

RegEx Search & Replace Extension for Chrome and Firefox

Dalimil Hajek

BSc Hons Project Report
Computer Science
School of Informatics
University of Edinburgh

2018

Abstract

The aim of this project was to build a browser extension that allows users to search and replace text with regular expressions in editable text input fields of web pages.

After evaluating existing extensions that were unsuccessfully attempting to implement this functionality, the new extension has been carefully designed, developed, and finally successfully released for Chrome¹ and Firefox² browsers.

In addition to the future-rich search and replace function, this plugin also adds the ability to save favourite patterns, store search history, or predefine text templates that can be inserted into the editable area of a page.

The software followed an iterative development process, where user feedback was collected via several means, including Google Analytics, which was used to track user interaction, and a support website used to collect user feedback comments.

After the initial release, more than twenty updates have been subsequently released over the span of a few months. This iteration was further supported by automated tests of several kinds.

The extension has received excellent reviews, has been mentioned in an online article, and at the time of writing is installed on over 7000 active devices (users from both browsers combined).

¹<https://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/find-replace-for-text-edi/jajhdmnpicpbnlpejbgmpijgmoknnl>

²<https://addons.mozilla.org/en-GB/firefox/addon/find-replace-for-text-editing/>

Acknowledgements

Thanks to

- Boris Grot – for supervising the whole project, and making important feature suggestions leading up to the first official releases of the extension on the Chrome and Firefox web stores
- Michael O’Boyle – for making suggestions, especially regarding Google Analytics
- Christoph Metze – for finding several important bugs that subsequently led to releases 1.3.2, 1.3.3, and 1.3.4
- Daniel Tomberlin – for pointing out a use case when trying to search across multiple single-line inputs, and for updating his web store rating and review after I implemented it in 1.3.6
- GitHub user [MarkRH](#) – for finding a bug that was later fixed in 1.1.3
- StackOverflow user [wOxxOm](#) – for suggesting `Document.execCommand` API that I used to fix issues with templates in 1.2.0

And also thanks to all those people who submitted user feedback or reviews, and people who participated in the user study.

Declaration

I declare that this thesis was composed by myself, that the work contained herein is my own except where explicitly stated otherwise in the text, and that this work has not been submitted for any other degree or professional qualification except as specified.

(Dalimil Hajek)

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	9
1.1	Motivation	9
1.2	Existing Extensions	10
1.2.1	Chrome	11
1.2.2	Firefox	12
1.2.3	Other Browsers	12
1.3	Our Extension	12
2	Design	15
2.1	Requirements	15
2.2	Browser Extensions Background	16
2.3	User Interface Inspiration	16
2.4	UI Components	18
2.5	Why not Material Design	19
2.6	Scope of Search	19
2.6.1	<code><input type="text"></code>	20
2.6.2	<code><textarea></textarea></code>	20
2.6.3	<code><div contenteditable="true"></div></code>	20
2.6.4	<code>contenteditable</code> tag inside <code><iframe></iframe></code>	20
2.6.5	Other HTML	20
2.7	Naming and Search Engine Optimization	21
3	Implementation	23
3.1	Component Overview	23
3.1.1	Component Life-cycle	24
3.2	Search Widget	24
3.3	User Actions API and Message Passing	25
3.4	Content Scripts	27
3.4.1	RegExp Search	27
3.4.2	Highlighting	27
3.4.3	RegExp Replace	29
3.4.4	Choosing Target Area Element	30
3.5	Accessibility	30
3.5.1	Keyboard	30
3.5.2	Browser Context Menu	31
3.5.3	Search Widget Accessibility	31

3.6	Favourites Panel	31
3.7	History Panel	32
3.8	Templates Panel	33
3.9	Help Panel	33
3.10	Google Analytics	34
3.10.1	Google Analytics Implementation	35
3.11	Testing	35
3.11.1	Unit Tests	35
3.11.2	Integration Tests	35
3.11.3	Functional Tests	37
3.11.4	Manual Tests	38
4	Deployment and Assessment	39
4.1	Distribution and Marketing	39
4.2	Google Analytics	40
4.2.1	Page View Tracking	41
4.2.2	Event Tracking	41
4.2.3	Domain Tracking	42
4.3	Collecting Direct User Feedback	43
4.4	User Study	45
4.5	Iteration	47
5	Conclusions	49
5.1	Further Work	50
5.2	Final Word	51
A	User Feedback Examples	53
A.1	Web Store Reviews	53
A.2	General Feedback Form Comments	54
A.3	Uninstall Feedback Form Comments	54
B	Web Store Listing Screenshots	55
B.1	Chrome Web Store	55
B.2	Firefox Web Store	55
	Bibliography	57

List of Figures

1.1	Google Chrome browser find tool	10
1.2	Mozilla Firefox browser find tool	10
2.1	Android Studio find and replace tool	16
2.2	Google Docs find and replace tool	17
2.3	Visual Studio Code find and replace tool	17
2.4	Sublime Text 3 find and replace tool	17
2.5	Search widget UI – simple view	18
2.6	Search widget UI – advanced view	19
2.7	Chrome web store page – impressions and installations per day	22
3.1	Text area match highlighting	27
3.2	Favourites Panel Tab	32
3.3	History Panel Tab	32
3.4	Templates Panel Tab	33
3.5	Help Panel Tab	34
3.6	User Guide Page Preview	34
4.1	Chrome web store page – daily user traffic	39
4.2	Chrome web store page – acquisition sources (Nov 2017 – Mar 2018)	40
4.3	Tab Views in February 2018	41
4.4	User behaviour and feature usage	42
4.5	Top domains (1st Jan 2018 – 15th Mar 2018)	43
4.6	Support website landing page	44
4.7	Uninstall feedback form	44
4.8	Templates panel – Old editing mode	46
4.9	Template panel – New editing mode	46
5.1	Total active devices – Chrome version	49
5.2	Daily active devices – Firefox version	50
B.1	Chrome Web Store Listing	55
B.2	Firefox Web Store Listing	56

Chapter 1

Introduction

Modern web browsers allow users to find text in a web page, but when it comes to editable text areas that are often used on blogging platforms, online forums, social media, and email web clients, as a form of user input, none of the existing browsers allow users to also replace the found occurrences.

The aim of this project was to build an extension that adds this browser functionality. The tool allows users to find and replace text in editable text input fields of web pages, and includes a large set of features and search options, including regular expression support and occurrence highlighting.

1.1 Motivation

Search and replace functionality can be extremely useful when composing long emails, writing posts on social media, online forums, or blogging platforms, as well as in any email web clients.

The most frequent use cases are:

- Fixing a typographical error – A word or a phrase may have been used several times with the wrong spelling. This can occur several times in a forum post or an email, and it would be convenient to replace everything at once.

This also includes fixing unreadable characters in blog entries such as `Â€?`, due to a change in encoding or some unintended text handling.

- Normalizing incorrectly formatted text – Regular expressions can be used to detect formatting errors such as multiple spaces before a period, missing upper-case letter, various metric unit formatting errors, and similar. Search and replace extension supporting regular expressions can quickly find and fix these.
- Renaming a phrase – Often a word or a phrase that occurs several times throughout a text needs to be corrected or substituted (perhaps using a synonym or a wording that sounds better)

Without having a browser extension for search and replace, one could imagine a solution where all text is copied and pasted into an advanced text editor, fixed using the built-in search and replace function, and copied back into the web page input field.

In addition to being a lengthy and time consuming process, this method would in many cases lose all text formatting, because more advanced editable text elements on the web may contain images, emojis, and text containing many formatting tags, which would not be preserved during the copy-pasting.

Additional motivation behind the development of this project was to add search-and-replace related features that are missing even from the more advanced text editors. One of them is storing the search history, and also being able to save favourite search patterns, that can later be quickly accessed. Both of these would save time and increase user's productivity.

1.2 Existing Extensions

Web browsers support standard search functionality for any text on a page but no browsers have the find & replace functionality. Users have asked for this feature on Google Chrome forums¹, but the decision of browser developers was to leave the implementation of this functionality to potential text-processing web applications, rather than implementing it as a part of the browser.



Figure 1.1: Google Chrome browser find tool



Figure 1.2: Mozilla Firefox browser find tool

¹Google Chrome Forum link: <https://productforums.google.com/forum/#!topic/chrome/Y4UORlPdYfo>

There have been several attempts to implement this functionality via an extension. Most of them either don't work, are missing functionality (particularly support for regular expressions), are limited to certain websites, or are counter-intuitive and hard to use in general.

1.2.1 Chrome

- **Search and Replace**²

- This is the dominant one with over 52,000 downloads (as of Mar 2018)
- It has only 3.1/5 stars and many reviews are complaining it doesn't work or that it destroys other content of the current page
- It has usability issues (control UI is partially hidden) and it doesn't work in many places such as Blogger or Facebook
- This is a very bad and simplistic solution that is mostly broken but benefits from a larger user base because it appeared in the web store in 2013

- **Find Replace**³

- This extension requires copy-pasting your desired text into the provided box (it is plain-text only, so formatting is lost).
- It has almost 1000 users (as of Dec 2017), but it has been around since 2013
- It is unable to perform the search and replace in browser. This is no better than copy pasting text into a text editor and performing the operation there

- **FindR**⁴

- It has around 2000 users and 3.8/5 stars. It has been in the web store since April 2016.
- This extension is used for replacing any HTML text in the page, rather than text in input fields – for this purpose it seems to work, but when one tries to use it only for text input fields, things break and the extension stops working (highlighting and match indicator both disappear and replace button stops working)
- It also requires permission to *Read and change all your data on the websites that you visit*, which might be a privacy issue (the extension can read everything even when the user is not using it)

- **Easy Replace**⁵

- It has over 3000 users but only 2.6/5 stars
- Most of them report it doesn't work because it only focuses on plain-text text areas and completely ignores more advanced editable HTML elements that most sites use these days

²<https://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/search-and-replace/bldchfkhmnkoimaciljpilanilmbnofo>

³<https://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/find-replace/cfjmfciolkikfodjfdmdpdmpfbjdofek>

⁴<https://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/findr/bidnaogcagbdidehabnjfedabckhdgc>

⁵<https://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/easy-replace/ojoejfegihohnkjlfonbnailkohkce>

1.2.2 Firefox

- **Find and Replace for Firefox**⁶
 - Old add-on, not compatible with the latest Firefox 57.
 - It has over 2000 users but only 3.4/5 stars
 - It's not working for most users, has almost no options (no RegEx, no highlighting, etc.)
 - It was last updated in 2012 and is not maintained
- **FoxReplace**⁷
 - This extension provides different functionality – it asks the user to initially define a list of substitutions and then it automatically applies them globally across the text in newly loaded web pages
 - FoxReplace has over 7000 users (Mar 2018) but targets a different audience

1.2.3 Other Browsers

Supporting more browsers adds extra work, so it would only make sense if these browsers represent a significant portion of the market. The usage share of browsers is measured by various methods and is often quite inaccurate (see [44]).

Approximate values for usage share for desktop browsers in Dec 2017 are (taken from [34]): Chrome 65%, Firefox 12%, IE 8%, Safari 6%, Edge 4%.

This project focused on Chrome and Firefox, which together represent a large portion of the overall market, and which both mostly follow the same Extension API (there are only a few differences [22] so we didn't need to have separate code-bases).

Safari, although widely used by Mac users, has its own extension API and is in general much more involved as it requires dealing with Apple's developer libraries and licenses, so we decided not to develop an extension for this browser.

1.3 Our Extension

After reviewing existing extensions and setting goals based on project requirements, our find and replace extension was carefully designed, developed, released for both Chrome and Firefox, and further maintained, analyzed, and extended. The next chapters of this report look at each of these steps in detail.

⁶<https://addons.mozilla.org/en-US/firefox/addon/find-and-replace-for-firefox>

⁷<https://addons.mozilla.org/en-US/firefox/addon/foxreplace/>

Overview of Chapters

We discuss the project design in chapter 2. This starts with a brief overview of modern browser extension components, then mentions reasoning behind the design of our user interface, lists the website components that will be the primary target of our extension, and finally explains selection of the extension's name with regard to discoverability.

In chapter 3 we go into detail about the extension's implementation. We first talk about the main components and how they work together, including the regexp search operation, highlighting, and the search user interface. The chapter then discusses the extra features of the extension, which are split into separate panels, and their implementation, including storing search history, favourite patterns, and using templates. It finishes with explanation of different testing strategies we employed to ensure everything works as expected.

In chapter 4 we talk about work done after the extension was released, including subsequent iterative releases and ways we assessed the quality of our project. This involved examining collected analytics data, obtaining direct user feedback, and (lastly discussed) performing a user study.

The concluding chapter (chapter 5) looks at user growth and satisfaction, as well as the future of the project.

Chapter 2

Design

2.1 Requirements

The primary goal was to extend functionality of existing browsers to allow users to search and replace text in editable text input fields of web pages.

Since there are too many different browsers and we could not possibly hope to implement this functionality for every single one, we should only select the main ones. We already decided to pick Chrome and Firefox as the target browsers (discussed in the previous chapter), which together represent a major portion of the market.

Adding the find and replace functionality could either be accomplished by modifying the source code of the particular browser, or by implementing a browser extension. In the previous chapter we mentioned that the former option was rejected by browser developers, so the only option left was to implement it as a browser extension.

Next section discusses the components that modern browser extensions consist of and the set of technologies, APIs, and design patterns that we are bound to use when developing an extension.

The sections after that focus on the design of the user interface. Our tool should look somewhat similar to existing search and replace toolbars in advanced text editors that users are familiar with. Despite the large number of search options that we plan to implement (including support for regular expressions), the extension's interface must still be easy to use.

Following that, this chapter talks about the website components that will be the primary target of our extension, it mentions issues related to identifying the right sections of a website and discusses text editability on the web in general.

Since the extension must also be easily discoverable when users search for this kind of functionality, the final section in this chapter explains design decisions behind choosing the extension's name with regard to discoverability in Google Search results and in extension web stores.

2.2 Browser Extensions Background

A browser extension is a bundled collection of files – HTML, CSS, JavaScript, images and other assets, that together add functionality to the browser. They have access to the standard DOM API (same as a regular website) but in addition to that they can access the WebExtensions API, which is provided by the browser. At the time of writing, the WebExtensions API is to a large extent (only with slight deviations in implementations) supported by Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome, Microsoft Edge, and Opera browsers (see detailed explanation in [11], [8], [20] and [21]).

A typical extension consists of a background page, which holds the main logic of the extension and has full access to the APIs, pop-up widget, which presents the extension's user interface, and one or more content scripts, which are pieces of JavaScript code that are injected into the current web page so that we can interact with its content.

After installing a browser extension in Chrome or Firefox, a small icon representing the extension is added to the top toolbar. When users click this icon, the extension displays its pop-up widget. The standard way to present an interface to the user is via this widget. One could come up with alternative ways to present extension's UI but this design pattern using a pop-up is the preferred way and something that users expect by default. Since a pop-up widget works well in our scenario, we decided to proceed with that.

2.3 User Interface Inspiration

To some extent, we wanted to follow the current standard of find & replace toolbars. Many of these can be seen in more advanced text editors. The user interfaces of some of the popular ones were examined and used as an inspiration.




Figure 2.1: Android Studio find and replace tool

We wanted our extension to follow some of the design patterns that the existing text editors use, so that users are presented with a user interface that they can easily understand and start using quickly.

At the same time, it should not be assumed that average users are familiar with regular expression or more advanced search functions. Therefore, the UI design of some of these editor widgets should only be used as an inspiration – average users are not developers and the number of options in this extension must not feel overwhelming.




Figure 2.2: Google Docs find and replace tool




Figure 2.3: Visual Studio Code find and replace tool




Figure 2.4: Sublime Text 3 find and replace tool

2.4 UI Components

To support all the functionality that we specified, the extension widget should have the following UI elements:

- *Find* input field
- *Replace* input field
- **Action buttons**
 - Replace
 - Replace All
 - Find next
 - Find previous
 - Save to favourites
 - Expand/Collapse advanced search options
- **Options**
 - Match Case (Aa)
 - Whole Word (Ab|)
 - Use Regex (.*)
 - Limit to Text Selection
- *X of Y* or *No Results* indicator
- Regex groups indicator (for regex search only)
- **Panel tabs**
 - Favourites
 - History
 - Templates
 - Help/Info/Feedback

We split the user interface layout into two types – simple and advanced. Because displaying all search options in one widget might feel overwhelming for average users, we implemented an option to switch the search UI to the *advanced* state that includes regex options, helpful previews of matched regex groups, and a few other options that we considered to be advanced.




Figure 2.5: Search widget UI – simple view




Figure 2.6: Search widget UI – advanced view

2.5 Why not Material Design

For the general look and feel, it was decided not to use Google’s increasingly popular Material Design [14] for several reasons. First, Material Design works well when there is a lot of space and all the elements can be spread out. Unfortunately, this extension’s user interface is a small widget with very limited space and many condensed components.

Secondly, Material Design likes to add its *ripple effect* to most interactions (such as clicking a button). This looks great for actions that have large impact (e.g. navigating to a new page, or submitting a form), but for our purposes we need something less flashy, as most buttons are going to be pressed very often (*Find next* and *Replace* buttons) and too many effects or animations would present too much distraction for the user.

We felt that an extension (a pop-up widget) like ours should, to a large extent, feel like it is part of the browser by perhaps matching some of the user interface styles. We do not want to create an extension that gives users the feeling that it completely doesn’t belong because of its wild user interface and flashy styles.

2.6 Scope of Search

Instead of searching in any visible text or simply the HTML source code, we want to limit our search only to elements that contain editable text. There are several ways to create an editable area on the web. These are described in [27] and [45]. We briefly describe ways to handle each of these.

2.6.1 `<input type="text">`

For a short single line of text, HTML `<input>` element is often used. There are other types of input fields (many new were added with HTML5), such as date, email, number, tel, time, and similar, but text is the standard one (see [25]).

However, due to the short length (20 characters by default), this is not the kind of input that needs the find & replace functionality on its own. The primary use case of our extension are large multi-line text areas, but we decided to also include this short single-line input kind to allow users to search and replace text in a page across many single-line inputs simultaneously.

2.6.2 `<textarea></textarea>`

This is a multi-line plain-text input space (see [26]). It should be a common target for find & replace. It is used by many sites to allow users compose longer pieces of text, one example is the new post creation process on Reddit.

2.6.3 `<div contenteditable="true"></div>`

Enabling rich text formatting by allowing HTML tags inside the text area, `contenteditable` elements are used in Gmail, Facebook posts, Facebook Messenger, GitHub editor, Twitter, and many other sites.

Note that `contenteditable` is a global attribute and is therefore not limited to `div` tags (see [23]). It can occur anywhere in the DOM (Document Object Model).

2.6.4 `contenteditable` tag inside `<iframe></iframe>`

Blogger.com is an example of a site that isolates the main `contenteditable` area in an `iframe`. When performing find & replace we must consider the scenario where we are dealing with elements inside an `<iframe>` on the page, because this represents a different Document, and thus a different scope.

2.6.5 Other HTML

This extension is not meant to modify (find & replace) the raw HTML text of the page's source. It is limited to finding occurrences in text areas that are modifiable by users.

There are certainly sites that might try to avoid all the options discussed above and implement their own text editor functionality. One notable example is Google Docs, which is using static DOM elements, and listen to user's keyboard events to modify it internally in JavaScript. Implementing an online text editor from scratch without using `contenteditable` or `textareas` involves a lot of programming work, and such editor

should probably include its own find & replace functionality anyway, which is exactly what Google Docs do.

At the time when the project was first designed, it seemed reasonable to exclude single-line inputs from the scope, as their small editable input space didn't seem suitable for our search and replace functionality. After receiving a lot of user feedback which revealed new use cases, single-line inputs were included in the search scope via an optional checkbox option in the search widget (to ensure backward compatibility and no unexpected behaviour for existing users).

2.7 Naming and Search Engine Optimization

Based on the competition research mentioned in the introduction chapter above, the following extension names already existed: *Easy Replace*, *Search and Replace*, *FindR*, *Find Replace*, *FoxReplace*. Using any of these existing names would be bad for the SEO and discoverability, and would most likely lead to confusions.

At the same time, we wanted to clearly indicate that the extension is used for input fields and editable content rather than HTML source code.

People are likely to search for browser extensions by typing in the functionality that they need. In our case, that might look something like "find and replace in text input fields extension". We predicted that stating the extension's purpose in its title and description could help us do better in search results. Therefore, we avoided any newly-invented words and named it *Find & Replace for Text Editing*. We are not trying to trademark a new brand name, we are simply trying to match what people might search for, so that is why this name was chosen.

Measuring Discoverability

The naming idea was largely successful. In March 2018 (a few months after the first release), the extension ranked 2nd in the Google search results for queries *search and replace in firefox*, and *search and replace browser*, and ranked 1st for the queries *search and replace in chrome*, and *find and replace in browser*.¹

At the time of writing, organic Google Search remains to be the primary user acquisition source. Almost 80% of all downloads of the extension in the Chrome Web Store are users who came there from Google Search results.

The Web Store Developer Dashboard even provides statistics on the number of impressions per day – number of times a link to our Web Store listing appeared in search that day (both regular Google Search and search inside the Web Store).

¹Tested using incognito modes in both Firefox and Chrome, and searching via www.google.co.uk on 15th March 2018




Figure 2.7: Chrome web store page – impressions and installations per day

There is a more than 10% chance that when our extension appeared in your search results, you ended up installing it, which we thought was a good number, considering how diverse search queries can be. At the current rate, this results in about 80 installations per day (for the Chrome version of the extension). This evaluation is further discussed in chapter 4.

Chapter 3

Implementation

This chapter discusses the implementation of individual extension components, technologies that we used, and how everything fits together.

We first give an overview of the main components in our extension and then go into detail about the implementation of each. The most important is the search widget, which is the search interface presented to the user, and then the content scripts, which operate in the context of a specific website and take care of the actual search operation, occurrence highlighting and similar functionality.

This chapter then talks about the extra features bundled in the extension. These are split into different panels and include functionality such as storing search history, saving favourite patterns, or using text templates.

The chapter then briefly explains our integration of Google Analytics, and concludes with a section on testing, which presents the different testing strategies we used to ensure correctness of our implementation.

3.1 Component Overview

As mentioned before, our extension consists of three main components – a pop-up widget presenting the search UI to the user, a few content scripts, which are pieces of JavaScript code that are injected into the current web page so that we can interact with its content (e.g. perform the search and highlighting), and finally an invisible background page, which has full access to the privileged extension APIs (other extension components do not) and performs our content script injection whenever the user launches the extension’s search widget.

We designed our extension with privacy in mind, so that is why instead of giving the extension permission to access all browser tabs and being able to automatically run, it can only start operating in the current tab once the user launched the search widget, not before. This involved more work, as we had to implement the dynamic content script

injection, set up the relevant event listeners, and add addition logic to prevent repeated script injection after switching between browser tabs back and forth.

Despite having to write significantly more code, it allowed us to get rid of the extra permissions we would otherwise need to request in the extension manifest. These permissions are displayed to the user just before installation and requesting one that can *Read and change all your data on the websites that you visit* is definitely one that we wanted to avoid, as it could discourage many users. This way we do not need any special permissions.

3.1.1 Component Life-cycle

Our background page is only a single JavaScript file that sets up all required events and starts listening to incoming message connections. Whenever the extension icon is clicked (or the launch keyboard shortcut pressed), our UI widget pops up. The widget can be closed by the user anytime, so it first registers itself with our background page, so that the background page can see when the message port disconnects (signaling that the widget was closed).

The widget needs to communicate with the content script to manage the search and highlighting in the page. Right after the widget connects to the background page, the background page checks if the content scripts have been injected already (the widget may have been previously closed and reopened). If they have not been injected yet, it injects them. If, on the other hand, they have been previously injected, it simply sends a message to the content script to reconnect (restart its port connection).

Once our main content scripts are injected, they broadcast a port connection – both the background page and UI widget are listening for this event. The background page needs to connect to the content script to see when the message port disconnects (user may have navigated to a different page and we thus lost the injected code). Search widget needs the content script connection for all its API actions – this is our main message passing channel.

The life-cycle of our extension is complicated because we are managing three separate components – the search widget, the background page, and the context of the webpage itself (via content scripts). None of these components are permanent. The search widget can be destroyed/closed anytime, the content scripts in the page are lost whenever the user navigates to a different website, and finally the background page is not persistent either – it simply sets up a collection of event listeners and waits for the browser to wake it up (see [7]).

3.2 Search Widget

To implement the search UI widget, we could simply create DOM elements for all the input components and listen to any changes as the user interacts with the UI. Unfortunately, all input components manage their own state – a better approach would be to

store the state of the search parameters in one central place/datastore and have the UI inputs reflect this data. That is why we decided to use the React.js library to implement the user interface.

The React library [32] has become very popular in recent years – one reason is that it enforces the pattern of always reflecting the current application state in the UI. React’s internal state is the single source of truth (see React input handling [30]).

Without it, we would have to manage all the inputs separately and this could create many UI inconsistencies – incorrect update of our internal data might create a state of the application where our search parameters are set to certain values internally but display different state externally via our UI. As we are dealing with a lot of different inputs (many search parameters as well as the simple and advanced modes of the search layout), using React seemed to be a wise choice.

Note that React is only a JavaScript library that allows for a particular way of implementing UI code, but itself doesn’t provide any predefined components or styling. The search widget UI was built from scratch without using any existing stylesheets or components.

We set up the project in a way that would allow us to test the UI widget components in isolation, without creating an actual browser extension and calling the relevant APIs. During development we simply mounted our root UI component inside the body of a regular website. In production, the same component is mounted in the place of the pop-up widget, which also behaves as a web page.

To also support React’s JSX syntax (see [31]) and the newest ECMAScript language standards, we used Babel [36] for compiling our JavaScript sources. We used Webpack [41] to bundle all our source code into a single file, which is obfuscated and small in size. Both of these libraries are widely used and often integrated in combination with the React library.

3.3 User Actions API and Message Passing

Whenever user performs an action such as clicking the *Replace* button or typing something into the *Find* input field, we send a message from the search widget to our content script running in the context of the page. We defined our custom API to specify what kind of messages can be exchanged and in particular what their payload should be.

This was needed because the user receives information from the extension in two ways – either via visual feedback from the content script running in the web page (match highlighting) or via the widget pop-up (search interface). These two components are separate and can only communicate via the messaging API [9].

First we specified actions that users can perform using the search widget that also need to communicate with the page. The actions are the following. Note that actions that are local to the search widget and do not need to communicate with the page (such as tab navigation in the search widget) are intentionally omitted.

- Update search query or search options
 - Change the content of the *Find* input field
 - Toggle one of the search option checkboxes
- Find next/previous
- Replace current/all
- Insert a template
- Close the widget

User actions specified above directly translate to types of messages that need to be passed between our widget and the content scripts in the page. We define the following API, where each message has the prototype `{action: string, data: Object}` where the `data` object varies across different calls depending on the information that is needed:

- **action: updateSearch**
 - Uses the `data` object to obtain matching search results
 - Response object: `{invalidRegex: boolean, invalidSelection: boolean, searchIndex: number, searchCount: number, currentMatch: object}`
- **action: findNext**
 - Finds the next match
 - Returns: `{searchIndex: number, searchCount: number, currentMatch: object}`
- **action: findPrev**
 - Finds the previous match
 - Response identical to the *findNext* action
- **action: replaceCurrent**
 - Replaces the current match with `data` contents
 - Response identical to the *findNext* action
- **action: replaceAll**
 - Replaces all matches with `data` contents
 - Response identical to the *findNext* action
- **action: insertTemplate**
 - Inserts template text data at current cursor
 - Returns: `{noCursorPosition: boolean}`
- **action: shutdown**
 - Clean up all active highlights and reset search when widget is closed
- **action: restart**
 - Trigger port reconnect action, on widget re-open
- **action: log**
 - Logs data (we disable this in production environment)

Object `currentMatch` that is often returned as a part of the response message is used to display advanced regex information about matched regex groups. It has the following structure: `{groups: Array<string>, replace: string}`

Each action call only sends the minimum amount of data needed to perform the given

operation. We could easily imagine sending all possible search data with each call, but we set up our messaging API in this modular way because we wanted to minimize the amount of data that needs to be exchanged between the extension's search widget and the page.

Initially, the content script receives a search request from the search widget, performs the search, highlights matches, and returns status information back to the search widget, so that it can be displayed to the user (total number of matches, index of the current match, and similar).

The other API calls follow a similar pattern, where the content script is asked to perform a certain action in the web page and returns new status information back to the search widget afterwards.

3.4 Content Scripts

3.4.1 RegExp Search

JavaScript contains native support for regular expressions (see [28]). Without using any additional libraries, we can simply create new RegExp objects and execute search methods on regular strings to find matches for the given regular expression query. As long as we limit our search to visible text in editable text areas (rather than raw HTML source), finding occurrences is not a problem. The real challenge comes with visually highlighting the found matches in the page. Ideally, we would like to match the behaviour of modern text editors and show to the user all found matches at once using text highlighting.

3.4.2 Highlighting




Figure 3.1: Text area match highlighting

3.4.2.1 Highlighting in `contenteditable`

We can highlight a piece of text inside a `contenteditable` element by simply injecting our own `span` element with our custom class into the element's DOM. Contenteditable elements are designed to contain any HTML nodes so we are allowed to do this.

We ended up using the *mark.js* [17] open-source plugin for the highlighting operation. We could certainly implement the highlighting ourselves (wrapping each search occurrence in `` elements with custom styling), but there are many tricky cases that we would need to handle. For instance, HTML `<div>John Smith</div>` matches the query `John S` but simply inserting a `` at the start and end would violate HTML rules, and instead we would need to create two pairs of `` elements – for `John` and another for `S` inside the `` tags.

There are more tricky cases like this. Therefore, rather than reinventing the wheel, it was wiser to use a plugin that is actively maintained and can handle these cases for us.

3.4.2.2 Highlighting in `<textarea>`

Highlighting text in `<textarea>` was more tricky because this HTML element only allows plain text to be displayed inside it. Any styled markup will not render as expected. To overcome this, one must create an overlay with invisible text that exactly matches the `textarea` element and then highlight text in this new element. Further, there are many browser-specific quirks and one must also take care of synchronizing scrolling and handling `textareas` that are resizable by the user (the fact that this is a lot of work is discussed in [1]).

Fortunately, there have previously been a few attempts to implement this. The most successful version we found was a Will Boyd's jQuery plugin¹, because to some extent it also supported resizable `textareas` (other plugins we found did not).

Even though this plugin did better than all the other plugins, it still had many issues, particularly when it came to transferring all necessary CSS styles from the source `textarea` to the overlay element. During testing, it failed to properly align text for multiple `textareas` with various styling.

Therefore, we contributed to the development of this open-source plugin by fixing all the bugs we found and creating a pull-request on GitHub (with 10 new commits fixing various issues).²

After two code reviews done by the author of the original plugin, this remains unmerged (at the time of writing). This is because the author had conflicting views on the design and purpose of the plugin (we are dynamically injecting the plugin code, which is a somewhat different use case) and he was also worried about backward-compatibility.

We kept maintaining the updated forked version, which should hopefully help other people trying to implement highlighting in HTML `textarea`. It has already been referred to in one other GitHub issue created by a different user.³

¹<https://github.com/lonekorean/highlight-within-textarea/>

²<https://github.com/lonekorean/highlight-within-textarea/pull/19>

³<https://github.com/lonekorean/highlight-within-textarea/issues/21#issuecomment-345318936>

3.4.2.3 Highlighting in `<input>`

We initially excluded single-line input fields from the search as these are only very short pieces of text (typically only a few words), but after receiving user feedback it turned out that there are scenarios when there is a large number of single line text inputs in one page and someone needs to search and replace a phrase across all of them at once.

The problem was that including single-line inputs by default could degrade the user experience because websites have plenty of search bars or input fields scattered around, often away from the main content. Therefore we added the choice to include single-line inputs in search as a switchable option.

Single-line input elements, similar to HTML textareas, only display plain-text, so for the highlighting we reused some of the code we already had for mirroring and overlaying textareas. The majority of changes were related to the overlay styling, because the input is vertically centered by default and instead of the text wrapping to the next line, it continues as a single line.

Handling the horizontal scroll was problematic however. Firefox correctly fires the scroll event that we were able to capture to shift our overlay highlighting by the same scroll amount, but Chrome, on the other hand, does not fire the scroll event at all. It instead automatically resets the scroll position to zero after the element is defocused.

So while the initial highlighting will always be correctly aligned, horizontally scrolling the input box with the search widget open will not move the highlights. Since single-line inputs with a large text overflow are quite uncommon in the first place, we ignore this specific case as it presents only a slight visual flaw that will most likely not occur.

3.4.3 RegExp Replace

To make regular expression search with matched groups useful, we allow the user to refer to matched subgroups in the *Replace* input string via the following special symbols.

- `$n`, where *n* is a positive integer, inserts the *n*-th parenthesized submatch string.
- `$0` or `&` both insert the full matched substring.

These patterns are commonly used to extend find & replace functionality in advanced text editors. Inspiration to implement these symbols was also taken from Mozilla's spec on MDN website [29].

We search for these symbols in the *replace string*, and when the user clicks the *Replace* button we substitute the appropriate subgroups (if they are found) for the current replacement in the page.

3.4.4 Choosing Target Area Element

By default the extension uses all available text areas in the page as the target of the search and replace operation. However, it is often the case that the user only wants to search in the text area that they are currently editing. We therefore implemented two modes of operation, either the user has no active area selected and the search is executed across all text areas in the page, or they place their active cursor in a specific text area, which is then chosen as the one and only target of the search operation.

To find the currently active element we used browser Document object's `activeElement` property (see [24]). This can return an `iframe` however, which is a different Document and Window context. So we had to first implement recursive search for our active element (iframes can be nested) to determine the correct Window and Document objects that should be used for all DOM operations (such as highlighting and finding matches in the page).

Identifying the right scope was also important for the cursor text selection, as one can have multiple text selections active at the same time (inside different simultaneously displayed iframes).

Even though nested iframes should not be too common in web page design, we had to handle the possibility to ensure correctness, rather than assume that there can only be at most one iframe at a time. We also created a test case to cover the nested iframes scenario.

3.5 Accessibility

Having read the Chrome extension accessibility guidelines [6], we implemented several usability improvements that benefit not only people with disabilities but also regular users who can get things done more quickly or can more easily use the extension.

3.5.1 Keyboard

We implemented keyboard shortcuts that can be used to control the extension more efficiently. One can launch the search widget with `Ctrl+Shift+F` (`Command+Shift+F` on Mac). Note that all `Ctrl+F`, `Ctrl+R`, and `Ctrl+Shift+R` were already predefined browser shortcuts, so we could not use those.

Once the search widget appears, the following operations can be performed using the keyboard:

- Next match: Pressing `Enter` when focused inside *Find* input field
- Previous match: Pressing `Shift+Enter` when focused inside *Find* input field
- Replace: Pressing `Enter` when focused inside *Replace* input field
- Replace All: Pressing `Shift+Enter` when focused inside *Replace* input field

3.5.2 Browser Context Menu

We added a new item to the browser's text-selection context menu. Users can select text on the page and, after right-clicking the selection, search for the text using the extension. This would ideally open the extension's search widget and substitute the selected text in the *Find* input field.

Unfortunately the extension API currently does not support opening the pop-up programmatically [13] – the user must click the extension icon or press the keyboard shortcut to open it. Until the extension API is updated we can at least inject a script into the current page that creates a floating div notifying the user that they should press the keyboard shortcut to open the search widget. We can substitute the selected text in the *Find* field in the background, so the users will immediately see the search results for their selected text once they open the widget.

3.5.3 Search Widget Accessibility

To improve the usability of our search widget, we disable the action buttons when the user hasn't typed in anything into the search field yet. This is in order to draw attention to the active input field element, rather than overwhelming the user with options that cannot be used (e.g. clicking *Find next* when there is nothing to find). Google Docs use the exact same design pattern.

To better indicate the target elements of our extension in the page, we add a box shadow highlight to the currently selected input area in the page. If no single text area is selected, we highlight all text areas in the page (because the default is to search across all the editable areas in the page). We do this to indicate which text is going to be affected by the search and replace operation and thus improve usability. Without the visual indicator people might complain that the extension does not work, while in fact they might just have a different text area selected. The search widget will also indicate if there are no editable text areas present in the page.

Another improvement that we implemented was keeping the currently highlighted text in the screen viewport when users jump between occurrences (using *Find next/prev*), because the web page can have a scrollbar and all highlighted matches might not fit on one screen at the same time. We therefore always check the current element's position and scroll the window accordingly in case it is out of view.

3.6 Favourites Panel

We added an option for users to save their frequent search queries to the list of favourites. This stores their current search options together with the content of the *Find* and *Replace* fields. The UI state can be later restored by clicking the saved item in the list of favourites.

The search UI dynamically switches between the *Save to Favourites* and *Remove from Favourites* star icon to indicate if the current state of the UI (all the search parameters) are already saved in the list or not. To implement this, we store the hash of the search parameters for each item in the list of favourites. Later when the user changes the state of the UI and starts searching for something else, we hash the parameters again and check if the hash is stored in our hashtable (containing all the hashes of the stored favourite items), thus determining if the current UI state is saved in the list of favourites or not (and changing the star icon indicator accordingly).



Figure 3.2: Favourites Panel Tab

3.7 History Panel

The history panel has a similar user interface and functionality as the favourites panel, but instead of leaving the decision to store the given search state up to the user, we add items automatically. We also make sure that we only add meaningful items that resulted in a successful search and replace operation, rather than storing every single change of the search UI.




Figure 3.3: History Panel Tab

3.8 Templates Panel

Templates allow users to define a piece of text which can later be pasted into the active input area. This could be a signature, a commonly used email response, or any other piece of text that the user is planning to reuse often.




Figure 3.4: Templates Panel Tab

Later we also implemented text transformation commands that appear in this tab whenever there is an active text selection present in the page. This allows users to transform a piece of text to either lower case or upper case with a single click. This is useful because changing text case is in general not an operation easily performed by using regular expressions.

The operations that can be performed using the templates panel are designed for more advanced users, and that is why we decided to move this functionality to this separate panel, rather than trying to include everything in the main search UI.

3.9 Help Panel

The help panel contains links to the official website, feedback forms, as well as a link to the official help text. It also allows users to read through the privacy policy. Since all the data collected is based around usage statistics via Google Analytics, we were able to use a third-party tool *iubenda* [33] to have the privacy policy text generated for us.

At the same time, this tab gives users an option to opt-out of all Google Analytics reporting via a simple switch button. This was an important privacy requirement that had to be implemented.

We also designed a user help guide that explains how to use the extension covering everything from the very basic search functionality all the way to the most advanced use cases (see figure for preview). We open this help text in a new tab right after the extension is installed.




Figure 3.5: Help Panel Tab




Figure 3.6: User Guide Page Preview

3.10 Google Analytics

In order to collect statistics on how users use our extension and how they interact with different UI elements, we implemented Google Analytics into our extension.

Collecting this data requires us to inform users of the data collection and provide a way for the users to opt-out from collecting these analytics. This might dissuade a small percentage of potential users from installing the extension in the first place, but the belief was that this percentage would be small, which turned out to be true.

3.10.1 Google Analytics Implementation

The standard way of implementing Google Analytics involves inserting a short script into a web page, which then pulls more JavaScript code from Analytics servers to collect user data as well as provide a developer interface to send additional tracking events.

This method does not work in Mozilla Firefox extensions due to their review policies (quoting from [42]): *...The most popular way to do this is to inject the Google Analytics script into your codebase as if it were a web page. However, this is incompatible with our review policies. Injecting remote scripts into privileged code or even content is very dangerous and its not allowed.*

As a workaround, we need to use Google Analytics' Measurement Protocol [4], which is a simple API that accepts HTTP requests with Analytics data. It collects data (and aggregates it for displaying dashboards and statistics via the same Analytics web interface) without relying on the Google Analytics JavaScript library running on the client. So we essentially had to implement the library ourselves and only send requests in the appropriate format to this API server.

3.11 Testing

The Chrome Extension Developer Guides contain a section on debugging [10] but any standardized extension testing approaches are nonexistent. Due to technology similarities, we can examine how we could test our extension if it was a standard web application.

In such case, we would have several kinds of tests (see [47]): unit tests testing individual functions, integration tests testing several modules working together, and functional tests testing scenarios using the whole product (in-browser interaction in our case).

3.11.1 Unit Tests

Our content script contains a lot of individual functions that we can test separately. We used *Mocha.js* [38] for unit testing of individual methods in the content script and in the search widget. The coverage was limited however, because most of the functions need to interact with the *Document* and *Window* objects, which are supplied by the web browser and are undefined when running a command line test in Node. We therefore shifted our main focus to integration tests and functional tests.

3.11.2 Integration Tests

We would like to test if multiple modules or parts of our code can work well together. We split this into tests for the search widget, and tests for the content script. Testing

the extension working as a whole will be addressed in the Functional Tests section.

3.11.2.1 Search Widget Tests

Our UI search widget is mostly focusing on keeping the state of the UI consistent. Here we are more interested in the widget being rendered correctly as a whole, and in the UI not accidentally changing in unexpected ways.

Thanks to the way we separated the search widget development (described earlier), we could simply attach our React root to a standard website's DOM node and test basic interaction. There is no content script for it to communicate with, but this is not the focus at this point.

Because the search widget code is written using React, we used React's testing tool called Jest, which makes it very easy to test React components (see Jest Snapshot Testing [37]). One of its main features is its ability to use a specifically designed test-renderer that instead of spinning up an actual browser instance to render a visual component, creates a snapshot of the resulting HTML and writes it into a file. These snapshots are regenerated on subsequent test runs and compared to the old versions. That way it can quickly discover and report any changes (and mark that particular test as failed in such case).

3.11.2.2 Content Script Tests

What we are interested here is, given a simple website, can the injected functions from our content script work together, so that when triggered by a mocked API call, they successfully perform the find operation and highlight occurrences.

We achieved this by creating a simple HTML page that already includes all the code that the extension normally injects into a web page. In addition to that, we included one more script file that contains the actual test suite.

Unfortunately, the content-script hides its scope in an isolated JavaScript sandbox, so simply including it in a local HTML page will not allow us to interact with it in any way, because the connection API that it uses is only made available to the script when it is injected via an extension.

We therefore needed to detect when the content script is running in the debug mode and not as a part of an installed extension (the state when the runtime connection API is undefined is a good indicator of that) and we exposed the API message handling function when this was the case, which allowed us to mock the API and perform our tests. We recorded a short preview video to show how these content-script tests run in a browser (YouTube link): https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_SzxjBO75mE

3.11.3 Functional Tests

To implement functional tests, we would need to drive a browser that installs our extension and interacts with it. There is a tool called PhantomJS [15] which is commonly used for headless WebKit testing. Unfortunately, it is not based on Chromium, so we cannot load Chrome extensions.⁴

To be able to install and test the extension as a whole in Chrome and Firefox, we used Selenium [39], which is a browser automation tool that can directly control a browser instance and allows us to install browser extensions as a part of the testing process.⁵

We installed Selenium WebDriver to control Chrome and Firefox browsers. The Selenium WebDriver accepts commands via a client API and sends them to the browser (see [43]). The client API has several implementations in various programming languages. We decided to use JavaScript to keep the whole code-base consistent.

The Selenium project have their own JavaScript implementation for the client API, but after comparing it to alternatives, we decided to use WebDriverIO [40] instead, which is another JavaScript implementation of the (Selenium 2.0) WebDriver API, but it has much simpler and more readable syntax.

3.11.3.1 Browser Automation Tests

We created a test that checks that after the WebDriver spins up a new browser instance (Chrome or Firefox) and installs our extension, a new tab is created with our help text (user guide), which is the default behaviour our extension should follow immediately after being installed.

Unfortunately, the WebDriver does not allow direct mouse interactions with the extension – there is no way to launch it from the toolbar (mentioned in [35] and [19]). At the same time, we designed the extension with the minimal set of permission needed, so the extension does not execute any code or inject anything into the web page unless the user launches the extension widget first.

The only way we could overcome this limitation would be to create a new extension that forces the injection of the content script code in every page the browser visits and also automatically starts sending the exact data payloads mocking the API calls coming from the (never running) search widget. Besides it being a ton of work to implement, this would not actually be the full functional tests that we were aiming for – it would be testing the content script alone but none of the actual component interaction and communication with the search widget. We abandoned this idea, because we already have integration tests for testing the content script functionality alone, so this approach would not really increase our test coverage.

⁴<https://stackoverflow.com/a/23643111>

⁵<https://stackoverflow.com/questions/15005833/browser-plugin-testing-with-selenium/17117849>

3.11.4 Manual Tests

Due to some of the limitations mentioned above and also to speed up initial development of the core functionality, at the early start of the development process a demo HTML page was created. This HTML page contains all possible combinations of editable text areas – all types (plain textarea, contenteditable, and single-line inputs), all sorts of styling, various states such as with a scrollbar or in a scrollable container, as well as text areas inside nested iframes, and various other combinations.

It is very quick to open this HTML page in a browser and use the already installed extension to see if everything is working as expected. It also allows for efficient debugging, and troubleshooting a specific element category when things do not work.

Besides using it to manually test that the overall product works, it was also a good test of the overall user experience, as the HTML page contained the various editable elements that users would normally encounter on the web.

Chapter 4

Deployment and Assessment

4.1 Distribution and Marketing

The extension was released on Chrome¹ and Firefox² web stores, which is the official place where users can download browser extensions. It was then shared on HackerNews, Quora, Facebook, and several subreddits on Reddit.

These sources constituted only a minority of the overall web store visits however, and the primary acquisition source remains to be organic Google Search (people who specifically search for this extension on Google rather than learning about it via social media or forum posts). Almost 80% of all downloads of the extension in the Chrome Web Store are users who came there from Google Search results.




Figure 4.1: Chrome web store page – daily user traffic

¹<https://chrome.google.com/webstore/detail/find-replace-for-text-edi/jajhdmnpicpbpnlpejbgmpijgmoknnl>

²<https://addons.mozilla.org/en-GB/firefox/addon/find-replace-for-text-editing/>

The figure above clearly shows our few initial attempts to market the extension on social media and the users who came to the web store page from social links. After that, the graph follows a more regular pattern where the organic Google Search distinctly dominates.




Figure 4.2: Chrome web store page – acquisition sources (Nov 2017 – Mar 2018)

Since Chrome Web Store allows us to measure Analytics for our web store listing page, we were able to analyze all our main user acquisition sources and obtain the shown graphs. Besides revealing that the most visitors are coming from Google Search results, it also showed that these visitors are more likely to install the extension and less likely to immediately leave the web store page (Bounce Rate statistics).

Contacting Users of Older Extensions

We also looked at Google users who left negative reviews on the web store for some of the older extensions that tried to implement search & replace. The users are linked to the reviews only via their (automatically created) Google+ profiles rather than Gmail addresses. Since the Google+ ids are linked to Google Hangouts ids, we managed to send chat invitations to these users, informing them that a new and working search & replace extension has been released and encouraged them to give it a try. This resulted in numerous five star reviews and overall very positive feedback.

4.2 Google Analytics

We embedded the Google Analytics code into our extension's widget, so that we could track how many times users open the search widget, as well as how many unique users we have, and what kind of population they represent (along with additional user details).

4.2.1 Page View Tracking

Our search widget is essentially a single page application, but we would like to track how many times users open different widget tabs (history, templates, etc.). Therefore we send a ‘pageview’ event every time a user opens a tab, and set the pageview path to the name of the tab. That way we can look at opening tabs as an actual page navigation (inspiration to follow this approach taken from [5]).

In the figure below, `/search` indicates the main search UI – the view that is opened first when the extension is launched (the index). We can clearly see that while there is not that many people accessing the help tab or using the templates, a good number of people are utilizing the search history and are saving favourite search queries for later reuse. In fact, several web store reviews even mentioned that they find the Favourites functionality particularly useful.

Page ?	Page Views ? ↓
	116,352 % of Total: 100.00% (116,352)
1. /search	107,217 (92.15%)
2. /favourites	6,158 (5.29%)
3. /history	1,868 (1.61%)
4. /templates	786 (0.68%)
5. /help	323 (0.28%)

Figure 4.3: Tab Views in February 2018

4.2.2 Event Tracking

In addition to the basic user and page view tracking we wanted to know how users interact with our extension and in particular, if they use certain functionality significantly less or more. This may suggest potential ways we can improve our extension – for instance, if nobody ever uses the templates panel, perhaps we need to educate users on how to use it more effectively, or perhaps reconsider its overall usability.

We decided to start collecting the following types of events:

- *Added to/Removed from Favourites* – We can get more insight by looking at how often users use the star button. If they have never used it, perhaps it is not intuitive enough.
- *New Template Created* – Enables us to see how often users create new templates. If the numbers are very low, perhaps they do not know how to use this feature.
- *Template Successfully Pasted* – Enables us to see how many users have successfully inserted a template into a web page and thus how many know how to use them.

- *Advanced Search enabled/disabled* – We would like to know how often users use the advanced search functionality (regex search and in-selection search)
- *Analytics enabled/disabled clicked* – If the user has allowed Analytics to be used for a week and then opts-out, we want to know they did it (rather than thinking they stopped using the extension)

In the Google Analytics Dashboard we can plot how many of the total number of users triggered that given event in a given time period.

We could clearly see that a large portion of people use advanced search and the favourites panel. However, only a small portion use templates. This corresponds to the data that we obtained from tracking the page/tab views, where a lot of people accessed the favourites and history tabs, but only a few visited the templates and help tabs.




Figure 4.4: User behaviour and feature usage

We suspect that the data obtained in the figure above will not be very accurate however, because many users will most likely simply experiment with some of the features (especially the advanced search options) at some point, and there is no easy way to separate that data or tell when exactly that is the case.

4.2.3 Domain Tracking

A lot of the feedback messages that were submitted after someone uninstalled the extension were not very useful and often looked something like *"not working"*. This was not very helpful as it does not tell us which were the broken sites that they tried to run the extension on. The analytics that we have been collecting gave us insight into which features of the extension were more used than others but told us nothing about the sites where the extension was actually used.

To get a better idea of which sites are the most frequently used and which should therefore receive more attention (to make sure everything works as expected), we decided to anonymously collect domain names (not URLs, only host names, for privacy reasons). This allowed us to construct a list of the top domain names where the extension is used most frequently.

We added a Google Analytics event that reports the domain name whenever the extension is used. We then combined unique events from each user to create the list.

We used this list to better understand our users. For instance, it led to the discovery of people who were trying to use the extension in Google Docs, and also on localhost for

Jupyter Notebook. These two specific domains and their handling in code is discussed in later chapters.










Event Action		Unique Events	Total Events
		27,493 % of Total: 83.00% (33,123)	124,206 % of Total: 93.29% (133,138)
<input type="checkbox"/>	1.  domain-sites.google.com	789	3.89%
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.  domain-www.ishopping.pk	578	19.84%
<input type="checkbox"/>	3.  domain-www.moj-posao.net	487	0.82%
<input type="checkbox"/>	4.  domain-www.blogger.com	435	1.33%
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.  domain-www.haber.ba	389	0.84%
<input type="checkbox"/>	6.  domain-localhost	344	0.48%
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.  domain-mail.google.com	281	0.26%
<input type="checkbox"/>	8.  domain-www.qu-medical.com	274	0.33%
<input type="checkbox"/>	9.  domain-www.reddit.com	273	0.32%

Figure 4.5: Top domains (1st Jan 2018 – 15th Mar 2018)

Overall, we had predicted a good number of the domains that emerged on top: Blogger, Google Sites, Reddit, and Gmail. Further down the list is also YouTube, Merch.Amazon, and unexpectedly Wikipedia. We did not realize it at first, but Wikipedia’s article editor is missing a find & replace tool, so it makes sense that editors would use our extension to become much more productive while editing.

4.3 Collecting Direct User Feedback

There are various ways we could collect user-submitted feedback. We could have a website that provides a contact email address or asks users for a feedback message and sends the email to our mailbox automatically. This email inbox could get very cluttered and hard to manage, especially since we want to collect various forms of feedback (e.g. did the user recently uninstall the extension, or are they happy and simply want to propose new features?).

We decided to proceed with an alternative solution of creating a support website and storing all submitted feedback messages in a database. This database could later be queried and filtered based on various criteria (time of submission, feedback type – general feedback vs complaint after uninstalling, and similar). This approach greatly simplified feedback evaluation.

We created a static landing page website that presents the extension with relevant links to the browser web stores, and also allows users to submit feedback via a simple form.

The website was deployed using Firebase Hosting [2], which is Google’s hosting solution for deploying static content to its content-delivery network (CDN).




Figure 4.6: Support website landing page

The database used to store the feedback comments is Firebase Realtime Database [3], which is a NoSQL cloud database from the same family of Google products. This allowed us to have a secure place to store our data with minimal setup time. It also allowed us to have an optimized static website with only the required JavaScript SDKs without having to implement a complex back-end server.




Figure 4.7: Uninstall feedback form

At the time of writing, we have received over 400 uninstall feedback messages (submitted via a form that appears when users uninstall the extension) and over 20 general feedback messages (feedback that active users leave after clicking on the feedback link in the extension Help tab or alternatively directly via the website).

Examples of these comments can be found in the appendix (section A.2 and section A.3). Overall, the general feedback comments were positive, and the uninstall feedback comments were mostly negative or were demanding features that they felt were missing.

Out of all the uninstall feedback comments received, about a half was not useful at all. The most common reason was that they were too short or did not contain any useful information (a common message that we received was *"does not work"*). We later tried to mitigate that by enforcing a minimum character limit and preventing submission without an explicit link to the broken website in case users were about to submit a variation of the short *"not working"* message (we spawned a notification in such case).

Surprisingly large number of uninstall feedback comments were written by users who believed that they could use the extension to replace any HTML text rather than just editable one. This was unexpected because we state this fact clearly on the web store page.

4.4 User Study

To learn how first-time users try to use the extension, we created an online challenge³ consisting of five tasks, each involving usage of different parts of the extension's functionality. The first two tasks were focusing on the pure search & replace operation. The remaining were testing additional features in extension's tabs (history, templates, etc.). For instance, to complete the final task one had to transform given paragraph to upper case, save it as a template, and subsequently use the template in their Gmail web app.

Instead of letting people play with the extension on their own (and conducting interviews afterwards), we decided to ask participants to complete our set of tasks and observed their behaviour. The extension targets a specific group of people who frequently edit text, so physically meeting a large number of target users in a short period of time would have been problematic. The reasoning behind our challenge was to force participants to work on tasks that real users very frequently tackle, and thus get them into the right mindset when they are testing our extension, no matter their background (we did not expect participant to be bloggers, Wikipedia editors, or anything else close to that).

This lab study was run with multiple individuals from the School of Informatics at the University of Edinburgh. These users could be described as slightly more advanced as they had working knowledge of regular expressions, yet it was their first time using this extension.

Each participant was invited to come to the lab at a specific time, and each individual session lasted between 10 and 15 minutes. As the participant was working through the tasks of the challenge, we wrote down notes whenever they tried to take an unexpected approach, got stuck, asked for help, or said something out loud.

³<https://find-and-replace-f6588.firebaseio.com/challenge.html>

The results after observing the users and talking to them afterwards clearly showed that even though first-time users had no difficulty using the search & replace user-interface including more advanced functionality, most of them struggled to use the templates panel correctly when they first opened it.




Figure 4.8: Templates panel – Old editing mode

The issue was that when users start editing a template, they must click either the *Save* or *Cancel* icon before they exit (see figure). Many people closed the extension by clicking into the page (the browser closes the pop-up widget in such case – this cannot be overridden), and thus lost their changes.




Figure 4.9: Template panel – New editing mode

We have done some research around the topic and (after reading [18] and [46] and comparing advantages of several approaches) we decided to implement the auto-save pattern with a saving status indicator similar to the one used in Gmail. The indicator switches between the *Saved* and *Saving...* states as saving to storage takes place in the background.

This prevented users from losing their template changes, while still allowing them to revert their edits using the `Ctrl+Z` keyboard shortcut.

To make things slightly more efficient we implemented a debounce function [12] for our template editing mode. This means that instead of attempting to start saving changes immediately we wait a few milliseconds to see if the user is going to continue typing or is taking a break. In the former case, we delay our saving operation.

Our implementation turned out to be slightly more challenging since we allow for multiple templates to be edited at the same time, which means we had to make sure we scope each debounce function to the right component.

4.5 Iteration

After the initial web store release we continued to collect feedback from users, and subsequently kept releasing extension updates, which were fixing bugs and issues that we found, or were adding features to the extension. The full changelog for specific releases can be found below.

About eight releases were a direct response to written user feedback that we had received, submitted either via feedback forms or (in about five cases) via a direct email message. Further, about six releases were linked to discussions with the project supervisor, about two were a reaction to data received through Google Analytics, two were addressing the results of our user study, and the rest of the releases were results of own ideation or simply work that had to be done on the extension.

Changelog

- v1.3.15 – 12th Mar – Fix newline pattern in regex replace
- v1.3.14 – 26th Feb – Add undo action for text-case transform
- v1.3.13 – 26th Feb – Fix text-transform templates usability
- v1.3.12 – 3rd Feb – Implement auto-save in templates panel
- v1.3.11 – 29th Jan – Fix Firefox extension chrome object
- v1.3.10 – 29th Jan – Add text case transform templates
- v1.3.9 – 14th Jan – Support local debug mode for testing
- v1.3.8 – 14th Jan – Fix *No Target* indicator
- v1.3.7 – 13th Jan – Add Google Docs warning notification
- v1.3.6 – 2nd Jan – Add support for single-line input fields
- v1.3.5 – 31st Dec – Add hostname to GA for domain analysis
- v1.3.4 – 19th Dec – Fix *Replace all* with regex groups
- v1.3.3 – 19th Dec – Fix restored favourites not storing state
- v1.3.2 – 18th Dec – Fix undefined regexp groups substitution
- v1.3.1 – 27th Nov – Add info notification for broken sites
- v1.3.0 – 27th Nov – Implement dynamic mark tags based on site
- v1.2.1 – 18th Nov – Add more events to GAnalytics
- v1.2.0 – 18th Nov – Change templates to `execCommand` API
- v1.1.3 – 25th Oct – Fix bugs in replace offsets
- v1.1.2 – 23th Oct – Add help text links
- v1.1.1 – 23th Oct – Add UI hints
- v1.1 – 20th Oct – Disable all debug logs
- v1.0 – 18th Oct – First prototype released
- v0.1 – 13th Sep – Raw dev work started

Chapter 5

Conclusions

The extension has received excellent reviews and at the time of writing is installed on over 7000 active devices (users from both browsers combined), 4.7/5 stars (23 reviews) on the Chrome Web Store and 4.8/5 stars (8 reviews) on the Firefox Web Store. A small sample of these reviews can be found in the appendix (section A.1).

In February it was featured in a Medium article¹ (Medium is an online publishing platform with a mixture of both professional and amateur publications), as well as on the *StartupResources.io* page as the *Tool of the week*.²

I have also received a few minor donations via PayPal from users expressing their support for the extension.

The user growth has not been slowing down at all, and has been steadily growing for both the Chrome and Firefox version. The Chrome version has currently about six times more users than the Firefox version, which makes sense considering the browser market share analysis we mentioned in earlier chapters.




Figure 5.1: Total active devices – Chrome version

¹<https://medium.com/@joisig/startupresources-io-issue-97-36a52e6e8478>

²<http://issues.startupresources.io/archive/93526>




Figure 5.2: Daily active devices – Firefox version

5.1 Further Work

Facebook, Quora, and several other sites use `contenteditables` and keep the text content separately in JavaScript variables. When we insert our highlighting markup, and replace text, their JavaScript immediately restores the previous state (switches back to the original text). We could completely detach their JavaScript listeners, but that stops saving any further changes to the text. This is because the text that is posted is the content of their JavaScript variables, and not the current `contenteditable` content.

We sought help on StackOverflow, but the only suggestion received was to try the `Document.execCommand` API [16], which is a mostly deprecated API that was meant to be replaced by `contenteditable`. It is currently implemented inconsistently across browsers and using it to replace a piece of text involves manipulating the active cursor selection programmatically. Even after a lot of testing it proved very unreliable and even the W3C specification currently advises against using it.

Google Docs, Jupyter Notebook, or websites using the CodeMirror plugin, are also currently broken. These web apps don't use `textareas` or `contenteditable` to store editable text. Instead they implement their own user input handling and then convert, store, and display everything as raw HTML.

Fixing these sites would mean implementing the search & replace functionality for any HTML source, which is something that we excluded from our scope from the very beginning and instead decided to limit our extension targets to the standard editable text elements.

Google Docs implement their built-in find & replace tool anyway. When users try to use the extension on one of the above-mentioned websites that are known to be broken, we spawn a small notification informing the user, and cancel the extension's operation.

5.2 Final Word

Overall, bugs and issues that were found were all fixed in subsequent web store releases of the extension. The issues with the sites mentioned above had no straightforward solutions and were either reaching limits of extension API capabilities or were completely out of scope.

In summary, it makes one feel extremely positive, having developed a tool that is used by thousands of people, and which makes their work faster and more productive every day.

Appendix A

User Feedback Examples

A.1 Web Store Reviews

Here are a few user reviews that were submitted and are now displayed on the Chrome Web Store page.

- Omar Filipovic (Mar 12, 2018): *Excellent and very useful extension! The author is quick to reply, too.*
- Robert Diaz (Feb 19, 2018): *It just works! huge time saver and love the add to favorites feature. Thanks*
- Robert Nader (Nov 1, 2017): *Excellent search & replace extension. The added feature for handling regular expressions is exactly what I was looking for! Thank you!*

Here are a few reviews from the Firefox extension listing page.

- By 3dMax, 4 months ago (Nov 2017): *Thanks, works great! Favourites is a very good idea.*
- By Brainetree, 4 months ago (Nov 2017): *A lot of old favorite extensions not working with the new Quantum Firefox - was looking for a Find extension, so glad I found this one and it works perfectly!*
- By jlvanderzwan, 25 days ago (Feb 2018): *Works fine most of the time, but on some websites it has trouble finding anything - I guess due to JS frameworks messing with the DOM. I don't really blame the add-on for that, although it would be nice if it could support those sites too. perf.zone is a good test case, if you want to try to fix it*

A.2 General Feedback Form Comments

Here are a few comments submitted via the feedback form on the support website. Note that this is the scenario when a user decides to send feedback on their own, without being explicitly asked.

- 11/30/2017: *just installed you webextension on Firefox. Haven't used it yet, but do want to commend you on great documentation!*
- 12/21/2017: *I really love this extension, but it does not work with applications written in AngularJS. in the application all the replacements are done properly, but when one tries to save the changes, all replacements are gone. AngularJS stores the updates when the "change" events are fired on the field. regards Christoph*
- 2/10/2018: *Great extension! The only way to improve it is to make it so it can run multiple search and replace operations saved under favorites. In other words, link several together and run them with a single click.*

A.3 Uninstall Feedback Form Comments

Here are a few comments submitted via the uninstall feedback form. When a user uninstalls the extension, we use the extension API to redirect them to our support website page with a simple uninstall feedback form asking *Why did you uninstall?*.

- 10/24/2017: *couldnt open*
- 11/3/2017: *It did not help me out. I do not know computers well like this stuff.*
- 11/16/2017: *Extension did not work in the program I was using.*
- 11/20/2017: *Text would revert back to original*
- 11/27/2017: *Didn't find editable text in a jupyter notebook*
- 12/11/2017: *didn't use*
- 12/12/2017: *doesnt work for google docs*
- 12/19/2017: *I can use ctrl+F to search the text on page, do not need replace*

Appendix B

Web Store Listing Screenshots

B.1 Chrome Web Store




Figure B.1: Chrome Web Store Listing

B.2 Firefox Web Store




Figure B.2: Firefox Web Store Listing

Bibliography

- [1] Will Boyd. Highlighting Text Inside a Textarea. <http://codersblock.com/blog/highlight-text-inside-a-textarea/>, 2015. [Online; accessed 30-January-2018].
- [2] Google Developers. Firebase Hosting. <https://firebase.google.com/docs/hosting/>. [Online; accessed 26-January-2018].
- [3] Google Developers. Firebase Realtime Database. <https://firebase.google.com/docs/database/>. [Online; accessed 26-January-2018].
- [4] Google Developers. Measurement Protocol Overview. <https://developers.google.com/analytics/devguides/collection/protocol/v1/>, 2015. [Online; accessed 28-January-2018].
- [5] Google Developers. Single page application tracking with gtag.js. <https://developers.google.com/analytics/devguides/collection/gtagjs/single-page-applications>, 2017. [Online; accessed 28-January-2018].
- [6] Google Chrome Developer Docs. Accessibility (a11y). <https://developer.chrome.com/extensions/a11y>. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [7] Google Chrome Developer Docs. Event Pages. https://developer.chrome.com/extensions/event_pages. [Online; accessed 28-January-2018].
- [8] Google Chrome Developer Docs. Extensions Overview. <https://developer.chrome.com/extensions/overview>. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [9] Google Chrome Developer Docs. Message Passing. <https://developer.chrome.com/extensions/messaging>. [Online; accessed 28-January-2018].
- [10] Google Chrome Developer Docs. Tutorial: Debugging. https://developer.chrome.com/extensions/tut_debugging. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [11] Google Chrome Developer Docs. What are extensions? <https://developer.chrome.com/extensions>. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [12] John Dugan. Demystifying Debounce in JavaScript. <https://john-dugan.com/javascript-debounce/>, 2015. [Online; accessed 3-February-2018].
- [13] finnur@chromium.org. Chromium Bugs - Allow any extension to make use of the openPopup API. <https://bugs.chromium.org/p/chromium/issues/detail?id=399859>. [Online; accessed 28-January-2018].

- [14] Google. Material Design. <https://material.io/>. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [15] Ariya Hidayat. PhantomJS. <http://phantomjs.org/>. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [16] Aryeh Gregor Johannes Wilm. W3C - execCommand. <https://w3c.github.io/editing/execCommand.html>. [Online; accessed 26-January-2018].
- [17] Julian Khnel. mark.js - JavaScript keyword highlighter. <https://markjs.io/>. [Online; accessed 30-January-2018].
- [18] Ben McMahan. Auto-save in your Web Application. <http://blog.benmcmahan.com/post/43174272622/auto-save-in-your-web-application>. [Online; accessed 3-February-2018].
- [19] Bob Meliev. Automation testing Chrome extensions. <https://releasematic.wordpress.com/2013/12/29/automation-testing-chrome-extensions/>, 2013. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [20] Mozilla Developer Network. Anatomy of an extension. https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/Add-ons/WebExtensions/Anatomy_of_a_WebExtension. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [21] Mozilla Developer Network. Browser Extensions. <https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/Add-ons/WebExtensions>. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [22] Mozilla Developer Network. Chrome incompatibilities. https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/Add-ons/WebExtensions/Chrome_incompatibilities. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [23] Mozilla Developer Network. contenteditable. https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTML/Global_attributes/contenteditable. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [24] Mozilla Developer Network. Document.activeElement - Web APIs. <https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/Document/activeElement>. [Online; accessed 30-January-2018].
- [25] Mozilla Developer Network. HTML <input>. <https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTML/Element/input>. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [26] Mozilla Developer Network. HTML <textarea>. <https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTML/Element/textarea>. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [27] Mozilla Developer Network. Making content editable. https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/Guide/HTML/Editable_content. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [28] Mozilla Developer Network. Regular Expression - JavaScript. https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Guide/Regular_Expressions. [Online; accessed 28-January-2018].

- [29] Mozilla Developer Network. String.prototype.replace() - JavaScript. https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/JavaScript/Reference/Global_Objects/String/replace#Specifying_a_string_as_a_parameter. [Online; accessed 30-January-2018].
- [30] Facebook Open Source. Forms - React. <https://reactjs.org/docs/forms.html>. [Online; accessed 26-January-2018].
- [31] Facebook Open Source. JSX in Depth. <https://reactjs.org/docs/jsx-in-depth.html>. [Online; accessed 26-January-2018].
- [32] Facebook Open Source. React - A JavaScript library for building user interfaces. <https://reactjs.org/>. [Online; accessed 26-January-2018].
- [33] Iubenda s.r.l. Privacy & Cookie Policy Generator. <https://www.iubenda.com/en>, 2015. [Online; accessed 30-January-2018].
- [34] StatCounter. Desktop Browser Market Share Worldwide. <http://gs.statcounter.com/browser-market-share/desktop/worldwide/#monthly-201712-201712-bar>, 2017. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [35] Oliver Switzer. WebDriverIO - Can't override config for adding a chrome extension using Launcher API. <https://github.com/webdriverio/webdriverio/issues/2108>. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [36] Babel Open Source Team. Babel - The compiler for writing next generation JavaScript. <https://babeljs.io/>. [Online; accessed 26-January-2018].
- [37] Facebook Open Source Team. Jest Snapshot Testing. <https://facebook.github.io/jest/docs/en/snapshot-testing.html>. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [38] Mocha Open Source Team. Mocha - the fun, simple, flexible JavaScript test framework. <https://mochajs.org/>. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [39] The Selenium Project Team. Selenium - Web Browser Automation. <http://www.seleniumhq.org/>. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [40] WebDriverIO Open Source Team. WebDriverIO - WebDriver bindings for Node.js. <http://webdriver.io/>. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [41] Webpack Open Source Team. Webpack - JavaScript module bundler. <https://webpack.js.org/>. [Online; accessed 26-January-2018].
- [42] Jorge Villalobos. Using Google Analytics in Extensions. <https://blog.mozilla.org/addons/2016/05/31/using-google-analytics-in-extensions/>, 2016. [Online; accessed 28-January-2018].
- [43] Wikipedia. Selenium WebDriver. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Selenium_\(software\)#Selenium_WebDriver](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Selenium_(software)#Selenium_WebDriver). [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].
- [44] Wikipedia. Usage share of web browsers. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Usage_share_of_web_browsers. [Online; accessed 26-January-2018].

- [45] Johannes Wilm. The Editing taskforce. <https://w3c.github.io/editing/>, 2017. [Online; accessed 25-January-2018].
- [46] Ryan Wu. Autosave or Save Button, distraction or final decision? <https://edlab.tc.columbia.edu/blog/13231-Autosave-or-Save-Button-distraction-or-final-decision>, 2017. [Online; accessed 3-February-2018].
- [47] Vitali Zaidman. An Overview of JavaScript Testing in 2017. <https://medium.com/powtoon-engineering/a-complete-guide-to-testing-javascript-in-2017-a217b4cd5a2a>. [Online; accessed 27-January-2018].