## APP200-M4-1-Assignment-Pen Test Against a Target

**Due** No Due Date **Points** 25 **Submitting** a text entry box or a file upload

File Types pdf, doc, and docx

# **Exercise Objective(s)**

By the end of this lab, the student should be able to:

©EO1: Conduct a penetration test of a target environment

### **Abstract**

It is time to apply everything learned throughout the course and perform a penetration testing engagement against a machine. This will require writing a report on what was discovered. Remember to provide kudos when there was something the client did successfully.

# System Requirements & Configuration System Requirements

This lab will require a **Metasploitable3** VM and a Kali Linux VM.

### **Network Requirements**

This lab should be done on a local Virtual Machine using NAT or NAT Network.

## **Software Requirements**

Any browser and access to the command prompt/terminal.

# Procedure - Detailed Lab Steps Setup

This Lab/CTF is based entirely on **Metasploitable3**, accessible from here: <a href="https://github.com/rapid7/metasploitable3">https://github.com/rapid7/metasploitable3</a> <a href="https://github.com/rapid7/metasploitable3">https://github.com/rapid7/metasploitable3</a>).

Download and deploy an instance of Metasploitable3, the same way that was done for Metasploitable in earlier labs. The default login is **vagrant**:

Using Kali Linux, or a different preferred attack machine, conduct a penetration test/CTF of the Metasploitable3 target machine.

#### **Lab Execution**

Metasploitable3 is designed as a CTF-style VM with 13 images of playing cards; the objective is to exploit the vulnerabilities and obtain as many cards as possible in the given four-hour time window:



#### **Base Lab**

As with other labs and versions of Metasploitable, there are built-in weaknesses that are exploitable with Metasploit. Use reconnaissance, mapping, scanning, and exploitation methodologies to attack the target, gain access, escalate privilege, achieve persistence, and identify as many vulnerabilities as possible within the given timeframe.

**Submission:** Submit a word document or pdf write-up detailing the discovered exploits/vulnerabilities.

### **Advanced Lab**

Perform the base lab objectives without using the Metasploit Framework at all.

### References

#### <u>https://github.com/rapid7/metasploitable3</u> <u>→ (https://github.com/rapid7/metasploitable3)</u>

File Upload	Text Entry
Upload a file,	or choose a file you've already uploaded.
Choose File	No file chosen
+ Add A	nother File
Click he	re to find a file you've already uploaded
Comments	. //
Cancel	Submit Assignment

**Paper** 

Criteria	Ratings					
Organization/Formatting Was the paper was laid out properly? Was the paper properly formatted (margins, paragraphs, etc)?	10 pts Excellent  Paper properly formatted. Contains all relevant sections, content well laid out. Executive Summary, Lessons Learned, Recommended Actions, Detailed Analysis, Relevant References (ex: Compliance Materials), Bibliography		7 pts Good Contains all relevant sections, layout difficult to follow. Some formatting issues.	4 pts Fair Some sections missing or content lacking. Formatting inconsistent throughout.	O pts Needs Improvement Content not split into sections. No formatting.	Pts 10 pts
Content Was the content in each of the sections relevant for that audience? Executives, C-Suite, IR Team, etc.	5 pts Excellent  All sections contained the proper detail and was written correctly for the target audience.	3 pts Good Content was too technical in the management sections (Exec Summary, etc.) or content not detailed enough in Lessons Learned or other sections.		2 pts Fair Content missing or seriously lacking for one or more sections.	0 pts Needs Improvement Sections left blank	5 pts
Visuals Was the paper visually appealing? This includes both the visual appearance	5 pts Excellent Paper visually appealing,	<b>G</b> i Pa	pts ood aper not well esented, or	2 pts Fair Too few graphs or	0 pts Needs Improvement	5 pts

Criteria	Ratings						Pts
and the appropriate use of charts, graphs, etc	appropriate use of charts, graphs, etc.		charts, graphs lacking appropriate detail		other visuals	No graphs or other visuals	
Spelling/Grammar Appropriate spelling and grammar usage.	5 pts Excellent No noticeable spelling or grammar errors.	3 pts Good Minimal spelling of grammar errors		2 pts Fair Noticea spelling gramma errors	ble or ar	0 pts Needs Improvement Unacceptable number of spelling or grammar errors.	5 pts

Total Points: 25

#### Have specific feedback?

<u>Tell us here! (https://flatironschoolforms.formstack.com/forms/canvas\_feedback?</u>

<u>CourseID=6622&LessonID=221366&LessonType=assignments&CanvasUserID=10700&Course=None)</u>