Inverse iteration

<u>Idea 1</u>: Use A⁻¹ to compute the smallest eigenvalue.

(Note:
$$\Lambda(A^{-1}) = \{ 1/\lambda_1, 1/\lambda_2, \dots, 1/\lambda_n \}.$$
)

Thus
$$v^{(0)} = c_1 q_1 + c_2 q_2 + ... + c_n q_n$$

$$A^{-1} v^{(0)} = c_1 1/\lambda_1 q_1 + ... + c_n 1/\lambda_n q_n$$

$$A^{-k} v^{(0)} = c_1 (1/\lambda_1)^k q_1 + \ldots + c_n (1/\lambda_n)^k q_n$$

$$= (1/\lambda_n)^k [c_1 (\lambda_n/\lambda_1)^k q_1 + \ldots + c_{n-1} (\lambda_n/\lambda_{n-1})^k q_{n-1} + c_n q_n]$$

$$A^{-k} v^{(0)} \sim c_n (1/\lambda_n)^k q_n$$
 for large k

Idea 2: Shifting.

Consider B = A - μ I, μ is not an eigenvalue of A. Then B has the same eigenvectors of A and its eigenvalues are $\{\lambda_i - \mu\}$, $\lambda_i \subseteq \Lambda(A)$.

If μ is close to λ_J , λ_J - μ would be the smallest eigenvalue of B.

We can apply idea 1 to compute $\lambda_{_J}$ - $\mu.$

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 21 & 7 & -1 \\ 5 & 7 & 7 \\ 4 & -4 & 20 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Lambda(A) = \{8, 16, 24\}, \quad \mu = 15$$

$$v^{(0)} = (1, 1, 1)^{T}$$

$$w = (A-\mu I)^{-1} v^{(0)} = (0.032, 0.16, 0.30)^{T}$$

$$v^{(1)} = w/||w|| = (0.093, 0.46, 0.88)^{T}$$

$$\lambda^{(1)} = r(v^{(1)}) = 19.2000$$

$$w = (A-\mu I)^{-1} v^{(1)} = (-0.33, 0.40, 0.76)^{T}$$

$$v^{(2)} = w/||w|| = (-0.36, 0.44, 0.83)^{T}$$

$$\lambda^{(2)} = r(v^{(2)}) = 15.9749$$

$$w = (A-\mu I)^{-1} v^{(2)} = (-0.39, 0.40, 0.79)^{T}$$

$$v^{(3)} = w/||w|| = (-0.40, 0.41, 0.82)^{T}$$

$$\lambda^{(3)} = r(v^{(3)}) = 16.0290$$

:

 $q_2 = (-0.4082, 0.4082, 0.8165)^T, \lambda_2 = 16.$

Algorithm

```
\begin{array}{l} v^{(0)} = \text{initial guess, } || v^{(0)}|| = 1 \\ \\ \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots \\ \\ \text{Solve } (A - \mu \ I) \ w = \ v^{(k-1)} \\ \\ v^{(k)} = w \ / \ || w || \\ \\ \lambda^{(k)} = \ (v^{(k)})^T \ A \ v^{(k)} \\ \\ \text{end} \end{array}
```

Notes

- 1) Like power iteration, inverse iteration has linear convergence.
- 2) Unlike power iteration, we can choose which eigenvector to compute by choosing μ close to the corresponding λ_J .
- 3) Theorem: Suppose λ_J is closest to μ and λ_L is the second closest, i.e. $|\mu \lambda_J| < |\mu \lambda_L| \le |\mu \lambda_j|$ $j \ne J$. Also suppose $q_J^T v^{(0)} \ne 0$. Then

$$\left\| v^{(k)} - (\pm q_J) \right\| = O\left(\left| \frac{\mu - \lambda_J}{\mu - \lambda_L} \right|^k \right), \quad \left| \lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_J \right| = O\left(\left| \frac{\mu - \lambda_J}{\mu - \lambda_L} \right|^{2k} \right)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Rayleigh quotient iteration

- Rayleigh quotient gives an eigenvalue estimate from an eigenvector estimate.
- Inverse iteration gives an eigenvector estimate from an eigenvalue estimate.

Idea: combine the two.

Algorithm

$$\begin{split} v^{(0)} &= \text{initial guess with } ||v^{(0)}|| = 1 \\ \lambda^{(0)} &= (v^{(0)})^{\mathsf{T}} \, A \, v^{(0)} = r(v^{(0)}) \\ \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots \\ \text{Solve } (A - \lambda^{(k-1)} \, I) \, w \, = \, v^{(k-1)} \\ v^{(k)} &= \, w \, / \, ||w|| \\ \lambda^{(k)} &= \, (v^{(k)})^{\mathsf{T}} \, A \, v^{(k)} \\ \text{end} \end{split}$$

<u>Theorem</u>: RQI converges for most starting vector $\mathbf{v}^{(0)}$. The convergence is cubic:

$$\left\|v^{(k+1)} - (\pm q_J)\right\| = O\left(\left\|v^{(k)} - (\pm q_J)\right\|^3\right), \quad \left|\lambda^{(k+1)} - \lambda_J\right| = O\left(\left|\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_J\right|^3\right)$$

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 21 & 7 & -1 \\ 5 & 7 & 7 \\ 4 & -4 & 20 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Apply Rayleigh Quotient iteration:

$$\lambda^{(0)} = 22$$

$$\lambda^{(1)} = 24.0812$$

$$\lambda^{(2)} = 24.0013$$

$$\lambda^{(3)} = 24.00000017$$

Complexity

- Each step of power iteration involves $Av^{(k-1)} \rightarrow O(n^2)$ flops.
- Each step of inverse iteration solves $(A-\mu I)w = v^{(k-1)} \rightarrow O(n^3)$ flops. One can pre-compute and store L, U factors of $A - \mu I$. Thus each step $\rightarrow O(n^2)$ flops for forward and back solves.
- The matrix A $\lambda^{(k-1)}$ I changes in each step of RQI \rightarrow O(n³) flops in general.
- If A is tridiagonal, all 3 methods \rightarrow O(n) flops per iteration.

QR iteration

<u>Def</u>: If $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is nonsingular, then $A \to X^{-1} A X$ is called a similarity transformation of A.

<u>Def</u>: A and B are similar if $B = X^{-1} A X$ for some nonsingular X.

<u>Theorem</u>: If A, B are similar, then they have the same characteristic polynomial and hence the same eigenvalues.

Pf:
$$p_B(z) = det(zI - X^{-1}AX) = det(X^{-1}(zI - A)X)$$

= $det(X^{-1}) det(zI - A) det(X)$
= $det(zI - A) = p_A(z)$

Idea: Apply a sequence of similarity transformation to A which will converge to a diagonal matrix.

Consider $A^{(k-1)}$. Compute QR factorization of $A^{(k-1)}$.

i.e.
$$R^{(k)} = (Q^{(k)})^T A^{(k-1)}$$
 (e.g. Householder transform)

Then
$$R^{(k)}Q^{(k)} = (Q^{(k)})^T A^{(k-1)} Q^{(k)} \equiv A^{(k)}$$

Clearly $A^{(k-1)}$ and $A^{(k)}$ are similar.

Algorithm (QR iteration)

$$A^{(0)} = A$$
 for $k = 1, 2, ...$
$$Q^{(k)} R^{(k)} = A^{(k-1)} \qquad \text{(QR factorization of } A^{(k-1)}\text{)}$$

$$A^{(k)} = R^{(k)} Q^{(k)}$$
 end

How does it work?

Example

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = A^{(0)}$$

$$A^{(0)} = Q^{(1)} R^{(1)}$$

 $A^{(1)} = R^{(1)} Q^{(1)}$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 4.17 & 1.10 & -1.27 \\ 1.10 & 2.00 & 0 \\ -1.27 & 0 & 2.83 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{(1)} = Q^{(2)} R^{(2)}$$

$$A^{(2)} = R^{(2)} Q^{(2)}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 5.09 & 0.16 & 0.62 \\ 0.16 & 1.86 & -0.55 \\ 0.62 & -0.55 & 2.05 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^{(2)} = Q^{(3)} R^{(3)}$$

$$A^{(3)} = R^{(3)} Q^{(3)}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 5.20 & -0.08 & -0.21 \\ -0.08 & 2.18 & 0.50 \\ -0.21 & 0.50 & 1.62 \end{bmatrix}$$

Eigenvalues of A: 5.2143, 2.4608, 1.3249

Note: $A^{(k)} \rightarrow diagonal matrix$.

Simultaneous iteration/Block power iteration

- Apply power iteration to several vectors at once and maintain linearly independence among the vectors.
- Start with: $v_1^{(0)}$, $v_2^{(0)}$, ..., $v_p^{(0)}$ Then $A^k v_1^{(0)}$ converges to q_1 where $|\lambda_1|$ is largest. Thus span $\{A^k v_1^{(0)}, \ldots, A^k v_p^{(0)}\}$ should converge to $\{q_1, \ldots, q_p\}$ where $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p$ are the p largest eigenvalues.
- Write $V^{(0)} = [v_1^{(0)} v_2^{(0)} \dots v_p^{(0)}].$ Define $V^{(k)} = A^{(k)} V^{(0)} = [v_1^{(k)} v_2^{(k)} \dots v_p^{(k)}].$
- As $k \to \infty$, the vectors $v_1^{(k)}, \ldots, v_p^{(k)}$ all converge to multiples of the same dominant eigenvector q_1 .
- Orthogonalize the vectors at each step.

Algorithm

```
Pick \hat{Q}^{(0)} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n \times p} with orthonormal columns for k = 1, 2, \ldots  \mathbf{Z}^{(k)} = \mathbf{A} \; \hat{Q}^{(k-1)} \qquad \text{power iteration}   \hat{Q}^{(k)} \hat{R}^{(k)} = \mathbf{Z}^{(k)} \qquad \text{reduced QR factorization}  end
```

Note: The column space of $\hat{Q}^{(k)}$ and $\mathbf{Z}^{(k)}$ are the same. They are both equal to that of $A^{(k)}\hat{Q}^{(0)}$.

• Assumption 1: The leading p+1 e.v. are distinct in absolute values:

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \ldots > |\lambda_p| > |\lambda_{p+1}| \ge |\lambda_{p+2}| \ldots \ge |\lambda_p|$$

• Assumption 2: All the leading principal minors of $\hat{Q}^TV^{(0)}$ are nonsingular.

<u>Theorem</u>: Suppose the block power iteration is carried out and assumptions 1 & 2 hold. Then as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$||q_j^{(k)} - (\pm q_j)|| = O(c^k)$$
 $j = 1, 2, ..., p$

where
$$c = \max_{1 \le k \le p} \left| \frac{\lambda_{k+1}}{\lambda_k} \right| < 1$$