Advanced Quantum Information: Quantum States Measurements and Evolution

David Jennings

Systems and States

Classical State Space

Evolution and Measurement in Classical Systems

Quantum Theory

Classical Theory Inside of Quantum Theory

State Space of a Qubit

Ensembles and Purifications of Quantum States

Ensemble Ambiguity Paradox

Multiple Quantum Systems and the Partial Trace

Purifications of a Mixed Quantum State

Isometries

General Quantum Measurements

Systems and States

Classical State Space

Physical System - A collection of physical degrees of freedom that allow a closed, consistent description

State of a System - An equivalence class of experimental procedures, where each experimental procedure in the class gives the same empirical results for all possible measurements on S

A simple classical system would be an object that can either be **red**, **green** or **blue**. The state of the object would then be the probability distribution **r** over the three colours:

$$\mathbf{r} = (P(\text{red}), P(\text{green}), P(\text{blue}))$$

We then have the following *pure states*:

$$egin{aligned} {
m e}_0 &= (1,0,0) \ {
m e}_1 &= (0,1,0) \ {
m e}_2 &= (0,0,1) \end{aligned}$$

However we also may have some uncertainty about the state, resulting in a *mixed state*, for example we may have the *maximally mixed state*:

$$\mathbf{r}_{mm} = (1/3, 1/3, 1/3) = (1/3)\mathbf{e}_0 + (1/3)\mathbf{e}_1 + (1/3)\mathbf{e}_2$$

Since any state can be expressed as a combination of pure states we can understand the *state space* as the set of linear combinations of the pure states. For a probability distribution we constrain this set to those with unit norm, otherwise known as a *convex combination*.

A convex combination of two vectors \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} is given by

$$\mathbf{z} = p\mathbf{x} + (1-p)\mathbf{y}$$

where $0 \le p \le 1$. We can visualise \mathbf{z} as lying on the line segment joining \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} . The convex combination can be extended by introducing a new vector \mathbf{w} , such that

$$z' = qw + (1-q)z = qw + (1-q)px + (1-q)(1-p)y$$

where now \mathbf{z}' is visualised as lying inside the triangle formed by the three points $\{\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$. With this picture we can also understand the maximally mixed point \mathbf{r}_{mm} as lying at the centre of the triangle.

As we move to quantum systems we will keep the notion of a convex set, which can be formally defined as a set $\mathcal C$ such that for any two points $\mathbf x$ and $\mathbf y$ in $\mathcal C$ we also have that $px+(1-p)\mathbf y$ is also in $\mathcal C$ for any $0\leq p\leq 1$.

It is useful to note that a *simplex* is a simple convex shapes previously discussed, for example in 1D it is the line segment and in 2D it becomes a triangle.

The notion of classical states ${f r}=(r_0,r_1,...,r_{n-1})$ can be formally summarised by requiring the following two properties

- ullet Non-Negativity $\Longrightarrow \ r_i \geq 0 \quad orall i$
- ullet Normalisation $\implies \sum_i r_i = 1$

Evolution and Measurement in Classical Systems

There are only two operations performed on physical systems:

- Transformations
 - Required to be linear to satisfy probability theory $L(p\mathbf{x} + (1-p)\mathbf{y}) = pL(\mathbf{x}) + (1-p)L(\mathbf{y})$
- Measurements
 - Project the state onto a given state, ie $P(k) = \mathbf{m}_k \cdot \mathbf{r}$ \mathbf{m}_k is from the collection of vectors $\{\mathbf{m}_0, \mathbf{m}_1, ..., \mathbf{m}_{d-1}\}$ which correspond to the d outcomes of the measurement and satisfies the following properties
 - lacksquare Non-Negativity $\Longrightarrow ext{components of } \mathbf{m}_k \geq 0 \quad orall k$
 - lacksquare Normalisation $\Longrightarrow \sum_k \mathbf{m}_k = (1,1,...,1)$

Quantum Theory

Moving from classical to quantum theory requires the following substitutions:

- 1. Classical vectors \rightarrow Hermitian matrices
- 2. Non-negativity now pertains to the eigenvalues of these matrices
- 3. Normalisation now applies to the trace of the matrices
- 4. Classical vector dot products now become traces, $\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y} o \operatorname{tr}(XY)$

We can formalise our description of quantum theory with the following definitions

- States
 - Non-negativity $\implies \operatorname{eigs}(\rho) \geq 0$
 - \circ Normalisation $\Longrightarrow \operatorname{tr}(
 ho) = 1$
- Measurements (with m outcomes)
 - ullet Non-negativity $\Longrightarrow \ \mathrm{eigs}(M_i) \geq 0 \quad orall i \in 0...m-1$
 - \circ Normalisation $\Longrightarrow \sum_i M_i = \mathbf{I}$
- Evolutions
 - \circ Linear transformation $ho o \mathcal{E}(
 ho)$ such that the transformed state is another valid quantum state

Classical Theory Inside of Quantum Theory

Classical states exist within quantum state space, as seen by:

$$\mathbf{r}
ightarrow
ho = ext{diag}(r_0, r_1, ..., r_d) = egin{pmatrix} r_0 & 0 & 0 & ... & 0 \ 0 & r_1 & 0 & ... & 0 \ 0 & 0 & r_2 & ... & 0 \ ... & ... & ... & ... & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & ... & r_d \end{pmatrix}$$

The classical states are only fully diagonal when we work in the computational basis, quantum theory introduces non-diagonal states due to there being superpositions of classical states.

State Space of a Qubit

A qubit can be represented by a 2 imes 2 Hermitian matrix, typically denoted by

$$ho = egin{pmatrix} a & c \ c^* & b \end{pmatrix}$$

where a and b are real and c is complex, meaning that we have 4 real parameters. From the previous discussion we also know that the matrix must satisfy $\mathrm{eigs}(\rho) \geq 0$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\rho) = 1$.

It is convenient to decompose the matrix in the Pauli basis, defined by

$$\mathrm{I} = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad X = egin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Y = egin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad Z = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

such that we have

$$ho = rac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{I} + xX + yY + zZ
ight) = rac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{I} + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}
ight)$$

Since we can show that $\mathrm{eigs}(\rho)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1\pm\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}\right)$ we find that in order to satisfy the requirement that $\mathrm{eigs}(\rho)\geq 0$ we require that the norm of the *Bloch vector* $\mathbf r$ is less than or equal to unity.

Analogeous to pure classical states, pure quantum states lie on the surface of the Bloch sphere (the quantum version of classical simplex). This means that they can be written in the form

$$ho = \ket{\psi}ra{\psi}$$

where $|\psi\rangle$ is a normalised vector.

Ensembles and Purifications of Quantum States

With any two quantum states σ_0 and σ_1 we can express any arbitrary state ho as

$$\rho = p\sigma_0 + (1-p)\sigma_1$$

where $0 \leq p \leq 1$. We can also express it in **ensemble decomposition** form

$$\rho = p_0 \sigma_0 + p_1 \sigma_1 + \dots$$

which can be understood as the state σ_i being randomly prepared with probability p_i . If we express this sum in terms of the Bloch vector form

$$egin{aligned} \sigma_0 &= rac{1}{2} \left(\mathrm{I} + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}
ight) \ \sigma_1 &= rac{1}{2} \left(\mathrm{I} + \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

we can see that the arbitrary state becomes a convex combination

$$ho = rac{1}{2} \left(\mathrm{I} + (p \mathbf{x} + (1-p) \mathbf{y}) \cdot \sigma
ight)$$

If we choose opposite Bloch vectors as our basis ($\mathbf{y} = -\mathbf{x}$) and we take equal amounts of both we have

$$ho=rac{1}{2}\sigma_0+rac{1}{2}\sigma_1=rac{1}{2}\mathrm{I}$$

which corresponds to the maximally mixed state.

Ensemble Ambiguity Paradox

Classically every probability distribution has a unique decomposition into the pure states which define the simplex. However, in quantum theory a mixed states can be decomposed multiple ways into different bases. For example, if we consider the maximally mixed state we can see it can be decomposed into both the z-basis and x-basis

$$egin{aligned}
ho_z &= rac{1}{2} \left(\ket{0}ra{0} + \ket{1}ra{1}
ight) = rac{1}{2} \mathrm{I} \
ho_x &= rac{1}{2} \left(\ket{+}ra{+} \ket{-}ra{-}
ight) = rac{1}{2} \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$$

This means that we can reach the maximally mixed state by two seemingly different phenomenological routes.

Multiple Quantum Systems and the Partial Trace

States can be defined on systems composed of multiple subsystems, denoted by a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{AB} over the bipartite system AB. In general a state in \mathcal{H}_{AB} is denoted as

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = |\psi\rangle_{A} \otimes |\psi\rangle_{B}$$

Some particularly useful states of this form are the Bell states

$$egin{align} \ket{\Phi^+}_{AB} &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\ket{0}_A \otimes \ket{0}_B + \ket{1}_A \otimes \ket{1}_B
ight) \ \ket{\Phi^-}_{AB} &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\ket{0}_A \otimes \ket{0}_B - \ket{1}_A \otimes \ket{1}_B
ight) \ \ket{\Psi^+}_{AB} &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\ket{0}_A \otimes \ket{1}_B + \ket{1}_A \otimes \ket{0}_B
ight) \ \ket{\Psi^-}_{AB} &= rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\ket{0}_A \otimes \ket{1}_B - \ket{1}_A \otimes \ket{0}_B
ight) \ \end{aligned}$$

When working with joint states it is important to introduce the partial trace, which is defined as

$$ho_A = ext{tr}(
ho_{AB}) = \sum_k ra{k}_B
ho_A \otimes
ho_B \ket{k}_B.$$

Purifications of a Mixed Quantum State

Given the mixed state ρ_A , which has the eigen-decomposition

$$ho_A = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \lambda_k \ket{e_k} ra{e_k}$$

we can define a purification of ho_A onto a joint system AB as

$$\ket{\psi}_{AB} = \sum_k \sqrt{\lambda_k} \ket{e_k}_A \otimes \ket{k}_B$$

In this form the mixed-ness of ho_A appears to arise from its entanglement with the states $|k
angle_B$.

Isometries

A more general technique is:

- 1. Embed system B into a larger system C
- 2. Apply a unitary in C

For example, given the maximally mixed state $ho_A=rac{1}{2}{
m I}$, we can purify it to

$$\ket{\Psi}_{AB} = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\ket{0}_A \otimes \ket{0}_B + \ket{1}_A \otimes \ket{1}_B)$$

The prufiying system B can be embedded into a three level (qutrit) system C, which has the basis $\{|0\rangle_C\,, |1\rangle_C\,, |2\rangle_C\}$, in many different ways, for example

$$egin{aligned} W:\ket{0}_B &
ightarrow \ket{0}_C \ W:\ket{1}_B &
ightarrow \ket{2}_C \end{aligned}$$

Or more in another (more simple) manner as

$$W:|0
angle_{B}
ightarrow|0
angle_{C} \ W:|1
angle_{B}
ightarrow|1
angle_{C}$$

We can then apply a 3 imes 3 unitary V to this mapped state, such as

$$V=rac{1}{\sqrt{3}}egin{pmatrix}1&1&1\1&\omega&\omega^2\1&\omega^2&\omega\end{pmatrix}$$

which is unitary for $\omega=e^{2\pi i/3}$. This results in the following purification

$$\ket{\Psi}_{AB}
ightarrow \left(\mathrm{I}_A \otimes V_C W_B
ight) \ket{\Psi_{AB}} = \ket{\Phi}_{AC}$$

If we start with $ho_A=rac{1}{2}\mathrm{I}_A$ we find

$$egin{aligned} |\Phi
angle_{AC} &= rac{1}{\sqrt{6}}[|0
angle_A\otimes(|0
angle_C+|1
angle_C+|2
angle_C) + |1
angle_A\otimes(|0
angle_C+\omega|1
angle_C+\omega^2|2
angle_C)] \ &= \sqrt{p_0}\,|\phi_0
angle_A\otimes|0
angle_C+\sqrt{p_1}\,|\phi_1
angle_A\otimes|1
angle_C+\sqrt{p_2}\,|\phi_2
angle_A\otimes|2
angle_C \end{aligned}$$

where now state ρ_A has been purified into the non-orthogonal pure states $\{|\phi_0\rangle\,, |\phi_1\rangle\,, |\phi_2\rangle\}$. This process of embedding a system into a larger system by the total unitary transformation U=VW is known as an isometry.

General Quantum Measurements

The most general type of measurement is the **POVM** measurement (Positive Operator Valued Measurement). Note that a positive operator is one for which $\operatorname{eigs}(M) \geq 0$.

It is useful to consider a measurement with k outcomes as corresponding to a set of k matrices $\{M_i\}$. The probability of the i^{th} measurement result is given by

$$P_i={
m tr}[M_i
ho]$$