

# Learning and Skills Outcomes

T1

- **Learning Outcomes:**
  - Deeper understanding of 'happened before' relation in a distributed system
  - Importance of logical clocks and their use
  - Significance of clock error in synchronized physical clocks
  - Merits/shortcomings of logical/physical clocks
  - Foundations for Coursework 2
- **Skills Outcomes:**
  - Analytical
  - Presentational (writing)

# Coursework 2: Designing a Total Order (TO) service

T2

## CONTEXT:

- Consider a distributed system of  $n$ ,  $n > 3$ , processes:  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n$
- A process can generate an (application-related) message  $m$  and send  $m$  to an arbitrary subset of processes in the system
  - There is **no** assumption that  $m$  must only be sent to every other process in the system
- Each process has an instance of TO service implementation in its local node.
  - Let us call the instance of TO for process  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $TO_i$ .
- When, say,  $P_1$  sends  $m$  to  $P_2$  and  $P_3$ , it gives the message to local  $TO_1$  which, in turn, timestamps  $m$  with a logical clock and sends  $m$  only to the indicated destinations.
- When  $m$  reaches the host node of, say,  $P_2$ , the  $TO_2$ 
  - Receives the message (on  $P_2$ 's behalf),
  - Puts it in a local queue as per the timestamp, and
  - Deduces the right moment to hand-over  $m$  to  $P_2$
- $TO_i$  handing over a received  $m$  to local  $P_i$  is called **delivering**  $m$ 
  - Delivering  $m$  is an **irreversible** operation;
  - $TO_i$  cannot
  - Deliver  $m$  to  $P_i$ , change its mind, un-deliver  $m$  and then re-deliver  $m$ .

## Coursework 2: Specification

T3

- The Total Order service must satisfy the following two conditions in delivering the received messages to processes:
  - (C1) Say messages  $m$  and  $m'$  are sent to process  $P_i$ . If sending of  $m$  *happened before* sending of  $m'$ , then the **delivery** of  $m$  to  $P_i$  (by  $TO_i$ ) must happen before the **delivery** of  $m'$  to  $p$ .  
( **respects** “happened before”)
  - (C2) Say messages  $m$  and  $m'$  have common destinations of, say,  $P_i$  and  $P_j$ . The deliveries of  $m$  and  $m'$  to  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  (by  $TO_i$  and  $TO_j$  respectively) must occur in an **identical order**:  
  
either the delivery of  $m$  precedes the delivery of  $m'$  at both  $p$  and  $q$  or  
delivery of  $m'$  precedes delivery of  $m$  at both  $p$  and  $q$
- Service should NOT
  - Require a  $TO_i$  to receive and then either discard or forward a message not destined for itself or  $P_i$ 
    - Every message or ack that  $TO_i$  receives must carry some useful info for implementing the service

# Assumptions

- (A1) There is NO access to synchronised physical clocks;
- (A2) A message by a source TO is eventually **received at** a destination TO, but **no known** bound on transmission delays;
- (A3) Between any two TO<sub>i</sub> and TO<sub>j</sub>, messages sent by TO<sub>i</sub> to TO<sub>j</sub> are received in the sent order;
- (A4) Sending of a message to one or more destinations may be considered as a single event at the sending end (so, copies of a message sent to multiple destinations have the same timestamp), and
- (A5) Processes are uniquely ordered; this ordering is known to all TO processes.

# Coursework 2 : Requirement

T5

**Required: A distributed design for the total order service.**

**(12 Marks, 6 Pages max)**

- You **must describe your design** in terms of how a TO<sub>i</sub> carries out its
  - Message sending task
  - Message receiving task, and
  - Message delivery task
- You **must also provide** an **informal** argument on how your design meets its specification, i.e., C1 and C2.
- Use example to expand on design aspects and to provide correctness arguments.
  - **'Informal'** means example based and as arguments by contradiction
  - To keep within the page limit, you are advised to think and select such examples that explain multiple cases/aspects
- Correctness of design and clarity of explanation are the only criteria for marking this coursework
  - A **trivial design** meets C1 and C2: do not deliver any received message; delivery task simply discards every received m; this trivial design **will receive zero** marks
- Submission and Mark scheme criteria are as in Coursework 1

## Coursework 2 : Hints

T6

A  $TO_i$  has a queue of received messages that are due for local  $P_i$ .

The Transparency T10 ensures that the messages, when ordered as per the logical clock timestamps, enforce a total order – captured in conditions C1 and C2 of the specification

The problem for  $TO_i$  is this:

Say,  $m$  with  $C(m) = 5$  is at the head of the queue. It has to know what it should observe to conclude that it can NEVER receive another  $m'$  with  $C(m') \leq 5$ ? Because, if  $TO_i$  delivers  $m$  to  $P_i$  (for processing) and then receives  $m'$  with  $C(m') = 4$  arriving, it would have failed  $P_i$ . Why?

Both  $m$  and  $m'$  are destined for  $P_i$ ,  $C(m') < C(m)$ , and  $m'$  is before  $m$  in the total order; but  $m$  is delivered before  $m'$ !

So, the design challenge here is: *What should each  $TO_i$  should do, in addition to (i) implementing the local logical clock (ii) sending and receiving messages on behalf of  $P_i$  (iii) and queuing the received messages?*

•Hints for this critical part can be found in the mutual exclusion problem we discussed. It requires  $TO_i$  using acks to make the necessary deduction.

# Learning and Skills Outcomes

T7

- Learning Outcomes:
  - deeper understanding of design issues in accomplishing total order on messages
  - Relating mutual exclusion as a total order issue in distributed systems
  - Foundations for chapter 4: Global system state
- Skills Outcomes:
  - Analytical
  - Application of facts for system design
  - Use of examples to demonstrate correctness
  - Presentational (writing)

# Submission & Mark Scheme

T8

- **Submission Format:**
  - Word or PDF,
  - Pages refer to A4 size, 1- or 2- columned
  - Page limit includes figures, appendices and any references.
  - Submission in NESS by the prescribed deadline
  - You do not have to refer to transparencies in lecture handouts
- **General Mark Scheme** (for each sub-question):
  - 50% for **correctness** and 50% for **clarity** of write-up given that solutions are correct
  - Totally incorrect answers receive no marks however well presented
- **Notes:**
  - There is no one correct answer, even though there is certainly one most common and correct answer.
  - Your answer will be judged based only on its own merit – that is, how correct it is and how well it is presented
  - It will NOT be judged based on how close it is to the common correct answer
  - Each submission will be marked by the module leader, not by demonstrators.