

1 density of prime numbers

prime number theorem $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(n)}{n/\ln n} = 1.$

one simple approach to the problem of testing for primality is **trialdivision**. we try dividing n by each integer $2, 3, \dots, [\sqrt{n}]$. this works well only when n is very small or happens to have a small prime factor.

2 pseudoprimalty testing

let \mathcal{Z}_n^+ denote the nonzero elements of \mathcal{Z}_n , we say that n is a *base- a pseudoprime* if n is composite and

$$a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}. \quad (1)$$

surprisingly, the converse of Fermat's theorem *almost* holds.

Algorithm 1 pseudoprime(n)

```

1: if  $2^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . then
2:   return composite
3: elsereturn prime
4: end if
```

surprisingly, it rarely err. actually the error rate on a randomly chosen β -bit number goes to zero as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. we cannot entirely eliminate all errors by simply checking for a second base number, because there exist composite integers n , *carmichael numbers*, that satisfy equation for all $a \in \mathcal{Z}_n^*$.

3 the miller-rabin randomized primality test

let $n - 1 = 2^t u$ where $t \geq 1$ and u is odd, therefore $a^{n-1} \equiv (a^u)^{2^t}$

Algorithm 2 witness(a, n)

```

1: let  $t$  and  $u$  as defined above
2:  $x_0 = a^u \bmod n$ 
3: for  $i = 1 \rightarrow t$  do
4:    $x_i = x_{i-1}^2 \bmod n$ 
5:   if  $x_i == 1$  and  $x_{i-1} \neq 1$  and  $x_{i-1} \neq n-1$  then
6:     return true
7:   end if
8: end for
9: if  $x_t \neq 1$  then
10:  return true
11: end if
12: return false
```

we detect whether a nontrivial square root of 1 is discovered, We now examine the Miller-Rabin primality test based on the use of WITNESS. Again, we assume that n is an odd integer greater than 2

Algorithm 3 miller-rabin(n, s)

```
1: for  $j = 1 \rightarrow s$  do  
2:    $a = \text{random}(1, n - 1)$   
3:   if witness( $a, n$ ) then  
4:     return composite  
5:   end if  
6: end for  
7: return prime
```

4 error rate of miller-rabin primality test

theorem if n is an odd composite number, then the number of witnesses to the compositeness of n is at least $(n - 1)/2$.

theorem the probability that miller-rabin(n, s) errs is at most 2^{-s} .

by applying bayesian theorem, we can estimate the error rate more percisely.