## $\ensuremath{^\prime e\text{-}casa}$ ' - Eco-friendly Household Waste System

Group 6

A project report in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the subject

Systems Engineering (BSS 410)

in the

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, BUILT ENVIRONMENT, AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

University of Pretoria

 $\mbox{\'e-}casa\mbox{\'e}$ - Eco-friendly Household Waste System

## **Executive Summary**

The aim of this project is to create a household waste system System of that will not only reduce the waste produced by a generic household but do so by increasing value to the user. The final deliverable for this project will be a report that details the needs analysis, conceptual design, feasibility and risk assessment of the designed system. The coneptual design will be done with the use of *Core 9* to capture all identified needs accurately and produce system design documentation.

## Contents

| Li | st of | Figure   | es                                    | v   |
|----|-------|----------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| Li | st of | Tables   | s                                     | vi  |
| A  | crony | yms      |                                       | vii |
| 1  | Intr  | oducti   | ion and Background                    | 1   |
|    | 1.1   | Proble   | em Background                         | 1   |
|    | 1.2   | Projec   | et Objective                          | 1   |
| 2  | Con   | cept I   | Development Stage                     | 2   |
|    | 2.1   | Needs    | Analysis Phase                        | 2   |
|    |       | 2.1.1    | Operational Need Analysis             | 2   |
|    |       | 2.1.2    | Operational Objectives                | 3   |
|    |       | 2.1.3    | Functional Definition                 | 3   |
|    |       | 2.1.4    | Feasibility Definition                | 4   |
|    |       | 2.1.5    | Need Validation                       | 5   |
|    | 2.2   | Conce    | pt Exploration                        | 6   |
|    |       | 2.2.1    | Operational Requirements Analysis     | 6   |
|    |       | 2.2.2    | Performance Requirements Formulation  | 6   |
|    |       | 2.2.3    | Implementation of Concept Exploration | 7   |
|    |       | 2.2.4    | Performance Requirements Validation   | 9   |
|    | 2.3   | Conce    | pt Definition                         | 12  |
|    |       | 2.3.1    | Performance Requirement Analysis      | 12  |
|    |       | 2.3.2    | Functional Analysis and Formulation   | 12  |
|    |       | 2.3.3    | Concept Selection                     | 12  |
|    |       | 2.3.4    | Concept Validation                    | 12  |
| 3  | Eng   | rineerii | ng Development Phase                  | 13  |
| Ŭ  | 3.1   |          | nced Development Phase                | 14  |
|    | 0.1   | 3.1.1    | Requirements Analysis                 | 14  |
|    |       | 3.1.2    | Functional Analysis and Design        | 14  |
|    |       | 3.1.3    | Prototype Development                 | 14  |
|    |       | 3.1.4    | Development Testing                   | 15  |
|    | 3.2   |          | eering Procurement Phase              | 15  |
|    | J.2   | 3.2.1    | Requirements Analysis                 | 15  |
|    |       | 3.2.2    | Functional Analysis and Design        | 15  |
|    |       | 3.2.3    | Component Design                      | 15  |
|    |       | 3.2.4    | Design Validation                     | 15  |
|    | 3.3   |          | ation and Evaluation Phase            | 15  |

|   |      | 3.3.1   | Test Planning and Preparation              | 15 |
|---|------|---------|--|----|
|   |      | 3.3.2   | System Integration                         | 15 |
|   |      | 3.3.3   | Developmental System Testing               | 15 |
|   |      | 3.3.4   | Operational Test and Evaluation            | 15 |
| 4 | Pos  | t Deve  | elopment Stage                             | 16 |
|   | 4.1  | Produ   | ction and Deployment Phase                 | 16 |
|   |      | 4.1.1   | Transitions from Development to Production | 16 |
|   |      |         | Production Operations                      |    |
|   | 4.2  |         | tions and Support Phase                    |    |
| 5 | Soft | tware 1 | Design and System Dynamics                 | 17 |
|   | 5.1  | Core 9  | System Design Structure                    | 17 |
|   | 5.2  | Syster  | n Dynamics Analysis                        | 17 |
|   | 5.3  | -       | ed Elements for System Dynamics Analysis   |    |
|   | 5.4  |         | ivity Analysis                             |    |
| 6 | Cor  | clusio  | n  | 18 |
| A | Son  | ne data | a as appendix                              | 20 |

## List of Figures

| 2.1 | Objective Tree Structure                 |
|-----|--|
| 2.2 | e-casa Objective Tree Structure          |
| 2.3 | Household separation recycling bin       |
| 2.4 | Household grey water system              |
| 2.5 | Outdoor Household Composter (220 litres) |
| 2.6 | Provide waste sortation and storage      |
| 2.7 | Reduce water consumption                 |
| 2.8 | Provide long-term cost savings function  |
| 3.1 | System Diagram                           |

## List of Tables

| 2.1 | System Performance Metrics               | 7  |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.2 | System Alternatives for Functional Tasks | 10 |
| 2.3 | Functional subsystem elements            | 12 |
| 3.1 | Functional subsystem elements            | 14 |

## Acronyms

**e-casa** Eco-friendly Household Waste System

FBD Functional Block Diagram

**SD** System Dynamics

## Introduction and Background

#### 1.1 Problem Background

Households consume a variety of products and create different wastes. Products may take the form of food items, municipal water, electricity and other consumables which are either used up or discarded after use. Products discarded after use, such as excess food, food and beverage packaging and water exit the household as forms of waste. Food clippings from preparing dinner or paper-based packaging is often discarded into dustbins alongside plastic and other waste types to be collected and transported to a dump site. Water used at bathroom sinks or showers is sent directly to the the municipal water system after use to be disposed of. Each type of waste generated by a typical residential household requires proceeding systems that will either store, repurpose or discard the waste.

Recent trends have made the consumer market ecologically aware of their own waste generation and many systems have been created to reduce the total waste output of a household. Such products include grey water systems, recycling bins and compost containers. There is a growing need for these means to be adpoted but presently no solution on the market that integrates all means into one comprehensive system for homeowners.

Because these systems operate independently, the outputs of one system are often not used as inputs to another. Integrating these systems to operate together could provide more value to the user and further reduce household waste. For example: using food clippings (to create a compost heap) and grey water to grow vegetables in one's garden instead of disposing food clippings in a general waste bin and discarding water to the municipal water system directly after its first use. This eliminates the need for compost to be purchased from a store and reduces water consumption.

#### 1.2 Project Objective

The objective of this project is therefore to investigate the possibility of integrating these different systems as one. The intention is to determine whether the potential cost and resource use savings to be earned from such an integrated system outweigh the financial cost and initial inconvenience to install.

## Concept Development Stage

#### 2.1 Needs Analysis Phase

#### 2.1.1 Operational Need Analysis

Eco-friendly Household Waste System (e-casa) is a sustainable household waste management system intended to fulfuill the increasingly prevalent need for sustainable living. The need for individuals and households to consume and dispose of resources in a more sustainable manner is becoming ever more pertinent because of increasing strain on the world's most essential natural resources such as water and fossil fuels. The need is driven by the requirement for individuals (and society as a whole) to reduce their negative impact on the environment by re-use, recycling and minimising resource use as these natural resources become more scarce. Successfully meeting this need will not only improve living conditions for societies but importantly alleviate pressure on governments and municipalities who have to manage and distribute these water and electricity resources to the public. Although regulation does not yet fully drive this need, government encourages and sometimes imposes restrictions to encourage responsible water and electricity use. This is important to develop a sustainable mindset and awareness of waste generation. Because regulation is still limited on the use of these resources, the onus lies with society and individuals who are aware of and want to mitigate their negative impact on the environment.

e-casa is aimed at fulfilling the operational need of sustainable living for households by providing a system that makes it possible to manage and dispose of waste responsibly while simultaneously minimising water consumption. e-casa is also expected to provide long-term financial benefits to the user in the form of reduced water consumption costs and grocery bills (with some homegrown foods). Furthermore, there is the expected intangible benefit of the system - knowing one's adverse impact on the environment is mitigated.

Due to a lack of solutions currently on the market, there is an opportunity to enter a gap in the market with e-casa. However, this gap is anticipated to be small in size as this product will mainly be aimed at high income individuals aware of (and wanting to reduce) their impact on the environment. There is also an opportunity to market this system as a product to middle class individuals who have sufficient capital to purchase such a system now in order to reap the aforementioned financial savings down the line. However, this extension will likely only be possible if the initial cost of the system is not too high for these individuals and the financial savings are substantial enough to persuade this group.

#### 2.1.2 Operational Objectives

The overarching objective that e-casa is intended to fulfil is to provide sustainable household waste management. This objective is deconstructed into three primary objectives, namely: Provide Waste Sortation and Storage, Reduce Water Consumption and Provide long-term cost savings. Figure 2.2 shows the operational objectives of the e-casa system.

\*We need an objective tree of our own here that resembles Figure 2.1. Figure 2.2 is a work in progress of that so far.




Figure 6.3. Objectives tree structure.

Figure 2.1: Objective Tree Structure

#### 2.1.3 Functional Definition

e-casa needs to perform the following functions in order to achieve the objectives layed out in the objective tree:

**Provide Waste Sortation and Storage** - The system must provide the capability for waste to be sorted according to its composition: paper, plastic, glass, organic material and unusable waste. Organic material must be reatained to be used in a composter and recyclable waste stored temporarily in its respective category for it to be later removed from the household system and passed on to the local municipality waste collection service.

**Reduce Water Consumption** - To achieve this objective a grey water system will need to perform the functions of receiving used water, processing this water (to be re-used again), storing the water until it is demanded at an outlet and directing the water from storage to the necessary outlet when it is demanded.

**Provide Long-term Cost Savings** - The use of a grey water system alone will provide long-term cost savings by means of reduced water consumption. Therefore if the objective




Figure 2.2: e-casa Objective Tree Structure

of reduced water consumption is met with a grey water system that fulfills the necessary functionality, the household will demand less water from the municipality and cost savings will be realised immediately during system use. However, to provide long-term cost savings in other terms, e-casa will need to effectively integrate grey water, composting and waste recycling to use each other's outputs as inputs and reduce the amount of external resources such as compost, vegetables and water to required to be used as inputs to the household system.

Effective utilisation (re-use) of water and organic waste will reduce the amount of water that needs to be purchased from the municipality, compost that needs to be purchased and eventually groceries that need to be bought. This functionality is essential to reduce the household's input costs and provide tangible financial value to the system user.

#### 2.1.4 Feasibility Definition

At present there are household recycling bins available on the market that separate waste into the necessary categories. The technology is therefore currently available and feasible as a solution to the functions of waste sortation and storage. A recycling bin similar to that shown in Figure 2.3 would likely be purchased and used in the e-casa system.

There are also existing grey water systems available for purchase online or in-store. However, the setup of these systems and the size of its components usually vary case-to-case dependent on the appliances to be linked to the system, the size of the storage tank required by the household and the quality of the filtration component to be installed. Components of a grey water system can be easily purchased by any individual, but some degree of expertise and knowledge in the field is usually required to correctly size components, determine how they will function together and physically setup the system with the household's present water supply system.

The design for e-casa's expected to be much like the one in Figure 2.4 with linking to the household's shower/bath, basins, washing machine, outdoor tap and toilet. A number of different household composters are available on the South African market. These composters



Figure 2.3: Household separation recycling bin




Figure 2.4: Household grey water system

are easily accessible and available online and in hardware stores. It is assumed for the time being that a 220 *litre* composter (shown in Figure 2.5) will be of sufficient size to store a household's organic and garden refuse waste.

#### 2.1.5 Need Validation

**Design Validation** What we need to do: Define metrics to evaluate performance rquirements. Present scenarios and evaluate what the metrics would do.

To ensure the proposed system can fufill the identified need, metrics have been developed that will be used to evaluate the performance of the system. The five metrics in Table 2.1 are to be evaluated using different hypothetical scenarios. These scenarios reflect expected environmental conditions and consideration of the metrics in conjunction with these scenarios



Figure 2.5: Outdoor Household Composter (220 litres)

is used to determine the appropriateness of the system. The hypothetical scanarios are as follows:

Long term system failure - The water and waste savings will be realised within the first usage cycle, meaning once the system has re-used waste and waste, the saving immediately materialises. The return on investment is expected to be achieved before the onset of long term system failure. The likelihood of system failure can be decreased with continued maintenance of the system.

External market competition - No other competitors are presently offering an integrated system however, there are suppliers offering parts of the system. This implies that other suppliers can match or better the household service cost of the e-casa system. The reduced cost of implementing e-casa shall lie in the simple integration with current household systems. Customers would not require large renovations to install the system, reducing the over cost and improving the return on investment making the system marketable.

#### 2.2 Concept Exploration

#### 2.2.1 Operational Requirements Analysis

analysing the stated operational requirements in terms of their objectives. Restating, redefining or amplifying (as required) to provide specificity, independence and consistency among different objectives

#### 2.2.2 Performance Requirements Formulation

Translating operational requirements into subsystem functions and defining a necessary and sufficient set of performance characteristics reflecting the functions essential to meeting the system's operational requirements. Formulating the performance parameters required to meet the stated operational requirements.

Table 2.1: System Performance Metrics

| Metric Category               | Unit Measure | Description  |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Water savings                 | litres       | The volume of water saved is calculated as the reduction in the volume of water that enters the household.   |
| Organic waste reduction       | kilogram     | The total mass of organic waste re-used by means of composting is the reduction in household waste output.   |
| Disposable waste reduction    | kilogram     | The total mass of plastic, paper and glass respectively represent disposal waste reduction. It is assumed firstly, that all disposable materials were not previously recycled and that secondly, all disposable materials will be recycled by the municipality's garbage management system once the system is installed.   |
| Household service cost saving | Rands        | The reduced water consumption of the household (from the municipality's system) and the re-used organic waste helps to perform household activities that would otherwise require a homeowner to purchase water, compost and vegetables. This includes the municipal water used in the household and compost for a vegetable garden. Household service cost saving is thus the total monetary savings obtained from reduced water use, self-composting and not having to purchase vegetables as frequently. |
| Payback Period                | years        | The payback period is defined as the period of time it takes for the financial savings generated by the system, to equal the initial cost of purchasing and installing the e-casa system.  |

Subsystem functions are allocated and represented by the following Functional Block Diagram (FBD)s:

#### 2.2.3 Implementation of Concept Exploration

Exploring a range of feasible implementation technologies and concepts offering a variety of potentially advantageous options.

Recycling and Waste Disposal Technologies - The recycling and waste disposal subsystem can be expanded to include metal and electronic waste bins as well. However, garbage bins with more than three bins to separate waste are not commercially available and this type of garbage bin would therefore have to be designed and built. It is particularly advantageous to simply purchase any already existent multiple-container garbage bin because the costs that would need to be incurred to design a new one do not appear to outweigh the financial or intangible benefits to be gained. It is also expected that most households will




Figure 2.6: Provide waste sortation and storage




Figure 2.7: Reduce water consumption

not have enough physical space to house such a large bin and if a household did, it would be easy to purchase two three-container bins for use. Furthermore, metal and electronice waste is often found in significantly smaller quantities than more populous, plastic, paper and glass




Figure 2.8: Provide long-term cost savings function

waste and it is difficulty to justify separate containers for these two waste categories as they will likely only be emptied a couple times a year.

Grey water system technologies -Composting Options -

#### 2.2.4 Performance Requirements Validation

Conducting effectiveness analyses to define a set of performance requirements that accommodate the full range of desirable system concepts and validating the conformity of these requirements with the stated operational objectives and refining the requirements if necessary.

iiiiiii Updated upstream The most suitable alternative for each of the system aspects addressed in the section above will be chosen to form the the system. The system as whole must be as cost effective as possible. However, quality should not be sacrificed for unreliable components. The grey water system components will be selected with the intention of a minimum 50 year project life for each, as per industry piping standards. This is important to ensure the system does require repairs over the life of the project, only maintenance. The labour and expertise required to make system repairs for the installed grey water system are expected to be costly and should be avoided. ======= The most suitable alternative for each of the system aspects addressed in the section above will be chosen to form the the system:

#### Recycling and waste disposal tasks

For waste sortation, alternative one will be selected in order to save space and keep the garbage bin as small as possible to fit into the household's kitchen.

Table 2.2: System Alternatives for Functional Tasks

| Functional Task                           | Alternative 1   | Alternative 2  |
|---|---|--|
| Waste sortation and storage               | One garbage bin with multiple compartments  | Multiple garbage bins each with a single compartment   |
| Receive water                             | Four separate pipes from (bath, shower, basin and washing machine) that connect to the central grey water storage tank  | One pipe that merges bathroom appliance outputs and one kitchen pipe. These two pipes connect to the central grey water tank   |
| Process used water                        | Filter grey water to be sent back to the washing machine for use  | Do not purchase a filter and only use<br>grey water in the garden for com-<br>posting and plant watering   |
| Store processed water                     | Large central tank to store all water<br>received until it is needed again by<br>the washing machine or in the gar-<br>den  | Small central tank to store used water only for the garden   |
| Direct water                              | Three-way valve to direct grey water back to the washing machine, to an outside water tap to be used in a compost heap and to the garden's sprinkler system to water the vegetable patch          | Two-way valve to direct grey water back to outside water tap to be used in a compost heap and to the garden's sprinkler system to water the vegetable patch  |
| Re-use water                              | Grey water system makes water available for re-use at necessary outlet points and human user is required to re-use water  | Grey water system makes used water available for re-use at necessary outlet points in the required quantities at specific times to eliminate the need for the user to retrieve the water and use it themselves |
| Integrate water, recycling and composting | N/A - Alternatives explored by other system functions   | N/A - Alternatives explored by other system functions  |
| Combine composting materials              | Purchase a residential size compost<br>container that combines the neces-<br>sary materials to produce compost  | Create a large compost heap in the household's garden to store and mix compost materials   |
| Grow vegetables                           | User must collect water from the outside garden tap in the necessary quantity and use it to water the vegetable patch. Compost collected and filled in the vegetable patch manually by human user | Connect grey water storage tank directly to garden sprinkler system to be activated by the human user by the flick of a switch. Compost collected and filled in the vegetable patch manually by human user     |

#### Grey water tasks

To receive water, the alternative with the least invasive way of changing the household's current piping system will be selected (which is anticipated to be?). With regards to the processing of used water, alternative two will be selected. This is because the filter is a

significant expense and will require frequent maintenance. The significant expense and inconvenience of alternative one is anticipated to outweigh its financial benefit as this would only save water being demanded by the household's washing machine.

Alternative two for used water storage goes hand-in-hand with alternative two for water processing. Because a filter will not be purchased for the grey water system, a smaller central tank will be used to temporarily store used water until it is demanded for vegetable garden and composting activities. Alternative one is chosen for the re-use water function. Water will be made available for re-use by the grey water system that will make it available at the outside garden tap and sprinkler system for the user. It is then up to the user to use (re-use) this water as best possible.

Alternative two would provide more value to the system user but would require substantial, research, financial investment and testing to develop and automated system that caters specifically to the household's unique needs. For the direct water function, alternative two will be selected as only a two-way valve will be needed if water is not to be re-directed from the storage tank to the washing machine for use.

#### Financial savings related tasks

The function of integrating water, composting and recycling will be performed both by hardware and the human user. Hardware (piping) will be used to connect the grey water subsystem directly to the outside sprinkler system surrounding the vegetable patch and to an outside tap for garden water usage. Grey water directed to the outside tap will need to be collected in the necessary quantity by the human user and input into the compost container. The human user will also be required to create the link between the recycling system and the compost system by means of physically transporting paper waste, garden refuse and food clippings to the compost container. There is no alternative for this option because alternatives for integrating these subsystems in different ways are explored through the other function tasks.

Alternative one will be selected to combine composting materials. Although a large garden compost heap may produce more compost than a smaller compost container, the smaller residential compost container is more convenient for the human user. To maintain a large compost heap in the garden (alternative two) is not practical for all households due to: space restrictions, the need for a structure to protect the compost from weather conditions that will change the balance of water in the heap and the cost of such a structure. The function of combining the composting materials will be performed by the human user who will place the required amounts of dry materials, food waste, paper and brown materials into the residential size compost container.

The task of growing vegetables will need to be performed by the human user. However, fulfilling this function will be made easier for the human user by selecting alternative two that will connect the household's water sprinkler system directly to the grey water storage tank. This way the user will just have to flick a switch to turn on the sprinklers and water the vegetable garden instead of manually collecting water from a tap and watering the growing vegetables. Although alternative two is expected to be more expensive because of the hardware required, it is expected to add a significant benefit to the system user in the form of convenience. The compost to grow vegetables will have to be collected out of the bottom of the compost container by the human user and placed into the vegetable patch. This is because there is not yet a way to automate this process feasibly on such a small scale.

The system as whole must be as cost effective as possible. However, quality should not be sacrificed for unreliable components. The grey water system components will be selected with the intention of a minimum 50 year project life for each, as per industry piping standards

The alternatives chosen to fulfil the functions (tasks) are the biometric scanner for fingerprinting, a touch screen for the data input, a receipt printer and portable card machine for payments as well as a cash alternative feed, a built-in camera and scanner to take pictures and to scan documents and lastly, a hard drive for the data storage. These alternatives were chosen with the multi objectivity of suitability, convenience, ease of use and a consideration of cost effectiveness in terms of meeting the requirements without over-designing for its purpose.

#### 2.3 Concept Definition

#### 2.3.1 Performance Requirement Analysis

Relating analysed spesifications to operation needs

#### 2.3.2 Functional Analysis and Formulation

Allocating subsystem functions to the component level in terms of system functional elements and defining element interactions, developing functional architectural products, and formulating preliminary functional requirements corresponding to the assigned functions.

Table 2.3: Functional subsystem elements

| Subsystem | Class function | Element Function | Physical Elements |
|-----------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
|           |                |                  |                   |
|           |                |                  |                   |

#### 2.3.3 Concept Selection

Synthesizing alternative technological approaches and component configurations designed to performance requirements; developing physical architectural products; and conducting trade-off studies among performance, risk, cost, and schedule to select the preferred system concept, defined in terms of components and architectures.

#### 2.3.4 Concept Validation

Conducting system analyses and simulations to confirm that the selected concept meets requirements and is superior to its competitors and refining the concept as may be necessary.

## **Engineering Development Phase**

analysing the system functional specifications with regard to their derivation from operational and performance requirements and the validity of their translation into subsystem functional requirement identifying components requiring development

The system's functional specifications are translated into three subsystem functional requirements:

Grey Water subsystem - This system interfaces with the household's municipal water supply which is its primary input. It is also connected to multiple household components, namely: the washing machine, bath/shower, toilet cistern and garden. This subsystem has three functional capabilities. The first being to store grey water in a central tank to be recycled (re-used) in the system, secondly to sort re-usable water from unusable waste water and finally to transfer both the re-usable and unusable water in the subsystem to the appropriate destination (component). This subsystem also feeds grey water to the next subsystem (compost).

Compost subsystem - The compost subsystem interfaces with the household's garden and organic material stockpile components. It uses organic materials from the material stockpile to provide compost to the garden component. It's primary capabilities are to store organic materials and provide some form of visual management for the user to know when there is sufficient compost for the storage container to be emptied. This subsystem is connected to the grey-water subsystem which provides grey water as an input as well as to the Recycling and waste disposal subsystem which provides paper and other organic waste to the compost system.

Recycling and waste disposal subsystem - This subsystem interfaces with the house-hold's kitchen as a component in order to receive all household waste as an input. It also intrefaces with the municipal recycling system at the point where waste is placed on the sidewalk for collection by the municipality's waste collection service. Its primary capability is to sort household waste into the relevant categories for re-use or disposal. Paper recyclables and organic waste collected by this subsystem are output to be used in the compost subsystem while other recyclables and non-recylable waste is disposed of to the municipal recycling system.




Figure 3.1: System Diagram

#### 3.1 Advanced Development Phase

- 3.1.1 Requirements Analysis
- 3.1.2 Functional Analysis and Design
- 3.1.3 Prototype Development

Table 3.1: Selected alternatives and their associated risks

| Selected Alternative | Associated Risk |
|----------------------|-----------------|
|                      |                 |
|                      |                 |
|                      |                 |
|                      |                 |

Table 3.2: Selected alternatives and their associated risks

| Associated Risk | Risk Mitigation |
|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 |                 |
|                 |                 |
|                 |                 |
|                 |                 |

- 3.1.4 Development Testing
- 3.2 Engineering Procurement Phase
- 3.2.1 Requirements Analysis
- 3.2.2 Functional Analysis and Design
- 3.2.3 Component Design
- 3.2.4 Design Validation
- 3.3 Integration and Evaluation Phase
- 3.3.1 Test Planning and Preparation
- 3.3.2 System Integration
- 3.3.3 Developmental System Testing
- 3.3.4 Operational Test and Evaluation

## Post Development Stage

- 4.1 Production and Deployment Phase
- 4.1.1 Transitions from Development to Production
- 4.1.2 Production Operations
- 4.2 Operations and Support Phase

Installation and test (system integration site, internal and external, disruptive or nondisruptive installation, early system operational difficulties encountered or that could be encountered, operational personnel) Logistics, Support and Maintenance schedule System Upgrades (hard and software upgrade plans)

## Software Design and System Dynamics

This phase is required to study the behaviour and structure of a system by carrying out sensitivity analysis tests. Only select critical elements or more as deemed fit from the designed system and apply to these to the modelling capability of a chosen software. Your algorithm/software approach should be such that a change in the value of one element's input can be quantitatively seen in other elements within the network. [Use software such as: Anylogic, Vensim, Stella, Dynamo++ etc] Phase II is tied to your ability to demonstrate sensitivity analysis, structure and behaviour of a system resulting from a change in one or more parameters of some system elements.

#### 5.1 Core 9 System Design Structure

#### 5.2 System Dynamics Analysis

==35 marks==

#### 5.3 Selected Elements for System Dynamics Analysis

==10/35marks== Reason for selecting these elements in a situation where the new network for SD differs from the main designed network ==5/35marks==

#### 5.4 Sensitivity Analysis

==20/35marks== Simulation runs to demonstrate sensitivity analysis, changed system behaviour etc

## Conclusion

## Bibliography

[Fischer] Fischer, G. Life cycle assessments of plastic pipe systems in the field of utility and building technology.

# Appendix A Some data as appendix