

# Functional Reactive Programming

Principles of Reactive Programming

Martin Odersky

#### What is FRP?

Imperative reactive programming is about reacting to sequences of *events* that happen in *time*.

Functional view: Aggregate an event sequence into a signal.

- ► A signal is a value that changes over time.
- ▶ It is represented as a function from time to the value domain.
- Instead of propagating updates to mutable state, we define new signals in terms of existing ones.

### Example: Mouse Positions

```
Event-based view:
Whenever the mouse moves, an event
  MouseMoved(toPos: Position)
is fired.
FRP view:
A signal,
mousePosition: Signal[Position]
which at any point in time represents the current mouse position.
```

#### Origins of FRP

FRP started in 1997 with the paper Functional Reactive Animation by Conal Elliott and Paul Hudak and the Fran library.

There have been many FRP systems since, both standalone languages and embedded libraries.

Some examples are: Flapjax, Elm, Bacon.js, React4J.

Event streaming dataflow programming systems such as Rx (which we will see in two weeks), are related but the term FRP is not commonly used for them.

We will introduce FRP by means of of a minimal class, frp.Signal whose implementation is explained at the end of this module.

frp.Signal is modelled after Scala.react, which is described in the paper Deprecating the Observer Pattern.

#### Fundamental Signal Operations

There are two fundamental operations over signals:

1. Obtain the value of the signal at the current time. In our library this is expressed by () application.

```
mousePosition() // the current mouse position
```

#### Fundamental Signal Operations

There are two fundamental operations over signals:

1. Obtain the value of the signal at the current time. In our library this is expressed by () application.

```
mousePosition() // the current mouse position
```

2. Define a signal in terms of other signals. In our library, this is expressed by the Signal constructor.

```
def inReactangle(LL: Position, UR: Position): Signal[Boolean] =
   Signal {
    val pos = mousePosition()
    LL <= pos && pos <= UR
  }</pre>
```

#### Constant Signals

The Signal(...) syntax can also be used to define a signal that has always the same value:

```
val sig = Signal(3)  // the signal that is always 3.
```

#### Time-Varying Signals

How do we define a signal that varies in time?

- ► We can use externally defined signals, such as mousePosition and map over them.
- ▶ Or we can use a Var.

### Variable Signals

Values of type Signal are immutable.

But our library also defines a subclass Var of Signal for signals that can be changed.

Var provides an "update" operation, which allows to redefine the value of a signal from the current time on.

## Aside: Update Syntax

```
In Scala, calls to update can be written as assignments.
For instance, for an array arr
arr(i) = 0
is translated to
 arr.update(i, 0)
which calls an update method which can be thought of as follows:
 class Array[T] {
   def update(idx: Int. value: T): Unit
   . . .
```

#### Aside: Update Syntax

```
Generally, an indexed assignment like f(E_1, ..., E_n) = E
is translated to f.update(E_1, ..., E_n, E).
This works also if n = 0: f() = E is shorthand for f.update(E).
Hence.
  sig.update(5)
can be abbreviated to
  sig() = 5
```

## Signals and Variables

Signals of type Var look a bit like mutable variables, where

```
sig()
```

is dereferencing, and

```
sig() = newValue
```

is update.

But there's a crucial difference:

We can *map* over signals, which gives us a relation between two signals that is maintained automatically, at all future points in time.

No such mechanism exists for mutable variables; we have to propagate all updates manually.

#### Example

Repeat the BankAccount example of last section with signals.

Add a signal balance to BankAccounts.

Define a function consolidated which produces the sum of all balances of a given list of accounts.

What savings were possible compared to the publish/subscribe implementation?

# Signals and Variables (2)

Note that there's an important difference between the variable assignment

$$v = v + 1$$

and the signal update

$$s() = s() + 1$$

In the first case, the *new* value of v becomes the \_old+ value of v plus 1.

In the second case, we try define a signal s to be at all points in time one larger than itself.

This obviously makes no sense!

#### Exercise

Consider the two code fragments below

```
(1) val num = Signal(1) val twice = Signal(num() * 2) num() = 2
```

(2) var num = Signal(1) val twice = Signal(num() \* 2) num = Signal(2)

So they yield the same final value for twice()?

- 0 yes
- 0 no