

SOLUTIONS 6

Saliba, March 27, 2019

Exercise 1. Let X be a Markov chain on E (not necessarily irreducible). Suppose that state $j \in E$ is positive recurrent and aperiodic. Show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \pi_j \mathbb{P}(\tau_j < \infty \mid X_0 = i), \quad \tau_j = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n = j\},$$

where π is the stationary distribution of the chain restricted to the communicating class of j .

Solution. By the total probability formula, we have

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} = \mathbb{P}(X_n = j \mid X_0 = i) = \sum_{r=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\tau_j = r \mid X_0 = i) p_{jj}^{(n-r)}.$$

By considering the sub-chain with valued in the communicating class of j , we see that this sub-chain is irreducible and j is positive recurrent. We can thus apply a theorem from the class that gives that $p_{jj}^{(n-r)} \rightarrow \pi_j$. Since $\sum_{r=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\tau_j = r \mid X_0 = i) < \infty$, we obtain, by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}^{(n)} &= \mathbb{P}(X_n = j \mid X_0 = i) = \sum_{r=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\tau_j = r \mid X_0 = i) p_{jj}^{(n-r)} \rightarrow \pi_j \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\tau_j = r \mid X_0 = i) \\ &= \pi_j \mathbb{P}(\tau_j < \infty \mid X_0 = i). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 2. Let X be a Markov chain with transition matrix P on $E = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ given by

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 & \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) Find the communicating classes of P . For the recurrent classes, find the corresponding stationary distributions.

(b) Supposing that $X_0 \sim \alpha$ for a distribution α on E , find the limiting distribution of X_n when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hint: Suppose that X starts in a transient state of E and find the limiting distribution in this case.

Solution. (a) The communicating classes of P are $\{1, 4\}$, $\{3, 5\}$ et $\{2\}$. Closed (and recurrent) classes are $\{1, 4\}$ et $\{3, 5\}$. The submatrix P_1 corresponding to $\{1, 4\}$ is given by:

$$P_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The stationary distribution of the system $\pi_1 = (a, b)$ verifies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{a}{3} + \frac{b}{2} &= a, \\ \frac{2a}{3} + \frac{b}{2} &= b, \\ a + b &= 1. \end{cases}$$

The solution of this system is given by $\pi_1 = (\frac{3}{7}, \frac{4}{7})$.

The submatrix P_2 corresponding to $\{3, 5\}$ is given by:

$$P_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The stationary distribution of the system $\pi_2 = (c, d)$ verifies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{c}{2} + \frac{d}{4} &= c, \\ \frac{c}{2} + \frac{3d}{4} &= d, \\ c + d &= 1. \end{cases}$$

The solution of this system is given by $\pi_2 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$.

- (b) State 2 is the only transient state of the system. Starting from 2, we know that $P_{2i}^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} h(i)\pi(i)$ where

$$h(i) =: \mathbb{P}(T_i < \infty \mid X_0 = 2), \quad i = 1, 3, 4, 5,$$

and $\pi(i)$ corresponds to the component of the stationary distribution relative to state i ($\pi(1) = \pi_1(1), \pi(3) = \pi_2(1), \pi(4) = \pi_1(2), \pi(5) = \pi_2(2)$).

We need to compute $h(i)$ for $i = 1, 3, 4, 5$. Since $\{1, 4\}$ is a closed and recurrent class, we have that $\mathbb{P}(T_1 < \infty \mid X_0 = 4) = 1$. Since $\{3, 5\}$ is closed, we also have that $\mathbb{P}(T_1 < \infty \mid X_0 = 3) = 0$. Using this, we obtain:

$$h(1) = \frac{1}{4}h(1) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 \implies h(1) = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Similarly, we find:

$$\begin{cases} h(3) = \frac{1}{4}h(3) + \frac{1}{2} & \implies h(3) = \frac{2}{3}, \\ h(4) = \frac{1}{4}h(4) + \frac{1}{4} & \implies h(4) = \frac{1}{3}, \\ h(5) = \frac{1}{4}h(5) + \frac{1}{2} & \implies h(5) = \frac{2}{3}. \end{cases}$$

Since 2 is transient, $P_{22}^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. We thus get:

$$P_{21}^n \rightarrow \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{3}{7} = \frac{1}{7}, P_{23}^n \rightarrow \frac{2}{9}, P_{24}^n \rightarrow \frac{4}{21}, P_{25}^n \rightarrow \frac{4}{9}.$$

Therefore, the transition matrix P^n converges to P_∞ given by:

$$P_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{7} & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{7} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{7} & 0 & \frac{2}{9} & \frac{4}{21} & \frac{4}{9} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{3}{7} & 0 & 0 & \frac{4}{7} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, if $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5)$ is the initial distribution of X , the limiting distribution of X_n , denoted by α_∞ , is given by

$$\alpha_\infty = \alpha P_\infty = \left(\frac{3\alpha_1}{7} + \frac{\alpha_2}{7} + \frac{3\alpha_4}{7}, 0, \frac{2\alpha_2}{9} + \frac{\alpha_3}{3} + \frac{\alpha_5}{3}, \frac{4\alpha_1}{7} + \frac{4\alpha_2}{21} + \frac{4\alpha_4}{7}, \frac{4\alpha_2}{9} + \frac{2\alpha_3}{3} + \frac{2\alpha_5}{3} \right).$$

Exercise 3. Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be two independent Markov chains, aperiodic and irreducible, defined on the state spaces E and E' , respectively. Show that $(X_n, Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is an aperiodic and irreducible Markov chain on $E \times E'$. Find an example of $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ independent and irreducible, but for which $(X_n, Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is not irreducible.

Solution. We write $(p_{ij})_{i,j \in E}$ and $(q_{ij})_{i,j \in E'}$ for the transition probabilities for $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ respectively. For all $i_0, i \in E$, $j_0, j \in E'$, there exist $r > 0$ and $s > 0$ with $p_{i_0 i}^{(r)} > 0$ and $q_{j_0 j}^{(s)} > 0$. If X_n and Y_n are aperiodic, then, by a theorem of the class, there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, we have $p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0$ and $q_{jj}^{(n)} > 0$. Thus, for all $m \geq r + s + n_0$, we have that $p_{i_0 i}^{(m)} > 0$ and that $q_{j_0 j}^{(m)} > 0$, and thus

$$P\{(X_m, Y_m) = (i, j) | (X_0, Y_0) = (i_0, j_0)\} = p_{i_0 i}^{(m)} q_{j_0 j}^{(m)} > 0.$$

Notice that this implies that the periodicity of $(X_n, Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is equal to 1.

For the counterexample, we consider $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ to be two independent Markov chain on \mathbb{Z} . They are independent. Notice that if we start at $(0, 0)$, we can only reach vertices for which the sum of its coordinates is even. Hence (X_n, Y_n) is not irreducible.

Exercise 4. (Branching process with immigration) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(N_k^n)_{k \geq 0}$ be a sequence of independent random variables on \mathbb{Z}^+ with a common generating function $\phi(t) = E(t^{N_k^n})$. The branching process with immigration is defined as

$$X_n = N_1^n + \dots + N_{X_{n-1}}^n + I_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $(I_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a sequence of independent random variables with values in \mathbb{Z}^+ with a common generating function $\psi(t) = E(t^{I_n})$. Show that if $X_0 = 1$ then

$$E(t^{X_n}) = \phi^{(n)}(t) \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi(\phi^{(k)}(t)).$$

In the case where the number of immigrants in each generation is a Poisson random variable of parameter λ and $P(N_k^n = 0) = 1 - p$, $P(N_k^n = 1) = p$, find the proportion of time in the long run for which the population is 0.

Solution. The equation for $E(t^{X_n})$ can be proved by induction by doing a one step decomposition. Indeed, for $n = 1$, the result is straightforward by independence

$$E(t^{X_1}) = E(t^{N_1^1 + I_1}) = E(t^{N_1^1})E(t^{I_1}) = \phi(t)\psi(t).$$

Suppose that this holds for n . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(t^{X_{n+1}}) &= \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(t^{X_{n+1}} | X_n)) = \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(t^{X_{n+1}} | X_n = k) \mathbb{P}(X_n = k) = \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(t^{N_1^{n+1} + \dots + N_k^{n+1} + I_{n+1}}) \mathbb{P}(X_n = k) = \\
&= \psi(t) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi(t)^k \mathbb{P}(X_n = k) = \\
&= \psi(t) \mathbb{E}(\phi(t)^{X_n}).
\end{aligned}$$

We finally get, by using the induction relation:

$$\mathbb{E}(t^{X_n}) = \phi^{(n)}(t) \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi(\phi^{(k)}(t)).$$

In the special case of immigration that has a Poisson law, we get:

$$\mathbb{E}(t^{X_n}) = (1 + p^n(t - 1)) \exp\left(\lambda(t - 1) \frac{1 - p^n}{1 - p}\right).$$

We conclude for $0 \leq p < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n = 0) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}(t^{X_n}) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - p^n) \exp\left(-\lambda \frac{1 - p^n}{1 - p}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{1 - p}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

That is the proportion of time for which the population is 0 since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}(X_k = 0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n = 0) = \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{1 - p}\right).$$

Exercise 5. (Metropolis–Hastings algorithm) Suppose that we have a distribution p (called target distribution) on a countable space E . Then, for each $x \in E$, let q_x be a distribution on E (called the proposal distribution) with $q_x(y) > 0$ whenever $q_y(x) > 0$, for all $y \in E$. The Metropolis–Hastings algorithm constructs a Markov chain $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ as follows:

- (i). Let $X_0 = x_0 \in E$ be random fixed state.
- (ii). For $X_n = x$, choose a candidate y according to the proposal distribution q_x . Then let U be a uniform random variable on $[0, 1]$, the variable X_{n+1} is defined as

$$X_{n+1} = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } U \leq \min\left(\frac{p(y)q_y(x)}{p(x)q_x(y)}, 1\right) \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that if $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is irreducible and aperiodic, then it is a reversible chain with respect to its stationary distribution p .

Solution. Note that in general, irreducibility and aperiodicity are simple to show given the proposal distribution. In particular, note that if there exist x, y such that the ratio $\frac{p(y)q_y(x)}{p(x)q_x(y)}$ is not always equal to 1 (which is generally the case), then there is a positive probability to stay in state x , and the chain is therefore aperiodic.

It is easy to show that the transition probability from x to y with $x \neq y$ is

$$p_{xy} = q_x(y) \min \left(\frac{p(y)q_y(x)}{p(x)q_x(y)}, 1 \right).$$

With this, we have by the detailed balance (suppose that $p(x)q_x(y) > p(y)q_y(x)$ wlog)

$$\pi_x \frac{q_x(y) \frac{p(y)q_y(x)}{p(x)q_x(y)}}{q_y(x)} = \pi_y$$

and thus $\pi_x = p(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{E}$. Moreover, by the previous exercise sheet, the chain is reversible with respect to its stationary distribution.