COM-303 - Signal Processing for Communications

Solutions for Homework #3

Solution 1. DFT in matrix form

Recall the DFT (analysis) formula:

$$X[k] = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] W_N^{nk}.$$

We can define an $N \times N$ square matrix **W** by stacking the conjugates of the basis vectors $\{\mathbf{w}^{(k)}\}_{k=0,\dots,N-1}$:

$$\mathbf{W} = \left[egin{array}{c} \mathbf{w}^{*(0)} \\ \mathbf{w}^{*(1)} \\ \mathbf{w}^{*(2)} \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{w}^{*(N-1)} \end{array}
ight]$$

and get the analysis formula in matrix - vector multiplication form:

$$X = Wx$$
.

Then, knowing that:

$$\mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^H = \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{W} = N\mathbf{I}$$

we obtain the synthesis formula in matrix-vector multiplication form:

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{W}^H \mathbf{X}.$$

A matrix A is hermitian when

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^H$$

Therefore, for **W** to be hermitian, we would need:

$$\mathbf{W}_{nk} = \mathbf{W}_{kn}^*$$

for all $n, k \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$. This translates to having:

$$e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}nk} = e^{j\frac{2\pi}{N}kn}$$

for all $n, k \in \{0, 1, ... N - 1\}$, which is generally not the case.

Consider, for example, the case when n = k = 1. We would need to have:

$$e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}} = e^{j\frac{2\pi}{N}}$$

which is equivalent to:

$$e^{j\frac{4\pi}{N}}=1.$$

Clearly, this can only happen when N = 2.

Solution 2. DFS and DFT

Consider the analysis formula for DFS,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{X}[k] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \tilde{x}[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}nk} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n \bmod N] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}nk} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}nk} \quad k \in \mathbb{Z} \end{split}$$

now, consider k = m + lN, where $m \in [0, 1, ...N - 1], l \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{X}[m+lN] &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}n(m+lN)} \quad m \in [0,1,..N-1], l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x[n] e^{-j\frac{2\pi}{N}nm} \quad m \in [0,1,..N-1] \\ &= X[m] \quad m \in [0,1,..N-1] \end{split}$$

Thus, $\tilde{X}[k+lN] = X[k]$, for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and k = 0, ..., N-1 which can also be represented with $\tilde{X}[k] = X[k \mod N]$, for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Solution 3. Derivative in frequency

(a) There are two ways to solve this problem. You can either replace $X(e^{j\omega})$ by its iDTFT expansion and then take the derivative to obtain:

$$j\frac{d}{d\omega}X(e^{j\omega}) = j\frac{d}{d\omega}\left[\sum_{n}x(n)e^{-j\omega n}\right] = j\sum_{n}x(n)\frac{d}{d\omega}e^{-j\omega n} = \sum_{n}nx(n)e^{-j\omega n}$$

so that iDTFT $\{j\frac{d}{d\omega}X(e^{j\omega})\} = nx(n)$.

Alternatively, you can first take the IDTFT and then use integration by part:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} j \frac{d}{d\omega} X(e^{j\omega}) e^{j\omega n} d\omega \\ &= \frac{j}{2\pi} X(e^{j\omega}) e^{j\omega n} \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} - \frac{j}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(e^{j\omega}) (jne^{j\omega n}) d\omega \\ &= n \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X(e^{j\omega}) e^{j\omega n} d\omega = n x[n] \end{split}$$

where the first equality uses integration by part and the second the periodicity of $X(e^{j\omega})e^{j\omega n}$.

(b) Because of linearity, the inverse DTFT will be equal to π/j times the result in previous question minus the inverse DTFT of the constant 2, which easily enough is $2\delta[n]$.

Solution 4. DTFT visual inspection

(a) From the plots one can see that $X(e^{j\omega})$ is 0 at the origin;

$$X^*(e^{-j\omega})|_{\omega=0} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} x[n] = 0$$

so x[n] is 0-mean.

(b) From the plots one can see that the real part of $X(e^{j\omega})$ is symmetric at the origin, and its imaginary part is antisymmetric. Since

$$x^*[n] \longleftrightarrow X^*(e^{-j\omega})$$

Then

$$X^*(e^{-j\omega}) = \Re\left(X\left(e^{-j\omega}\right)\right) - j\Im\left(X\left(e^{-j\omega}\right)\right) = \Re\left(X\left(e^{j\omega}\right)\right) + j\Im\left(X\left(e^{j\omega}\right)\right) = X\left(e^{j\omega}\right)$$

Therefore, $x[n] = x^*[n]$.

Solution 5. DTFT properties

(a) time reversal:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[-n]e^{-j\omega n} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x[m]e^{j\omega m} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x[m]e^{-j(-\omega)m} = X(e^{-j\omega})$$

with the change of variable m=-n. Therefore the DTFT of the time-reversed sequence x[-n] is $X(e^{-j\omega})$

(b) time shift:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} x[n-n_0]e^{-j\omega n} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x[m]e^{-j\omega(m+n_0)} = e^{-j\omega n_0} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x[m]e^{-j\omega m} = e^{-j\omega n_0} X(e^{j\omega})$$

with the change of variable $m=n-n_0$. Therefore the DTFT of the time-shifted sequence $x[n-n_0]$ is $e^{-j\omega n_0}X(e^{j\omega})$

Solution 6. Plancherel-Parseval Equality

(a) The inner product in $l_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is defined as

$$\langle x[n], y[n] \rangle = \sum_{n} x^*[n] y[n],$$

and in $L_2([-\pi,\pi])$ as

$$\langle X(e^{jw}), Y(e^{jw}) \rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X^*(e^{jw}) Y(e^{jw}) dw.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} X^{*}(e^{jw}) Y(e^{jw}) dw = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\Sigma_{n} x[n] e^{-jwn})^{*} \Sigma_{m} y[m] e^{-jwm} dw
\stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Sigma_{n} x^{*}[n] e^{jwn} \Sigma_{m} y[m] e^{-jwm} dw
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Sigma_{n} \Sigma_{m} x^{*}[n] y[m] e^{jw(n-m)} dw
\stackrel{(2)}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \Sigma_{n} \Sigma_{m} x^{*}[n] y[m] \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{jw(n-m)} dw
\stackrel{(3)}{=} \Sigma_{n} x^{*}[n] y[n],$$

where (1) follows from the properties of the complex conjugate, (2) follows from swapping the integral and the sums and (3) from the fact that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{jw(n-m)} dw = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n. \end{cases}$$

(b) If x[n] = y[n], then $\langle x[n], x[n] \rangle$ corresponds to the energy of the signal in the time domain and $\langle X(e^{jw}), X(e^{jw}) \rangle$ to the energy of the signal in the frequency domain. In this case, the Plancherel-Parseval equality illustrates an energy conservation property from the time domain to the frequency domain. This property is known as the *Parseval theorem*.

4

Solution 7. DTFT, DFT, and numerical computations

The analytical expression for the DTFT of the signal is

$$X(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{\sin((M/2)\omega)}{\sin(\omega/2)} e^{j\frac{N-1}{2}\omega}$$

so that the magnitude can be easily plotted by any numerical package. Here is a Python Notebook code snippet that provides the analysis requested by the exercise:

```
%pylab inline
import matplotlib
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np
M = 20
# plot the analytic DTFT over 10,000 points
w = np.linspace(-np.pi, np.pi, 10000)
X = np.abs(np.sin((M / 2.0) * w) / np.sin(w / 2.0))
plt.plot(w, X);
plt.xlim([-np.pi, np.pi]) # fit the x-axis
plt.show();
# now compute the FFT-based approximations
N = [30, 50, 100, 1000]
for pts in N:
    # build the signal
    x = np.zeros(pts)
    x[0:M] = 1
    # compute the DFT and shift it to align it with the DTFT
    X_a = np.fft.fftshift(np.fft.fft(x))
    plt.plot(np.abs(X_a))
    plt.show()
```