

# Quick Review: Least Squares Problem

- For matrix  $A$  ( $m \times n$ ), and vector  $b$  ( $m \times 1$ ), find vector  $x$  ( $n \times 1$ ) that solves

$$\min_x \|b - Ax\|_2^2$$

- We determined  $x$  s.t.  $A^T A x = A^T b$  is a solution to the least squares problem when  $A^T A$  is positive definite.
- $A^T A x = A^T b$  are called the Normal Equations.

# Techniques for solving Normal Equations

1. GEPP on  $A^T A$  produces  $L, U, P$  s.t.  $PA^T A = LU$ , leads to solving two triangular systems
2. Cholesky decomposition on  $A^T A = LL^T$ , leads to solving two triangular systems.  
Decomposition takes about  $\frac{1}{2}$  steps of GEPP.
3. QR factorization on  $A$ , where  $Q$  is orthogonal,  $R$  upper triangular (with positive diagonals), leads to  $A^T A = (QR)^T QR = R^T Q^T QR = R^T R$ , and  $A^T b = (QR)^T b = R^T Q^T b$ . So, we solve  $Rx = Q^T b$ .

# More on Cholesky

- Note: Matlab's `chol` function produces upper triangular  $R$ , such that  $M = R^T R$  (rather than  $L$  such that  $M = LL^T$ ), for a positive definite  $M$ .
- `chol(A, 'lower')` produces  $L$  instead.
- Recall: must calculate  $A^T A$  product before factoring to solve least squares.
- Exercise: Multiplying an  $n \times m$  matrix by an  $m \times n$  matrix requires approximately  $2mn^2$  flops

# Example: Cholesky

- For our problem  $A^T A = \begin{bmatrix} 19 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 11 & 7 \\ 1 & 7 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$

- We want to find  $L$ , such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} & l_{31} \\ 0 & l_{22} & l_{32} \\ 0 & 0 & l_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 19 & 4 & 1 \\ 4 & 11 & 7 \\ 1 & 7 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example: Cholesky (continued)

- This leads to

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 4.3589 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.9177 & 3.1871 & 0 \\ 0.2294 & 2.1303 & 2.3258 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Solving  $L y = A^T b$  (forward substitution)  
 $\rightarrow y = [5.7354, 5.2514, 2.7936]^T$
- Then solving  $L^T x = y$  (backward substitution)  
 $\rightarrow x = [1.0747, 0.8448, 1.2011]^T$

# Back to QR: How to find QR?

Assuming A is full column rank -

Q:  $m \times n$  – orthogonal matrix ( $Q^T Q = I$ )

R:  $n \times n$  – upper triangular ( $r_{ii} > 0$ )

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & \cdots & a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 & q_2 & \cdots & q_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & \cdots & r_{1n} \\ 0 & r_{22} & \cdots & r_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & r_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

# This gives us ...

$$a_1 = q_1 r_{11}$$

$$a_2 = q_1 r_{12} + q_2 r_{22}$$

$$a_3 = q_1 r_{13} + q_2 r_{23} + q_3 r_{33}$$

·  
·  
·

$$a_n = q_1 r_{1n} + q_2 r_{2n} + q_3 r_{3n} + \dots + q_n r_{nn}$$

where  $q_k^T q_k = 1$ ,  $q_k^T q_j = 0$ ,  $r_{ij} > 0$ .

Note:  $a_k$  and  $q_k$  are  $m \times 1$  vectors,  $r_{ij}$  are scalars.

- Step 1: Determine  $q_1$  and first row of R
- $a_1 = q_1 r_{11}$   
→ Set  $r_{11} = \|a_1\|$  and  $q_1 = a_1 / r_{11}$
- For  $k=2:n$ ,  $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{jk} q_j$
- Therefore,  $q_1^T a_k = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{jk} q_1^T q_j = r_{1k}$



- Step 2: Determine  $q_2$  and second row of R
- $a_2 = q_1 r_{12} + q_2 r_{22} \rightarrow q_2 r_{22} = a_2 - q_1 r_{12} = \widehat{a}_2$   
 $\rightarrow$  Set  $r_{22} = \|\widehat{a}_2\|$  and  $q_2 = \widehat{a}_2 / r_{22}$
- For  $k=3:n$ ,  $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{jk} q_j$
- Therefore,  $q_2^T a_k = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{jk} q_2^T q_j = r_{2k}$

- Step p: Determine  $q_p$  and  $p^{\text{th}}$  row of R  
( $p=3,\dots,n$ )

- $a_p = \sum_{j=1}^p r_{jp} q_j = (\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} r_{jp} q_j) + r_{pp} q_p$

$\rightarrow r_{pp} q_p = a_p - \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} r_{jp} q_j = \widehat{a}_p$

$\rightarrow \text{Set } r_{pp} = \|\widehat{a}_p\| \text{ and } q_p = \widehat{a}_p / r_{pp}$

- For  $k=p+1:n$ ,  $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{jk} q_j$

- Therefore,  $q_p^T a_k = \sum_{j=1}^k r_{jk} q_p^T q_j = r_{pk}$

## QR example

For  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , find  $Q$  and  $R$  s.t.  $A = QR$ .

Solve

$$[a_1 \quad a_2 \quad a_3] = [q_1 \quad q_2 \quad q_3] \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ 0 & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & r_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $q_1^T q_1 = q_2^T q_2 = q_3^T q_3 = 1$ ,  $q_1^T q_2 = q_1^T q_3 = q_2^T q_3 = 0$

So, we have:

- $a_1 = q_1 r_{11}$
- $a_2 = q_1 r_{12} + q_2 r_{22}$
- $a_3 = q_1 r_{13} + q_2 r_{23} + q_3 r_{33}$

Step1: set  $r_{11} = \|a_1\| = 4.36$

$$q_1 = a_1 / r_{11} = [0.23, 0.46, 0.69, 0.46, -0.23]^T$$

$$r_{12} = q_1^T a_2 = 0.92$$

$$r_{13} = q_1^T a_3 = 0.23$$

So, we have:

- $a_2 = q_1 r_{12} + q_2 r_{22}$
- $a_3 = q_1 r_{13} + q_2 r_{23} + q_3 r_{33}$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Step2: } q_2 r_{22} &= a_2 - q_1 r_{12} \\ &= \widehat{a}_2 = [.79, .58, 1.37, -2.42, 1.21]^T\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Set } r_{22} = \|\widehat{a}_2\| = 3.1871$$

$$q_2 = \widehat{a}_2 / r_{22} = [0.25, 0.18, 0.43, -0.76, 0.38]^T$$

$$r_{23} = q_2^T a_3 = 2.13$$

So, we have:

- $a_3 = q_1 r_{13} + q_2 r_{23} + q_3 r_{33}$

Step3:  $q_3 r_{33} = a_3 - q_1 r_{13} - q_2 r_{23}$   
 $= \widehat{a}_3 = [1.47, 2.28, 0.76, -2.72, 2.86]^T$

Set  $r_{33} = \|\widehat{a}_3\| = 2.33$

$$q_3 = \widehat{a}_3 / r_{33} = [0.18, 0.65, -0.46, 0.22, 0.53]^T$$

# Summarizing our example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = QR$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0.23 & 0.35 & 0.18 \\ 0.46 & 0.18 & 0.65 \\ 0.69 & 0.43 & -0.46 \\ 0.46 & -0.76 & 0.22 \\ -0.23 & 0.38 & 0.53 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4.36 & 0.92 & 0.23 \\ 0 & 3.19 & 2.13 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.33 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Solving the Normal Equations

$$Rx = Q^T b$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4.36 & 0.92 & 0.23 \\ 0 & 3.19 & 2.13 \\ 0 & 0 & 2.33 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 5.74 \\ 5.25 \\ 2.79 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using backward substitution, we get

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1.07 \\ 0.84 \\ 1.20 \end{bmatrix}$$



# How many steps to find Q and R?

- For  $p=1:n$ ,
  - Calculate  $\hat{a}_p$ ,  $r_{pp}$ ,  $q_p$
  - For  $k=p+1:n$ , calculate  $r_{pk}$
- This requires approximately  $2mn^2$  flops

# Comparing performance: Stability

- Consider the overdetermined system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 10^{-5} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 10^{-5} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Normal equations reduce to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 + 10^{-5} \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 10^{-10} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Exact solution is:  $x_1 = x_2 = 1$
- Consider calculations with 8 significant digits (allowing only exponent 0)

# Cholesky

- Compute  $A^T A \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- $A^T A$  is singular  $\rightarrow$  Cannot calculate L
- No solution by Cholesky

## QR

- Factor  $A = QR = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 10^{-5} \end{bmatrix}$

- $Q^T b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 10^{-5} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\rightarrow x_1 = x_2 = 1$$

QR is the more stable algorithm in this case (and in general)

# Comparing performance: Efficiency

- Cholesky requires about  $mn^2 + n^3/3$  flops
- QR requires about  $2mn^2$  flops
- Cholesky is faster (if it applies)

Note: if  $A$  is large and sparse

- $A^T A$  is sparse as well, and Cholesky can work very fast
- QR is not faster for sparse matrices

Overall – tend to use QR (unless large and sparse)