

GRC100-M3-3-GRC Presentation

[Start Assignment](#)

-
- **Due** No Due Date
-
-
-

-
- **Points** 25
-
-
-

-
- **Submitting** a file upload
-
-
-

-
- **File Types** ppt, pptx, and pdf
-

GRC Presentation

Create a 10 slide presentation that highlights what corporate governance you might recommend to a financial organization to protect sensitive data and information. For each item you choose, explain why it should be considered and how it would align with a regulatory compliance requirement.

Examples to consider:

1.
 1. Privacy Policies
 2. Identification Procedures
 3. Access Control Policies
 4. Customer Disclosure Notifications
 5. Acceptable Use Policies (employees & consultants)
 6. Non-Disclosure Agreements
 7. Confidentiality Agreements

Submission: Submit the completed slide presentation.

Rubric

Presentation

Presentation

| Criteria | Ratings | | | | Pts |
|--|--|---|---|--|--------|
| <p>This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome</p> <p>Organization/Formatting</p> <p>Was the slideshow was laid out properly? Was the presentation organized in a way that made it easy and clear for the audience to read?</p> | | | | | 10 pts |
| | <p>10 pts</p> <p>Excellent</p> <p>Presentation properly formatted. Contains all relevant sections, content well laid out.</p> | <p>7 pts</p> <p>Good</p> <p>Contains all relevant sections, layout difficult to follow. Some formatting issues.</p> | <p>4 pts</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Some sections missing or content lacking. Formatting inconsistent throughout.</p> | <p>0 pts</p> <p>Needs Improvement</p> <p>Content not split into sections. No formatting.</p> | |
| <p>This criterion is linked to a Learning Outcome</p> <p>Content</p> <p>Was the content in each of the sections relevant for that audience? Executives, C-Suite, IR Team, etc.</p> | | | | | 5 pts |
| | <p>5 pts</p> <p>Excellent</p> <p>All sections contained the proper detail and was written correctly for the target audience.</p> | <p>3 pts</p> <p>Good</p> <p>Content was either too technical or not detailed enough in some sections.</p> | <p>2 pts</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Content missing or seriously lacking for one or more sections.</p> | <p>0 pts</p> <p>Needs Improvement</p> <p>Sections left blank</p> | |

Presentation

| Criteria | Ratings | | | | Pts |
|---|--|--|--|--|-------|
| This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeVisuals Was the presentation visually appealing? This includes both the visual appearance and the appropriate use of charts, graphs, etc | | | | | 5 pts |
| | 5 pts Excellent Presentation visually appealing, appropriate use of charts, graphs, etc. | 3 pts Good Presentation not well presented, or charts, graphs lacking appropriate detail | 2 pts Fair Too few graphs or other visuals | 0 pts Needs Improvement No graphs or other visuals | |
| | | | | | |
| This criterion is linked to a Learning OutcomeSpelling/Grammar Appropriate spelling and grammar usage. | | | | | 5 pts |
| | 5 pts Excellent No noticeable spelling or grammar errors. | 3 pts Good Minimal spelling or grammar errors | 2 pts Fair Noticeable spelling or grammar errors | 0 pts Needs Improvement Unacceptable number of spelling or grammar errors. | |
| | | | | | |
| Total Points: 25 | | | | | |

PreviousNext