

# Learning Theory - Homework 4

Alexandru Mocanu - SCIPER 295172

May 2019

## 1 Exercise 1

1) For the first moment, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{x}] = \int_{\underline{x}} \underline{x} p(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-D/2} \int_{\underline{x}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\|\underline{x} - \underline{a}_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \underline{a}_i \quad (1)$$

as we know from the expected value of a Gaussian distributed random variable. For the second moment, let  $f_i(\underline{x}) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-D/2} \int_{\underline{x}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\|\underline{x} - \underline{a}_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$ . Then, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\underline{x}\underline{x}^T] &= \sum_{i=1}^K w_i (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-D/2} \int_{\underline{x}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\|\underline{x} - \underline{a}_i\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i (\sigma^2 I_D + \mathbb{E}_{f_i}[\underline{x}]\underline{a}_i^T + \underline{a}_i \mathbb{E}_{f_i}[\underline{x}^T] - \underline{a}_i \underline{a}_i^T) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K w_i (\sigma^2 I_D + \underline{a}_i \underline{a}_i^T) = \sigma^2 I_D + \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \underline{a}_i \underline{a}_i^T \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

For the third moment, we have to take each element  $T_{klm} = x_k x_l x_m$  of the tensor and compute its expected value. For  $k < l < m$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_i[T_{klm}] &= (w\pi\sigma^2)^{-D/2} \int_{x_1} \exp\left\{-\frac{\|x_1 - a_{i,1}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dx_1 \dots \int_{x_k} x_k \exp\left\{-\frac{\|x_k - a_{i,k}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dx_k \dots \\ &\dots \int_{x_l} x_l \exp\left\{-\frac{\|x_l - a_{i,l}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dx_l \dots \int_{x_m} x_m \exp\left\{-\frac{\|x_m - a_{i,m}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dx_m \dots \int_{x_D} \exp\left\{-\frac{\|x_K - a_{i,K}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dx_K = \\ &= a_{i,k} a_{i,l} a_{i,m} \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

If two of the indices  $k, l, m$  are equal, then:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_i[T_{kkl}] = \mathbb{E}_i[T_{klk}] = \mathbb{E}_i[T_{lkk}] &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1} \int_{x_k} x_k^2 \exp\left\{-\frac{\|x_k - a_{i,k}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dx_k \\ &\quad \int_{x_l} x_l^2 \exp\left\{-\frac{\|x_l - a_{i,l}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dx_l = (\sigma^2 + a_{i,k}^2) a_{i,l} \quad (4)\end{aligned}$$

If all the indices are equal, then:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_i[T_{kkk}] &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_k^3 \exp\left\{-\frac{\|x_k - a_{i,k}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dx_k = (2\pi\sigma^2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t + a_{i,k})^3 \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dt = \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^3 \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dt + 3a_{i,k}(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^2 \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dt + \\ &\quad + 3a_{i,k}^2(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dt + (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} dt = \\ &= 3a_{i,k}\sigma^2 + a_{i,k}^3 \quad (5)\end{aligned}$$

Combining all these results, we get that:

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{x}\underline{x}\underline{x}] = \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{a}_i + \sigma^2 \sum_{j=1}^D \sum_{i=1}^K w_i (\underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{e}_j + \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{a}_i \otimes \underline{e}_j + \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{e}_j \otimes \underline{a}_i) \quad (6)$$

**2)** Using the formula for the second moment from the previous task, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}'[\underline{x}\underline{x}^T] &= \sigma^2 I_D + \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \underline{a}_i' (\underline{a}_i')^T = \sigma^2 I_D + \sum_{i=1}^K w_i \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K \tilde{R}_{ij} \underline{a}_j \tilde{R}_{ik} (\underline{a}_k)^T = \\ &= \sigma^2 I_D + \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K \sqrt{w_j w_k} \underline{a}_j \underline{a}_k^T \sum_{i=1}^K R_{ij} R_{ik} \quad (7)\end{aligned}$$

The matrix is orthogonal and as it only performs a rotation, it is orthonormal, so  $\sum_{i=1}^K R_{ij} R_{ik}$  is 1 for  $j = k$  and 0 for  $j \neq k$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K \sqrt{w_j w_k} \underline{a}_j \underline{a}_k^T \sum_{i=1}^K R_{ij} R_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^K w_j \underline{a}_j \underline{a}_j^T$ . This leads to:

$$\mathbb{E}'[\underline{x}\underline{x}^T] = \sigma^2 I_D + \sum_{j=1}^K w_j \underline{a}_j \underline{a}_j^T \quad (8)$$

Therefore, we obtain the same second moment as in the previous task.

## 2 Exercise 2

1) The two-dimensional multiarrays are:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

The three-dimensional multiarrays have the following frontal slices:

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, G_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ W_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, W_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The matrices for the three modes of  $G$  and  $W$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, G_{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, G_{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ W_{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, W_{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, W_{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

2) For the two-dimensional tensors, we can simply see that the determinants are  $\det(B) = 1$ ,  $\det(P) = 0$ ,  $\det(E) = 1$ , so the ranks are **rank(B) = 2**, **rank(P) = 1**, **rank(E) = 2**.

For  $G$ , we consider matrices  $A_G = [e_0, e_1]$ ,  $B_G = [e_0, e_1]$ ,  $C_G = [e_0, e_1]$  and write  $G = \sum_{r=1}^2 a_{G,r} \otimes b_{G,r} \otimes c_{G,r}$ . By Jennrich's algorithm, this is the unique factorization up to a scaling factor, so **rank(G) = 2**.

For  $W$ , assume that we can write  $W = a \otimes b \otimes c + d \otimes e \otimes f$ . This is equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} a_0 b_0 c_0 + d_0 e_0 f_0 = 0 \\ a_0 b_0 c_1 + d_0 e_0 f_1 = 1 \\ a_0 b_1 c_0 + d_0 e_1 f_0 = 1 \\ a_0 b_1 c_1 + d_0 e_1 f_1 = 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} a_1 b_0 c_0 + d_1 e_0 f_0 = 1 \\ a_1 b_0 c_1 + d_1 e_0 f_1 = 0 \\ a_1 b_1 c_0 + d_1 e_1 f_0 = 0 \\ a_1 b_1 c_1 + d_1 e_1 f_1 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

First, assume that  $a_0 b_0 c_0 = 0$ . Then  $d_0 e_0 f_0 = 0$ . If  $a_0 = 0$ , then  $d_0 e_0 f_1 = 1$  and as  $d_0 e_0 f_0 = 0$ , it follows that  $f_0 = 0$ . Also, we have that  $d_0 e_1 f_0 = 1$ , so  $f_0 \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. If  $b_0 = 0$ , then  $d_0 e_0 f_1 = 1$  and as  $d_0 e_0 f_0 = 0$ , it follows that  $f_0 = 0$ . Also, we have that  $d_1 e_0 f_0 = 1$ , so  $f_0 \neq 0$ , which gives a contradiction. If  $c_0 = 0$ , then  $d_0 e_1 f_0 = 0$ , and as  $d_0 e_0 f_0 = 0$ , it follows that  $e_0 = 0$ . Also, we have that  $d_1 e_0 f_0 = 1$ , so  $e_0 \neq 0$ , which gives a contradiction. Therefore,  $a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0, e_0, f_0$  are all nonzero.

Now, assume that  $a_1 b_1 c_1 = 0$ . Then  $d_1 e_1 f_1 = 0$ . If  $a_1 = 0$ , then  $d_1 e_0 f_0 = 1$ , so  $d_1 \neq 0$ . As  $d_1 e_0 f_1 = 0$ ,  $d_1 e_1 f_0 = 0$  and  $d_1 \neq 0$ , it follows that  $f_1 = 0$  and  $e_1 = 0$ . From  $f_1 = 0$ , we get  $a_0 b_1 c_1 = 0$  and  $a_0 b_0 c_1 = 1$  and from these  $b_1 = 0$ . As  $b_1 = 0$ , it follows that  $d_0 e_1 f_0 = 1$ , so  $e_1 \neq 0$ , which is a contradiction. If  $b_1 = 0$ , then  $d_0 e_1 f_0 = 0$ , so  $e_1 \neq 0$ . Also  $d_1 e_1 f_0 = 0$  and as  $e_1 \neq 0$ , it follows

that  $d_1 = 0$ . From this  $a_1 b_1 c_0 = 1$ , which implies  $a_1 \neq 0$ , and  $a_1 b_0 c_1 = 0$ , so  $c_1 = 0$ . From this,  $d_0 e_0 f_1 = 1$  and  $d_0 e_1 f_1 = 0$ , but the first one implies  $f_1 \neq 0$  and the second one  $f_1 = 0$ , so we get a contradiction. If  $c_1 = 0$ , then  $d_0 e_0 f_1 = 1$ , so  $f_1 \neq 0$ . Also  $d_0 e_1 f_1 = 0$  and also using  $f_1 \neq 0$ , it follows that  $e_1 = 0$ . From this,  $a_0 b_1 c_0 = 1$ , which implies  $b_1 \neq 0$ , and  $a_1 b_1 c_0 = 0$ , so  $a_1 = 0$ . From  $a_1 = 0$ , we get  $d_1 e_0 f_0 = 1$  and  $d_1 e_0 f_1 = 0$ , but the first one implies that  $d_1 \neq 0$  and the second one  $d_1 = 0$ , so we get a contradiction. Therefore,  $a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1, f_1$  are all nonzero.

Now, in these conditions, we have  $a_0 b_1 c_1 = -d_0 e_1 f_1$  and  $a_1 b_1 c_1 = -d_1 e_1 f_1$ , so  $\frac{a_0}{a_1} = \frac{d_0}{d_1}$ . Similarly,  $\frac{b_0}{b_1} = \frac{e_0}{e_1}$  and  $\frac{c_0}{c_1} = \frac{f_0}{f_1}$ . This gives us  $1 = a_0 b_0 c_0 \frac{f_1}{f_0} + d_0 e_0 f_0 \frac{c_1}{c_0} = a_0 b_0 c_0 \left( \frac{f_1}{f_0} - \frac{c_1}{c_0} \right)$ , but given that  $\frac{f_1}{f_0} = \frac{c_1}{c_0}$ , this is impossible.

In conclusion, we can not write  $W = a \otimes b \otimes c + d \otimes e \otimes f$ , so **rank(W)** = 3.

3) Let  $O = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  be the orthonormal matrix i.e.  $\begin{bmatrix} a^2 + b^2 & ac + bd \\ ac + bd & c^2 + d^2 \end{bmatrix} = I$ . Then,

$$(Oe_0) \otimes (Oe_0) + (Oe_1) \otimes (Oe_1) = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a^2 + b^2 & ac + bd \\ ac + bd & c^2 + d^2 \end{bmatrix} = I \quad (13)$$

Therefore,  $B = (Oe_0) \otimes (Oe_0) + (Oe_1) \otimes (Oe_1)$ .

For  $G$ , we have the orthogonal matrices  $A_G, B_G$  and  $C_G$ , as above, used to form it by tensorial product. Multiplying these by an orthonormal matrix preserves their orthogonality, so by Jennrich's theorem, we have again get  $G$  by using the vectors multiplied by an orthonormal matrix in the tensorial products. For  $W$ , the matrices used are not orthogonal to begin with, so we can not use Jennrich's theorem in this case.

4) We have  $e_0 + \epsilon e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$ . By simple computation, we get:

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (D_\epsilon)_{000} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) = 0 \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (D_\epsilon)_{001} = 1 \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (D_\epsilon)_{010} = 1 \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (D_\epsilon)_{011} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon = 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (D_\epsilon)_{100} = 1 \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (D_\epsilon)_{101} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon = 0 \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (D_\epsilon)_{110} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon = 0 \\ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (D_\epsilon)_{111} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon^2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} D_\epsilon = W$ .

### 3 Exercise 3

1) Considering the phenomenon in exercise 2, question 4, it could be that given some order- $p$  tensor  $T$  of rank  $R$ , the minimum of  $\|T - S\|$ , for  $S$  an order- $p$  tensor of rank  $k < R$ , would be achieved for a tensor  $\hat{T}$  that would actually have rank  $> k$ . Therefore, the analogous problem of the Eckart-Young theorem for order- $p$ ,  $p \geq 3$ , tensors is not well-posed.

2) Using Eckart-Young in our case, we have that for a matrix  $A$  of rank  $(R+1)$

that has the SVD  $U\Sigma V^*$ , with  $\Sigma_{ii} = \sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_i \geq \sigma_j, \forall i < j$ , there exists the rank  $R$  matrix  $\hat{A} = U\tilde{\Sigma}V^*$ , with  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{ii} = \sigma_i, \forall i \leq R$  and  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{(R+1)(R+1)} = 0$ , such that  $\|A - \hat{A}\|_F = \min_{S: \text{rank}(S) \leq k} \|A - S\|_F$ . Consider now a sequence  $D_n$  of sums of  $R$  rank-one matrices. The sum of  $R$  rank-one matrices has rank at most  $R$ . As  $\|A - \hat{A}\|_F = \min_{S: \text{rank}(S) \leq k} \|A - S\|_F$ , it means that  $\|A - \hat{A}\|_F \leq \|A - D_n\|_F, \forall n \geq 0$ . Therefore,  $\|A - \hat{A}\|_F \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A - D_n\|_F$  and as  $\|A - \hat{A}\|_F > 0$ , it means that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n \neq A$ , so we can not write a rank  $R + 1$  matrix as the limit of the sum of  $R$  rank-one matrices.

To obtain a rank  $R - 1$  matrix from a sequence of rank  $R$  matrices, we can simply set the smallest eigenvalue of a matrix  $D_n$  in the sequence to  $\frac{1}{n}$ , the other eigenvalues being kept constant. Then, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that eigenvalue becomes 0, so we get a rank  $R - 1$  matrix.

We can make a similar construct for order- $p$  tensors. Say we have a sequence of rank  $R$  tensors with elements decomposed as  $D_n = \sum_{i=1}^{R-1} \underline{a}_{i,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underline{a}_{i,p} + \frac{1}{n} \underline{a}_{R,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underline{a}_{R,p}$ . Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the last term becomes 0, so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n$  is rank  $R - 1$ .

**3)** In what follows, we limit ourselves to the case of real values. Using a Tucker decomposition, we have that  $T = \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr} \underline{u}_p \otimes \underline{v}_q \otimes \underline{w}_r$ . Therefore,

$$T^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr} u_{p,\alpha} v_{q,\beta} w_{r,\gamma}. \text{ The Frobenius norm of the } T \text{ is:}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_F^2 &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \left| \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr} u_{p,\alpha} v_{q,\beta} w_{r,\gamma} \right|^2 = \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} \sum_{k=1}^{r_1} \sum_{l=1}^{r_2} \sum_{m=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr} G_{klm} \sum_{\alpha} u_{p,\alpha} u_{k,\alpha} \sum_{\beta} v_{q,\beta} v_{l,\beta} \sum_{\gamma} w_{r,\gamma} w_{m,\gamma} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Due to the matrices  $U, V, W$  being orthogonal, we have  $\sum_{\alpha} u_{p,\alpha} u_{k,\alpha} = \delta_{pk} \sum_{\alpha} u_{p,\alpha}^2$  and the same for  $V$  and  $W$ . Therefore

$$\|T\|_F^2 = \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr}^2 \sum_{\alpha} u_{p,\alpha}^2 \sum_{\beta} v_{q,\beta}^2 \sum_{\gamma} w_{r,\gamma}^2 = \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr}^2 \|u_p\|_F^2 \|v_q\|_F^2 \|w_r\|_F^2 \quad (16)$$

For  $T(R_1, R_2, R_3)$ , we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} T(R_1, R_2, R_3)^{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= \sum_{\eta,\theta,\iota} R_1^{\alpha\eta} R_2^{\beta\theta} R_3^{\gamma\iota} \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr} u_{p,\eta} v_{q,\theta} w_{r,\iota} = \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} \left( \sum_{\eta} R_1^{\alpha\eta} u_{p,\eta} \right) \left( \sum_{\theta} R_2^{\beta\theta} v_{q,\theta} \right) \left( \sum_{\iota} R_3^{\gamma\iota} w_{r,\iota} \right) G_{pqr} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We now consider the Frobenius norm of  $T(R_1, R_2, R_3)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T(R_1, R_2, R_3)\|_F^2 &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} |T(R_1, R_2, R_3)^{\alpha\beta\gamma}|^2 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \left| \sum_{\delta, \epsilon, \zeta} R_1^{\alpha\delta} R_2^{\beta\epsilon} R_3^{\gamma\zeta} T^{\delta\epsilon\zeta} \right|^2 = \\
&= \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \sum_{\delta, \epsilon, \zeta} \sum_{\eta, \theta, \iota} R_1^{\alpha\delta} R_2^{\beta\epsilon} R_3^{\gamma\zeta} \left( \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr} u_{p,\delta} v_{q,\epsilon} w_{r,\zeta} \right) R_1^{\alpha\eta} R_2^{\beta\theta} R_3^{\gamma\iota} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{r_1} \sum_{l=1}^{r_2} \sum_{m=1}^{r_3} G_{klm} u_{k,\iota} v_{l,\theta} w_{m,\iota} \right) = \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} \sum_{k=1}^{r_1} \sum_{l=1}^{r_2} \sum_{m=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr} G_{klm} \left( \sum_{\alpha, \delta, \eta} R_1^{\alpha,\delta} R_1^{\alpha,\eta} u_{p,\delta} u_{k,\eta} \right) \left( \sum_{\beta, \epsilon, \theta} R_2^{\beta,\epsilon} R_2^{\beta,\theta} v_{q,\epsilon} v_{l,\theta} \right) \left( \sum_{\gamma, \zeta, \iota} R_3^{\gamma,\zeta} R_3^{\gamma,\iota} w_{r,\zeta} w_{m,\iota} \right) \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

Rotating two orthogonal vectors with the same rotation matrix keeps them orthogonal. Using this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T(R_1, R_2, R_3)\|_F^2 &= \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr}^2 \left( \sum_{\alpha, \delta, \eta} R_1^{\alpha,\delta} R_1^{\alpha,\eta} u_{p,\delta} u_{p,\eta} \right) \left( \sum_{\beta, \epsilon, \theta} R_2^{\beta,\epsilon} R_2^{\beta,\theta} v_{q,\epsilon} v_{q,\theta} \right) \left( \sum_{\gamma, \zeta, \iota} R_3^{\gamma,\zeta} R_3^{\gamma,\iota} w_{r,\zeta} w_{r,\iota} \right) = \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{r_1} \sum_{q=1}^{r_2} \sum_{r=1}^{r_3} G_{pqr}^2 \|R_1 u_p\|_F^2 \|R_2 v_q\|_F^2 \|R_3 w_r\|_F^2 \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

Vectors maintain the same Frobenius norm under rotation, therefore we finally get that  $\|T\|_F^2 = \|T(R_1, R_2, R_3)\|_F^2$ .

## 4 Exercise 4

1) Let's find the solution of the system  $(A \odot_{KhR} B)\underline{\gamma} = 0$ . Expanding the equation, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 a_{11} b_{11} + \dots + \gamma_R a_{1R} b_{1R} &= 0 \\
\gamma_1 a_{11} b_{21} + \dots + \gamma_R a_{1R} b_{2R} &= 0 \\
&\vdots \\
\gamma_1 a_{11} b_{I_2 1} + \dots + \gamma_R a_{1R} b_{I_2 R} &= 0 \\
&\vdots \\
\gamma_1 a_{I_1 1} b_{I_2 1} + \dots + \gamma_R a_{I_1 R} b_{I_2 R} &= 0
\end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Grouping the equations above by the rows of  $B$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1 \sum_{i=1}^{I_1} a_{i1} b_{11} + \dots + \gamma_R \sum_{i=1}^{I_1} a_{iR} b_{1R} &= 0 \\
&\vdots \\
\gamma_1 \sum_{i=1}^{I_1} a_{i1} b_{I_2 1} + \dots + \gamma_R \sum_{i=1}^{I_1} a_{iR} b_{I_2 R} &= 0
\end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

As  $B$  is full-column rank, it follows that the only solution to the system above is that all the coefficients of the elements in  $B$  are zero. Given that this must hold for any full-column rank matrix  $A$ , we can use such a matrix that does not have a zero sum on any of the columns. Therefore, the only solution is that  $\gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_R = 0$ . Therefore,  $A \odot_{KhR} B$  is also a full-column rank matrix.

2) After computing matrices  $A$  and  $B$  from the eigendecompositions, we need to compute  $C$ . We make use of the fact that  $A$  and  $B$  are full-column rank in this computation as follows: We compute each row of the matrix  $C$  by slicing through the tensor with canonical basis vectors  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{I_3}$ . For slice  $i$ , we have the value  $A \text{Diag}(\langle c_r, e_i \rangle) B^T$ , so we can multiply it by the Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverses of  $A$  and  $B^T$  to get the elements in the first row of matrix  $C$ . This last step is possible due to the full-column rank property of matrices  $A$  and  $B$ .

## 5 Exercise 5

1) Using the property from the previous exercise that the Khatri-Rao product of two full-column rank matrices is also full-column rank, we have that  $C \odot_{KhR} D$  is full-column rank. As  $A, B$  and  $C \odot_{KhR} D$  are all column rank, we can apply Jennrich's algorithm to find the factorization of  $\tilde{T}$ .

2) Using Jennrich's algorithm, we can uniquely determine  $R, A, B$  and  $C \odot_{KhR} D$  from the flattened version of  $T, \tilde{T}$ . To find  $C$  and  $D$ , we can consider  $c_{r,1} = 1, \forall 1 \leq r \leq R$  and then compute the other values in  $c_r$  and  $d_r$  for each value  $1 \leq r \leq R$ . Namely, take a column from  $C \odot_{KhR} D$ ,  $[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{I_3 I_4}] = [c_1 d_1, c_1 d_2, \dots, c_1 d_{I_4}, \dots, c_{I_3} d_{I_4}]$ . We set  $c_1 = 1$  and compute  $d_i = v_i$  then  $c_i = \frac{v_{i I_3 + 1}}{d_1}, 2 \leq i \leq R$ .

## 6 Exercise 6

1) Due to the uniqueness of the solution, we can simply verify that the matrix  $\Sigma^\dagger$  proposed in the statement is indeed the pseudoinverse. We assume without

loss of generality that  $M \leq N$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger &= \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \Sigma_{MM} & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\Sigma_{11}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{\Sigma_{MM}} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = I_{M \times M} \\ \Sigma^\dagger\Sigma &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\Sigma_{11}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{\Sigma_{MM}} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \dots & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \Sigma_{MM} & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{M \times M} & O_{M \times (N-M)} \\ O_{(N-M) \times M} & O_{(N-M) \times (N-M)} \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger\Sigma &= I_{M \times M}\Sigma = \Sigma \\ \Sigma^\dagger\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger &= \Sigma^\dagger I_{M \times M} = \Sigma^\dagger \\ (\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger)^* &= I_{M \times M}^* = I_{M \times M} = \Sigma\Sigma^\dagger \\ (\Sigma^\dagger\Sigma)^* &= \begin{bmatrix} I_{M \times M} & O_{M \times (N-M)} \\ O_{(N-M) \times M} & O_{(N-M) \times (N-M)} \end{bmatrix}^* = \begin{bmatrix} I_{M \times M} & O_{M \times (N-M)} \\ O_{(N-M) \times M} & O_{(N-M) \times (N-M)} \end{bmatrix} = \Sigma^\dagger\Sigma\end{aligned}\tag{23}$$

so  $\Sigma^\dagger$  is the pseudoinverse of  $\Sigma$ .

**2)** From the previous subtask, we also see that  $(\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger)^T = \Sigma^\dagger\Sigma$  and  $(\Sigma^\dagger\Sigma)^T = \Sigma\Sigma^\dagger$ . We consider  $A^\dagger = V\Sigma^\dagger U^*$  to be the pseudoinverse and prove that this holds.

$$\begin{aligned}AA^\dagger A &= U\Sigma V^* V\Sigma^\dagger U^* U\Sigma V^* = U\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma V^* = U\Sigma V^* = A \\ A^\dagger AA^\dagger &= V\Sigma^\dagger U^* U\Sigma V^* V\Sigma^\dagger U^* = V\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma\Sigma^\dagger U^* = V\Sigma^\dagger U^* = A^\dagger \\ (AA^\dagger)^* &= (U\Sigma V^* V\Sigma^\dagger U^*)^* = (U\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger U^*)^* = U(\Sigma^\dagger\Sigma)^T U^* = U\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger U^* = U\Sigma V^* V\Sigma^\dagger U^* = AA^\dagger \\ (A^\dagger A)^* &= (V\Sigma^\dagger U^* U\Sigma V^*)^* = (V\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma V^*)^* = V(\Sigma\Sigma^\dagger)^T V^* = V\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma V^* = V\Sigma^\dagger U^* U\Sigma V^* = A^\dagger A\end{aligned}\tag{24}$$

Therefore  $A^\dagger$  is indeed the pseudoinverse of  $A$ .

**3)** If  $A$  has full-column rank, we first note that  $N \leq M$ . Let us test that  $A^\dagger = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*$  respects the properties of a pseudoinverse.

$$\begin{aligned}AA^\dagger A &= A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*A = A \\ A^\dagger AA^\dagger &= (A^*A)^{-1}A^*A(A^*A)^{-1}A^* = (A^*A)^{-1}A^* = A^\dagger \\ (AA^\dagger)^* &= (A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*)^* = A(A^*A)^{-1}A^* = AA^\dagger \\ (A^\dagger A)^* &= ((A^*A)^{-1}A^*A)^* = I_N = (A^*A)^{-1}A^*A = A^\dagger A\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

From this, we also see that  $A^\dagger A = I_N$ .

**4)** If  $A$  has full-row rank, we first note that  $M \leq N$ . Let us test that  $A^\dagger =$



$A^*(AA^*)^{-1}$  respects the properties of a pseudoinverse.

$$\begin{aligned}
AA^\dagger A &= AA^*(AA^*)^{-1}A = A \\
A^\dagger AA^\dagger &= A^*(AA^*)^{-1}AA^*(AA^*)^{-1} = A^*(AA^*)^{-1} = A^\dagger \\
(AA^\dagger)^* &= (AA^*(AA^*)^{-1})^* = I_M = AA^*(AA^*)^{-1} = AA^\dagger \\
(A^\dagger A)^* &= (A^*(AA^*)^{-1}A)^* = A^*(AA^*)^{-1}A = A^\dagger A
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

From this, we also see that  $AA^\dagger = I_M$ .

**5)** If  $A$  is a square matrix with full rank, then  $AA^\dagger = A^\dagger A = I_M$ , so  $A^\dagger$  is the usual inverse of  $A$  i.e.  $A^\dagger = A^{-1}$ .

**6)** We again assume that  $(AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger$  and check that it satisfies the pseudoinverse properties. We will make use of the properties already deduced in the previous subtasks.

$$\begin{aligned}
(AB)(AB)^\dagger(AB) &= ABB^\dagger A^\dagger AB = AB \\
(AB)^\dagger(AB)(AB)^\dagger &= B^\dagger A^\dagger ABB^\dagger A^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger = (AB)^\dagger \\
((AB)(AB)^\dagger)^* &= (ABB^\dagger A^\dagger)^* = (AA^\dagger)^* = AA^\dagger = ABB^\dagger A^\dagger = (AB)(AB)^\dagger \\
((AB)^\dagger(AB))^* &= (B^\dagger A^\dagger AB)^* = (B^\dagger B)^* = B^\dagger B = B^\dagger A^\dagger AB = (AB)^\dagger(AB)
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Therefore,  $B^\dagger A^\dagger$  is indeed the pseudoinverse of  $AB$ .