

Problems:

(1) Let $x_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$ (Tutorial)

(x_n) is monotone inc but not bounded above and so cannot converge.

Show: $x_4 > 2$, $x_8 > \frac{85}{2}$, $x_{16} > ?$

(2) Let $a > 0$ and

$$x_n = 1 + a + \frac{a^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{a^n}{n!}$$

Show (x_n) is monotone increasing and bounded above. Let us call $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = f(a)$ This $f(a) = e^a$ ~~is~~

(3) Thm: $e^a = 1 + a + \frac{a^2}{2!} + \dots + \dots$ all the way to infinity

This Thm is Extremely imp.

You must remember the statement of this Thm - will be used often.

Proof of the Thm? That's altogether a different matter.

(3) Optional Exercise: prove the theorem for $a > 0$

$$\text{Well } x_n < f(a)$$

Now expand $(1 + \frac{a}{n})^n$ using binomial thm and check:

$$(1 + \frac{a}{n})^n \leq f(a). \text{ Letting } n \rightarrow \infty$$

We get $e^a \leq f(a)$. Now the reverse ineq

Expand $(1 + \frac{a}{n})^n$ using binomial and truncate it to m terms with $m < n$

keep m fixed and let $n \rightarrow \infty$

$(1 + \frac{a}{n})^n \geq ($ Truncation to m terms $)$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$e^a \geq 1 + a + \frac{a^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{a^m}{m!} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

(4) Compute : $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(\sqrt[n]{5} - 1)$

Does $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(\sqrt[n]{5} - 1)$ exist?

(5) Let (q_n) be a sequence such that each $q_j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 9\}$

Now we construct for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$x_n = \frac{q_1}{10} + \frac{q_2}{10^2} + \dots + \frac{q_n}{10^n}$$

Show that this new seq (x_n) is monotone increasing and bounded above.

Call $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \underline{a} \in [0, 1]$.

Is it true that given any $\underline{a} \in [0, 1]$ there exists a seq (q_n) as above such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{q_1}{10} + \dots + \frac{q_n}{10^n} \right) = \underline{a} ?$

Discuss this result in a more informal and elementary (non rigorous) language that was familiar to you

Important:

Prove that given any real number x , there is a sequence of rational numbers (q_n) converging to x .

End of the Chapter. Concluding remarks
Here in this Chapter we have discussed

- The notion of Convergence of a Seq.
- A few theorems that are frequently used.
- Introduced two functions

$\exp x$ or e^x ; and $\log x$
proved some basic results on these

$$\exp(x+y) = (\exp x)(\exp y)$$

$$\log(xy) = \log x + \log y$$

Both $\exp: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\log: (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
are bijective Cont. functions and inverses
of each other.

$$\frac{d}{dx}(\exp x) = \exp x; \quad \frac{d}{dx}(\log x) = \frac{1}{x}$$

$$\exp 0 = 1, \log 1 = 0; \quad \exp 1 = e$$

Transcendental Functions: $\exp x$ and $\log x$

These are two fundamental examples of
non algebraic functions.

The function $f: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$$

is an example of an algebraic function

and so are $x + \frac{1}{x}$, $1 - \frac{1}{x^2}$ etc;

Simplest functions are polynomials, next-

Come rational functions $\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$

$P(x), Q(x)$ polynomials

$Q(x) \neq$ zero polynomial and $\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$ has
its domain \mathbb{R} minus roots of $Q(x)$

For example $(1 + \frac{x}{n})^n$ is an example of a rational fun. (in fact poly) and $(1 - \frac{x}{n})^{-n}$ is also a rational fun.

Next in this hierarchy come algebraic functions such as

\sqrt{x} and $x^{1/3}$ defined on $[0, \infty)$ and $\sqrt{1-x^2}$ defined on $[-1, 1]$

$x^{1/3}$ satisfies the algebraic equation

$$y^3 - x = 0$$

$\sqrt{1-x^2}$ satisfies the alg. eq " $y^2 = 1 - x^2$

It is a fact and we shall not prove it

here that $\exp x$ and $\log x$ do not

For a plausibility, Consult G.H. Hardy, Course in Pure Math

Satisfy such algebraic equations namely

$$y^n + R_1(x)y^{n-1} + \dots + R_n(x) = 0$$

$R_i(x), \dots, R_n(x)$ rational functions.

There are other such functions that you are familiar with : $\cos x$ and $\sin x$

We seem to have developed e^x and $\log x$ from scratch giving precise arguments for support of the basic definitions /

the identities such as exp. addition-lbm.

Is it possible to develop properties of $\cos x$, $\sin x$ rigorously starting from precise definitions?

Yes! It can be done. See J.C. Burkill

II - Limits, Continuity and the Derivative

We shall Consider functions

$f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where I is an interval which could be open / closed bounded or unbounded. Here is a list:

$$I = \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$$

$$I = [a, \infty) \text{ or } (-\infty, a]$$

$$I = (a, \infty) \text{ or } (-\infty, a)$$

$$I = (a, b) \text{ or } [a, b] \text{ or } (a, b] \text{ or } [a, b)$$

a, b are finite and $a \leq b$.

$[a, b] = \{a\}$ if $a = b$ } Conventions.
 (a, b) is empty if $a = b$.

We now define $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x)$

When $p \in I$ or p is an endpoint of I
in case $I = (-\infty, a)$ p could be a
in case $I = (a, b)$ then p could be a, b
etc;

Def. $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) = l$ (a real number)

If the following condition holds.

Whenever (x_n) is a sequence in I

Converging to p (with $x_n \neq p \forall n$)

The corresponding seq $(f(x_n))$ converges to l

Note that l is supposed to be a fixed real no.

That is to say for EVERY choice of the seq (x_n) converging to p (as described above)

The

Corresponding sequences $(f(x_n))$ converges to the SAME limit l

Example: $f(x) = x^2 + 1$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x) \text{ exists } = p^2 + 1$$

let $x_n \rightarrow p$. Examine $\lim f(x_n)$

$$= \lim_n ((x_n)^2 + 1) = p^2 + 1$$

So For EVERY seq (x_n) conv. to p .

The corresp. seq $(f(x_n))$ converges to $p^2 + 1$

So $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x)$ exists and $= p^2 + 1$

Exercise: Show that if $f(x)$ is any polynomial, $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x)$ exists and $= f(p)$

Show that if $f(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$ is a rational function.

Then at any point p s.t $Q(p) \neq 0$
 $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x)$ exists and $= \frac{P(p)}{Q(p)}$.

Example: Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by
 $f(x) = \sin \frac{\pi[x]}{2|x|}$ if $x \neq 0$
 $= 0$ if $x = 0$.

- 29 -

Let us take $x_n = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$

$$f(x_n) = \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) = 0$$

So $\lim f(x_n) = 0$.

However, taking $x_n = \frac{-1}{n}$

$$\text{we get } f(x_n) = \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot n \left[-\frac{1}{n} \right] \right) (-1)$$
$$= -\sin(n\pi/2) \text{ and this}$$

Sequence does not converge to 0.

So $\lim f(x)$ doesn't exist.

Ex: $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$

Formulate a Sandwich-Thm for
 $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x)$.

$x \rightarrow p$

Given 3 functions $f, g, h : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

assume $f(x) \leq g(x) \leq h(x) \quad \forall x \in I$

$p \in I$ or p is an endpoint of I .

$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x)$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} h(x)$ both exist and
 $x \rightarrow p$ are equal to l

Then,

$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} g(x)$ also exists and $= l$.

Ex: Prove this using the Sandwich-Thm for sequences.

Thm: Suppose $f, g : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $p \in I$
(or p is an endpoint of I)

$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x)$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} g(x)$ exists $= l, m$
respectively

Then $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} (f(x) + g(x))$ exists $= l + m$

$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} (f(x)g(x))$ exists $= lm$

The usual rules for limits that
is familiar to you can be proved using the
corresponding results for sequences.

Def. Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function
and $p \in I$

(In case $I = (a, b)$) then p cannot be
a or b , we say f is continuous at
 p if $\lim_{x \rightarrow p} f(x)$ exists and $= f(p)$.

Again, if $f, g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are cont. at
 $p \in I$ then $f+g, fg$ are also continuous
at p . Discuss the result for quotients
Need to add the further cond.
that $g(x) \neq 0$ throughout I .

So polynomials are continuous and
rational functions are continuous on their
domains ($= \mathbb{R}$ minus zeros of the
denominator)

e^x and $\log x$ are continuous
on \mathbb{R} and $(0, \infty)$ respectively.

Note: $0 \notin$ domain of $\log x$

It is incorrect to say $\log x$ is
discontinuous at the origin. Question of
continuity at p arises only if $p \in$ domain

Ex: Discuss the points at which the function $f(x) = 10x - [10x]$ is not continuous (Tutorial problem)

(A) Thm Suppose $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous at the point $p \in I$: $f(p) > 0$. Then there is a $c > 0$ such that $f(x)$ is positive for all $x \in (p-c, p+c)$.

Note: c is small enough that $(p-\frac{c}{2}, p+\frac{c}{2}) \subset I$.

In case p is the left endpoint of I then the conclusion should be modified as $f(x)$ is positive for all $x \in [p, p+c)$ etc;

proof is EASY:

Suppose no such c exists.

Then $c = \frac{1}{n}$ doesn't work. That is,

for some $x_n \in (p - \frac{1}{n}, p + \frac{1}{n})$

$f(x_n) \leq 0$. This is so for all $n \geq 1$

$x_n \rightarrow p$. So continuity of f implies

$$f(x_n) \rightarrow f(p)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(p)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &\leq 0 & (\text{since } f(x_n) \leq 0 \\ \text{RHS} &> 0 & \text{for all } n \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction

We now discuss two more theorems that we call theorem (B) and theorem (C)

Theorem B: Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be cont.

Then f is bounded on $[a, b]$

That is the set $\{ |f(x)| / a \leq x \leq b \}$ is bounded (note: $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b$)
is cont and

Theorem C: If $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is such
that $f(a), f(b)$ have opposite signs
namely $f(a) \cdot f(b) < 0$

Then there is a point $c \in (a, b)$ such
that $f(c) = 0$.

Remarks: From Thm C - the intermediate
value theorem follows at once. Discuss.

Call $I_0 = [a, b]$

Intervals in this discussion will be closed
and bounded.

Thm B says a cont. function on a closed bounded
interval is bounded. (values with)

Thm C says if f assumes opp. signs
at the endpoints - then f must vanish somewhere
in the interval.

Although the two theorems appear dissimilar
it is remarkable that the two can be proved
by the same method

Known as the Method of bisection.

Bisect I_0 at its midpoint $\frac{1}{2}(a+b)$

Look at the two halves

$$I'_0 = \left[a, \frac{a+b}{2} \right]; \quad I''_0 = \left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b \right]$$

proof by Contradiction.

Suppose Thm B / Thm C is false.

Then,

for $\neg \text{Thm B}$, f must fail to be bounded
in I_0' or I_0'' (or both).

For $\neg \text{Thm C}$, f does not vanish in I_0' or I_0''

In particular $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \neq 0$

So f must take (values with) opp signs
at endpoints of I_0' or I_0''

Let I_1 be the one (I_0' or I_0'') on
which f is unbounded (Thm B)

I_1 be the one for which f takes opp.
Sign at the endpoints (Thm C)

We can now repeat the process with I_1 ,
Bisect it at the midpoint and select
that half I_2 such that

f is unbdd on I_2 (Thm B)

f takes (values of) opp. Signs at endpts of I_2

...

$I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$

The left endpoints a_j of I_j form a
right b_j

monotone increasing / dec. seq.

Both converge but their limits must
be Equal (Why?)

Say p .

- 34 -

Thus $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq p \leq \dots \leq b_2 \leq b_1 \leq b_0$

Now, for Thm B: f is unbounded on I_n
So n is not an upper bound for $|f(x)|$
So \exists a point $c_n \in I_n$ such that
 $|f(c_n)| \geq n$

For Thm C: Simply take $c_n = \frac{1}{2}(a_n + b_n)$
or any point in $[a_n, b_n]$ for that

Then $a_n \leq c_n \leq b_n \quad \forall n$ matter
 $\Rightarrow \lim_n c_n = p \quad (\text{Sandwich-Thm})$

By Continuity $(f(c_n))$ converges to $f(p)$.

For Thm B: $|f(c_n)| \geq n$ and this
denies the convergence of
 $(f(c_n))$ to $f(p)$. (Contradiction)

For Thm C: $f(a_n), f(b_n) < 0 \quad \forall n$
 $a_n \rightarrow p, b_n \rightarrow p, f$ continuous
 $\Rightarrow f(p)^2 \leq 0$
 $\Rightarrow f(p) = 0$. Contradiction

Since we have assumed f does not vanish
in $[a, b]$

Both theorems have been established.

Thm D: Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be cont. $\sup = l.u.b$

$M = \sup \{f(x) / a \leq x \leq b\}$ and
 $m = \inf \{f(x) / a \leq x \leq b\}$

(M, m) exist thanks to Thm B)

M and m are both attained
namely $\exists c, d \in [a, b]$ such that
 $f(c) = m, f(d) = M$

proof: Suppose M is NOT attained
i.e. $f(x) < M \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$

Then

$g(x) = \frac{1}{M - f(x)}$ is cont. on $[a, b]$
and positive!

so $g(x)$ is bounded above by Thm B.
let μ be the upper bound.

$\therefore g(x) \leq \mu$ for all $x \in [a, b]$

$\therefore 0 < \frac{1}{M - f(x)} \leq \mu \quad \forall x \in [a, b]$

$\therefore M - f(x) \geq \frac{1}{\mu} > 0.$

$$f(x) \leq M - \frac{1}{\mu} \not\leq M$$

This is a contradiction since M is the
LEAST upper bound!

Examples: $f(x) = 1-x : 0 \leq x \leq 1$

$$M = \sup f = 1 \quad (\sup = l.u.b)$$

$$m = \inf f = 0 \quad (\inf = g.l.b)$$

M attained at $x=0$; m attained at $x=1$

Both l.u.b and g.l.b attained at the
end points.

Example: $f(x) = x(1-x) : 0 \leq x \leq 1$

g.l.b f = 0 attained at
both end points.

l.u.b f attained at $x = \frac{1}{2}$

Note: $f'(\frac{1}{2}) = 0 : f'(0) \neq 0$
 $f'(1) \neq 0.$

In the first example also $f'(0) \neq 0 : f'(1) \neq 0$
So if the l.u.b or g.l.b is attained
in the Open interval (a, b) at point p
Then $f'(p) = 0$

If l.u.b / g.l.b attained at an endpoint
The derivative need not be zero at that
point.

Ex: $f(x) = \sin \frac{1}{x} : \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1$
Find all points where f attains
it l.u.b and g.l.b.

The Derivative: Here we shall
assume f is defined on an Open
interval (a, b) ($a = -\infty$, and/or $b = +\infty$) is
allowed.

We say $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable
at $p \in (a, b)$ if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow p} \frac{f(x) - f(p)}{x - p} \text{ exists in}$$

which case the limit is denoted by $f'(p)$
or $Df(p)$ called the derivative of
f at the point p.

If the derivative exists at each $p \in (a, b)$
we say f is differentiable

throughout (a, b) we get -Then the new function $f': (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ assigning to each $x \in (a, b)$ -the derivative $f'(x)$.

If f' is differentiable at $p \in (a, b)$ its derivative will be denoted by $f''(p)$ -the Second derivative at p .

Successive derivatives can be defined inductively.

Rules of Calculus for derivatives.

If $f, g: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable at p -then $f+g$, fg are also diff. at p and further

$$(f+g)'(p) = f'(p) + g'(p)$$

$$(fg)'(p) = f(p)g'(p) + f'(p)g(p)$$

Leibnitz' rule.

In case $g(x) \neq 0$ in an interval $(p-c, p+c)$ -then $\frac{f}{g}$ which is defined on

$(p-c, p+c)$ is also differentiable at p and -the quotient rule holds:

$$\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)'(p) = \frac{g(p)f'(p) - f(p)g'(p)}{(g(p))^2}$$

The Chain Rule:

If $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $p \in (a, b)$ and g is defined on an open interval J containing image of f and g is differentiable at $f(p)$

Then the composite $(g \circ f)$ is differentiable at p and $(g \circ f)'(p) = g'(f(p)) f'(p)$
 (Chain Rule).

Note: f diff. at $p \Rightarrow f$ is cont. at p .

The n th derivative of f at p is denoted by $D^n f(p)$.

Exercise: Is the function $\frac{\log(x+1)}{\sin x} = f(x)$ defined on $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ differentiable at the origin? $f(0) = 1$
 Calculate the derivative.

Compute $D^n f(0)$ when $f(x) = \frac{(x^2-1)^n}{2^n n!}$

Prove that if f, g are both n -times diff. at p then

$$(D^n f g)(p) = {}^n C_0 D^n f(p) g(p) + {}^n C_1 D^{n-1} f(p) g'(p) + \dots + {}^n C_n f(p) D^n g(p)$$

This will be called generalized Leibnitz' rule.

Prove that $D^n \sin x = \sin(x + n\pi/2)$

Ex. Suppose $y = \exp(\tan^{-1} x) = f(x)$

$$(1+x^2)y'' + (2x-1)y' = 0$$

Find a formula connecting

$$D^{n+2} f(0), D^n f(0) \text{ and } D^{n+1} f(0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ans: } & D^{n+2} f(0) + n(n+1) D^n f(0) \\ & = D^{n+1} f(0) \end{aligned}$$

(I hope I have done the algebra correctly!)

J. Edwards. Diff Calc. p 90 (1886)

Exercise: Find the n th derivative
of $\sin^{-1}x$ at $x=0$.

Ans: Set $y = \sin^{-1}x$. Then

$$y''(1-x^2) - xy' = 0.$$

Exercise: Suppose given that $y(x)$ is
differentiable infinitely often
and satisfies

$$(1-x^2)y''(x) - 2xy'(x) + p(p+1)y = 0$$

Prove that

$$y(1) = 0 \Rightarrow D^n y(1) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Increasing and decreasing functions:

Let $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function.

We say f is increasing / decreasing
at p if \exists a $c > 0$ such that-

$$(p-c, p+c) \subset (a, b)$$

and if $x, y \in (p-c, p+c)$

with $x < p < y \rightarrow$ then $f(x) \leq f(p) \leq f(y)$

if the inequalities \rightarrow are strict

then we say f is strictly increasing
at p .

Similarly one can formulate the notion of
 f being decreasing (strictly decreasing)
at p .

- 40 -

Thm: If $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $p \in (a, b)$ and $f'(p) > 0$

Then f is strictly increasing at p .

$f'(p) < 0 \Rightarrow f$ is strictly decreasing at p .

Proof: Let $f'(p) > 0$ but the conclusion is false. We shall arrive at a contradiction.

Then for all $n > 1$

$$\left(p - \frac{1}{n}, p + \frac{1}{n} \right) \subset (a, b) \text{ and}$$

f fails to be strictly inc at p means

$\exists x_n \in (p - \frac{1}{n}, p + \frac{1}{n})$ such that

$x_n > p$ but $f(x_n) \leq f(p)$ OR else
 $x_n < p$ but $f(x_n) \geq f(p)$

In either case

$$(x_n - p)(f(x_n) - f(p)) \leq 0 ; x_n \neq p.$$

Divide by $(x_n - p)^2$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f(x_n) - f(p)}{x_n - p} \right) \leq 0$$

$\therefore f'(p) \leq 0$. Contradiction

Local Maxima / Local Minima

$f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function

a point $p \in (a, b)$ is said to be a point of local Maximum Minimum (or briefly p is a local Minima)

if. There is $c > 0$ such that $(p - c, p + c) \subset (a, b)$

and for all $x \in (p - c, p + c)$

$$f(x) \geq f(p)$$

- 4) -

Formulate the def of point of local Maximum for a function

Cov: Suppose $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function and

$f'(p) \neq 0$ then p can neither be a local Maxima nor a local Min.

OR p is a point of local Max/Min
 $\Rightarrow f'(p) = 0$

Exercise: Consider $f(x) = x^3 + 3x + ax^2$
Find all possible $a \in \mathbb{R}$

such that

(i) $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has no point of local Max / Min

(ii) $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has exactly two points of local Max Min

Ques: p is a point of local Max / Min
 $\Rightarrow f'(p) = 0$
(f is assumed to be diff).

Converse is false.

Give examples. Discuss how to proceed further in the investigation of this situation. Can you think of justifications for your answers?