f)} \rightarrow D$, and

predicate symbols $P \in \text{PS}$ to relations $(P)^I \subseteq D^{\text{ar}(P)}$.

- For a fixed selection L of constant, function, and predicate symbols, called the vocabulary (or the signature (or the language)), can define L -structures to be those interpretations restricted to symbols in L

Valuation

- Let D be a domain and VS a set of variables. A **valuation** (or **assignment**) is a mapping $\theta : VS \rightarrow D$.
- For a valuation θ , a variable x and a term v , the valuation $\theta[x/v]$ is defined by

$$\theta[x/v](y) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } x = y, \\ \theta(y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Meaning of Terms

- Let I be a first-order interpretation and θ valuation. For a term $t \in \mathcal{TS}$, we define the interpretation of t , denoted $(t)^{I,\theta}$ as follows
 1. $(c)^{I,\theta} = (c)^I$ for $t \in \mathcal{CS}$ (i.e., t is a constant),
 2. $(x)^{I,\theta} = \theta(x)$ for $t \in \mathcal{VS}$ (i.e., t is a variable), and
 3. $(f(t_1, \dots, t_{\text{ar}(f)}))^{I,\theta} = (f)^I((t_1)^{I,\theta}, \dots, (t_{\text{ar}(f)})^{I,\theta})$ otherwise (i.e., for t a functional term).

Satisfaction Relation

- The **satisfaction relation** \models between a first-order interpretation I , a valuation θ , and a formula $\varphi \in \text{WFF}$, written $I, \theta \models \varphi$, is defined as follows
 - $I, \theta \models P(t_1, \dots, t_{\text{ar}(P)})$ iff $((t_1)^{I, \theta}, \dots, (t_{\text{ar}(P)})^{I, \theta}) \in (P)^I$ for $P \in \text{PS}$;
 - $I, \theta \models (\neg \varphi)$ iff $I, \theta \not\models \varphi$;
 - $I, \theta \models (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ iff whenever $I, \theta \models \varphi$ then also $I, \theta \models \psi$.
 - $I, \theta \models (\forall x. \varphi)$ iff $I, \theta[x/v] \models \varphi$ for all $v \in D$.

A pair (I, θ) such that $I, \theta \models \varphi$ is called a *(pointed) model of φ* .

- Can define $\text{mod}(\varphi)$ to be the set of models of φ : $\text{mod}(\varphi) = \{ (I, \theta) \mid (I, \theta) \models \varphi \}$.

Satisfiability and Validity

■ A modal formula φ is

- *valid* iff $I, \theta \models \varphi$ for all interpretations I and all valuations θ (i.e., true in all models),
- *satisfiable* iff $I, \theta \models \varphi$ for some interpretation I and some valuation θ (i.e., has a model), and
- *unsatisfiable* otherwise.

■ Definitions of **logical implication** ($\Sigma \models \varphi$) and **equivalence** and their properties are now the same as for propositional logic

Relevance

- The Relevance lemma allows us to consider only L-structures for an appropriately chosen set L of non-logical parameters

Let L be the set of all non-logical symbols in $\varphi \in \text{WFF}$, and let

1. I_1 and I_2 be two interpretations such that $I_1(s) = I_2(s)$ for all $s \in L$ and
2. θ_1 and θ_2 be two valuations such that $\theta_1(x) = \theta_2(x)$ for all $x \in \text{FV}(\varphi)$.

Then $I_1, \theta_1 \models \varphi$ if and only if $I_2, \theta_2 \models \varphi$.

Food for Thought

■ Read:

- Chapter 3, Sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 from Zhongwan
 - Read the material discussed in class in more detail
 - Follow the notation conventions discussed in class
- Handout on “First-Order Logic”
 - Available from the course schedule web page or through LEARN

■ Answer Assignment #4 questions

- Assignment #4 includes several practice exercises related to First-Order Logic